


# ANTS XIV

## Proceedings of the Fourteenth Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium

Two-cover descent on plane quartics with rational bitangents

Nils Bruin and Daniel Lewis





# Two-cover descent on plane quartics with rational bitangents

Nils Bruin and Daniel Lewis

We implement two-cover descent for plane quartics over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with all 28 bitangents rational and show that on a significant collection of test cases, it resolves the existence of rational points. We also review a classical description of the relevant moduli space and use it to generate examples. We observe that local obstructions are quite rare for such curves and only seem to occur in practice at primes of good reduction. In particular, having good reduction at 11 implies having no rational points. We also gather numerical data on two-Selmer ranks of Jacobians of these curves, providing evidence these behave differently from those of general abelian varieties due to the frequent presence of an everywhere locally trivial torsor.

## 1. Introduction

A central problem in arithmetic geometry is to determine if a variety  $C$  over a number field  $k$ , for instance a nonsingular projective curve, has any  $k$ -rational points. The most elementary way of showing that  $C(k)$  is empty is by showing that  $C(k_v) = \emptyset$  for some completion  $k_v$  of  $k$ . In that case, we say  $C$  has a *local obstruction* to having rational points.

We consider a more refined *descent obstruction* here. Our construction can be read in elementary terms, but the theoretical motivation is enlightening. Suppose we have an unramified cover  $\pi : D \rightarrow C$  of nonsingular proper varieties over  $k$  with geometric automorphism group  $\Gamma = \text{Aut}_{k^{\text{alg}}}(D/C)$  satisfying  $\#\Gamma = \deg(\pi)$ . The *twisting principle* [Mil80, III.4.3(a)] gives us that the Galois cohomology set  $H^1(k, \Gamma)$  parametrizes *twists*  $\pi_\gamma : D_\gamma \rightarrow C$ , as well as a map  $\gamma : C(k) \rightarrow H^1(k, \Gamma)$  such that for  $P \in C(k)$  and  $\gamma = \gamma(P)$ , we have  $Q \in D_\gamma(k)$  such that  $\pi_\gamma(Q) = P$ . This leads us to consider the associated Selmer set

$$\text{Sel}^{(\pi)}(C/k) = \{\gamma \in H^1(k, \Gamma) : D_\gamma(k_v) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all completions } k_v \text{ of } k\}.$$

Since the map  $\gamma$  takes values in  $\text{Sel}^{(\pi)}(C/k)$ , we see that if the latter is empty then  $C(k)$  is empty too. In that case we say that  $C$  has a  $\pi$ -*cover obstruction* to having rational points:  $C$  has no rational points because a collection of covering varieties all have local obstructions.

---

Bruin acknowledges the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), funding reference number RGPIN-2018-04191.

*MSC2010:* primary 11G30, 14H30; secondary 11D41, 14H50.

*Keywords:* plane quartics, rational points, local-to-global obstructions, bitangents, descent obstructions, two-covers.

The proof of the Chevalley–Weil theorem [CW32] implies that  $\text{Sel}^{(\pi)}(C/k) \subset H^1(k, \Gamma; S)$ , where the latter denotes the classes that are unramified outside the set  $S$  of bad places for the cover  $\pi : D \rightarrow C$ . The set  $H^1(k, \Gamma; S)$  is finite and explicitly computable. This means that to compute  $\text{Sel}^{(\pi)}(C/k)$  one only needs to check the local solvability of finitely many  $D_\gamma$ . Hence,  $\text{Sel}^{(\pi)}(C/k)$  is explicitly computable, although not necessarily efficiently.

For hyperelliptic curves, there is a well-developed theory of *two-covers* in [BS09], where  $\Gamma = \text{Jac}_C[2]$ . Their associated Selmer sets are relatively practical to compute and, as is described there, many genus two curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  have no local obstruction, but can be shown to have  $\text{Sel}^{(2)}(C/\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ . In fact it has since been shown [BGW17] that in a precise way, *most* hyperelliptic curves have a two-cover obstruction.

Results beyond hyperelliptic curves are sparse. The general descent theory is available in [BPS16], which also provides some genus three examples, but in its full generality, the need to compute class group information of degree 28 extensions limits large-scale experiments significantly. There has also been some progress on creating an appropriate setting for arithmetic statistical techniques [Tho16] to two-descent on Jacobians of curves of genus three, but it is presently not clear how to generalize the Bhargava–Gross–Wang approach to this setting.

In this article we endeavour to start a more systematic study by considering plane quartics  $C$  with a restricted 2-level structure; in particular  $\text{Jac}_C[2](\mathbb{Q}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^6$ . This forces the 28 bitangents of  $C$  to be defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and has the computational and expository advantage that all required data can be expressed over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; no algebraic number theory is required.

**Remark 1.1.** For a hyperelliptic curve  $C$  of genus  $g$ , having  $\text{Jac}_C[2](k) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$  implies that all  $2g + 2$  Weierstrass points on  $C$  are rational, making two-cover descent rather uninteresting. In this sense, two-cover descent on plane quartics has simpler nontrivial applications than on hyperelliptic curves.

In Section 3 we review an explicit description of the moduli space of smooth plane quartics with labelled bitangents as the space of seven labelled points in general position in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . For small fields we prove:

**Proposition 1.2.** *For  $p = 3, 5, 7$ , there exist no nonsingular plane quartics over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  with all bitangents defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Over  $\mathbb{F}_9$ , there is only one isomorphism class, represented by the Fermat quartic*

$$C_9 : x^4 + y^4 + z^4 = 0, \text{ with } \#C_9(\mathbb{F}_9) = 28.$$

*Over  $\mathbb{F}_{11}$ , there is only one isomorphism class, represented by*

$$C_{11} : x^4 + y^4 + z^4 + x^2y^2 + x^2z^2 + y^2z^2 = 0, \text{ and } C_{11}(\mathbb{F}_{11}) = \emptyset.$$

In particular, a plane quartic  $C$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with rational bitangents has bad reduction at 3, 5, and 7. If it has good reduction at 11, then it has a local obstruction there. The curve  $C_9$  attains the maximum number of rational points for a genus three curve over  $\mathbb{F}_9$ . Its rational points are contacts of the 28 hyperflexes. Both  $C_9$  and  $C_{11}$  are reductions of the Klein quartic  $x^4 + y^4 + z^4 - \frac{3}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-7})(x^2y^2 + x^2z^2 + y^2z^2)$ .

Section 4 describes, given a smooth plane quartic  $C$  with rational bitangents, an explicit model for a two-cover  $\pi_\gamma : D_\gamma \rightarrow C$ , with  $\Gamma = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^6$  as a Galois-module. This directly establishes a description of two-covers and their twists, without appealing to étale cohomology.

In Section 5 we describe an algorithm to compute, with reasonable efficiency, sets

$$\mathrm{Sel}^{(2)}(C/k, N) \supset \mathrm{Sel}^{(2)}(C/k),$$

for integers  $N \geq 1$ , with equality holding for  $N \geq 66569$ , and, in practice, for much smaller values of  $N$  already.

In Section 6 we describe a numerical experiment, where we tabulate the behaviour of  $\mathrm{Sel}^{(2)}(C/\mathbb{Q})$  for various quartics  $C$ . We consider a systematic collection of 81070 moduli points with coordinates from  $\{-6, \dots, 6\}$ , as well as a collection of 70000 randomly selected points with coordinates from  $\{-40, \dots, 40\}$ .

**Observation 1.3.** For all curves  $C$  in our collections with  $\mathrm{Sel}^{(2)}(C/\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ , we can find a point  $P \in C(\mathbb{Q})$ .

This leaves the following question, which we fully expect to have an affirmative answer, but remains open for now.

**Question 1.4.** Is it possible to construct a smooth plane quartic  $C$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with rational bitangents such that  $\mathrm{Sel}^{(2)}(C/\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$  but  $C(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ ?

**Remark 1.5.** For a considerable number of curves in our collections we also get information on the 2-Selmer groups of their Jacobians. The data matches the distribution conjectured in [PR12, Conjecture 1.1] quite closely, but only after taking into account that the  $\mathrm{Jac}_C$ -torsor representing  $\mathrm{Pic}^1$  is very frequently everywhere locally trivial. Since nonhyperelliptic curves often have points everywhere locally, this phenomenon should be general: one should expect Jacobians to exhibit special arithmetic behaviour.

This work is based on the master's thesis [Lew19] of the second author.

## 2. Plane quartics and their bitangents

In this section we collect the classical combinatorics and geometry of bitangents and theta characteristics on nonhyperelliptic curves of genus three. See [Dol12, Chapter 6] or [GH04] for a more comprehensive modern treatment.

Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic different from 2 and let  $C$  be a curve of genus three over  $k$ . Then  $\mathrm{Jac}(C)[2]$  is a 0-dimensional separated group scheme of degree 64 and exponent 2, equipped with a nondegenerate alternating bilinear pairing. Indeed, the automorphism group of  $\mathrm{Jac}(C)[2]$  is  $\mathrm{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A *theta characteristic* on a curve  $C$  of genus  $g$  is a divisor class  $\theta \in \mathrm{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$  such that  $2\theta$  is the canonical class. The *parity* of  $\theta$  is determined by the parity of the dimension of the Riemann–Roch space  $H^0(C, \theta)$ .

It is a classical result [GH04, Proposition 1.11] that a curve of genus  $g$  has  $2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)$  even and  $2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)$  odd theta characteristics. For  $g = 3$  and  $C$  nonhyperelliptic it is easily checked that  $h^0(C, \theta) \leq 1$ , so the odd theta characteristics are exactly the ones that admit a (unique) effective representative.

The canonical model of a nonhyperelliptic genus three curve  $C$  is a quartic in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ :

$$C : f(x, y, z) = 0, \text{ with } f \in k[x, y, z] \text{ homogeneous of degree four.}$$

Since canonical classes are exactly line sections  $C \cdot l$ , we see there are 28 lines  $l$  such that  $C \cdot l = 2\theta$ , where  $\theta$  is a degree two effective divisor representing a theta characteristic: we recover the 28 bitangents of a smooth plane quartic. Fix for each bitangent line  $l$ , a linear form  $\ell$  describing the line.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $C$  be a smooth plane quartic. Then no seven distinct bitangents pass through a single point.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $l_1, \dots, l_7$  intersect in  $P_0$ . If  $P_0$  were to lie on  $C$  it would be singular, so it does not. Hence projecting away from  $P_0$  gives a degree four map  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . Since  $l_i \cdot C$  is a fibre of this projection, the ramification divisor has degree at least  $2 \cdot 7$ . But that exceeds the degree 12 given by the Riemann–Hurwitz formula.  $\square$

Let  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  be two odd theta-characteristics. Then  $2(\theta_1 - \theta_2) = \text{div}(\ell_1/\ell_2)$ , where we regard the quotient of linear forms as a rational function on  $C$ . We see that

$$[\theta_2 - \theta_1] \in \text{Pic}^0(C)[2].$$

As it turns out, all nonzero 2-torsion classes admit such a representative; in fact,  $\binom{28}{2}/63 = 6$  of them. We see that  $\theta_1 - \theta_2$  and  $\theta_3 - \theta_4$  are linearly equivalent precisely when  $\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_4$  is twice canonical. For bitangent forms, this leads to the following concept.

**Definition 2.3.** We say a quadruple of bitangent forms  $\mathfrak{q} = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_4\}$  is a *syzygetic quadruple* if their contact points with  $C$  lie on a conic. This means there are constants  $\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}, c_{\mathfrak{q}} \in k^*$  and a quadratic form  $Q_{\mathfrak{q}} \in k[x, y, z]$  such that

$$\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4 = \delta_{\mathfrak{q}} Q_{\mathfrak{q}}^2 + c_{\mathfrak{q}} f. \tag{2-1}$$

There are 315 syzygetic quadruples. We say a triple of bitangents is *syzygetic* if it is part of a syzygetic quadruple. If it is, then it is part of only one.

**Definition 2.4.** We say that a set of seven bitangent forms  $\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_7\}$  is an *Aronhold set* if none of its triples are syzygetic.

There are 288 Aronhold sets. For an Aronhold set, write  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_7\}$  for the corresponding theta characteristics. Then  $\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_7 - 3\kappa_C$  is again a theta characteristic: an even one. We see that each even theta characteristic has  $288/36 = 8$  Aronhold sets associated with it. Additionally, one can check that  $\{\theta_1 - \theta_7, \dots, \theta_6 - \theta_7\}$  forms a basis for  $\text{Pic}(C)[2]$ .

It follows that specifying a labelled Aronhold set on a smooth plane quartic amounts to marking a 2-level structure on its Jacobian. The converse holds too.

**Proposition 2.5** [GH04]. *The following two moduli spaces are naturally isomorphic:*

- *Nonhyperelliptic genus three curves with a labelled Aronhold set*
- *Nonhyperelliptic genus three curves with full 2-level structure.*

There is a unique conjugacy class  $\text{Sym}(8) \subset \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ . It is of length 36 and it corresponds to the stabilizer of an even theta characteristic. The action can be made explicit by labelling the bitangents by

$$\{\ell_{ij} = \ell_{\{i,j\}} : i \in \{0, \dots, 7\}, j \in \{i+1, \dots, 7\}\}, \quad (2-2)$$

with  $\text{Sym}(8)$  acting in the obvious way on the subscripts. This labelling can be chosen in such a way that the syzygetic quadruples come in two  $\text{Sym}(8)$ -orbits: one of length 210 and one of length 105, represented by, respectively,

$$\{\ell_{01}, \ell_{12}, \ell_{23}, \ell_{03}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\ell_{01}, \ell_{23}, \ell_{45}, \ell_{67}\}. \quad (2-3)$$

We see that for  $i = 0, \dots, 7$ , we have the Aronhold sets  $\{\ell_{ij} : j \neq i\}$ . We sometimes suppress  $i = 0$  in our indices, so  $\ell_{0j} = \ell_j$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_7$  be an Aronhold set of bitangent forms on a smooth plane quartic  $C : f(x, y, z) = 0$ . Then the square class of each of the other bitangents  $\ell_{ij}$  is determined in the sense that there is a constant  $\delta_{ij} \in k^\times$  and a cubic form  $g_{ij} \in k[x, y, z]$  such that*

$$\left( \prod_{n \notin \{i,j\}} \ell_n \right) \ell_{ij} \equiv \delta_{ij} g_{ij}^2 \pmod{fk[x, y, z]}.$$

*Proof.* To ease notation, set  $\{i, j\} = \{6, 7\}$ . By combining the syzygetic quadruples

$$\{\ell_1, \ell_{23}, \ell_{45}, \ell_{67}\}, \{\ell_2, \ell_7, \ell_{23}, \ell_{37}\}, \{\ell_4, \ell_7, \ell_{45}, \ell_{57}\}, \{\ell_3, \ell_5, \ell_{37}, \ell_{57}\},$$

we get that the left-hand side has a divisor with even multiplicities. The existence of  $g_{ij}$  follows from the projective normality of  $C$ .  $\square$

### 3. Generating plane quartics with rational bitangents

We use del Pezzo surfaces of degree two (see [Dol12, 6.3.3] or [GH04]) to describe a classical link between nonhyperelliptic genus three curves with 2-level structure and point configurations in the plane.

**Definition 3.1.** We say seven points  $p_1, \dots, p_7 \in \mathbb{P}^2$  lie in *general position* if no three are collinear and no six lie on a conic.

Given seven points  $p_1, \dots, p_7 \in \mathbb{P}^2$  in general position, we obtain a del Pezzo surface  $X$  of degree two by blowing up the seven points. In fact we obtain a labelling of the 56 exceptional curves on  $X$ :

- 7 exceptional components  $E'_i$  above the blown-up points  $p_i$ .

- 7 proper transforms  $E_i$  of cubics  $\tilde{E}_i$  through the seven points with a nodal singularity at  $p_i$ .
- 21 proper transforms  $E_{ij}$  of lines  $\tilde{E}_{ij}$  connecting  $p_i$  and  $p_j$ .
- 21 proper transforms  $E'_{ij}$  of conics  $\tilde{E}'_{ij}$  through  $\{p_1, \dots, p_7\} \setminus \{p_i, p_j\}$ .

A del Pezzo surface  $X$  of degree 2 comes equipped with a  $2 : 1$  map  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ , given by the anticanonical system  $|- \kappa_X|$  on  $X$ . The branch locus  $C$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is a smooth plane quartic.

If  $X$  is obtained as the blow-up of  $p_1, \dots, p_7 \in \mathbb{P}^2$  then there is an induced rational map  $\phi$  making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ \text{bl} \swarrow & & \searrow 2:1 \\ \mathbb{P}^2 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{P}^2 \end{array}$$

Let  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3$  generate the space of cubics passing through  $p_1, \dots, p_7$ . It is straightforward to check that the  $\text{bl}^* \phi_i$  generate  $|- \kappa_X|$ , so  $\phi = (\phi_1 : \phi_2 : \phi_3)$ . The branch locus of  $\phi$  is contained in the plane sextic curve

$$C' : \det \left( \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x_j} \right)_{ij} = 0 \quad (3-1)$$

and indeed,  $C = \phi(C')$  turns out to be a plane quartic.

Since  $\tilde{E}_i$  and  $\tilde{E}_{ij} \cup \tilde{E}'_{ij}$  are loci described by cubics in the span of  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3$ , they map to lines, whose defining forms we denote by  $\ell_i$  and  $\ell_{ij}$  respectively.

**Lemma 3.2.** *The labelling described above is compatible with (2-2), so  $\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_7\}$  is an Aronhold set and Definition 2.3 describes the syzygetic quadruples.*

*Proof.* The deeper reason is that the configuration of seven points in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  has the same moduli as seven points in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  by *association* of point sets [Cob22]. The sextic model  $C'$  actually arises as the projection from a linear system  $|\theta_{\text{even}} + \kappa_C|$  (see [GH04]), so the labelling is indeed directly linked to the choice of an even theta characteristic on  $C$ . However, it is also sufficient to just verify the statement for a particular case and then argue via connectedness of the moduli space.  $\square$

The construction above provides a very explicit description of the moduli space of nonhyperelliptic genus three curves with full 2-level structure. For explicitly parametrizing it, we lose no generality by setting  $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4$  to be the standard simplex and choosing  $p_5, p_6, p_7 = (u_1 : v_1 : 1), (u_2 : v_2 : 1), (u_3 : v_3 : 1)$ . General position means the  $3 \times 3$ , respectively  $6 \times 6$  minors of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & u_1^2 & u_2^2 & u_3^2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & v_1^2 & v_2^2 & v_3^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & u_1 v_1 & u_2 v_2 & u_3 v_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

do not vanish.



*Proof of Proposition 1.2.* With the description given above, it is a finite amount of work to check all the possibilities for  $p = 3, 5, 7, 11$ . For  $p = 3, 5, 7$  there are no 7 points over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  in general position (see also [BFL19, Proposition 4.4]). For  $\mathbb{F}_9$  there are 40 triples  $\{(u_1 : v_1 : 1), (u_2 : v_2 : 1), (u_3 : v_3 : 1)\}$  that complement the standard simplex to 7 points in general position. The construction (3-1) requires lifting to characteristic 0, but the rest of the construction remains valid. We find all resulting curves are isomorphic to  $C_9$ . For  $\mathbb{F}_{11}$  there are 1440 triples, all giving curves isomorphic to  $C_{11}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Two-covers of smooth plane quartics with rational bitangents

Let  $C : f(x, y, z) = 0$  be a smooth plane quartic with an Aronhold set  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_7$ . We adopt the notation of Proposition 2.6. For  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_7) \in (k^\times)^7$  we define the following curve in weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}[2^3, 1^{28}]$  with coordinates  $x, y, z$  of weight 2 and  $w_1, \dots, w_7, w_{12}, \dots, w_{67}$  of weight 1:

$$D'_\gamma : \begin{cases} f(x, y, z) = 0, \\ \ell_i(x, y, z) = \gamma_i w_i^2 & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, 7, \\ \ell_{ij}(x, y, z) = \delta_{ij} / (\prod_{n \neq i, j} \gamma_n) w_{ij}^2 & \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq 7, \\ g_{ij}(x, y, z) = w_{ij} \prod_{n \neq i, j} w_n & \text{for } 0 \leq i < j \leq 7. \end{cases}$$

Thanks to the relations from Proposition 2.6 we have a well-defined projection  $D'_\gamma \rightarrow C$ . In fact, from the sign changes on  $w_1, \dots, w_7$  we see that  $\text{Aut}(D'_\gamma/C) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^7$ . Furthermore, from the fact that the representation of the automorphism group on  $w_{12}, w_{23}, \dots, w_{67}, w_{17}$  is faithful and for any fibre of  $D'_\gamma \rightarrow C$  at most one of  $w_i$  or  $w_{ij}$  is zero, it follows the cover is unramified and that  $D'_\gamma$  is not geometrically connected. Indeed the involution on  $D'_\gamma$  that swaps the signs of all of  $w_1, \dots, w_7$  interchanges geometric components. We consider the projection  $\mathbb{P}[2^3, 1^{28}] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{27}$  away from the weight 2 part and consider the image  $D_\gamma$  of  $D'_\gamma$ .

Lemma 2.2 yields three linearly independent linear forms  $\ell_i, \ell_j, \ell_n$ , so that we can express  $x, y, z$  as linear forms in  $w_i^2, w_j^2, w_k^2$ . Eliminating  $x, y, z$  from the equations gives us  $D_\gamma$  as an intersection of an octic equation, 25 quadratic equations, and 28 sextic equations. Alternatively we derive quartic relations from the syzygetic quadruples and their described relations (see Definition 2.3).

We introduce notation for a group naturally isomorphic to  $(k^\times/k^{\times 2})^6$ , but presented in a way more natural for our purposes.

**Definition 4.1.** We define  $L'(2, k) \simeq (k^\times/k^{\times 2})^6$  by the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow (k^\times/k^{\times 2}) \xrightarrow{\text{diagonal}} (k^\times/k^{\times 2})^7 \rightarrow L'(2, k) \rightarrow 1$$

and we usually represent elements in  $L'(2, k)$  by  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_7) \in (k^\times)^7$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *The two-covers of  $C$  are exactly*

$$\{\pi_\gamma : D_\gamma \rightarrow C, \text{ where } \gamma \in L'(2, k)\}.$$

*Proof.* The projection of  $D_\gamma$  onto the coordinates  $(w_1 : \dots : w_7)$  gives a birational map to an intersection  $\tilde{D}_\gamma$  of four quadrics and an octic hypersurface. Its singular locus is the pull-back along  $\pi_\gamma$  of the contact locus of the bitangents  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_7$ . We see that  $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{D}_\gamma \rightarrow C$  is a finite rational cover of degree  $2^6$  and that  $\tilde{\pi}^*(\ell_i/\ell_7) = (\gamma_i/\gamma_7)(w_i/w_7)^2$ . This shows that a basis for  $\text{Pic}^0(C)[2]$  pulls back to principal divisors, and hence that  $\tilde{D}_\gamma$  is a birational model of a two-cover, and therefore so is  $D_\gamma$ . To see that  $D_\gamma$  is nonsingular, we use that for  $P \in D_\gamma(k^{\text{alg}})$  we can find an Aronhold set of bitangents that do not meet  $\pi_\gamma(P)$ .

In order to show that all 2-covers arise as  $D_\gamma$ , we observe that  $\text{Pic}(C/k)[2] = (\mu_2)^6$ , where we write  $\mu_2$  for the Galois module  $\{-1, 1\}$ . By the Kummer sequence we have

$$H^1(k, \text{Pic}(C/k)[2]) = (k^\times/k^{\times 2})^6 \simeq L'(2, k).$$

For  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)$  we define the cocycle

$$\xi_\gamma(\sigma) : (w_1 : \dots : w_7) \mapsto \left( \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_1}^\sigma}{\sqrt{\gamma_1}} w_1 : \dots : \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_7}^\sigma}{\sqrt{\gamma_7}} w_7 \right).$$

This gives an isomorphism  $L'(2, k) \simeq H^1(k, \text{Aut}(D_1/C)) \simeq H^1(k, \text{Pic}^0(C)[2])$ , and  $D_\gamma$  is the twist of  $D_1$  by the Galois cocycle  $\xi_\gamma$ .  $\square$

We define a partial map

$$\gamma : C(k) \dashrightarrow L'(2, k); \quad P \mapsto (\ell_1(P), \dots, \ell_7(P))$$

and extend it to a full map by observing that by Definition 2.3, for any syzygetic quadruple  $\mathfrak{q} = \{\ell_i, \ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c\}$  we have that

$$\ell_i(P) \equiv \delta_{\mathfrak{q}} \ell_a(P) \ell_b(P) \ell_c(P) \pmod{\text{squares}}$$

whenever both sides are nonzero, so if  $\ell_i(P) = 0$ , we assign the appropriate value by taking the right-hand side for a suitable quadruple  $\mathfrak{q}$ . We obtain:

**Proposition 4.3.** *The map  $\gamma : C(k) \rightarrow L'(2, k)$  assigns to  $P \in C(k)$  the cover  $D_{\gamma(P)}$  for which there is a point  $Q \in D_{\gamma(P)}(k)$  such that  $\pi_{\gamma(P)}(Q) = P$ .*

## 5. Selmer sets

We restrict to the case where  $k$  is a number field, but our method applies to any global field of characteristic different from 2. We write  $\mathcal{O}$  for its ring of integers,  $\Omega$  for the set of places of  $k$ , and  $k_v$  for the completion of  $k$  at  $v \in \Omega$ . For nonarchimedean  $v$  we write  $\mathcal{O}_v \subset k_v$  for its ring of integers,  $\mathfrak{p}_v$  for its maximal ideal, and  $\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v$  for its residue field.

The map  $\gamma$  from Proposition 4.3 and its local variant  $\gamma_v$  fit in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(k) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & L'(2, k) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_v \\ C(k_v) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_v} & L'(2, k_v). \end{array}$$

We define

$$\mathrm{Sel}^{(2)}(C/k) = \{\gamma \in L'(2, k) : \rho_v(\gamma) \in \boldsymbol{\gamma}_v(C(k_v)) \text{ for all } v \in \Omega_k\}.$$

Clearly we have  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(C(k)) \subset \mathrm{Sel}^{(2)}(C/k)$  and in particular, if  $\mathrm{Sel}^{(2)}(C/k) = \emptyset$  then  $C(k) = \emptyset$ .

Let us now fix an integral model  $C : f(x, y, z) = 0$  with  $f \in \mathcal{O}[x, y, z]$ , as well as 28 bitangent forms  $\ell_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}[x, y, z]$ . The *discriminant*  $D_{27}(f)$  of a quartic (see [GKZ08, Chapter 13, Proposition 1.7]) is an integer form of degree 27 in the coefficients of  $f$  that vanishes precisely when  $f$  describes a singular curve. Thus, if we take

$$S = \{v \in \Omega_k : \mathrm{ord}_v(2D_{27}(f)) > 0, \text{ or } \ell_{ij} \in \mathfrak{p}_v[x, y, z], \text{ or } v \text{ is archimedean}\}$$

then  $C$  has good reduction at all  $v$  not in  $S$ , meaning that the coefficient-wise reductions of  $f$  and  $\ell_{ij}$  describe a nonsingular plane quartic and its bitangents over  $\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v$ . We consider the *unramified part*

$$L'(2, k_v)^{\mathrm{unr}} = \{\gamma \in L'(2, k_v) : \mathrm{ord}_v(\gamma_i) \equiv \mathrm{ord}_v(\gamma_j) \pmod{2} \text{ for all } i, j\}.$$

**Proposition 5.1.** *If  $C/k_v$  has good reduction as a plane quartic and the residue characteristic of  $k_v$  is odd, then  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_v(C(k_v)) \subset L'(2, k_v)^{\mathrm{unr}}$ . If furthermore  $\#\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v \geq 66562$  then  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_v(C(k_v)) = L'(2, k_v)^{\mathrm{unr}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{C}$  be the reduction of  $C$ . Any point  $P \in C(k_v)$  reduces to a point  $\bar{P} \in \bar{C}(\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v)$ . Since the bitangents do not share contact points,  $\mathrm{ord}_v(\ell_i(P)) > 0$  for at most one  $i$ . Let  $\mathbf{q} = \{\ell_i, \ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c\}$  be a syzygetic quadruple. The good reduction properties imply  $\mathrm{ord}_v(\delta_{\mathbf{q}}) = 0$ , in the notation of Definition 2.3. We see  $\ell_i(P)\ell_a(P)\ell_b(P)\ell_c(P)$  must have even valuation, but that implies  $\mathrm{ord}_v(\ell_i(P))$  is even.

For the second part, we observe that for  $\gamma \in L'(2, k_v)^{\mathrm{unr}}$ , the curve  $D_\gamma$  has good reduction as well. This curve has genus 129 and, writing  $q = \#\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v$ , the Hasse–Weil bounds give

$$\#\bar{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v) \geq q + 1 - 2 \cdot 129\sqrt{q},$$

so if  $q \geq 66562$ , then there is a (necessarily smooth) point on  $\bar{D}_\gamma$ , so Hensel lifting gives a point in  $D_\gamma(k_v)$ . The image of that point on  $C$  maps to  $\gamma$ .  $\square$

We define

$$L'(2, k; S) = \{\gamma \in L'(2, k) : \rho_v(\gamma) \in L'(2, k_v)^{\mathrm{unr}} \text{ for all } v \in \Omega_k \setminus S\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}_S$  be the ring obtained by inverting the primes of the finite places in  $S$ . If  $\mathcal{O}_S$  has odd ideal class number then  $L'(2, k; S)$  is generated by  $(\mathcal{O}_S^\times/\mathcal{O}_S^{\times 2})^7$ , so it is a finite group. Note that by enlarging  $S$ , we can ensure that  $\mathcal{O}_S$  has odd class number.

It follows from Proposition 5.1 that  $\mathrm{Sel}^{(2)}(C/k) \subset L'(2, k; S)$ . Furthermore, if we set

$$T = S \cup \{v \in \Omega_k : \#\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v < 66562\},$$

then we obtain

$$\mathrm{Sel}^{(2)}(C/k) = \{\gamma \in L'(2, k; S) : \rho_v(\gamma) \in \boldsymbol{\gamma}_v(C(k_v)) \text{ for } v \in T\}. \quad (5-1)$$

Hence, if we can compute generators for  $\mathcal{O}_S^\times$ , which is a standard task in algebraic number theory, and compute  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_v(C(k_v))$  for finite and real  $v$ , then we can compute the Selmer set.

**5.1. Computing the local image for archimedean places.** For  $k_v = \mathbb{C}$  we have that  $\mathbb{C}^\times = \mathbb{C}^{\times 2}$  and  $C(\mathbb{C}) \neq \emptyset$ , so there is nothing to compute; the local image is the whole (trivial) group  $L'(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

For  $k = \mathbb{R}$  we have that  $\mathbb{R}^\times / \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$  is represented by  $\{\pm 1\}$ . Furthermore, a smooth plane quartic  $C/\mathbb{R}$  with all bitangents defined over  $\mathbb{R}$  has four components [GH81, Proposition 5.1], and the map  $\gamma : C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L'(2, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{F}_2^6$  is continuous and therefore constant on components. In order to find  $\gamma(C(\mathbb{R}))$  we only need to find points on each component and evaluate  $\gamma$  there. Each pair of components has four bitangents touching each, so these contact points must be real. The remaining four bitangents might have complex conjugate contact points. Each pair of components is separated by a bitangent, so  $\gamma$  actually takes different values on the components; we know that  $\#\gamma(C(\mathbb{R})) = 4$ .

Since we need to compute the bitangents anyway, we can use the real contact points to evaluate  $\gamma$ . Once we have found four different images, we know we have determined the entire image.

**5.2. Computing the local image for finite places.** In this section, we take  $k$  to be a local field with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}$ , uniformizer  $\pi$  with  $\mathfrak{p} = \pi\mathcal{O}$ , and a set  $D$  of representatives of  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$ .

We have  $k^\times \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathcal{O}^\times$ . The map  $\mu : k^\times \rightarrow k^\times / k^{\times 2} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathcal{O}^\times / \mathcal{O}^{\times 2})$  is constant on sets of the form  $x_0 + \mathfrak{p}^{\text{ord}(4)+1}$ , with  $x_0 \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ , as can easily be checked from the fact that Newton iteration for finding the roots of  $y^2 - x_0$  amounts to iterating the map  $y \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(y + \frac{x_0}{y})$ , which converges for  $y \in 1 + 2\mathfrak{p}$  if  $\text{ord}((x_0 - 1)/4) > 1$ .

We assume we have  $f, \ell_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}[x, y, z]$  representing a quartic curve  $C : f(x, y, z) = 0$  and its bitangents. Furthermore, we assume we have the  $\delta_q$  from Definition 2.3 for all syzygetic quadruples  $q$ , or at least the 210 that involve  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_7$ .

Note any  $P \in C(k)$  admits a representative of one of the forms  $(x_0 : y_0 : 1)$ ,  $(x_0 : 1 : \pi y_0)$ ,  $(1 : \pi x_0 : \pi y_0)$ , with  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{O}$ , so it is sufficient to restrict ourselves to  $\mathcal{O}$ -valued points on affine plane quartics.

We say a set of the form  $\mathcal{B} = (x_0 + \mathfrak{p}^e) \times (y_0 + \mathfrak{p}^e)$  is a *Hensel-liftable ball* for  $f(x, y) = 0$  if  $0 \in f(\mathcal{B})$  and  $(0, 0) \notin \nabla_{xy} f(\mathcal{B})$ , with  $\nabla_{xy}$  denoting the gradient. In that case, applying Newton iteration to any point in  $\mathcal{B}$  converges to an  $\mathcal{O}$ -valued point of  $f(x, y) = 0$ . It is a standard result that the  $\mathcal{O}$ -valued points on a nonsingular curve can be covered with finitely many Hensel-liftable balls (see Algorithm 2 in the Appendix).

In addition, we require that  $\gamma$  is constant on  $\mathcal{B} \cap C(k)$ . For this we use that the component  $\gamma_i(P)$  can be computed via either  $\mu(\ell_i(P))$  or, for a syzygetic quadruple  $q = \{\ell_i, \ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c\}$ , by  $\mu(\delta_q \ell_a(P) \ell_b(P) \ell_c(P))$ . Since bitangents do not share contact points, we see that for sufficiently small balls, at least one of the descriptions will be constant. We can then evaluate the map at a single representative. We start with a covering of Hensel-liftable balls and refine it as required. With Algorithm 3 (see the Appendix) we find

$$\gamma(C(k)) = \text{LOCALIMAGE}(f(x, y, 1)) \cup \text{LOCALIMAGE}(f(x, 1, \pi y)) \cup \text{LOCALIMAGE}(f(1, \pi x, \pi y)).$$

**Remark 5.2.** The additional condition that  $\gamma$  be constant on our Hensel-liftable balls  $\mathcal{B}$  is surprisingly easily satisfied. In experiments with  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}_p$ , including for  $p = 2$ , we find that refinement is only rarely required.

This happens because there are many syzygetic quadruples: each  $\ell_i$  is involved in 45. Hence, if  $P$  lies close to a zero of  $\ell_i$ , then there is likely a quadruple  $q$  such that  $P$  lies far away from the contact points of the other three bitangents.

This is in stark contrast with the hyperelliptic case, where the role of the bitangent contact points is played by the Weierstrass points. They are fewer in number, but there are also fewer relations between them, necessitating higher lifting.

**5.3. Overcoming combinatorial explosion.** If  $k$  is a number field, then we can compute  $L'(2, k; S)$  and the algorithms from Sections 5.1 and 5.2 allow us to compute the local images, so using (5-1) we can compute  $\text{Sel}^{(2)}(C/k)$ . However, as an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector space, we have  $\dim_2 L'(2, k; S) = 6(\#S)$ , and  $S$  tends to have considerable size. For instance, if  $k = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $C$  has points everywhere locally, then Proposition 1.2 yields that  $\{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \infty\} \subset S$ , so  $\#L'(\mathbb{Q}, 2; S) \geq 2^{36}$ . Consequently, the pointwise iteration over  $L'(k, 2; S)$  that (5-1) suggests, is usually practically infeasible. We use some linear algebra first.

We extend  $\gamma$  linearly to divisors, while also keeping track of the parity of the degree,

$$\tilde{\gamma} : \text{Div}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \times L'(2, k); \quad \tilde{\gamma}\left(\sum n_P P\right) = \left(\sum n_P, \prod \gamma(P)^{n_P}\right)$$

(see [BPS16, §6]). One finds that principal divisors lie in the kernel, so  $\tilde{\gamma}$  descends to a map on  $\text{Pic}(C/k)$ . We write  $W_v = \langle \tilde{\gamma}(C(k_v)) \rangle$  for the  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -span. We write  $W_v^0$  for the kernel of the projection  $W_v \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$  on the first coordinate, and  $W_v^1$  for its complement.

Given explicit representations for  $L'(2, k; S)$  and  $L'(2, k_v)$  as  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector spaces, it is easy to find a description of  $\tilde{\rho}_v : \mathbb{F}_2 \times L'(2, k; S) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \times L'(2, k_v)$  as a linear transformation. We immediately obtain

$$\text{Sel}^{(2)}(C/k) \subset W_C^1 := \bigcap_{v \in S} \tilde{\rho}_v^{-1}(W_v^1), \quad (5-2)$$

where the intersection on the right-hand side is easily computed as an affine subset using standard linear algebra tools, even if  $\#S \sim 100$ .

On  $\text{Pic}^0(C/k_v)$ , the kernel of  $\tilde{\gamma}_v$  is exactly  $2\text{Pic}^0(C/k_v)$ . Furthermore, with the presence of a point  $P_0 \in C(k_v)$  we have that  $\text{Pic}^0(C/k_v) = \text{Jac}_C(k_v)$ , and since the latter is a compact  $k_v$ -Lie group we have

$$\#(\text{Jac}_C(k_v)/2\text{Jac}_C(k_v)) = (\#\text{Jac}_C[2](k_v))/|2|_v^3, \quad (5-3)$$

where we normalize

$$|2|_v = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } v \text{ is a real place,} \\ 4 & \text{if } v \text{ is a complex place,} \\ (\#\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v)^{-\text{ord}_v(2)} & \text{if } v \text{ is a finite place.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Suppose  $C$  is defined over a completion  $\mathbb{Q}_v$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $\{P_0, \dots, P_r\} \subset C(\mathbb{Q}_v)$  are such that*

$$\dim_2 \langle \gamma_v(P_i) - \gamma_v(P_0) : i = 1, \dots, r \rangle = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } \mathbb{Q}_v = \mathbb{R}, \\ 9 & \text{if } \mathbb{Q}_v = \mathbb{Q}_2, \\ 6 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

*then  $\tilde{\gamma}_v(\text{Pic}^0(C/\mathbb{Q}_v)) = W_v^0$  and  $W_v = \langle \tilde{\gamma}(P_0), \dots, \tilde{\gamma}(P_r) \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $\#\text{Jac}_C[2](\mathbb{Q}_v) = 64$ , so the dimension bound is just (5-3). Thus the condition is that the divisor classes  $[P_1 - P_0], \dots, [P_r - P_0]$  generate  $\text{Pic}^0(C/\mathbb{Q}_v)/2\text{Pic}^0(C/\mathbb{Q}_v)$ . The second statement follows simply from  $W_v = W_v^0 + \tilde{\gamma}(P_0)$ .  $\square$

This lemma provides us in many cases with a way to compute  $W_v$  directly and quickly. An alternative is to determine  $\tilde{\gamma}_v(C(k_v))$  using the algorithm sketched in Section 5.2. This has a complexity proportional to the size of the residue field  $\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v$ , which is rather bad.

In many cases the  $k_v$ -valued contact points of the bitangents are already sufficient to generate  $W_v$ . In fact for real places this is always the case by the argument in Section 5.1.

It may be the case that  $\text{Pic}^0(C/k_v)/2\text{Pic}^0(C/k_v)$  really does need divisors with higher degree places in their support. In that case, if the residue field is small enough, we can compute  $W_v$  via Section 5.2 or we can search for these higher degree places and use

$$\langle \tilde{\gamma}_v(P_0) \rangle + \tilde{\gamma}_v(\text{Pic}^0(C/k_v))$$

as an upper bound for  $W_v$  in (5-2).

**Remark 5.4.** If Lemma 5.3 applies to all  $v \in S$  then we compute the 2-Selmer group of  $\text{Jac}_C$  as well, via

$$\text{Sel}^{(2)}(\text{Jac}_C/\mathbb{Q}) = \bigcap_{v \in S} \tilde{\rho}_v^{-1}(W_v^0),$$

and in any case the right-hand side gives a subgroup of the Selmer group, so we get a lower bound in all cases. See Section 6.2.

**5.4. Information at good primes.** Let  $k_v$  be a local field of odd residue characteristic, with  $q = \#(\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v)$ . Then

$$\#L'(2, k_v)^{\text{unr}} = 64.$$

If  $C/k_v$  has good reduction  $\bar{C}$ , then  $\gamma_v(P)$  is already determined by the reduction of  $P$ , so using the Hasse–Weil bounds, we obtain

$$\#\gamma_v(C(k_v)) \leq \#\bar{C}(\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v) \leq q + 1 + 6\sqrt{q}.$$

If  $q \leq 29$  then  $\gamma_v(C(k_v)) \subsetneq L'(2, k_v)^{\text{unr}}$ , and even if  $q$  is larger, it is quite likely that the local image is not the entire unramified set. Hence, for small residue class field, many of the two-covers  $D_\gamma$  fail to have points locally, even at primes of good reduction. We see that in the intersection (5-1), the primes of small norm actually impose significant conditions.

Because computing local images for primes of larger norm is expensive, we define a more easily computed set that contains  $\text{Sel}^{(2)}(C/k)$ , by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sel}^{(2)}(C/k; N) = \{ \gamma \in L'(2, k; S) : \\ (1, \gamma) \in W_C^1 \text{ for } v \in S \text{ and } \rho_v(\gamma) \in \gamma_v(C(k_v)) \text{ for } v \text{ such that } \#(\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v) \leq N \}. \end{aligned}$$

We compute this set using Algorithm 1. If the resulting set is empty, then  $C(k)$  is empty.

**Algorithm 1:** TwoCoverDescent

---

**Input:** Quartic  $f \in \mathcal{O}[x, y, z]$  describing a nonsingular plane quartic  $C$  with bitangent forms  $\{\ell_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}[x, y, z] : 0 \leq i < j \leq 7\}$  and the  $\delta_q$  according to Definition 2.3, and a norm bound  $N$

**Output:**  $\text{Sel}^{(2)}(C/k; N)$

```

1  $S \leftarrow \{v \in \Omega_k : \text{ord}_v(2D_{27}(f)) > 0, \text{ or } \ell_{ij} \in \mathfrak{p}_v[x, y, z], \text{ or } v \text{ is archimedean}\}$ 
2  $W \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \times L'(2, k; S)$ 
3 for  $v \in S$ :
4    $\mathcal{P} \leftarrow \{\tilde{\gamma}_v(P) \in C(k_v) : \ell_{ij}(P) = 0 \text{ for some } i, j\}$ 
5   if  $\dim_2\langle P - Q : P, Q \in \mathcal{P} \rangle$  equals the bound in Lemma 5.3:
6      $W_v \leftarrow \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$ 
7   else:
8      $W_v \leftarrow \langle \tilde{\gamma}_v(C(k_v)) \rangle$  as computed in Sections 5.1 and 5.2
9    $W \leftarrow W \cap \rho_v^{-1}(W_v)$ 
10  $W^1 \leftarrow \{w \in W : w_1 = 1\}$ , where  $w_1$  is the image of  $w$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2$  from line 2
11 for  $v \in \Omega_k : v \text{ is finite and } \#(\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v) \leq N$ :
12    $W^1 \leftarrow \{w \in W^1 : \tilde{\rho}_v(w) \in \tilde{\gamma}_v(C(k_v))\}$ 
13 return  $W$ 
```

---

**6. Results**

We implemented Algorithm 1 for  $k = \mathbb{Q}$  in Magma and tested it on two sample sets:

**A.** Curves parameterized by

$$\{(u_1, \dots, v_3) \in \{-6, \dots, 6\} : u_1 < u_2 < u_3 \text{ and } u_1 < v_1\}.$$

The inequalities normalize some of the permutations possible on the points that lead to isomorphic curves. We found 81070 configurations in general position. However, because of the small values of the coefficients, there are many configurations with extra symmetries, so we find many isomorphic curves in the configurations. We find 33471 distinct values for  $D_{27}$ , indicating that the collection contains many nonisomorphic curves as well.

**B.** 70000 curves with  $u_1, \dots, v_3$  chosen uniformly randomly from  $\{-40, \dots, 40\}$ , while discarding configurations not in general position. We originally found two quartics with matching  $D_{27}$ . Their configurations differed by a permutation, so the curves were isomorphic. We replaced one of them.

In each case, we used Magma's `MinimizeReducePlaneQuartic` to find a nicer plane model, with smaller discriminant. Since isomorphisms change  $D_{27}$  by a 27-th power, it is easy to tell from discriminants when curves are not isomorphic.

Typical examples take less than 2 seconds to execute, with the quartic reduction step being one of the more expensive and less predictable steps. Occasional anomalies arise, where computation of a local image at a large prime is required. The whole experiment represents about 126 CPU hours of work.

	$C(\mathbb{Q}_v) = \emptyset$	$\text{Sel}^{(2)}(C/\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$	rational bitangent contact point	other rational point	total
<b>A</b>	3654 4.5%	42477 52%	34025 42%	4568 5.6%	81070 100%
<b>B</b>	521 0.7%	63926 91%	4830 6.9%	1244 1.8%	70000 100%

**Table 6.1.** Two-cover descent results

**Example 6.1.** As a small, typical, example, take

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 17 & -7 & -9 \\ 35 & 3 & 9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We find

$$C : 9x^4 - 60x^3y + 357x^2y^2 + 246xy^3 + 16y^4 - 42x^3z + 259x^2yz - 168xy^2z \\ - 141y^3z + 31x^2z^2 - 492xyz^2 + 207y^2z^2 + 42xz^3 - 27yz^3 + 9z^4 = 0$$

and  $D_{27}(C) = 2^{34} \cdot 3^{20} \cdot 5^{10} \cdot 7^8 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13^6 \cdot 17^4 \cdot 19^4 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 37^2 \cdot 41^2$ . The curve  $C$  has points everywhere locally. We have  $\dim_2 L'(2, \mathbb{Q}; S) = 72$  and  $W_C = \bigcap_{v \in S} \tilde{\rho}_v^{-1}(W_v)$  has  $\dim_2 W_C = 10$ . We find that  $W_C^1$  is nonempty, so it has  $2^9$  elements. Computing

$$W_{C,T}^1 = \{w \in W_C^1 : \tilde{\rho}_v(w) \in \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_v(C(k_v)) \text{ for } v \in T\}$$

is quite doable, for various sets  $T$ . We conclude that  $C(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$  from, for example,

$$\text{Sel}^{(2)}(C/\mathbb{Q}) \subset W_{C,T}^1 = \emptyset \text{ for } T = \{2, 3, 5\} \text{ or } \{31, 43, 47, 53, 71, 83\}.$$

Furthermore, from the data computed we can conclude that

$$\dim_2 \text{Sel}^{(2)}(\text{Jac}_C/\mathbb{Q}) = \dim_2 W_C^0 = 9,$$

so either  $\text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{Q})$  has free rank 3 or  $\text{III}(\text{Jac}_C/\mathbb{Q})[2]$  is nontrivial.

**6.1. Results of two-cover descent.** We executed Algorithm 1 on our samples, with  $N = 50$ . This allowed us to determine the existence of rational points on each of the curves. We summarize our findings in Table 6.1.

When  $\text{Sel}^{(2)}(C/\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$  and  $C$  has no rational bitangent contact points (possibly a hyperflex), we search for a low-height nonsingular point using `PointSearch` on either the sextic model (3-1) or the plane quartic model we construct from it. These are the curves reported in the “other rational point” column. For two curves we needed to search up to a height bound of  $10^7$ .

Another interesting fact is that local obstructions are quite rare (having a local obstruction implies  $\text{Sel}^{(2)}(C/\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ ). Furthermore we only found  $C(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \emptyset$  for  $p = 2, 11, 23$ , and only when  $C$  has good reduction at those places. Proposition 1.2 gives a partial explanation of this fact. This is quite contrary to the case of hyperelliptic curves, where local obstructions do tend to occur at primes of bad reduction.



	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
<b>A</b>	0.05%	18.7%	39.4%	29.1%	10.1%	2.28%	0.29%	0.006%	( $n = 31990$ )
<b>B</b>	0	20.2%	41.8%	27.9%	8.71%	1.27%	0.10%	0.006%	( $n = 51685$ )

**Table 6.2.** Distribution of  $\dim_2 \text{Sel}^{(2)}(\text{Jac}_C / \mathbb{Q})$  where our data allowed its computation

## 6.2. Information on rank and III. We have

$$\text{Sel}^{(2)}(\text{Jac}_C / \mathbb{Q}) = L'(\mathbb{Q}, 2; S) \cap \bigcap_{v \in S} \rho_v^{-1} \gamma_v(\text{Pic}^0(C/\mathbb{Q}_v)).$$

Lemma 5.3 gives a condition for when the sets on the right-hand side are generated by differences of degree 1 points. For a reasonable proportion of our curves, our data allows us to compute  $\text{Sel}^{(2)}(\text{Jac}_C / \mathbb{Q})$ . We list the results in Table 6.2. In the rest of this section, we only consider these examples.

With  $\text{Jac}_C[2](\mathbb{Q}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^6$ , we must have that the Selmer rank is at least 6, but as one can see, the distribution has an average significantly higher than that. Part of that is explained by the fact that  $C$ , and hence the class  $J^1 \in H^1(k, \text{Jac}_C)$  representing  $\text{Pic}^1(C/\mathbb{Q})$ , is trivial everywhere locally. Since  $C$  has quadratic points, we can pull the class back under the homomorphism

$$\text{Sel}^{(2)}(\text{Jac}_C / \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^1(k, \text{Jac}_C)[2]$$

and the preimage is likely independent of the image of  $\text{Jac}_C[2](\mathbb{Q})$ .

If  $W_C^1 = \emptyset$  in (5-2) then it follows by [Cre20, Theorem 5.3] that  $J^1$  is not divisible by two in  $\text{III}(\text{Jac}_C / \mathbb{Q})$ , and therefore is nontrivial. This happens in about half the examples.

Once we take into account that we expect that

$$\dim_2 \text{Sel}^{(2)}(\text{Jac}_C / \mathbb{Q}) \geq 7,$$

we find that the distributions in Table 6.2, particularly for collection B, match [PR12, Conjecture 1.1] rather well. This does require us to account for the fact that  $J^1$  almost always has points everywhere locally.

Generally, nonhyperelliptic curves tend to have points everywhere locally. Therefore, one actually should expect that Selmer groups of Jacobians of curves behave a little differently from those of general abelian varieties, because they tend to come equipped with an everywhere locally trivial torsor.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Michael Stoll for interesting discussions and suggestions on how to interpret the rank results in light of [PR12], and an anonymous referee for helpful comments.

## Appendix: Local algorithms

We use the notation from Section 5.2. The algorithms here are in the spirit of [Bru06, §5; BS09, §4].

---

**Algorithm 2:** HENSELBALLS

---

**Input:**  $f \in \mathcal{O}[x, y]$ , describing a smooth curve**Output:** A finite set  $\{(x_t, y_t, e_t)\}_t$  of Hensel-liftable balls covering the  $\mathcal{O}$ -valued solutions of  $f(x, y) = 0$ 

```

1 for  $(x_0, y_0) \in \{(x_0, y_0) \in D^2 : f(x_0, y_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ :
2    $R \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
3   if  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  or  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ :
4      $R \leftarrow R \cup \{(x_0, y_0, 1)\}$ 
5   else:
6      $g \leftarrow f(x_0 + \pi x, y_0 + \pi y)$ 
7      $T \leftarrow \text{HENSELBALLS}(g/\text{content}(g))$ 
8      $R \leftarrow R \cup \{(x_0 + \pi x_1, y_0 + \pi y_1, e + 1) : (x_1, y_1, e) \in T\}$ 
9 return  $R$ 

```

---



---

**Algorithm 3:** LOCALIMAGE

---

**Input:**  $f \in \mathcal{O}[x, y]$  describing a smooth plane quartic, together with its bitangent forms $\{\ell_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}[x, y] : 0 \leq i < j \leq 7\}$  and syzygetic data  $\delta_q$  as in Definition 2.3**Output:** Local image of  $\gamma_v$  on the given affine patch

```

1 Denote the mod-squares map by  $\mu : \mathcal{O} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow k^\times / k^{\times 2}$ 
2  $T \leftarrow \text{HENSELBALLS}(f)$ 
3  $R \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
4 while  $T \neq \emptyset$ :
5   Take  $(x_0, y_0, e)$  from  $T$ 
6    $L \leftarrow [\ell_{ij}(x_0, y_0) : 0 \leq i < j \leq 7]$ 
7   for  $i = 1, \dots, 7$ :
8     if  $\text{ord}(L_i) < e - \text{ord}(4)$ :
9        $\gamma_i \leftarrow \mu(L_i)$ 
10    else if there is a syzygetic quadruple  $\mathfrak{q} = \{\ell_i, \ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c\}$  such that
         $\max(\text{ord}(\ell_a(x_0, y_0)), \text{ord}(\ell_b(x_0, y_0)), \text{ord}(\ell_c(x_0, y_0))) < e - \text{ord}(4)$ :
11       $\gamma_i \leftarrow \mu(\delta_q \ell_a(x_0, y_0) \ell_b(x_0, y_0) \ell_c(x_0, y_0))$ 
12    else: /* we refine the covering */
13       $g \leftarrow f(x_0 + \pi^e x, y_0 + \pi^e y)$ 
14       $h \leftarrow g/\text{content}(g)$  /*  $h \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  will be linear */
15      for  $(x_1, y_1) \in \{(x_1, y_1) \in D^2 : h(x_1, y_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ :
16         $T \leftarrow T \cup (x_0 + \pi^e x_1, y_0 + \pi^e y_1, e + 1)$ 
17      break to while
18   Add  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_7)$  to  $R$ 
19 return  $R$ .

```

---

## References

- [BFL19] Barinder Banwait, Francesc Fité, and Daniel Loughran, *Del pezzo surfaces over finite fields and their frobenius traces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **167** (2019), no. 1, 35–60.
- [BGW17] Manjul Bhargava, Benedict H. Gross, and Xiaoheng Wang, *A positive proportion of locally soluble hyperelliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  have no point over any odd degree extension*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (2017), no. 2, 451–493.
- [BPS16] Nils Bruin, Bjorn Poonen, and Michael Stoll, *Generalized explicit descent and its application to curves of genus 3*, Forum Math. Sigma **4** (2016), e6, 80.
- [Bru06] Nils Bruin, *Some ternary diophantine equations of signature  $(n, n, 2)$* , pp. 63–91 in *Discovering mathematics with Magma*, Algorithms Comput. Math. **19**, Springer, Berlin (2006).
- [BS09] Nils Bruin and Michael Stoll, *Two-cover descent on hyperelliptic curves*, Math. Comp. **78** (2009), no. 268, 2347–2370.
- [Cob22] Arthur B. Coble, *Associated sets of points*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1922), no. 1, 1–20.
- [Cre20] Brendan Creutz, *Generalized jacobians and explicit descents*, Math. Comp. **89** (2020), no. 323, 1365–1394.
- [CW32] C. Chevalley and A. Weil, *Un théorème d’arithmétique sur les courbes algébriques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **195** (1932), 570–572.
- [Dol12] Igor V. Dolgachev, *Classical algebraic geometry: a modern view*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [GH81] Benedict H. Gross and Joe Harris, *Real algebraic curves*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **14** (1981), no. 2, 157–182.
- [GH04] Benedict H. Gross and Joe Harris, *On some geometric constructions related to theta characteristics*, pp. 279–311 in *Contributions to automorphic forms, geometry, and number theory*, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 2004.
- [GKZ08] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapranov, and A. V. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2008, reprint of the 1994 edition.
- [Lew19] Daniel Lewis, *An implementation of two-cover descent on plane quartic curves*, M.Sc. thesis, Simon Fraser University, 2019.
- [Mil80] James S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*, Princeton Mathematical Series **33**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.
- [PR12] Bjorn Poonen and Eric Rains, *Random maximal isotropic subspaces and selmer groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (2012), no. 1, 245–269.
- [Tho16] Jack A. Thorne, *Arithmetic invariant theory and 2-descent for plane quartic curves*, Algebra Number Theory **10** (2016), no. 7, 1373–1413.

Received 28 Feb 2020.

NILS BRUIN: [nbruin@cecm.sfu.ca](mailto:nbruin@cecm.sfu.ca)

Department of Mathematics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby BC, Canada

DANIEL LEWIS: [dlewis3@math.arizona.edu](mailto:dlewis3@math.arizona.edu)

Department of Mathematics, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, United States



VOLUME EDITORS

Stephen D. Galbraith  
Mathematics Department  
University of Auckland  
New Zealand  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7114-8377>

---

The cover image is based on an illustration from the article “Supersingular curves with small noninteger endomorphisms”, by Jonathan Love and Dan Boneh (see p. 9).

The contents of this work are copyrighted by MSP or the respective authors. All rights reserved.

Electronic copies can be obtained free of charge from <http://msp.org/obs/4> and printed copies can be ordered from MSP ([contact@msp.org](mailto:contact@msp.org)).

The Open Book Series is a trademark of Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

ISSN: 2329-9061 (print), 2329-907X (electronic)

ISBN: 978-1-935107-07-1 (print), 978-1-935107-08-8 (electronic)

First published 2020.

---



**MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES PUBLISHERS**

798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley CA 94720-3840  
[contact@msp.org](mailto:contact@msp.org) <http://msp.org>

## Fourteenth Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium

The Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium (ANTS), held biennially since 1994, is the premier international forum for research in computational and algorithmic number theory. ANTS is devoted to algorithmic aspects of number theory, including elementary, algebraic, and analytic number theory, the geometry of numbers, arithmetic algebraic geometry, the theory of finite fields, and cryptography.

This volume is the proceedings of the fourteenth ANTS meeting, which took place 29 June to 4 July 2020 via video conference, the plans for holding it at the University of Auckland, New Zealand, having been disrupted by the COVID-19 pandemic. The volume contains revised and edited versions of 24 refereed papers and one invited paper presented at the conference.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Commitment schemes and diophantine equations — José Felipe Voloch	1
Supersingular curves with small noninteger endomorphisms — Jonathan Love and Dan Boneh	7
Cubic post-critically finite polynomials defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ — Jacqueline Anderson, Michelle Manes and Bella Tobin	23
Faster computation of isogenies of large prime degree — Daniel J. Bernstein, Luca De Feo, Antonin Leroux and Benjamin Smith	39
On the security of the multivariate ring learning with errors problem — Carl Bootland, Wouter Castryck and Frederik Vercauteren	57
Two-cover descent on plane quartics with rational bitangents — Nils Bruin and Daniel Lewis	73
Abelian surfaces with fixed 3-torsion — Frank Calegari, Shiva Chidambaram and David P. Roberts	91
Lifting low-gonal curves for use in Tuitman's algorithm — Wouter Castryck and Floris Vermeulen	109
Simultaneous diagonalization of incomplete matrices and applications — Jean-Sébastien Coron, Luca Notarnicola and Gabor Wiese	127
Hypergeometric $L$ -functions in average polynomial time — Edgar Costa, Kiran S. Kedlaya and David Roe	143
Genus 3 hyperelliptic curves with CM via Shimura reciprocity — Bogdan Adrian Dina and Sorina Ionica	161
A canonical form for positive definite matrices — Mathieu Dutour Sikirić, Anna Haensch, John Voight and Wessel P.J. van Woerden	179
Computing Igusa's local zeta function of univariates in deterministic polynomial-time — Ashish Dwivedi and Nitin Saxena	197
Computing endomorphism rings of supersingular elliptic curves and connections to path-finding in isogeny graphs — Kirsten Eisenträger, Sean Hallgren, Chris Leonardi, Travis Morrison and Jennifer Park	215
New rank records for elliptic curves having rational torsion — Noam D. Elkies and Zev Klagsbrun	233
The nearest-colattice algorithm: Time-approximation tradeoff for approx-CVP — Thomas Espitau and Paul Kirchner	251
Cryptanalysis of the generalised Legendre pseudorandom function — Novak Kaluđerović, Thorsten Kleinjung and Dušan Kostić	267
Counting Richelot isogenies between superspecial abelian surfaces — Toshiyuki Katsura and Katsuyuki Takashima	283
Algorithms to enumerate superspecial Howe curves of genus 4 — Momonari Kudo, Shushi Harashita and Everett W. Howe	301
Divisor class group arithmetic on $C_{3,4}$ curves — Evan MacNeil, Michael J. Jacobson Jr. and Renate Scheidler	317
Reductions between short vector problems and simultaneous approximation — Daniel E. Martin	335
Computation of paramodular forms — Gustavo Rama and Gonzalo Tornaría	353
An algorithm and estimates for the Erdős–Selfridge function — Brianna Sorenson, Jonathan Sorenson and Jonathan Webster	371
Totally $p$ -adic numbers of degree 3 — Emerald Stacy	387
Counting points on superelliptic curves in average polynomial time — Andrew V. Sutherland	403