

PURE and APPLIE

SEMICLASSICAL RESOLVENT ESTIMATES FOR BOUNDED POTENTIALS



vol. 1 no. 1 2019





### SEMICLASSICAL RESOLVENT ESTIMATES FOR BOUNDED POTENTIALS

FRÉDÉRIC KLOPP AND MARTIN VOGEL

We study the cut-off resolvent of semiclassical Schrödinger operators on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with bounded compactly supported potentials *V*. We prove that for real energies  $\lambda^2$  in a compact interval in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and for any smooth cut-off function  $\chi$  supported in a ball near the support of the potential *V*, for some constant *C* > 0, one has

$$\|\chi (-h^2 \Delta + V - \lambda^2)^{-1} \chi\|_{L^2 \to H^1} \le C \, \mathrm{e}^{Ch^{-4/3} \log 1/h}$$

This bound shows in particular an upper bound on the imaginary parts of the resonances  $\lambda$ , defined as a pole of the meromorphic continuation of the resolvent  $(-h^2\Delta + V - \lambda^2)^{-1}$  as an operator  $L^2_{\text{comp}} \rightarrow H^2_{\text{loc}}$ : any resonance  $\lambda$  with real part in a compact interval away from 0 has imaginary part at most

Im 
$$\lambda < -C^{-1} e^{Ch^{-4/3} \log 1/h}$$
.

This is related to a conjecture by Landis: The principal Carleman estimate in our proof provides as well a lower bound on the decay rate of  $L^2$  solutions u to  $-\Delta u = Vu$  with  $0 \neq V \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We show that there exists a constant M > 0 such that for any such u, for R > 0 sufficiently large, one has

$$\int_{B(0,R+1)\setminus \overline{B(0,R)}} |u(x)|^2 dx \ge M^{-1} R^{-4/3} \mathrm{e}^{-M \|V\|_{\infty}^{2/3} R^{4/3}} \|u\|_2^2.$$

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Meromorphic continuation of the resolvent	6
3.	Resolvent estimate	8
4.	Resonance free region	19
5.	Decay of eigenfunctions of Schrödinger operators with bounded potentials	22
References		24

#### 1. Introduction

In quantum mechanics the study of scattering systems naturally leads to the study of *quantum resonances* or *scattering poles*, which can be defined as the complex-valued poles of the meromorphic continuation of the scattering matrix or of the resolvent of the Hamiltonian into the "nonphysical sheet" of the complex plane. They can also be seen as a generalization of eigenvalues of a bounded system in which energy can scatter to infinity. A typical associated resonance state has then a rate of oscillation and a rate of decay or "inverse life-time" which can be associated to the imaginary part of the resonance. In wave scattering for instance, one can describe the long-time dynamics of a wave, scattered on an obstacle or a potential, via

MSC2010: 35J10, 35P25, 47F05.

Keywords: spectral theory, resolvent estimates, resonances, semiclassical analysis.

the resonances and the associated resonant states. It is then the resonances closest to real axis, i.e., those with the longest "life-time", whose contribution in the scattered wave "survives" the longest. Therefore, the study of the resonances close to the real axis is in some sense the most pertinent one.

We consider the semiclassical Schrödinger operator on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$P_V \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -h^2 \Delta + V, \tag{1-1}$$

where  $h \in (0, 1]$  denotes the semiclassical parameter and the potential  $V \in L^{\infty}_{comp}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$  is real-valued with compact support. The potential V(x) = V(x; h) may depend on h > 0. However, in this case we suppose that

$$\|V\|_{\infty} = C_V < +\infty \tag{1-2}$$

and that the support of *V* is contained in the ball  $B(0, R_0) \Subset \mathbb{R}^d$  of radius  $R_0 > 0$ , with both constants  $C_V > 0$  and  $R_0 > 0$  independent of h > 0.

1.1. Resolvent bounds. We prove the following resolvent estimate:

**Theorem 1.** Let I be a compact interval in  $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ . Let  $R > R_0$  and assume that the dimension  $d \ge 2$ . Then there exists constants C > 0 and  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  so that for all  $0 < h \le h_0$ , all  $v \in L^2_{comp}(B(0, R))$  and all  $\lambda \in I$ 

$$\|(P_V - \lambda^2)^{-1}v\|_{H^1(B(0,R))} \le C e^{Ch^{-4/3}\log 1/h} \|v\|_2.$$
(1-3)

In dimension d = 1 a stronger result is known: there we have that

$$\|(P_V - \lambda^2)^{-1}v\|_{H^1(B(0,R))} \le C e^{Ch^{-1}} \|v\|_2;$$
(1-4)

see for instance the proof in [22, Theorem 2.29]. From our proof of Theorem 1 in dimension  $d \ge 2$  we get actually that the statement holds when we replace  $H^1(B(0, R))$  on the left-hand side of (1-3) by  $H^1(B(0, Rh^{-1/3}))$  for any R > 0.

Equivalently, we can formulate the statement of Theorem 1 as an estimate on the cut-off resolvent. More precisely, we have for any  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $0 \le \chi \le 1$  and  $\chi \equiv 1$  near  $B(0, R_0)$  that there exist constants C > 0 and  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  such that

$$\|\chi (P_V - \lambda^2)^{-1} \chi\|_{L^2 \to H^1} \le C \, \mathrm{e}^{Ch^{-4/3} \log 1/h}. \tag{1-5}$$

Shapiro [17] obtained independently from our work a quantitative limiting absorption principle for  $P_V$ , with  $V \in L^{\infty}_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$ , in dimension  $d \ge 1$ . Shapiro proved that for fixed positive energy E > 0 and  $s > \frac{1}{2}$  one has for h > 0 small enough and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  that

$$\|\langle |x|\rangle^{-s} (P_V - E - i\varepsilon)^{-1} \langle |x|\rangle^{-s} \|_{L^2 \to H^2} \le e^{Ch^{-4/3} \log h^{-1}},$$
(1-6)

for some constant C > 0 depending only on the  $L^{\infty}$  norm of V, the energy E, the dimension d, and s.

For any other resolvent estimates so far, one assumed at least that not only V but also the radial derivative  $\partial_r V$  are bounded: Datchev [7] proved a quantitative limiting absorption principal in dimension

 $d \neq 2$  for  $L^{\infty}$  potentials V with radial derivative  $\partial_r V \in L^{\infty}$  satisfying the decay conditions  $V \leq \langle r \rangle^{-\delta_0}$ and  $\partial_r V \leq \langle r \rangle^{-1-\delta_0}$ , i.e.,

$$\|\langle |x|\rangle^{-s} (P_V - E - i\varepsilon)^{-1} \langle |x|\rangle^{-s} \|_{L^2 \to L^2} \le e^{C_1 h^{-1}},$$
(1-7)

for E > 0, any  $s > \frac{1}{2}$ , h > 0 small enough, and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In dimension d = 2 Shapiro [16] proved (1-7) replacing the above assumptions on  $\partial_r V$  with  $\nabla V \in L^{\infty}$  and  $|\nabla V| \leq \langle r \rangle^{-1-\delta_0}$ . Vodev [21] proved a bound similar to (1-7) for potentials satisfying the decay conditions  $\sup_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x \rangle^{1+\delta} |V(x,h)| \leq Ch^{\nu}$  and  $\partial_r V \leq Ch^{\nu} \langle r \rangle^{-1-\delta}$  for some constants C,  $\nu$ ,  $\delta > 0$ . Dyatlov and Zworski [22] simplified Datchev's proof for V,  $\partial_r V \in L^{\infty}_{comp}$  in dimension  $d \neq 2$  and showed

$$\|\chi (P_V - \lambda^2)^{-1} \chi\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \le C_0 e^{C_1 h^{-1}}.$$
(1-8)

Similar results were proven for various cases of short-range and long-range perturbations of the Laplacian  $-h^2\Delta$  under stronger regularity assumptions. Burq [3; 4] proved (1-8) for smooth V decaying sufficiently quickly near infinity and on domains of type  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{O}$  for some compact obstacle O with smooth boundary. Different proofs of Burq's theorem, providing some simplifications and extensions, were given by Vodev [20] and Sjöstrand [18]. Moreover, Cardoso and Vodev [5] provide a version of Burq's theorem on a class of infinite volume Riemannian manifolds with cusps.

**1.2.** *Resonance free regions.* As a consequence of Theorem 1 we get that there is a resonance free region below the real axis away from 0.

There are various ways of defining *resonances* of a quantum Hamiltonian; see for instance [22] for an overview. One way is to define them as the poles of a meromorphic continuation of the resolvent through the essential spectrum. More precisely, we have the following well-known result [18, Proposition 2.1; 22, Theorem 3.6]:

Theorem 2. The meromorphic family of operators

$$(P_V - \lambda^2)^{-1} : L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \text{Im}\,\lambda > 0,$$

has a meromorphic extension from the upper half-plane Im  $\lambda > 0$  to:

- (1)  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , when d = 1,
- (2)  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , when  $d \ge 3$  is odd, and
- (3)  $\lambda$  in the logarithmic covering space of  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ , when  $d \ge 2$  is even.

The *resonances* of  $P_V$  are then defined as the poles of this extension with possibly the exception of the  $L^2$  eigenvalues of  $P_V$  situated on the imaginary axis  $i[0, +\infty)$ . See Section 2 below for more details.

We prove the following:

**Theorem 3.** Let I be a compact interval in  $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$  and suppose that  $d \ge 2$ ; then there exist constants C > 0 and  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  such that for  $0 < h \le h_0$  there are no resonances of  $P_V$  in the set of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with

Re 
$$\lambda \in I$$
, Im  $\lambda \geq -C^{-1} e^{-Ch^{-4/3} \log 1/h}$ .

In the case of dimension one (d = 1) we have a stronger result: there exist constants  $C_0$ ,  $C_1 > 0$  and  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  such that for  $0 < h \le h_0$  there are no resonances of  $P_V$  in the set of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with

Re 
$$\lambda \in I$$
, Im  $\lambda \ge -C^{-1} e^{-Ch^{-1}}$ ;

see for instance [22, Theorem 2.29]. This bound is optimal as can be seen for the study of resonances for cut off random potentials [10].

**1.3.** *Remark on Landis' conjecture and decay of eigenfunctions.* We do not think that the bounds in (1-3) and in Theorem 3 are optimal. The  $h^{-4/3}$  in the exponent comes from a Carleman estimate in a ball B(0, R) with  $R > R_0$  which cannot distinguish between real-valued and complex-valued potentials; see Lemma 9 below. Yet in the proof of Theorem 1 we crucially use that the potential V is assumed to be real-valued in the flux-norm estimate on the outgoing solution in Lemma 13. We now present a slightly modified version of our main Carleman estimate:

**Lemma 4** (see Lemma 9). Let  $P_V$  be as in (1-1) with  $V \in L^{\infty}_{comp}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C})$  a bounded (possibly) complex valued potential with compact support satisfying (1-2). Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a compact interval. Let  $R > R_0$ . Then, there exists a real-valued smooth function  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and constants C > 0 and  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  such that for all  $u \in C^{\infty}_c(B(0, R))$ , all  $\lambda \in I$ , and all  $0 < h \le h_0$ ,

$$\int e^{2\phi/h^{4/3}} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) \, dx \le \frac{C}{h^{2/3}} \int e^{2\phi/h^{4/3}} |(P_V - \lambda^2)u|^2 \, dx$$

Here, the exponent  $h^{-4/3}$  is optimal, since there we can allow for complex-valued potentials. This can be seen from a counterexample to the Landis conjecture [11] by Meshkov [12]: Landis conjectured that if u is a bounded solution to  $-\Delta u + Vu = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , with  $||V||_{\infty} = \mathcal{O}(1)$  and  $|u(x)| \le C \exp(-c|x|^{1+})$ , then  $u \equiv 0$ . The conjecture holds in dimension d = 1 which is consistent with the estimate (1-4). However, in general this conjecture was disproved by Meshkov [12] who constructed a complex-valued bounded potential V and a complex-valued function u which solve  $-\Delta u + Vu = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $|u(x)| \le C \exp(-c|x|^{4/3}), x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Meshkov [12] also proved a quantitative unique continuation principal: if u is a bounded solution to  $-\Delta u + Vu = 0$  and decays faster than  $\exp(-\tau|x|^{4/3})$  for any  $\tau > 0$  as  $|x| \to +\infty$ , then necessarily  $u \equiv 0$ .

As a consequence of Lemma 9 we get the following lower bound on the decay of eigenfunctions of Schrödinger operator with  $L^{\infty}$  potentials.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $||V||_{\infty} \leq C_V$  with  $V \not\equiv 0$ . Then, there exist a constant M > 0 such that for any solution *u* to

$$-\Delta u + V u = 0 \quad in \ \mathbb{R}^d \tag{1-9}$$

satisfying  $||u||_2 = 1$ , for R > 0 sufficiently large,

$$\int_{B(0,R,R+1)} |u(x)|^2 dx \ge M^{-1} R^{-4/3} \mathrm{e}^{-M \|V\|_{\infty}^{2/3} R^{4/3}},\tag{1-10}$$

where  $B(0, R, R+1) = B(0, R+1) \setminus \overline{B(0, R)} \Subset \mathbb{R}^d$  denotes the annulus of inner radius R and outer radius R + 1 centered at 0.

Let us remark that Bourgain and Kenig [2] proved the following more local estimate for u, a solution to (1-9):

$$\int_{B(j,1)} |u(x)|^2 dx \ge C e^{-c|j|^{4/3} \log|j|} \quad \text{for } |j| \to +\infty.$$
(1-11)

The lower bound (1-10) is a slight improvement over (1-11) since we lose the logarithm yet we pay the price of taking averages in a large annulus rather than in a small ball.

In a series of works by Nakić, Táufer, Tautenhahn, and Veselić [13; 14] a scale free unique continuation principal was proven. The authors consider an equidistributed sequence of balls  $B(z_j, \delta)$  centered at  $z_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , with  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , and of radius  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}G)$ , for some G > 0, so that  $B(z_j, \delta) \in (-\frac{1}{2}G, \frac{1}{2}G)^d + j$ . They showed that there exists a constant N = N(d) > 0 depending only on the dimension d, such that for all G > 0, all  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}G)$ , all equidistributed sequences of balls as above, all  $V \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$ , all  $L \in G\mathbb{N}$ , any energy  $E_0 \ge 0$ , and all  $\phi \in \operatorname{ran}(\mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, E_0]}(H|_{\Lambda_L}))$ ,

$$\|\phi\|_{S_{\delta}\cap\Lambda_{L}}^{2} \ge \left(\frac{\delta}{G}\right)^{N(1+G^{4/3}\|V\|_{\infty}^{2/3}+G\sqrt{E_{0}})} \|\phi\|_{\Lambda_{L}}^{2}, \tag{1-12}$$

where  $S_{\delta} = \bigcup_{j \in (G\mathbb{Z})^d} B(z_j, \delta)$  and  $\Lambda_L = (-\frac{1}{2}L, \frac{1}{2}L)^d$ . This results extends previous results by Rojas-Molina and Veselić [15], Combes, Hislop and Klopp [6], and Klein [9].

Tautenhahn and Veselić [19] extended the above result to  $\psi \in \operatorname{ran}(\mathbf{1}_{I}(H))$ , for any interval  $I \subset (-\infty, E_0]$ , i.e.,

$$\|\psi\|_{S_{\delta}}^{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta}{G}\right)^{N(1+G^{4/3}(2\|V\|_{\infty}+E_{0})^{2/3})} \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}^{2},$$
(1-13)

In a recent paper by Borsiv, Tautenhahn, and Veselić [1] a more general scale free unique continuation principal was proven for second-order elliptic differential operators.

It is striking that in the above results the dependence of the exponent on the potential is only  $||V||_{\infty}^{2/3}$ . This agrees very well with our results (1-10). However, we do not know whether this dependence is optimal.

Meshkov's example uses fundamentally that the potential is complex-valued. Since Lemma 9 below cannot distinguish between real-valued and complex-valued potentials, we cannot improve the exponent  $h^{-4/3}$  in Theorem 1 with our method in spite of the fact that, there, the potential is assumed to be real-valued which is crucial for a flux-norm estimate on the outgoing solution in Lemma 13 below.

Finally, let us remark that Landis' conjecture may still hold true for real-valued bounded potentials V and real-valued functions u. In fact some recent developments have been made by Davey, Kenig, and Wang [8] in dimension d = 2.

**1.4.** *Notation.* Let  $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; [0, 1])$ . When we write  $\chi_1 \prec \chi_2$ , we mean that  $\chi_2 \equiv 1$  in a small neighborhood of the support of  $\chi_1$ . We extend this definition in the obvious way to include indicator functions of open sets.

Depending on the context we will denote by |x| the norm of x as a vector in some Banach space or the absolute value of x as a complex variable. Similarly, we will denote by (x | y) the inner product of x, y as elements of some vector space.

#### 2. Meromorphic continuation of the resolvent

Let  $h \in (0, 1]$  be the semiclassical parameter and consider the operator

$$P_V - \lambda^2 = -h^2 \Delta + V(x;h) - \lambda^2 \quad \text{on } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \tag{2-1}$$

where  $V = V(\cdot; h) \in L^{\infty}_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$  is a bounded real-valued compactly supported potential which may depend on the semiclassical parameter h > 0. We will often suppress the dependence on h and simply write V. We assume

$$\|V\|_{\infty} \le C_V < +\infty \tag{2-2}$$

and that the support of V is contained in the ball  $B(0, R_0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  of radius  $R_0 > 0$ ,

$$\operatorname{supp} V \subset B(0, R_0) \Subset \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{2-3}$$

where both constants  $C_V > 0$  and  $R_0 > 0$  are independent of h > 0. Moreover, we assume that  $\lambda$  is in a compact interval *I* away from 0, i.e., we suppose that

$$\lambda \in I = [a, b] \Subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{2-4}$$

Since the potential V is bounded and has compact support, it follows that the essential spectrum of  $P_V$  is given by  $[0, +\infty)$  and that in  $(-\infty, 0)$  there are only isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity.

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with Im  $\lambda > 0$ , the resolvent

$$R(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (P_V - \lambda^2)^{-1} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
(2-5)

is a bounded linear operator. In this notation, we find the negative eigenvalues of  $P_V$  on  $i\mathbb{R}_+$  given by  $\lambda_j = i\mu_j$ .

**2.1.** *Holomorphic continuation of the resolvent of the free Laplacian*  $P_0$ . When seen as an operator  $L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , it is possible to meromorphically continue the resolvent across the real axis. In the following we will recall some well-known results. We begin with the meromorphic continuation of the free resolvent

$$R_0(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-h^2 \Delta - \lambda^2)^{-1} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \text{Im}\,\lambda > 0.$$
(2-6)

**Theorem 6.** The family of operators

$$R_0(\lambda) = (-h^2 \Delta - \lambda^2)^{-1} : L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \text{Im}\,\lambda > 0,$$

has a holomorphic extension from the upper half-plane Im  $\lambda > 0$  to:

(1)  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , when d = 1,

(2)  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , when  $d \ge 3$  is odd, and

(3)  $\lambda$  in the logarithmic (universal) covering space of  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ , when  $d \ge 2$  is even.

Moreover, for any  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and any  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  there exist constants  $C_0, C_1 > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \in \Omega$  and h > 0 small enough,

$$\|\chi R_0(\lambda)\chi\|_{L^2 \to H^1} \le C_0 e^{C_1/h}.$$
(2-7)

*Proof.* See for instance [18, Section 2.1; 22, Theorem 3.1].

In dimension d = 1 the free resolvent  $R_0(\lambda)$  has a simple pole at  $\lambda = 0$ . It can be extended meromorphically to the entire plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . However, in this paper we will be interested in energies away from 0, therefore we will not need this particular result.

**2.2.** *Meromorphic continuation of the resolvent of*  $P_V$ . When adding a bounded potential *V* with compact support we can no longer extend the resolvent  $R(\lambda)$  holomorphically since poles appear. More precisely, we have the following result:

Theorem 7. The family of operators

$$R_V(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-h^2 \Delta + V - \lambda^2)^{-1} : L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \text{Im}\,\lambda > 0,$$

has a meromorphic extension from the upper half-plane Im  $\lambda > 0$  to

- (1)  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , when d = 1,
- (2)  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , when  $d \geq 3$  is odd, and
- (3)  $\lambda$  in the logarithmic (universal) covering space of  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ , when  $d \ge 2$  is even.

*Proof.* See for instance [18, Proposition 2.1; 22, Theorem 3.6].

By definition, resonances or scattering poles of  $P_V$  are the poles of this extension with exception of the  $L^2$  eigenvalues of  $P_V$  at  $\lambda = i\mu_j$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{C}$  or in a covering surface over some open set in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then we say that a function  $\Omega \ni z \mapsto P(z)$  with values in the space of linear operators  $L^2_{\text{comp}} \to H^2_{\text{loc}}$  is *holomorphic* if  $\chi_1 P(z)\chi_2$  is holomorphic as a function with values in the space of bounded linear operators  $L^2 \to H^2$ , for all  $\chi_i \in C^{\infty}_c$ .

Correspondingly, we say that a function  $\Omega \ni z \mapsto P(z)$  with values in the space of linear operators  $L^2_{\text{comp}} \to H^2_{\text{loc}}$  is *meromorphic* if it is holomorphic on  $\Omega \setminus S$ , where S is a discrete subset of  $\Omega$ , and such that if  $z_0 \in S$ , then near  $z_0$  we have

$$P(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{A_j}{(z - z_0)^j} + B(z),$$

where *N* is finite, B(z) is a holomorphic function with values in the space of linear operators  $L^2_{\text{comp}} \to H^2_{\text{loc}}$ , and  $A_j : L^2_{\text{comp}} \to H^2_{\text{loc}}$  are of finite rank and continuous, in the sense that  $\chi_1 A_j \chi_2$  is bounded for all  $\chi_j \in C^{\infty}_c$ .

**Proposition 8.**  $P_V$  has no resonances in  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is based on the fact that  $\lambda_0$  is a resonance of  $P_V$  if and only if there exists a solution u to  $(P_V - \lambda_0^2)u = 0$  of the form  $u = R_0(\lambda_0)w$  for some  $w \in L^2_{\text{comp}}$ . Such solutions are called outgoing solutions. This is then combined with the Paley–Wiener theorem and the Carleman estimate in Lemma 9 below to conclude the result. One can follow line by line (using Lemma 9) the standard proof which can be found for instance in [18, Theorem 2.4; 22, Theorem 3.30].

#### 3. Resolvent estimate

In this section we will present the proof of Theorem 1. The global strategy of this proof was inspired by the approach to Carleman estimates in [18, §4].

**3.1.** Local Carleman estimate in a ball. From now on we suppose that  $d \ge 2$  and we work under the assumption (2-2) and (2-3). The first step in the proof of Theorem 1 is to give a local Carleman estimate in a ball.

**Lemma 9.** Let  $I \in \mathbb{R}$  be a compact interval. Then, for any R > 0, there exists a real-valued smooth function  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and constants C > 0 and  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  such that for all  $u \in C^{\infty}_c(B(0, R))$ , all  $\lambda \in I$ , and all  $0 < h \leq h_0$ ,

$$\int e^{2\phi/h^{4/3}} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) \, dx \leq \frac{C}{h^{2/3}} \int e^{2\phi/h^{4/3}} |(P_V - \lambda^2)u|^2 \, dx.$$

*Proof.* The basic Carleman estimate [18, Lemma 4.2] for the semiclassical Laplacian  $-\tilde{h}^2 \Delta$  is as follows: Let R > 0. Then, there exists a smooth real-valued function  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and constants  $C_0 > 0$  and  $\tilde{h}_0 \in (0, 1]$  such that for all  $v \in C_c^{\infty}(B(0, R))$  and all  $0 < \tilde{h} \leq \tilde{h}_0$ ,

$$\tilde{h} \int (|v|^2 + |\tilde{h}\nabla v|^2) \, dx \le C_0 \| \mathrm{e}^{\phi/\tilde{h}} (-\tilde{h}^2 \Delta) \mathrm{e}^{-\phi/\tilde{h}} u \|^2.$$
(3-1)

Next, let  $h \in (0, 1]$  and let  $C_1 > 0$  be so that  $C_1 \ge 4C_0 \max\{\|V - \lambda^2\|_{\infty}^2, 1\}$  for all  $\lambda \in I$ . Define

$$P_V(\tilde{h}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\tilde{h}^2 \Delta + \left(\frac{\tilde{h}}{C_1}\right)^{1/2} (V - \lambda^2)$$
(3-2)

with  $\tilde{h} = h^{4/3} C_1^{-1/3}$ . Notice that

$$P_V(\tilde{h}) = \left(\frac{h}{C_1}\right)^{2/3} (P_V - \lambda^2).$$
(3-3)

Then, by (3-1), (3-2), we have that for all  $0 < \tilde{h} \le \min{\{\tilde{h}_0, C_1^{-1/3}\}}$ , for all  $v \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(B(0, R))$  and any  $\lambda \in I$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \| e^{\phi/\tilde{h}} P_{V}(\tilde{h}) e^{-\phi/\tilde{h}} v \|_{2} &\geq \| e^{\phi/\tilde{h}} (-\tilde{h}^{2} \Delta) e^{-\phi/\tilde{h}} v \|_{2} - \frac{\tilde{h}^{1/2}}{C_{1}^{1/2}} \| (V - \lambda^{2}) v \|_{2} \\ &\geq \frac{\tilde{h}^{1/2}}{C_{0}^{1/2}} \left( \int (|v|^{2} + |\tilde{h} \nabla v|^{2}) \, dx \right)^{1/2} - \frac{\tilde{h}^{1/2}}{C_{1}^{1/2}} \| (V - \lambda^{2}) \|_{\infty} \| v \|_{2} \\ &\geq \frac{\tilde{h}^{1/2}}{2C_{0}^{1/2}} \left( \int (|v|^{2} + |\tilde{h} \nabla v|^{2}) \, dx \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$
(3-4)

Setting  $u = e^{\phi/\tilde{h}}v$ , we get by (3-4),

$$\int e^{2\phi/\tilde{h}} (|u|^2 + |\tilde{h}\nabla u|^2) \, dx \le \frac{C}{\tilde{h}} \int e^{2\phi/\tilde{h}} |P_V(\tilde{h})u|^2 \, dx. \tag{3-5}$$

for some constant C > 0. Set  $\tilde{\phi} = C_1^{1/3} \phi$ . Then, by (3-3), (3-5),

$$\int e^{2\tilde{\phi}/h^{4/3}} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) \, dx \le \frac{C}{h^{2/3}} \int e^{2\tilde{\phi}/h^{4/3}} |(P_V - \lambda^2)u|^2 \, dx, \tag{3-6}$$

which concludes the proof of the lemma.

Next we will get rid of the assumption of compact support on *u* in Lemma 9. Suppose that  $R_0 < R_1 < R_2$ , let  $u \in C^{\infty}(B(0, R_2))$ , let  $1_{B(0,R_1)} \prec \chi \in C_c^{\infty}(B(0, R_2), [0, 1])$  and apply Lemma 9 to  $\chi u$  to get

$$\int_{B(0,R_1)} e^{2\phi/h^{4/3}} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) dx$$
  
$$\leq \frac{C}{h^{2/3}} \int_{B(0,R_2)} e^{2\phi/h^{4/3}} |(P_V - \lambda)u|^2 dx + \frac{C}{h^{2/3}} \int_{B(0,R_2)} e^{2\phi/h^{4/3}} |[-h^2\Delta, \chi]u|^2 dx. \quad (3-7)$$

We denote by  $B(0, R_1, R_2) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  the open annulus  $B(0, R_2) \setminus \overline{B(0, R_1)}$ . Since

$$|[-h^{2}\Delta, \chi]u|^{2} = |(-h^{2}\Delta\chi)u - 2(h\nabla\chi | h\nabla u)|^{2} \le C_{1}(h^{4}|u|^{2} + h^{2}|h\nabla u|^{2}),$$

for some constant  $C_1 > 0$ , and since supp  $\nabla \chi \subset B(0, R_1, R_2)$ , we obtain from (3-7)

$$\int_{B(0,R_1)} e^{2\phi/h^{4/3}} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) dx$$
  
$$\leq \frac{C}{h^{2/3}} \int_{B(0,R_2)} e^{2\phi/h^{4/3}} |(P_V - \lambda)u|^2 dx + CC_1 h^{4/3} \int_{B(0,R_1,R_2)} e^{2\phi/h^{4/3}} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) dx. \quad (3-8)$$

**3.2.** *Carleman estimate in a shell away from the support of the potential V*. We will begin with the following:

**Lemma 10.** Let  $w = w(r) = r^2$  for  $r \ge 0$ . Let I be as in (2-4) and let  $\lambda \in I$ . Let A, B > 0 be constants (to be determined later on) and set

$$R_c = R_c(h) = \frac{\sqrt{2A}}{h^{1/3}|\lambda|}.$$
(3-9)

Let h > 0 be small enough so that  $2R_0 \le R_c$ . Then, there exists a smooth real-valued function  $\phi_0 \in C^{\infty}(]0, +\infty[)$  and a constant  $C_{\phi_0} > 0$  (independent of h > 0) so that  $0 \le \phi'_0|_{[R_0, +\infty[} \le C_{\phi_0}$  and

$$\phi_0'(r) = \begin{cases} (Ar^{-2} - h^{2/3}\lambda^2/2)^{1/2} & \text{for } R_0 \le r \le R_c - 2, \\ B^{-1}h^{1/3} & \text{for } r \ge R_c - 1. \end{cases}$$
(3-10)

Moreover, there exists a constant  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  and  $C_0 > 0$ , depending only on A, B, I, and  $R_0$ , so that for any  $0 < h \le h_0$ ,

$$(w(h^{2/3}\lambda^2 + (\phi_0')^2 - h^{4/3}\phi_0''))' \ge h^{2/3}\frac{\lambda^2 w'}{C_0} \quad for \ r \ge R_0.$$
(3-11)

Proof.

Step 1: Set  $\psi = (\phi'_0)^2$ . To simplify the notation we will suppose that  $\lambda > 0$  and we will work with  $\tilde{h} = h^{2/3}$  with  $0 < h \le h_0$  for some  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$ . Then, (3-11) is equivalent to

$$G(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \psi + \tilde{h}\lambda^2 - \tilde{h}^2 \phi_0'' + \frac{1}{2}r(\psi' - \tilde{h}^2 \phi_0''') \ge \tilde{h}\frac{\lambda^2}{C_0} \quad \text{for } r \ge R_0.$$
(3-12)

Let A > 0, let  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  be small enough so that

$$2R_0 \le R_c = \frac{\sqrt{2A}}{\tilde{h}^{1/2}\lambda};\tag{3-13}$$

compare with (3-9). Set

$$\psi_0(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{A}{r^2} - \frac{\tilde{h}\lambda^2}{2}, \quad r > 0.$$
 (3-14)

Notice that  $\psi_0(r) = 0$  precisely at  $r = R_c$ . Hence, for  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  small enough,  $\psi_0 \ge 0$  for  $0 < r \le R_c$ . Next, let B > 0 and set

$$\psi_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{h}{B^2}.$$
(3-15)

Let  $\chi \in C^{\infty}([0, +\infty[; [0, 1]))$  be so that  $\chi \equiv 1$  on  $[0, R_c - 2]$ ,  $\chi \equiv 0$  on  $[R_c - 1, +\infty[$  and so that all derivatives of  $\chi$  are bounded uniformly in h (and, thus,  $\tilde{h}$ ). We can choose  $\chi$  such that  $\chi' \leq 0$ . Then, set

$$\psi(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \psi_0(r)\chi(r) + \psi_1(r)(1 - \chi(r)), \quad r > 0.$$
(3-16)

Since  $\psi_0(R_c) = 0$ , we have that for  $\tau \in [-2, -1]$ ,

$$\psi_0(R_c+\tau) = -2A\tau \int_0^1 (R_c+t\tau)^{-3} dt = -2A\tau R_c^{-3}(1+\mathcal{O}(R_c^{-1})) = \frac{|\tau|\tilde{h}^{3/2}\lambda^3}{(2A)^{1/2}}(1+\mathcal{O}(\tilde{h}^{1/2})) \quad (3-17)$$

Since  $\psi_0$  is a strictly decreasing function, by (3-16), (3-15), there exist constants  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  and C > 0 (depending on *A*, *B*, and *I*) such that for all  $0 < h \le h_0$ ,

$$\psi(r) \ge \frac{1}{C} \tilde{h}^{3/2}, \quad r > 0.$$
(3-18)

Step 2: We estimate  $\phi_0''$ . Assume first that  $R_0 \le r \le R_c - 2$ . Then,

$$\phi_0''(r) = \frac{\psi_0'(r)}{2\sqrt{\psi_0(r)}} = \frac{-\sqrt{2}A}{\sqrt{2Ar^4 - \tilde{h}\lambda^2 r^6}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{-\sqrt{2}A}{m(r)^{1/2}} < 0.$$
(3-19)

Notice that  $m'(r) = r^3(8A - \tilde{h}\lambda^2 6r^2)$ . Thus, m(r) has its unique critical point at

$$r_1 = \frac{2\sqrt{A}}{\lambda\sqrt{3\tilde{h}}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}R_c < R_c,$$

where by (3-13) we have that  $r_1 > \sqrt{2}R_0$ . Hence, for  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  small enough, m'(r) > 0 on  $[R_0, r_1[$  and m'(r) < 0 on  $]r_1, +\infty[$ . This implies that  $m(r)^{-1/2}$  is decreasing on  $[R_0, r_1]$  and increasing on  $[r_1, R_c - 2]$ . Therefore,  $|\phi_0''|$  is bounded by the maximum of  $|\phi_0''(R_0)|$ ,  $|\phi_0''(r_1)|$ , and  $|\phi_0''(R_c - 2)|$ .

By (3-19), for  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  small enough there exists a constant C > 0 (depending as well on I, A, and  $R_0$ ) such that

$$|\phi_0''(R_0)| \le C.$$

A straight forward computation shows that

$$\phi_0''(r_1) = -\frac{3\sqrt{3A}}{2R_c^2} = -\frac{3\sqrt{3}\,\tilde{h}\lambda^2}{4\sqrt{A}} = \mathcal{O}_{A,I}(\tilde{h})$$

and Taylor expansion shows that

$$\phi_0''(R_c - 2) = \mathcal{O}_{A,I}(\tilde{h}^{1/4})$$

for all  $0 < h \le h_0$  with  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  small enough.

**Remark 11.** If the constant in the big O notation depends on one of the parameters mentioned in the hypotheses of Lemma 10, then we add them as subscripts to keep track of the dependencies.

In conclusion, we have that for all  $0 < h \le h_0$ , with  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  small enough,

$$\phi_0''(r) = \mathcal{O}_{A,I,R_0}(1) \quad \text{for } R_0 \le r \le R_c - 2.$$
 (3-20)

Next, suppose that  $r \in [R_c - 2, R_c - 1]$ . There,

$$\phi_0'' = \frac{\psi_0' \chi + (\psi_0 - \psi_1) \chi'}{2\sqrt{\psi}}.$$
(3-21)

By (3-14),

$$|\psi'_0(r)| \le \frac{2A}{R_c^3}(1 + \mathcal{O}(R_c^{-1})) \le \mathcal{O}_{A,I}(\tilde{h}^{3/2}).$$

Since  $\chi' \le 0$ , by (3-17) for all h > 0 sufficiently small, we have

$$0 \le (\psi_0 - \psi_1) \chi' \le \mathcal{O}_{A,B,I}(\hat{h}).$$
(3-22)

Combining the above two estimates with (3-21) and (3-18), we get that

$$\phi_0''(r) = \mathcal{O}(\tilde{h}^{1/4}), \quad r \in [R_c - 2, R_c - 1].$$
 (3-23)

Notice that  $\phi_0''(r) = 0$  for  $r \ge R_c - 1$ . Then, putting this together (3-20) and (3-23), we have that for all  $0 < h \le h_0$ , with  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  small enough,

$$\phi_0''(r) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{A,I,R_0}(1) & R_0 \le r \le R_c - 2, \\ \mathcal{O}_{A,B,I}(\tilde{h}^{1/4}) & R_c - 2 \le r \le R_c - 1, \\ 0 & r \ge R_c - 1. \end{cases}$$
(3-24)

Step 3: Recall that  $\psi = (\phi'_0)^2$ . Hence, by (3-18),

$$-r\phi_0^{\prime\prime\prime} = -r\frac{\psi^{\prime\prime}}{2\sqrt{\psi}} + \frac{r(\psi^{\prime})^2}{4\psi^{3/2}} \ge -r\frac{\psi^{\prime\prime}}{2\sqrt{\psi}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -f.$$
(3-25)

We will show that f is bounded. Suppose first that  $r \in [R_0, R_c - 2]$ . Then, by (3-16),

$$f(r) = \frac{r\psi_0''}{2\sqrt{\psi_0}} = \frac{3\sqrt{2}A}{m(r)^{1/2}} \ge 0,$$

with *m* as in (3-19). Considering the critical point of *f*, as in the discussion following (3-19), we get that f(r) is bounded by the maximum of  $f(R_0)$ ,  $f(r_1)$ , and  $f(R_c - 2)$ . Performing similar computations as for (3-20), we get that for all  $0 < h \le h_0$ , with  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  small enough,

$$0 \le f(r) \le \mathcal{O}_{A,I,R_0}(1)$$
 for  $r \in [R_0, R_c - 2]$ .

Next, suppose that  $r \in [R_c - 2, R_c - 1]$ . By (3-16),

$$r\psi'' = r(\psi_0''\chi + 2\chi'\psi_0' + (\psi_0 - \psi_1)\chi'').$$

We will estimate each term separately. First, using (3-14) and Taylor expansion, we see that

$$|r\psi_0''| = \frac{6A}{R_c^3}(1 + \mathcal{O}(R_c^{-1})) = \mathcal{O}_{A,I}(\tilde{h}^{3/2})$$

and

$$|r\psi_0'| = \frac{6A}{R_c^2}(1 + \mathcal{O}(R_c^{-1})) = \mathcal{O}_{A,I}(\tilde{h}).$$

By (3-17), we get that for all  $0 < h \le h_0$ , with  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  small enough,

$$r|(\psi_0-\psi_1)\chi''| \le r \mathcal{O}_{I,B}(\tilde{h}) \le \mathcal{O}_{A,B,I}(\tilde{h}^{1/2}).$$

Combining the above three estimates with (3-18) and (3-25), we have that for all  $0 < h \le h_0$ , with  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  small enough,

$$|f(r)| \le \mathcal{O}_{A,B,I}(\tilde{h}^{-1/4})$$
 for  $r \in [R_c - 2, R_c - 1].$ 

Finally notice that  $\phi_0^{\prime\prime\prime}(r) = 0$  for  $r \ge R_c - 1$ . Therefore,

$$-\tilde{h}r\phi_{0}^{\prime\prime\prime}(r) \geq \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{A,I,R_{0}}(h) & R_{0} \leq r \leq R_{c} - 2, \\ \mathcal{O}_{A,I,B}(\tilde{h}^{3/4}) & R_{c} - 2 \leq r \leq R_{c} - 1, \\ 0 & r \geq R_{c} - 1. \end{cases}$$
(3-26)

Step 4: We check that  $\psi$ , see (3-16), with  $\psi = (\phi'_0)^2$  satisfies (3-12). Suppose first that  $r \in [R_0, R_c - 2]$ . By (3-14),

$$\psi_0 + \frac{1}{2}r\psi_0' = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{h}\lambda^2.$$

Then, by (3-12), (3-26), (3-24), and (3-14), there exist constants  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  and  $C_1 > 0$  (depending on A, I,  $R_0$ , and B) such that for all  $0 < h \le h_0$ ,

$$G(r) = \psi_0(r) + \tilde{h}\lambda^2 - \tilde{h}^2\phi_0''(r) + \frac{1}{2}r(\psi_0'(r) - \tilde{h}^2\phi_0'''(r)) \ge \frac{1}{2}\tilde{h}\lambda^2 + \mathcal{O}_{A,I,R_0}(\tilde{h}^2) \ge \frac{\lambda^2 h}{C_1}.$$
 (3-27)

Next, assume that  $r \in [R_c - 2, R_c - 1]$ . Then, by (3-12), (3-26), (3-24), (3-18), (3-22), and (3-16) there exist constants  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  and  $C_2 > 0$  (depending on A, I,  $R_0$ , and B) such that for all  $0 < h \le h_0$ ,

$$G \ge \psi_{0}\chi + \psi_{1}(1-\chi) + \tilde{h}\lambda^{2} + \frac{1}{2}r\psi_{0}'\chi + \frac{1}{2}r(\psi_{0}-\psi_{1})\chi' + \mathcal{O}_{A,B,I}(\tilde{h}^{2+1/4}) + \mathcal{O}_{A,B,I}(\tilde{h}^{3/2+1/4})$$
  
$$\ge \frac{1}{C}\tilde{h}^{3/2} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{h}\lambda^{2} + \mathcal{O}_{A,B,I}(\tilde{h}^{1+3/4}) \ge \frac{\lambda^{2}\tilde{h}}{C_{2}}.$$
(3-28)

Finally, suppose that  $r \ge R_c - 1$ . Then, by (3-26), (3-24), and (3-16),

$$G(r) = \frac{\tilde{h}}{B^2} + \tilde{h}\lambda^2.$$
(3-29)

In conclusion,  $\psi$  is a positive smooth function on  $]0, +\infty[$  and satisfies (3-12).

**Lemma 12.** Let I be as in (2-4). Let  $R_3 > R_2$  and let  $P_0 = -h^2 \Delta$ . Let  $\phi_0$ ,  $C_{\phi_0} > 0$ , A > 0, and B > 0 be as in Lemma 10. Then, there exists a constant  $C = C(I, R_0, A, B, C_{\phi_0}) > 0$  and an  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  such that for all  $u \in C_c^{\infty}(B(0, R_0, R_3))$  and all  $0 < h \le h_0$ ,

$$\int e^{2\phi_0/h^{4/3}} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) \, dx \le \frac{CR_3^3}{h^{2+2/3}} \int e^{2\phi_0/h^{4/3}} |(P_0 - \lambda^2)u|^2 \, dx, \tag{3-30}$$

where we write  $\phi_0 = \phi_0(|x|)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is an adaption of the proof of a global Carleman estimate by Datchev [7]. We begin by passing to spherical coordinates, where

$$-h^2\Delta = -h^2\partial_r^2 - \frac{d-1}{2r}h^2\partial_r - r^{-2}h^2\Delta_{S^{d-1}},$$

where  $-\Delta_{S^{d-1}} \ge 0$  denotes the Laplace–Beltrami operator on the (d-1)-dimensional sphere  $S^{d-1}$ . Set

$$P_{\phi_0} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{\phi_0/h^{4/3}} r^{(d-1)/2} (P_0 - \lambda^2) r^{-(d-1)/2} e^{-\phi_0/h^{4/3}}.$$
(3-31)

A straight forward computation shows that

$$P_{\phi_0} = -h^2 \partial_r^2 + 2\phi_0' h^{2/3} \partial_r + V_{\phi_0} + \Lambda - \lambda^2, \qquad (3-32)$$

where  $\phi'_0 = \partial_r \phi_0$  and

$$V_{\phi_0} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h^{2/3} \phi_0'' - h^{-2/3} (\phi_0')^2 \tag{3-33}$$

and

$$\Lambda = h^2 r^{-2} \left( -\Delta_{S^{d-1}} + \frac{d-1}{2} \frac{d-3}{2} \right), \tag{3-34}$$

which is a positive semidefinite operator for  $d \ge 3$ , and is greater than or equal to  $-h^2/(4r^2)$  for d = 2. Next, set  $w = w(r) = r^2$  and let  $f' = \partial_r f$  denote the radial derivative, and write for  $v \in C_c^{\infty}(B(0, R_0, R_3))$ ,

$$F(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|hv'(r\cdot)\|_{S^{d-1}}^2 - ((\Lambda + V_{\phi_0} - \lambda^2)v(r\cdot) | v(r\cdot))_{S^{d-1}}, \quad r > 0,$$
(3-35)

where the norm and the scalar product are the norm and scalar product of  $L^2(S^{d-1})$ . Since the support of v is compact, we have that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (w(r)F(r))' dr = 0.$$
 (3-36)

Since  $\Lambda$  is self-adjoint, we get by (3-32),

$$F' = 2 \operatorname{Re}(h^2 \partial_r^2 v | v')_{S^{d-1}} - 2 \operatorname{Re}((\Lambda + V_{\phi_0} - \lambda^2) v | v')_{S^{d-1}} + 2r^{-1} (\Lambda v | v)_{S^{d-1}} - (V'_{\phi_0} v | v)_{S^{d-1}} = -2 \operatorname{Re}(P_{\phi_0} v | v')_{S^{d-1}} + 4h^{-4/3} \phi'_0 ||hv'||^2_{S^{d-1}} + 2r^{-1} (\Lambda v | v)_{S^{d-1}} - (V'_{\phi_0} v | v)_{S^{d-1}}.$$
(3-37)

Recall that we are working in  $0 < R_0 \le r \le R_3$  and that  $w = r^2$ . Therefore,  $w\phi'_0 \ge 0$  and  $2r^{-1}w - w' = 0$ . Then, using as well the elementary inequality  $||a||^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(a | b) + ||b||^2 \ge 0$ , we get that

$$(wF)' = -2w \operatorname{Re}(P_{\phi_0}v \mid v')_{S^{d-1}} + (4h^{-4/3}w\phi'_0 + w') \|hv'\|_{S^{d-1}}^2 + (2wr^{-1} - w')(\Lambda v \mid v)_{S^{d-1}} + ((w(\lambda^2 - V_{\phi_0}))'v \mid v)_{S^{d-1}} \geq -\frac{r^3}{2h^2} \|P_{\phi_0}v\|_{S^{d-1}}^2 + ((w(\lambda^2 - V_{\phi_0}))'v \mid v)_{S^{d-1}}.$$
(3-38)

Integrating (3-38) with respect to r, we get by (3-36), (3-11), and (3-33),

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{S^{d-1}} |v|^2 \, dr \, d\sigma \le \frac{C_0 R_3^3}{4R_0 \lambda^2 h^2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{S^{d-1}} |P_{\phi_0} v|^2 \, dr \, d\sigma.$$
(3-39)

Here, we used as well that supp  $v \subset B(0, R_0, R_3)$ . Moreover, recall from Lemma 10 that the constant  $C_0$  depends only on the energy interval I and the constants A, B,  $R_0$ .

Setting  $u = e^{\phi_0/h^{4/3}} r^{(d-1)/2} v$ , we get by (3-31) that

$$\int e^{2\phi_0/h^{4/3}} |u|^2 dx \le \frac{C_0 R_3^3}{4R_0 \lambda^2 h^2} \int e^{2\phi_0/h^{4/3}} |(P_0 - \lambda^2)u|^2 dx.$$
(3-40)

Integration by parts yields that

$$\int e^{2\phi_0/h^{4/3}} |h\nabla u|^2 dx = -\operatorname{Re} \int h \operatorname{div}(e^{2\phi_0/h^{4/3}}h\nabla u)\bar{u} dx.$$
(3-41)

The right-hand side is bounded from above by

$$-\int e^{2\phi_0/h^{4/3}} 2\operatorname{Re}\left(\sqrt{2}\phi_0'(|x|)\bar{u}h^{-1/3}\frac{x}{|x|} \left|\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}\nabla u\right)dx + \int e^{\phi_0/h^{4/3}} |(P_0 - \lambda^2)u| |u| dx + \lambda^2 \int e^{\phi_0/h^{4/3}} |u|^2 dx.$$
(3-42)

Using the elementary inequality  $2 \operatorname{Re}(a \mid b) \leq |a|^2 + |b|^2$ , we get by (3-41), (3-42) that

$$\int e^{2\phi_0/h^{4/3}} |h\nabla u|^2 dx$$
  
$$\leq (2\lambda^2 + 1 + 4 \|\phi'\|_{\infty}^2 h^{-2/3}) \int e^{2\phi_0/h^{4/3}} |u|^2 dx + \int e^{2\phi_0/h^{4/3}} |(P_0 - \lambda^2)u|^2 dx. \quad (3-43)$$

Let  $\lambda_{\infty}$  denote the minimum of the absolute value of the supremum and infimum of the interval *I*. Then, by (3-43), (3-40), we have that, for h > 0 small enough,

$$\int e^{2\phi_0/h^{4/3}} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) \, dx \le \frac{4C_0 R_3^3 \|\phi_0'\|_\infty^2}{R_0 \lambda_\infty^2 h^{2+2/3}} \int e^{2\phi_0/h^{4/3}} |(P_0 - \lambda^2)u|^2 \, dx. \tag{3-44}$$

Recall from Lemma 10 that  $\|\phi'_0\|_{[R_0,+\infty[}\|_{\infty} \leq C_{\phi_0}$ . This concludes the proof of Lemma 12.

## **3.3.** Combining Carleman estimates. Next, let $R_3 = R_3(h) \asymp h^{-1/3}$ and let $u \in C^{\infty}(B(0, R_3))$ so that

$$(P_V - \lambda^2)u = v \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_c(B(0, R)),$$
(3-45)

and suppose that  $R_0 < R < R_1 - 2$ . Recall (3-8) and set  $M = \phi(R_2)$ . Then,

$$\int_{B(0,R_1)} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) \, dx \le \frac{C \mathrm{e}^{2M/h^{4/3}}}{h^{2/3}} \int |v|^2 \, dx + C h^{4/3} \mathrm{e}^{2M/h^{4/3}} \int_{B(0,R_1,R_2)} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) \, dx. \tag{3-46}$$

Let  $1_{B(0,R_1-1,R_3-1)} \prec \chi \in C_c^{\infty}(B(0, R_1-2, R_3); [0, 1])$  so that all derivatives of  $\chi$  are bounded (uniformly in *h*). Applying (3-30) to  $\chi u$ , we obtain similar to (3-8) that

$$\begin{split} \int_{B(0,R_1,R_3-1)} e^{2\phi_0/h^{4/3}} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{CR_3^3}{h^{2/3}} \int_{B(0,R_1-2,R_1-1)} e^{2\phi_0/h^{4/3}} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) \, dx \\ &\quad + \frac{CR_3^3}{h^{2/3}} \int_{B(0,R_3-1,R_3)} e^{2\phi_0/h^{4/3}} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) \, dx. \quad (3-47) \end{split}$$

Here we used as well that

$$(P_0 - \lambda^2)u = (P_V - \lambda^2)u = v = 0$$
 on  $B(0, R_1 - 2, R_3)$ ,

which follows from (3-45) and the assumption that supp  $V \subseteq B(0, R_0)$ ; see the discussion after (1-2).

Recall (3-10) and let  $\eta > 0$ . Then, by shifting  $\phi_0$  by a constant and by choosing A > 0 large enough, we can arrange that, for h > 0 small enough,

$$\phi_0(|x|) \le -\eta \quad \text{for } |x| \le R_1 - 1,$$
  
$$\phi_0(|x|) \ge M \quad \text{for } |x| \ge R_1.$$

Thus:

• the second term on the right-hand side of (3-46) is bounded by the *A* constant times the left-hand side of (3-47);

 $\square$ 

• the first term on the right-hand side of (3-47) is bounded by a factor  $\mathcal{O}(e^{-1/Ch})$  times the left-hand side of (3-46).

Therefore, adding (3-46) and (3-47), we get for h > 0 small enough,

$$\int_{B(0,R_{3}-1)} e^{2\psi/h^{4/3}} (|u|^{2} + |h\nabla u|^{2}) dx$$

$$\leq e^{2M/h^{4/3}} \frac{C}{h^{2/3}} \int |v|^{2} dx + \frac{CR_{3}^{3}}{h^{2/3}} \int_{B(0,R_{3}-1,R_{3})} e^{2\psi/h^{4/3}} (|u|^{2} + |h\nabla u|^{2}) dx, \quad (3-48)$$

with

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } |x| \le R_1, \\ \phi_0(x) & \text{for } |x| \ge R_1. \end{cases}$$
(3-49)

#### 3.4. Outgoing solutions and flux norm. Now assume that

$$u = R_V(\lambda)v \tag{3-50}$$

where  $v \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(B(0, R))$  is an outgoing solution with R > 0 as above. By Theorem 7 and analytic continuation we see that *u* satisfies  $(P_V - \lambda^2)u = v$ . Moreover, by a density argument, we see that *u* and *v* satisfy (3-48). In particular, since *u* is outgoing, there exists a  $w \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(B(0, R))$  so that

$$u(x) = R_0(\lambda)w(x) \quad \text{for } |x| \ge R_1.$$

Hence, *u* is a solution to the free Helmholtz equation  $(-h^2\Delta - \lambda^2)u = 0$  outside the ball  $B(0, R_1)$ . Let  $R_c \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \widetilde{R}_c(\lambda)h^{-1/3}$  be as in Lemma 10. Recall (2-4); let  $C_r > 1$  be a constant and set

$$R_3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \widetilde{R}_3 h^{-1/3} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C_r \widetilde{R}_c(a) h^{-1/3}.$$
(3-51)

Recall (3-10) and write for  $r \ge R_c$ ,

$$\phi_0(r) = A^{1/2} \int_{R_0}^{R_c - 2} (t^{-2} - R_c^{-2})^{1/2} dt + \int_{R_c - 2}^{R_c - 1} \phi_0'(t) dt + \int_{R_c - 1}^r B^{-1} h^{1/3} dt$$
  
$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \tag{3-52}$$

The first integral in (3-52) is bounded by

$$|I_1| \le \frac{1}{3} A^{1/2} \log \frac{1}{h} + A^{1/2} \log \frac{\sqrt{2A} - 2|\lambda| h^{1/3}}{|\lambda| R_0}$$

The second integral  $|I_2| \le C_{\phi_0}$ , see Lemma 10, and the third integral  $I_3 = h^{1/3}B^{-1}(r - R_c + 1)$ . Hence,

$$\phi_0(|x|) = C_0(h) + \frac{h^{1/3}}{B}|x| \quad \text{for } |x| \ge R_c,$$
(3-53)

where  $C_0(h)$  depends on A, I, B,  $R_0$ ,  $C_{\phi_0}$ , and h > 0 satisfying

$$|C_0(h)| \le \frac{1}{3} A^{1/2} \log \frac{1}{h} + \mathcal{O}_{A,I,B,R_0}(1)$$
(3-54)

for h > 0 small enough. Using Lemma 13 below and (3-51), we see that for  $C_r > 1$  large enough, the second term on the right-hand side of (3-48) is bounded from above by

$$\mathcal{O}(h^{-5/3})e^{2\psi(R_3)/h^{4/3}} \int_{B(0,R_3-1,R_3)} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) dx$$
  

$$\leq \mathcal{O}(h^{-3})e^{2\psi(R_3)/h^{4/3}} \operatorname{Im}(v \mid u) + \mathcal{O}(h^{-5/3})e^{(2\psi(R_3)-\delta)/h^{4/3}} \int_{A(\widetilde{R}_3/4,1,h)} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) dx \quad (3-55)$$

for some  $\delta > 0$ . Using (3-53) and (3-51) we get that

$$2\psi(R_3) - \delta = 2C_0 + \frac{1}{B}(2\tilde{R}_3 - B\delta) \le 2\psi(|x|) - \frac{\delta_1}{B} \quad \text{for } |x| \ge (\tilde{R}_3/4 - 1)h^{-1/3}$$

where in the second to the last inequality we chose B > 0 to be large enough so that  $2\tilde{R}_3 - \delta B \le 2(\tilde{R}_3/4 - 1) - \delta_1$  for some  $\delta_1 > 0$ . Hence, for h > 0 small enough, we can absorb the second term on the right-hand side of (3-48) into the term on the left-hand side of (3-48). Hence,

$$\int_{B(0,R_3-1)} e^{2\psi/h^{4/3}} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) \, dx \le e^{2M/h^{4/3}} \frac{C}{h^{2/3}} \int |v|^2 \, dx + \mathcal{O}(h^{-3}) e^{2\psi(R_3)/h^{4/3}} \operatorname{Im}(v \mid u).$$
(3-56)

By the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality and (3-50) we get that

$$\operatorname{Im}(v \mid u) \le \|v\| \|u\|_{L^{2}(B(0,R))} \le \frac{h^{3}}{C} e^{-2\psi(R_{3})/h^{4/3}} \|u\|_{L^{2}(B(0,R))}^{2} + Ch^{-3} e^{2\psi(R_{3})/h^{4/3}} \|v\|^{2}.$$
(3-57)

In view of (3-49), (3-53), (3-54) by (3-56), and (3-57) there exists constants C, C' > 0 such that

$$\int_{B(0,R_3-1)} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) \, dx \le C' e^{Ch^{-4/3} \log 1/h} \int |v|^2 \, dx,$$

which together with (3-50) concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 13.** Assume (3-50). Then, for any  $\widetilde{R} > 0$  (independent of h > 0) and any  $0 < \eta < \frac{3}{16}\widetilde{R}$  there exist constants  $C, C', \delta, h_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda \in I$  and any  $0 < h < h_0$ ,

$$\int_{A(\widetilde{R},\eta,h)} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) \, dx \le Ch^{-4/3} \operatorname{Im}(v \mid u) + C' \mathrm{e}^{-\delta/h^{4/3}} \int_{A(\widetilde{R}/4,\eta,h)} (|u|^2 + |h\nabla u|^2) \, dx,$$

where  $A(\widetilde{R}, \eta, h) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B(0, (\widetilde{R} - \eta)h^{-1/3}, (\widetilde{R} + \eta)h^{-1/3}).$ 

*Proof.* Let  $U_h : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be the unitary map defined by

$$(U_h\phi)(x) = h^{-d/6}\phi(h^{-1/3}x).$$
(3-58)

Using (2-1) we rescale the operator  $P_V - \lambda^2$  by  $h^{-1/3}$ , i.e.,

$$U_{h}(P_{V} - \lambda^{2})U_{h}^{*} = -h^{2+2/3}\Delta + V(h^{-1/3}x;h) - \lambda^{2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\tilde{h}^{2}\Delta + \tilde{V}(x;h) - \lambda^{2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\tilde{P}_{\tilde{V}} - \lambda^{2}).$$
(3-59)

Let *u* be as in (3-50). As discussed there, *u* is a solution to the free Helmholtz equation  $(-h^2\Delta - \lambda^2)u = 0$  outside the ball  $B(0, R_1)$ . Set  $\tilde{u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} U_h u$ . Then, we have that outside the ball  $B(0, R_1 h^{1/3})$ 

$$(\widetilde{P}_0 - \lambda^2)\widetilde{u} = 0. \tag{3-60}$$

Hence, by [3, Proposition 2.2], it follows that for any  $\widetilde{R}_2 > \widetilde{R}_1 > 0$  (constants independent of h > 0) there exist *C*, *C'*,  $\delta$ ,  $h_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda \in I$  and any  $0 < h \leq h_0$ ,

$$-\operatorname{Im}\int_{r=\widetilde{R}_{2}}\tilde{h}\partial_{r}\tilde{u}\cdot\bar{\tilde{u}}\,d\sigma$$

$$\geq C\lambda\int_{r=\widetilde{R}_{2}}(|\tilde{u}|^{2}+\lambda^{-2}|\tilde{h}\nabla\tilde{u}|^{2})\,d\sigma - C'\mathrm{e}^{-\delta|\lambda|/\tilde{h}}\int_{r=\widetilde{R}_{1}}(|\tilde{u}|^{2}+\lambda^{-2}|\tilde{h}\nabla\tilde{u}|^{2})\,d\sigma,\quad(3-61)$$

where  $d\sigma$  is the surface measure on  $\partial B(0, \widetilde{R}_2)$ , respectively on  $\partial B(0, \widetilde{R}_1)$ , induced from the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $\eta > 0$  be as in the hypothesis; then  $\frac{1}{4}\widetilde{R} + \eta < \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{R} - \eta$ . The mean value theorem implies that there exists a  $\widetilde{R}_2 \in [\widetilde{R} - \eta, \widetilde{R} + \eta]$  such that

$$\int_{r=\tilde{R}_{2}} (|\tilde{u}|^{2} + |\tilde{h}\nabla\tilde{u}|^{2}) \, d\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0,\tilde{R}-\eta,\tilde{R}+\eta)} (|\tilde{u}|^{2} + |\tilde{h}\nabla\tilde{u}|^{2}) \, dx.$$
(3-62)

Next, set  $\widetilde{R}_1 = \frac{1}{4}\widetilde{R}$  and let  $1_{B(0,\widetilde{R}_1 - \eta/2,\widetilde{R}_1 + \eta/2)} \prec \chi \in C_c^{\infty}(B(0,\widetilde{R}_1 - \eta,\widetilde{R}_1 + \eta); [0, 1])$ . Then, there exist constants  $c, \tilde{c} > 0$  such that

$$\int_{B(0,\widetilde{R}_1-\eta,\widetilde{R}_1+\eta)} (|\widetilde{u}|^2 + |\widetilde{h}\nabla\widetilde{u}|^2) \, dx \ge c \int_{B(0,\widetilde{R}_1)} (|\chi\widetilde{u}|^2 + |\widetilde{h}\nabla\chi\widetilde{u}|^2) \, dx \ge \widetilde{c} \int_{r=\widetilde{R}_1} |\widetilde{u}|^2 \, d\sigma,$$

where in the last inequality we use that the trace map  $\tau : H^1(B(0, \widetilde{R}_1)) \to L^2(\partial B(0, \widetilde{R}_1))$  is continuous. Similarly, using (3-60), we get that

$$\int_{r=\widetilde{R}_1} (|\tilde{u}|^2 + |\tilde{h}\nabla\tilde{u}|^2) \, d\sigma \le \mathcal{O}(1) \int_{B(0,\widetilde{R}_1 - \eta,\widetilde{R}_1 + \eta)} (|\tilde{u}|^2 + |\tilde{h}\nabla\tilde{u}|^2) \, dx. \tag{3-63}$$

Recall that  $\lambda \in [a, b] \Subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , see (2-4), and assume for simplicity that a > 0. Hence  $a^{-2} \ge \lambda^{-2} \ge b^{-2} > 0$ . Then, applying (3-61) with  $\widetilde{R}_2$  and  $\widetilde{R}_1$  as in (3-62) and (3-63) yields that there exist constants  $C, C', \delta, h_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda \in I$  and any  $0 < h \le h_0$ ,

$$\begin{split} -\operatorname{Im} \int_{r=\tilde{R}_{2}} \tilde{h} \partial_{r} \tilde{u} \cdot \bar{\tilde{u}} \, d\sigma &\geq C \lambda \min\{1, b^{-2}\} \int_{r=\tilde{R}_{2}} (|\tilde{u}|^{2} + |\tilde{h}\nabla\tilde{u}|^{2}) \, d\sigma \\ &- \max\{1, a^{-2}\} C' \mathrm{e}^{-\delta|\lambda|/\tilde{h}} \int_{r=\tilde{R}_{1}} (|\tilde{u}|^{2} + |\tilde{h}\nabla\tilde{u}|^{2}) \, d\sigma \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} C a \min\{1, b^{-2}\} \int_{B(0, \tilde{R} - \eta, \tilde{R} + \eta)} (|\tilde{u}|^{2} + |\tilde{h}\nabla\tilde{u}|^{2}) \, dx \\ &- \max\{1, a^{-2}\} C' \mathrm{e}^{-\delta a/\tilde{h}} \int_{B(0, \tilde{R}_{1} - \eta, \tilde{R}_{1} + \eta)} (|\tilde{u}|^{2} + |\tilde{h}\nabla\tilde{u}|^{2}) \, dx. \end{split}$$

Then scaling back yields

$$-h^{-1/3} \operatorname{Im} \int_{r=\widetilde{R}_{2}h^{-1/3}} h \partial_{r} u \cdot \bar{u} \, d\sigma$$
  

$$\geq C_{1} \int_{A(\widetilde{R},\eta,h)} (|u|^{2} + |h\nabla u|^{2}) \, dx - C_{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\delta a/h^{4/3}} \int_{A(\widetilde{R}_{1},\eta,h)} (|u|^{2} + |h\nabla u|^{2}) \, dx \qquad (3-64)$$

for some constants  $C_1$ ,  $C_2 > 0$ . By (3-50) we get that

$$\int_{B(0,\tilde{R}_{2}h^{-1/3})} v\bar{u} \, dx = \int_{B(0,\tilde{R}_{2}h^{-1/3})} (P_{V} - \lambda^{2}) u \cdot \bar{u} \, dx$$
$$= \int_{B(0,\tilde{R}_{2}h^{-1/3})} ((V - \lambda^{2})|u|^{2} + |h\nabla u|^{2}) \, dx - \int_{r=\tilde{R}_{2}h^{-1/3}} h^{2} \partial_{r} u \cdot \bar{u} \, d\sigma.$$

Taking the imaginary part yields that

$$-\operatorname{Im}\int_{r=\widetilde{R}_{2}h^{-1/3}}h\partial_{r}u\cdot\bar{u}\,d\sigma=h^{-1}\operatorname{Im}(v\mid u).$$

This together with (3-64) yields the statement of Lemma 13.

#### 4. Resonance free region

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 3 and show that away from 0 there are no resonances superexponentially close to the real axis.

The proof is standard and can be found for instance in [18]. We will present it here for the reader's sake. The principal idea is that assuming (1-3) we can extend the resolvent  $(P_V - \mu^2)^{-1}$  holomorphically to  $\mu$  in an exponentially small disc centered at  $\lambda$  as an operator  $L^2_{\text{comp}} \rightarrow H^2_{\text{loc}}$ .

Here we are only interested in the poles of the resolvent close to the real axis. Therefore, let  $\lambda \in I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  so that (1-3) is valid and let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus i\mathbb{R}$  be a complex open neighborhood of *I* such that the resolvent

$$R_V(\mu) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (P_V - \mu^2)^{-1}, \quad \mu \in \Omega,$$

is holomorphic for Im  $\mu > 0$  and continues meromorphically to  $\Omega$ ; see Theorem 7. Next, notice that for  $\mu \in \Omega$ 

$$R_V(\mu) = R_0(\mu)(1 + Q(\mu))^{-1}, \tag{4-1}$$

where  $Q(\mu) = VR_0(\mu)$ . This expression makes sense since it holds for Im  $\mu > 0$  and by analytic Fredholm theory  $(1 + Q(\mu))^{-1}$  continues meromorphically from Im  $\mu > 0$  to  $\mu \in \Omega$ . To see this let first Im  $\mu > 0$ . Since  $\Omega$  does not contain any discrete spectrum of  $P_V$  — which is situated on  $i\mathbb{R}_+$  in the  $\mu$  variable we have that  $Q(\mu)$  is a holomorphic family compact operator  $L^2 \to L^2$  for Im  $\mu > 0$ . Recall (2-3), let  $R > R_0$ , and let  $1_{B(0,R_0)} \prec \chi \prec 1_{B(0,R)}$ . Recall from Theorem 7 that  $\chi R_0(\mu)\chi : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to H_0^2(B(0,R))$ is a holomorphic family of operators for  $\mu \in \Omega$ . Hence, by the Rellich–Kondrachov theorem,  $\chi R_0(\mu)\chi$ is a holomorphic family of compact operators  $L^2 \to L^2$ . Since  $V = V\chi$  it follows that  $1 + Q\chi$  is a holomorphic family of Fredholm operators  $L^2 \to L^2$  for  $\mu \in \Omega$ . Since  $(1 + Q\chi)^{-1}$  for Im  $\mu \gg 1$  exists by a Neumann series argument, it follows by analytic Fredholm theory that  $(1 + Q\chi)^{-1} : L^2 \to L^2$  extends to a meromorphic family of Fredholm operators to  $\mu \in \Omega$ .

Next, notice that  $(1 + Q) = (1 + Q(1 - \chi))(1 + Q\chi)$  and that  $(1 + Q(1 - \chi))^{-1} = (1 - Q(1 - \chi))$ has a holomorphic extension from Im  $\mu > 0$  to  $\mu \in \Omega$  as an operator  $L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Hence,  $(1+Q(\mu))^{-1}$  has a meromorphic extension from Im  $\mu > 0$  to  $\mu \in \Omega$  as an operator  $L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and, thus, (4-1) holds.

Let us now turn to the proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that  $\Omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus i\mathbb{R}$  is a relatively compact open complex neighborhood of the interval *I*. Let  $\mu \in \Omega$ , suppose that Im  $\mu \ge 0$ , and assume that  $R > R_0 + 1$ and let  $1_{B(0,R-1)} \prec \chi_0 \prec \chi_1 \prec \chi_2 \prec \chi_3 \prec 1_{B(0,R)}$  with  $\chi_j \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We approximate the interior part of the resolvent  $R_V(\mu)\chi_1$  by

$$A(\mu) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \chi_2 R_V(\lambda) \chi_1 - R_0(\mu) [P_0, \chi_2] R_V(\lambda) \chi_1$$

1.6

Then,

$$(P_{V} - \mu^{2})A(\mu) = \chi_{1} + [P_{0}, \chi_{2}]R_{V}(\lambda)\chi_{1} + \chi_{2}(\lambda^{2} - \mu^{2})R_{V}(\lambda)\chi_{1} - (1 + VR_{0}(\mu))[P_{0}, \chi_{2}]R_{V}(\lambda)\chi_{1} = \chi_{1} + \chi_{2}(\lambda^{2} - \mu^{2})R_{V}(\lambda)\chi_{1} - VR_{0}(\mu)[P_{0}, \chi_{2}]R_{V}(\lambda)\chi_{1}.$$

$$(4-2)$$

Next, set  $u = R_V(\lambda)\chi_1$ . Then, since  $1_{\sup V} \prec 1_{B(0,R-1)} \prec \chi_0 \prec \chi_1 \prec \chi_2 \prec \chi_3 \prec 1_{B(0,R)}$ , we see that

$$(P_0 - \lambda^2)(1 - \chi_2)u = (1 - \chi_2)\chi_1 - [P_0, \chi_2]u = -[P_0, \chi_2]R_V(\lambda)\chi_1,$$

which implies that

$$(1 - \chi_2)u = -R_0(\lambda)[P_0, \chi_2]R_V(\lambda)\chi_1.$$
(4-3)

A priori the above two expressions make sense for  $\text{Im } \lambda > 0$ , however, by analytic continuation, they hold as well for  $\lambda \in I$ .

Next, notice that the support of the term on the right-hand side of (4-3) is contained in supp $(1 - \chi_2)$ , which has empty intersection with the support of the potential V. Hence,

$$VR_0(\lambda)[P_0, \chi_2]R_V(\lambda)\chi_1 = 0.$$

Thus, by (4-2), we deduce that

$$(P_V - \mu^2)A(\mu) = \chi_1 + \chi_2(\lambda^2 - \mu^2)R_V(\lambda)\chi_1 + T$$
  
with  $T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -V\chi_3(R_0(\mu) - R_0(\lambda))\chi_3[P_0, \chi_2]R_V(\lambda)\chi_1.$  (4-4)

By (2-7), we have that  $\chi_3 R_0(\mu)\chi_3$ , as an operator from  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , is of norm  $\mathcal{O}(e^{C/h})$  uniformly for  $\mu \in \Omega$ . Then, the Cauchy inequalities imply that

$$\|\partial_{\mu}(\chi_3 R_0(\mu)\chi_3)\|_{L^2 \to L^2} = \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{e}^{C/h})$$

uniformly for  $\mu \in \widetilde{\Omega}$ , where  $\widetilde{\Omega} \Subset \Omega$  is a slightly smaller complex open neighborhood of *I* strictly contained in  $\Omega$ . Thus, for any  $\lambda \in I$  and any  $\mu \in \widetilde{\Omega}$ ,

$$\|\chi_3 R_0(\mu)\chi_3 - \chi_3 R_0(\lambda)\chi_3\|_{L^2 \to L^2} = \mathcal{O}(|\mu - \lambda|e^{C/h}).$$
(4-5)

By (1-5), we see that

$$\|[P_0, \chi_2]R_V(\lambda)\chi_1\|_{L^2 \to L^2} = \mathcal{O}(e^{Ch^{-4/3}\log 1/h}),$$

which in combination with (4-4), (4-5), and (1-2) gives that

1 0

$$||T||_{L^2 \to L^2} = \mathcal{O}(|\mu - \lambda| e^{Ch^{-4/3} \log 1/h}).$$
(4-6)

Notice that supp  $T \subset \text{supp } V \subset B(0, R_0)$ , which yields that T maps  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2_{\text{comp}}(B(0, R))$ .

For the exterior part of the resolvent  $R_V(\mu)(1-\chi_1)$  we use the approximation

$$B(\mu) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1 - \chi_0) R_0(\mu) (1 - \chi_1) + A(\mu) [P_0, \chi_0] R_0(\mu) (1 - \chi_1)$$

Then,

$$(P_V - \mu^2) B(\mu) = 1 - \chi_1 - [P_0, \chi_0] R_0(\mu) (1 - \chi_1) + (\chi_1 + \chi_2(\lambda^2 - \mu^2) R_V(\lambda) \chi_1 + T) [P_0, \chi_0] R_0(\mu) (1 - \chi_1) = (1 - \chi_1) + (\chi_2(\lambda^2 - \mu^2) R_V(\lambda) + T) [P_0, \chi_0] R_0(\mu) (1 - \chi_1).$$
(4-7)

Here, we used as well that  $\chi_0 \prec \chi_1$ .

Put  $\widetilde{R}(\mu) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A(\mu) + B(\mu) : L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, combining (4-4) and (4-7) gives

$$(P_V - \mu^2)\widetilde{R} = 1 + K \tag{4-8}$$

with

$$K = \chi_2(\lambda^2 - \mu^2)R_V(\lambda)(\chi_1 + [P_0, \chi_0]R_0(\mu)(1 - \chi_1)) + T(1 + [P_0, \chi_0]R_0(\mu)(1 - \chi_1)).$$
(4-9)

Using (2-7) we have that  $[P_0, \chi_0] R_0(\mu)$  as an operator from  $L^2_{\text{comp}}(B(0, R)) \to L^2_{\text{comp}}(B(0, R))$  is of norm  $\mathcal{O}(e^{C/h})$  uniformly for  $\mu \in \Omega$ . It then follows by (1-3) and (4-6) that

$$K: L^2_{\text{comp}}(B(0, R)) \to L^2_{\text{comp}}(B(0, R))$$
 (4-10)

has operator norm less than or equal to  $\mathcal{O}(|\lambda - \mu| e^{Ch^{-4/3} \log h^{-1}})$  for some constant C > 0. Therefore, if  $|\lambda - \mu| \le e^{-2Ch^{-4/3} \log h^{-1}}$ , for h > 0 small enough, it follows that (1 + K) has a bounded inverse

$$(1+K)^{-1}: L^2_{\text{comp}}(B(0,R)) \to L^2_{\text{comp}}(B(0,R))$$
 (4-11)

and we get that

$$R_V(\mu) = \widetilde{R}(\mu)(1+K)^{-1} : L^2_{\text{comp}}(B(0,R)) \to H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
(4-12)

is holomorphic for  $|\lambda - \mu| \le e^{-2Ch^{-4/3} \log h^{-1}}$  for h > 0 small enough. For  $\mu$  still in the same set, it follows by (4-1) that

$$R_V(\mu) = R_0(\mu) - R_V(\mu)Q(\mu) = R_0(\mu) - R_V(\mu)\chi_3Q(\mu).$$

Since both  $Q(\mu): L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $R_0(\mu): L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  are holomorphic families of operators, it follows by (4-12) that

$$R_V(\mu): L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
(4-13)

is holomorphic for  $|\lambda - \mu| \le e^{-2Ch^{-4/3} \log h^{-1}}$ , for h > 0 small enough, which completes the proof of Theorem 3.

#### 5. Decay of eigenfunctions of Schrödinger operators with bounded potentials

In this section we prove Theorem 5. Let  $d \ge 2$ , let  $0 \ne W \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $||W||_{\infty} \le C_W$ , and let *u* be a bounded solution to

$$-\Delta u + Wu = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \tag{5-1}$$

and suppose that *u* admits the estimate  $|u(x)| \le C \exp(-c|x|)$  for |x| > 1 and some constants C, c > 0. Notice that in particular  $u \in H_h^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the semiclassical Sobolev space. Let  $h \in (0, 1]$  and let  $U_h : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be the unitary map defined by

$$(U_h\phi)(x) = h^{d/2}\phi(hx).$$
 (5-2)

Then,

$$U_{h}^{*}(-\Delta + W)U_{h} = -h^{2}\Delta + W(h^{-1}x).$$
(5-3)

Let  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}; [0, 1])$  be such that supp  $\psi \subset (1 + \frac{1}{4}, 1 + \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\int \psi \, dx = 1$ . Then, set

$$\chi_h(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \chi_h(|x|) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 - \int_0^{|x|} \psi\left(1 + \frac{t-1}{h}\right) dt.$$

Notice that  $\chi_h \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; [0, 1])$  with support contained in the ball B(0, 2) independently of h > 0. Moreover,  $\chi_h \equiv 1$  on  $\overline{B(0, 1 + h/4)}$  and  $\chi_h = 0$  outside  $B(0, 1 + \frac{1}{2}h)$ . For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d \setminus \{0\}$  we have that the support of  $\partial^{\alpha} \chi_h$  is contained in the annulus  $B(0, 1 + \frac{1}{4}h, 1 + \frac{1}{2}h)$  with inner radius  $1 + \frac{1}{4}h$  and outer radius  $1 + \frac{1}{2}h$  and all derivatives satisfy the estimate  $\|\partial^{\alpha} \chi_h\|_{\infty} = \mathcal{O}(h^{1-|\alpha|})$  for  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Similarly, we can construct a  $\tilde{\chi}_h \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; [0, 1])$  so that  $\tilde{\chi}_h \equiv 1$  on supp  $\nabla \chi_h$  and  $\tilde{\chi}_h = 0$  outside the annulus B(0, 1, 1+h). Moreover, we can arrange so that all derivatives satisfy the estimate  $\|\partial^{\alpha} \tilde{\chi}_h\|_{\infty} = \mathcal{O}(h^{1-|\alpha|})$  for  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Set

$$\tilde{u}(x) = (U_h^* u)(x).$$

Then, by (5-1), (5-3),

$$(-h^{2}\Delta + W(h^{-1}x)\mathbf{1}_{B(0,2)}(x))\chi_{h}(x)\tilde{u}(x) = \chi_{h}(x)(-h^{2}\Delta + W(h^{-1}x))\tilde{u}(x) + [-h^{2}\Delta, \chi_{h}(x)]\tilde{u}(x)$$
  
=  $[-h^{2}\Delta, \chi_{h}(x)]\tilde{u}(x).$  (5-4)

Notice that

$$|[-h^2\Delta,\chi_h]\tilde{u}|^2 = |(-h^2\Delta\chi_h)\tilde{u} - 2h\nabla\chi_h \cdot h\nabla\tilde{u}|^2 \le 2|(-h^2\Delta\chi_h)\tilde{u}|^2 + 8|h\nabla\chi_h|^2|h\nabla\tilde{u}|^2.$$

Using that  $\tilde{\chi}_h \equiv 1$  on supp  $\nabla \chi_h \subset B(0, 1 + \frac{1}{4}h, 1 + \frac{1}{2}h)$  and the estimate on its derivatives, we see by integration by parts that

$$\int |[-h^{2}\Delta, \chi_{h}]\tilde{u}|^{2} dx \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{2}) \int_{B(0,1,1+h)} |\tilde{u}|^{2} dx + \mathcal{O}(h^{2}) \int_{\operatorname{supp} \nabla \chi_{h}} |h\nabla \tilde{u}|^{2} dx \\
\leq \mathcal{O}(h^{2}) \int_{B(0,1,1+h)} |\tilde{u}|^{2} dx + \mathcal{O}(h^{2}) \int_{B(0,1,1+h)} |h^{2}\Delta \tilde{u}|^{2} dx \\
\leq \mathcal{O}(h^{2}) \int_{B(0,1,1+h)} |\tilde{u}|^{2} dx,$$
(5-5)

where in the last line we used that  $-h^2 \Delta \tilde{u} = -W(h^{-1}x)\tilde{u}$  by (5-1), (5-3). Hence, setting  $V(x; h) = W(h^{-1}x)\mathbf{1}_{B(0,2)}(x) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with supp  $V \subset B(0, 2)$ , we get by (5-4), (5-5), and (5-2) that

$$\|(-h^{2}\Delta + V)\chi_{h}\tilde{u}\|^{2} = \mathcal{O}(h^{2})\int_{B(0,h^{-1},h^{-1}+1)}|u|^{2}\,dx \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varepsilon(h).$$
(5-6)

Next, we apply Lemma 9 with R = 3: there exists a real-valued smooth function  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and constants C > 0 and  $h_0 \in (0, 1]$  such that for any  $v \in C_c^{\infty}(B(0, 3))$  and all  $0 < h \le h_0$ 

$$\int e^{2\phi/h^{4/3}} (|v|^2 + |h\nabla v|^2) \, dx \le \frac{C}{h^{2/3}} \int e^{2\phi/h^{4/3}} |(-h^2\Delta + V)v|^2 \, dx. \tag{5-7}$$

Notice in particular from the proof of Lemma 9 that  $\phi = \max\{\|V\|_{\infty}, 1\}^{2/3}\phi_0$  where  $\phi_0$  is a smooth real-valued function which does not depend on the potential *V* as it stems from the Carleman estimate for the free Laplacian. In fact,  $\phi_0$  is a nonconstant function since one requires  $|d\phi_0| \neq 0$  for the Carleman estimate to work; see for instance [18]. Furthermore, since we assume that  $W \neq 0$  we obtain by an easy modification of the proof of Lemma 9 that we can take  $\phi = \|V\|_{\infty}^{2/3}\phi_0$  for h > 0 small enough. Let  $M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{B(0,3)} \phi_0 - \min_{B(0,3)} \phi_0 > 0$ , then, applying (5-7) to  $\chi_h \tilde{u}$ , we get in combination with (5-6) that

$$\int_{B(0,h^{-1})} |u|^2 dx \le Ch^{4/3} \mathrm{e}^{2M \|V\|_{\infty}^{2/3}/h^{4/3}} \int_{B(0,h^{-1},h^{-1}+1)} |u|^2 dx.$$
(5-8)

Since we assumed that  $||u||_2 = 1$ , we get that for h > 0 small enough,

$$\int_{B(0,h^{-1},h^{-1}+1)} |u|^2 dx \ge 2Ch^{-4/3} e^{-2M \|V\|_{\infty}^{2/3}/h^{4/3}}.$$
(5-9)

Setting  $R = h^{-1}$ , we conclude formula (1-10) and hence the proof of Theorem 5.

*Acknowledgments.* The second author was supported by the Erwin Schrödinger Fellowship J4039-N35, by the National Science Foundation grant DMS-1500852 and by CNRS Momentum. We would like to thank Maciej Zworski and Nicolas Burq for a very helpful and encouraging discussion. We would also like to thank the anonymous referee for his pertinent remarks to help improve this paper.

#### References

- [1] Denis Borisov, Martin Tautenhahn, and Ivan Veselić, Scale-free quantitative unique continuation and equidistribution estimates for solutions of elliptic differential equations, J. Math. Phys. 58 (2017), no. 12, art. id. 121502, 19 pp. MR 3738829 Zbl 1381.35027
- [2] Jean Bourgain and Carlos E. Kenig, *On localization in the continuous Anderson–Bernoulli model in higher dimension*, Invent. Math. **161** (2005), no. 2, 389–426. MR 2180453 Zbl 1084.82005
- [3] Nicolas Burq, *Décroissance de l'énergie locale de l'équation des ondes pour le problème extérieur et absence de résonance au voisinage du réel*, Acta Math. **180** (1998), no. 1, 1–29. MR 1618254 ZbI 0918.35081
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, Lower bounds for shape resonances widths of long range Schrödinger operators, Amer. J. Math. 124 (2002), no. 4, 677–735. MR 1914456 Zbl 1013.35019
- [5] F. Cardoso and G. Vodev, Uniform estimates of the resolvent of the Laplace–Beltrami operator on infinite volume Riemannian manifolds II, Ann. Henri Poincaré 3 (2002), no. 4, 673–691. MR 1933365 Zbl 1021.58016
- [6] Jean-Michel Combes, Peter D. Hislop, and Frédéric Klopp, An optimal Wegner estimate and its application to the global continuity of the integrated density of states for random Schrödinger operators, Duke Math. J. 140 (2007), no. 3, 469–498. MR 2362242
- [7] Kiril Datchev, *Quantitative limiting absorption principle in the semiclassical limit*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 24 (2014), no. 3, 740–747. MR 3213828 Zbl 1303.35005
- [8] Blair Davey, Carlos Kenig, and Jenn-Nan Wang, *The Landis conjecture for variable coefficient second-order elliptic PDEs*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **369** (2017), no. 11, 8209–8237. MR 3695859 Zbl 1375.35061
- [9] Abel Klein, Unique continuation principle for spectral projections of Schrödinger operators and optimal Wegner estimates for non-ergodic random Schrödinger operators, Comm. Math. Phys. 323 (2013), no. 3, 1229–1246. MR 3106507 Zbl 1281.47026
- [10] Frédéric Klopp, Resonances for large one-dimensional "ergodic" systems, Anal. PDE 9 (2016), no. 2, 259–352. MR 3513136 Zbl 1348.35210
- [11] V. A. Kondrat'ev and E. M. Landis, *Qualitative theory of second-order linear partial differential equations*, Partial differential equations 3 (V. P. Sakharova, ed.), Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, no. 32, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1988, In Russian, pp. 99–215, 220. MR 1133457
- [12] V. Z. Meshkov, On the possible rate of decay at infinity of solutions of second-order partial differential equations, Mat. USSR Sb. 72 (1992), no. 2, 343–351. MR 1110071 Zbl 0782.35010
- [13] Ivica Nakić, Matthias Täufer, Martin Tautenhahn, and Ivan Veselić, Scale-free uncertainty principles and Wegner estimates for random breather potentials, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 353 (2015), no. 10, 919–923. MR 3411222 Zbl 1327.47034
- [14] \_\_\_\_\_, Scale-free unique continuation principle for spectral projectors, eigenvalue-lifting and Wegner estimates for random Schrödinger operators, Anal. PDE **11** (2018), no. 4, 1049–1081. MR 3749376 Zbl 1383.35068
- [15] Constanza Rojas-Molina and Ivan Veselić, Scale-free unique continuation estimates and applications to random Schrödinger operators, Comm. Math. Phys. 320 (2013), no. 1, 245–274. MR 3046996 Zbl 1276.47051
- [16] Jacob Shapiro, Semiclassical resolvent bounds in dimension two, preprint, 2016. arXiv 1604.03852
- [17] \_\_\_\_\_, Semiclassical resolvent bound for compactly supported  $l^{\infty}$  potentials, preprint, 2018. arXiv 1802.09008
- [18] J. Sjöstrand, Lectures on resonances, lecture notes, 2002.
- [19] M. Tautenhahn and I. Veselić, Discrete alloy-type models: regularity of distributions and recent results, Markov Process. Related Fields 21 (2015), no. 3, 823–846. MR 3494776 Zbl 1338.60167
- [20] Georgi Vodev, Exponential bounds of the resolvent for a class of noncompactly supported perturbations of the Laplacian, Math. Res. Lett. 7 (2000), no. 2-3, 287–298. MR 1764323 Zbl 0960.35021
- [21] \_\_\_\_\_, Semi-classical resolvent estimates and regions free of resonances, Math. Nachr. 287 (2014), no. 7, 825–835. MR 3207192 Zbl 1296.35034
- [22] Maciej Zworski, Mathematical study of scattering resonances, Bull. Math. Sci. 7 (2017), no. 1, 1–85. Zbl 1368.35230

Received 1 Apr 2018. Revised 10 Jul 2018. Accepted 24 Aug 2018.

FRÉDÉRIC KLOPP: frederic.klopp@imj-prg.fr Sorbonne Université, Université Paris Diderot, CNRS, Institut de Mathématiques Jussieu – Paris Rive Gauche, Paris, France

MARTIN VOGEL: vogel@math.berkeley.edu Mathematics Department, University of California, Berkeley, CA, United States





#### msp.org/paa

#### **EDITORS-IN-CHIEF** Charles L. Epstein University of Pennsylvania cle@math.upenn.edu Maciej Zworski University of California at Berkeley zworski@math.berkeley.edu EDITORIAL BOARD Sir John M. Ball University of Oxford ball@maths.ox.ac.uk Michael P Brenner Harvard University brenner@seas.harvard.edu Charles Fefferman Princeton University cf@math.princeton.edu Susan Friedlander University of Southern California susanfri@usc.edu Anna Gilbert University of Michigan annacg@umich.edu Leslie F. Greengard Courant Institute, New York University, and Flatiron Institute, Simons Foundation greengard@cims.nyu.edu Yan Guo Brown University yan\_guo@brown.edu Claude Le Bris CERMICS - ENPC lebris@cermics.enpc.fr University of Toronto Robert J. McCann mccann@math.toronto.edu Michael O'Neil Courant Institute, New York University oneil@cims.nyu.edu Jill Pipher Brown University jill\_pipher@brown.edu Johannes Sjöstrand Université de Dijon johannes.sjostrand@u-bourgogne.fr Vladimir Šverák University of Minnesota sverak@math.umn.edu Daniel Tataru University of California at Berkeley tataru@berkeley.edu Michael I. Weinstein Columbia University miw2103@columbia.edu Jon Wilkening University of California at Berkeley wilken@math.berkeley.edu Enrique Zuazua DeustoTech-Bilbao, and Universidad Autónoma de Madrid enrique.zuazua@deusto.es PRODUCTION Silvio Levy (Scientific Editor) production@msp.org

**Cover image:** The figure shows the outgoing scattered field produced by scattering a plane wave, coming from the northwest, off of the (stylized) letters P A A. The total field satisfies the homogeneous Dirichlet condition on the boundary of the letters. It is based on a numerical computation by Mike O'Neil of the Courant Institute.

See inside back cover or msp.org/paa for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2019 is US \$495/year for the electronic version, and \$555/year (+\$25, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Pure and Applied Analysis (ISSN 2578-5885 electronic, 2578-5893 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

PAA peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

© 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# PURE and APPLIED ANALYSIS

vol. 1 no. 1 2019

Semiclassical resolvent estimates for bounded potentials	1	
FRÉDÉRIC KLOPP and MARTIN VOGEL		
The quantum Sabine law for resonances in transmission problems	27	
JEFFREY GALKOWSKI		
Dispersive estimates for the wave equation on Riemannian manifolds		
of bounded curvature		
YUANLONG CHEN and HART F. SMITH		

0 6 0 0