# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# ON THE DEFINITION OF NORMAL NUMBERS

IVAN NIVEN AND H. ZUCKERMAN

Vol. 1, No. 1 November 1951

# ON THE DEFINITION OF NORMAL NUMBERS

# IVAN NIVEN AND H.S. ZUCKERMAN

1. Introduction. Let R be a real number with fractional part  $x_1x_2x_3 \cdots$  when written to scale r. Let N(b,n) denote the number of occurrences of the digit b in the first n places. The number R is said to be  $simply \ normal$  to scale r if

(1) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{N(b, n)}{n} = \frac{1}{r}$$

for each of the r possible values of b; R is said to be *normal* to scale r if all the numbers  $R, rR, r^2R, \cdots$  are simply normal to all the scales  $r, r^2, r^3, \cdots$ . These definitions, for r = 10, were introduced by Émile Borel [1], who stated (p. 261) that "la propriété caractéristique" of a normal number is the following: that for any sequence B whatsoever of v specified digits, we have

(2) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{N(B,n)}{n}=\frac{1}{r^{\nu}},$$

where N(B, n) stands for the number of occurrences of the sequence B in the first n decimal places.

Several writers, for example Champernowne [2], Koksma [3, p.116], and Copeland and Erdös [4], have taken this property (2) as the definition of a normal number. Hardy and Wright [5, p.124] state that property (2) is equivalent to the definition, but give no proof. It is easy to show that a normal number has property (2), but the implication in the other direction does not appear to be so obvious. If the number R has property (2) then any sequence of digits

$$B = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n$$

appears with the appropriate frequency, but will the frequencies all be the same for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, v$  if we count only those occurrences of B such that  $b_1$  is an  $i, i + v, i + 2v, \dots -th$  digit? It is the purpose of this note to show that this is

Received August 14, 1950, and, in revised form, November 22, 1950.

so, and thus to prove the equivalence of property (2) and the definition of normal number.

2. Notation. In addition to the notation already introduced, we shall use the following:

 $S_{\alpha}$  is the first  $\alpha$  digits of R.

BXB is the totality of sequences of the form  $b_1b_2\cdots b_vxx\cdots xb_1b_2\cdots b_v$ , where  $xx\cdots x$  is any sequence of t digits.

 $k_i(\alpha)$  is the number of times that B occurs in  $S_{\alpha}$  with  $b_1$  in a place congruent to  $i \pmod{v}$ .

$$g(\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} k_i(\alpha).$$

 $\theta_t$  ( $\alpha$ ) is the number of occurrences of BXB in  $S_{\alpha}$ .

$$k_{i,j}(\alpha) = k_i(\alpha) - k_j(\alpha), \qquad i \neq j.$$

 $B^*$  is any block of digits of length from v + 1 to 2v - 1 whose first v digits are B and whose last v digits are B. Such a block need not exist.

3. Proof. We shall assume that the number R has the property (2), so that we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{g(n)}{n}=\frac{1}{r^{\nu}}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\theta_t(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{r^{2v}}$$

for each fixed t, and we prove that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{k_{i,j}(n)}{n}=0,$$

from which it follows that R is a normal number.

Now  $k_i(\alpha + s) - k_i(\alpha)$  is the number of B with  $b_1$  in a place congruent to to  $i \pmod{v}$  that are in  $S_{\alpha+s}$  but not entirely in  $S_{\alpha}$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{\substack{i < j \\ i = 0, 1, \dots, v-2 \\ j = 1, 2, \dots, v-1}} \{k_i(\alpha + s) - k_i(\alpha)\} \{k_j(\alpha + s) - k_j(\alpha)\}$$

counts the number of BXB and  $B^*$  that occur in  $S_{\alpha+s}$  such that the first B is not contained entirely in  $S_{\alpha}$ . Here the number t of digits in X runs through all values  $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{v}$  with  $0 \le t \le s - v - 1$ . We take  $n \ge s$  and sum the above expression to get

(6) 
$$\sigma = \sum_{\substack{\alpha=0 \\ i=0, 1, \dots, \nu-2 \\ j=1, 2, \dots, \nu-1}}^{n-s} \{k_i(\alpha+s) - k_i(\alpha)\} \{k_j(\alpha+s) - k_j(\alpha)\}.$$

Considering  $S_n$  and any BXB contained in it with  $t \leq s - v - 1$ , we see that BXB is counted in  $\sigma$  a certain number of times. In fact if BXB is not too near either end of  $S_n$  it is counted just s - t - v times and it is never counted more than this many times. Furthermore if BXB is preceded by at least s - t - 2v digits and is followed in  $S_n$  by at least s - t - v - 1 digits then BXB is counted exactly s - t - v times. Therefore we have, ignoring any  $B^*$  blocks which may be counted by  $\sigma$ ,

(7) 
$$\sigma \geq \sum_{\substack{t=0\\t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{v}}}^{s-v-1} (s-t-v) \{\theta_t(n-s)-\theta_t(s)\}.$$

Using (4) we find

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\theta_t(n-s)}{n}=\frac{1}{r^{2v}}$$

for any fixed s; hence, from (7), we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sigma}{n}\geq \sum_{\substack{t=0\\t\not\equiv 0 \pmod{v}}}^{s-v-1} (s-t-v)\frac{1}{r^{2v}}.$$

It is now convenient to take s, which is otherwise arbitrary, to be congruent to

 $0 \pmod{v}$ . Then the above formula reduces to

(8) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sigma}{n} \ge \frac{(v-1)(s-v)^2}{2v} \cdot \frac{1}{r^{2v}}.$$

In a similar manner we count the BXB in  $S_n$  where the number t of digits of X is congruent to  $0 \pmod{v}$ . This gives us

(9) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ k_i (\alpha + s) - k_i (\alpha) \right\} \left\{ k_i (\alpha + s) - k_i (\alpha) - 1 \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{t=0 \\ t \not\equiv 0 \pmod v}} (s - t - v) \frac{1}{r^{2v}} = \frac{s(s-v)}{2v} \cdot \frac{1}{r^{2v}}.$$

Now, by (3) we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} \{k_i(\alpha + s) - k_i(\alpha)\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-s} \{g(\alpha + s) - g(\alpha)\}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{\alpha=n-s+1}^{n} g(\alpha + s) - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{s-1} g(\alpha) \right\} = \frac{s}{2r^v} ,$$

and (9) reduces to

(10) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} \{k_i(\alpha+s) - k_i(\alpha)\}^2 = \frac{s}{r^{\nu}} + \frac{s(s-\nu)}{\nu r^{2\nu}}.$$

From (6), (8), and (10) we find that

(11) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-s} \sum_{\substack{i=0, 1, \dots, v-2 \\ j=1, 2, \dots, v-1}} \left\{ \left[ k_i(\alpha+s) - k_i(\alpha) \right] - \left[ k_j(\alpha+s) - k_j(\alpha) \right] \right\}^2$$

$$\leq \frac{(v-1)s}{v} + \frac{(v-1)(s-v)}{2v}$$

for any fixed  $s \equiv 0 \pmod{v}$ . Using the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 \ge \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \right)^2$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-s} \left\{ \left[ k_i(\alpha + s) - k_i(\alpha) \right] - \left[ k_j(\alpha + s) - k_j(\alpha) \right] \right\}^2$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{n-s+1} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-s} \left[ k_i(\alpha + s) - k_i(\alpha) - k_j(\alpha + s) + k_j(\alpha) \right] \right\}^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-s+1} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-s} \left[ k_{i,j}(\alpha + s) - k_{i,j}(\alpha) \right] \right\}^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-s+1} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=0}^{s-1} k_{i,j}(n-\alpha) - \sum_{\alpha=0}^{s-1} k_{i,j}(\alpha) \right\}^2.$$

This with (11) implies

(12) 
$$\frac{\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n(n-s+1)} \sum_{\substack{i < j \\ j=1, 2, \dots, v-1}} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=0}^{s-1} k_{i,j} (n-\alpha) - \sum_{\alpha=0}^{s-1} k_{i,j} (\alpha) \right\}^{2} \\
\leq \frac{(v-1)s}{r^{v}} + \frac{(v-1)(s-v)}{r^{2v}} .$$

From the definition we have  $|k_{i,j}(\alpha)| < \alpha$  and hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n(n-s+1)}\left\{\sum_{\alpha=0}^{s-1}k_{i,j}(\alpha)\right\}^2=0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n(n-s+1)} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{s-1} k_{i,j}(n-\alpha) \sum_{\alpha=0}^{s-1} k_{i,j}(\alpha) = 0$$

for fixed s.

Therefore (12) implies

$$\frac{\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n(n-s+1)} \sum_{\substack{i < j \\ j=1, 2, \dots, v-1 \\ j=1, 2, \dots, v-1}} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=0}^{s-1} k_{i,j} (n-\alpha) \right\}^{2} \\
\leq \frac{(v-1)s}{r^{v}} + \frac{(v-1)(s-v)}{r^{2v}} ,$$

which can be written in the form

$$\frac{\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty}}{n(n-s+1)} \sum_{\substack{i< j\\ j=1, 2, \cdots, v-1}} \left\{ s \, k_{i,j}(n) + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{s-1} \left[ k_{i,j}(n-\alpha) - k_{i,j}(n) \right] \right\}^{2} \\
\leq \frac{(v-1)s}{r^{v}} + \frac{(v-1)(s-v)}{r^{2v}} .$$

But  $|k_{i,j}(n-\alpha)-k_{i,j}(n)| \leq 2\alpha$  so that this implies

$$\frac{\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n(n-s+1)} \sum_{\substack{i < j, \\ j=1, 2, \dots, \nu-1 \\ j=1, 2, \dots, \nu-1}} s^{2} \{k_{i,j}(n)\}^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{(\nu-1)s}{r^{\nu}} + \frac{(\nu-1)(s-\nu)}{r^{2\nu}}$$

or

$$\overline{\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\ i=0,\ 1,\ \cdots,\ v-2\\ j=1,\ 2,\ \cdots,\ v-1}}} \sum_{\substack{i< j\\ n(n-s+1)}} \frac{\{k_{i,j}(n)\}^2}{n(n-s+1)} \leq \frac{v-1}{sr^v} + \frac{(v-1)(s-v)}{s^2r^{2v}}.$$

From this we have

$$\overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} \frac{\{k_{i,j}(n)\}^2}{n^2} = \overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} \frac{\{k_{i,j}(n)\}^2}{n(n-s+1)} \le \frac{v-1}{s r^v} + \frac{(v-1)(s-v)}{s^2 r^{2v}}$$

for any fixed  $s \equiv 0 \pmod{v}$ . Since the right member can be made arbitrarily small, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{|k_{i,j}(n)|}{n}=0$$

or

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{k_{i}(n)}{n}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{k_{j}(n)}{n}.$$

### REFERENCES

- 1. Émile Borel, Les probabilités dénombrables et leurs applications arithmétiques, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 27 (1909), 247-271.
- 2. D. G. Champernowne, The construction of decimals normal in the scale of ten, J. London Math. Soc., 8 (1933), 254-260.
- 3. J. F. Koksma, *Diophantische Approximationen*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, Band 1, Heft 4, Springer, Berlin, 1937.
- 4. Arthur H. Copeland and Paul Erdös, Note on normal numbers, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 52 (1946), 857-860.
- 5. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *The Theory of Numbers*, Second Edition, Oxford University Press, London, 1945.

University of Oregon and University of Washington

### **EDITORS**

HERBERT BUSEMANN University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California R. M. ROBINSON University of California Berkeley 4, California

E. F. BECKENBACH, Managing Editor University of California Los Angeles 24, California

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. P. DILWORTH HERBERT FEDERER MARSHALL HALL P. R. HALMOS HEINZ HOPF R. D. JAMES BØRGE JESSEN PAUL LÉVY GEORGE PÓLYA J. J. STOKER E. G. STRAUS KÔSAKU YOSIDA

### **SPONSORS**

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS,
INSTITUTE FOR NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

Vari-Type Composition by Cecile Leonard Ruth Stafford

With the cooperation of E. F. Beckenbach E. G. Straus

Printed in the United States of America by Edwards Brothers, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PRESS • BERKELEY AND LOS ANGELES COPYRIGHT 1951 BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

# **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 1, No. 1 November, 1951

Ralph Palmer Agnew, Ratio tests for convergence of series	1		
Richard Arens and James Dugundji, Topologies for function spaces			
B. Arnold, Distributive lattices with a third operation defined	33		
R. Bing, Concerning hereditarily indecomposable continua			
David Dekker, Generalizations of hypergeodesics			
A. Dvoretzky, A. Wald and J. Wolfowitz, Relations among certain ranges of			
vector measures	59		
Paul Erdős, F. Herzog and G. Pirani, Schlicht Taylor series whose			
convergence on the unit circle is uniform but not absolute	75		
Whilhelm Fischer, On Dedekind's function $\eta(\tau)$			
Werner Leutert, The heavy sphere supported by a concentrated force			
Ivan Niven and H. Zuckerman, On the definition of normal numbers	103		
L. Paige, Complete mappings of finite groups	111		
Otto Szász, On a Tauberian theorem for Abel summability			
Olga Taussky, Classes of matrices and quadratic fields	127		
F. Tricomi and A. Erdélyi, The asymptotic expansion of a ratio of gamma			
functions	133		
Hassler Whitney, On totally differentiable and smooth functions	143		