

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CHANGES OF SIGN OF SUMS OF RANDOM VARIABLES

PAUL ERDŐS AND GILBERT AGNEW HUNT, JR.

CHANGES OF SIGN OF SUMS OF RANDOM VARIABLES

P. ERDÖS AND G. A. HUNT

1. Introduction. Let x_1, x_2, \dots be independent random variables all having the same continuous symmetric distribution, and let

$$s_k = x_1 + \dots + x_k.$$

Our purpose is to prove statements concerning the changes of sign in the sequence of partial sums s_1, s_2, \dots which do not depend on the particular distribution the x_k may have.

The first theorem estimates the expectation of N_n , the number of changes of sign in the finite sequence s_1, \dots, s_{n+1} . Here and later we write $\phi(k)$ for

$$\frac{2(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 1)}{k+1} \binom{k}{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} 2^{-k} \approx (2\pi k)^{-1/2}.$$

THEOREM 1.

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2(k+1)} \leq E\{N_n\} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \phi(k).$$

It is known (see [1]) that, with probability one,

$$(1) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_n}{(n \log \log n)^{1/2}} = 1$$

when the x_k are the Rademacher functions. We conjecture, but have not been able to prove, that (1) remains true, provided the equality sign be changed to \leq , for all sequences of identically distributed independent symmetric random variables. We have had more success with lower limits:

THEOREM 2. *With probability one,*

Received November 13, 1952. The preparation of this paper was sponsored (in part) by the Office of Naval Research, USN.

Pacific J. Math. 3 (1953), 673-687

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_n}{\log n} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

By considering certain subsequences of the partial sums we obtain an exact limit theorem which is still independent of the distribution of the x_k : Let α be a positive number and a the first integer such that $(1 + \alpha)^a \geq 2$; let $1', 2', \dots$ be any sequence of natural numbers satisfying $(k + 1)' \geq (1 + \alpha)k'$; and let N'_n be the number of changes of sign in the sequence s'_1, \dots, s'_{n+1} , where s'_k stands for $s_{k'}$.

THEOREM 3. $E\{N'_n\} \geq [n/a]/8$, and, with probability one,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N'_n}{E\{N'_n\}} = 1.$$

For $k' = 2^k$, it is easy to see that $E\{N'_n\} = n/4$; so with probability one the number of changes of sign in the first n terms of the sequence $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{2^k}, \dots$ is asymptotic to $n/4$.

The basis of our proofs is the combinatorial Lemma 2 of the next section. When translated into the language of probability, this gives an immediate proof of Theorem 1. We prove Theorem 3 in §3 and then use it to prove Theorem 2. A sequence of random variables for which $N_n/\log n \rightarrow 1/2$ is exhibited in §4; thus the statement of Theorem 2 is in a way the best possible. Finally we sketch the proof of the following theorem, which was discovered by Paul Lévy [2] when the x_k are the Rademacher functions.

THEOREM 4. With probability one,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\text{sgn } s_k}{k} = o(\log n).$$

Our results are stated only for random variables with continuous distributions. Lemma 3, slightly altered to take into account cases of equality, remains true however for discontinuous distributions; the altered version is strong enough to prove the last three theorems as they stand and the first theorem with the extreme members slightly changed. The symmetry of the x_k is of course essential in all our arguments.

2. Combinatorial lemmas. Let a_1, \dots, a_n be positive numbers which are free in the sense that no two of the sums $\pm a_1 \pm \dots \pm a_n$ have the same value.

These sums, arranged in decreasing order, we denote by S_1, \dots, S_{2^n} ; q_i is the excess of plus signs over minus signs in S_i ; and $Q_i = q_1 + \dots + q_i$. It is clear that $Q_{2^n} = 0$ and that $Q_i = Q_{2^n - i}$ for $1 \leq i < 2^n$.

LEMMA 1. For $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}$,

$$0 \leq Q_i - i \leq ([n/2] + 1) \binom{n}{[n/2]} - 2^{n-1}.$$

The proof of the first inequality, which is evident for $n = 1$, goes by induction. Suppose $n > 1$ and $i \leq 2^{n-1}$. Define S'_j and Q'_j for $1 \leq j \leq 2^{n-1}$ just as S_j and Q_j were defined above, but using only a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} . Let k and l be the greatest integers such $S'_k - a_n \geq S_i$ and $S'_l + a_n \geq S_i$. It may happen that no such k exists; then $i = l$ and the proof is relatively easy. Otherwise $k \leq l$, $k \leq 2^{n-2}$, and $i = k + l$. If $l \leq 2^{n-2}$ then

$$Q_i = Q'_k - k + Q'_l + l = (Q'_k - k) + (Q'_l - l) + 2l \geq i.$$

If $2^{n-2} < l < 2^{n-1}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_i &= Q'_k - k + Q'_l + l = Q'_k - k + Q'_{2^{n-1}-l} + l \\ &= (Q'_k - k) + (Q'_{2^{n-1}-l} - 2^{n-1} + l) + 2^{n-1} - l + l \geq 2^{n-1} \geq i. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $l = 2^{n-1}$ then, recalling $Q'_{2^{n-1}} = 0$, we get

$$Q_i = Q'_k - k + Q'_{2^{n-1}} + 2^{n-1} \geq 2^{n-1} \geq i.$$

In order to prove the second inequality we note that for each i the maximum of Q_i is attained if the a_i are given such values that $S_j > S_k$ implies $q_j \geq q_k$, —this happens if the a_j are nearly equal. Assume this situation. Then if n is odd q_i is positive for $i \leq i_0 = 2^{n-1}$ and $Q_i - i$ is maximum for $i = i_0$. We have

$$Q_{i_0} - i_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]} (n - 2k) \binom{n}{k} - 2^{n-1} = ([n/2] + 1) \binom{n}{[n/2]} - 2^{n-1}.$$

A similar computation for n even gives

$$2^{n-1} - \binom{n}{n/2}$$

for the index i_0 of the maximum and the same expression for $Q_{i_0} - i_0$. This completes the proof.

If c_1, \dots, c_{n+1} are real numbers let $m(c_1, \dots, c_{n+1})$ be the number of indices j for which

$$|c_j| > \left| \sum_{i \neq j} c_i \right|.$$

We now consider $n+1$ positive numbers a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} which are 'free' in the sense explained above, and define

$$M = M(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) = \sum m(\pm a_1, \dots, \pm a_{n+1}),$$

the summation being taken over all combinations of plus signs and minus signs.

LEMMA 2.

$$2^{n+1} \leq M \leq 4([\frac{n}{2}] + 1) \binom{n}{[\frac{n}{2}]}$$

It is clear that $M = 2^{n+1}$ if

$$a_{n+1} > a_1 + \dots + a_n,$$

and we reduce the other cases to this one by computing the change in M as a_{n+1} is increased to $a_1 + \dots + a_n + 1$. Using the notation of Lemma 1, we suppose that $S_{i+1} < a_{n+1} < S_i$, where i of course is not greater than 2^{n-1} , and that a'_{n+1} is a number slightly greater than S_i . We now compare $M(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1})$ with $M(a_1, \dots, a_n, a'_{n+1})$. The inequality $a_{n+1} < S_i$ becomes $a'_{n+1} > S_i$ if a_{n+1} is replaced by a'_{n+1} , and we see that there is a contribution $+4$ to M coming from the terms $\pm a'_{n+1}$ in the four sums $\pm S_i \pm a'_{n+1}$. In like manner, each $+a_j$ occurring in S_i contributes -4 to M , and each $-a_j$ in S_i contributes $+4$ if j is less than $n+1$. So

$$M(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}) - M(a_1, \dots, a_n, a'_{n+1}) = 4(q_i - 1),$$

where q_i has the meaning explained at the beginning of this section. Thus increasing a_{n+1} to $a_1 + \dots + a_n + 1$ decreases M by

$$4(Q_i - i) = 4 \sum_{j \leq i} (q_j - 1),$$

and Lemma 2 follows from Lemma 1.

There is another more direct way of establishing the first inequality of Lemma 2. Since the inequality is trivial for $n = 1$, we proceed by induction. Considering the numbers $(a_1 + a_2), a_3, \dots, a_{n+1}$ we assume that there are at least 2^{n-2} inequalities of the form

$$(2) \qquad a_j > U \qquad (j > 2)$$

or

$$(3) \qquad (a_1 + a_2) > V,$$

where the right members are positive, and U is a sum over $(a_1 + a_2), a_3, \dots, a_{j-1}, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}$ with appropriate signs, and V is a sum over a_3, \dots, a_{n+1} . From (2) we obtain an inequality (2') by dropping the parentheses from $(a_1 + a_2)$ in U ; from (3) we obtain an inequality (3'): $a_1 > a_2 - V$ or $a_1 > V - a_2$ according as a_2 is greater or less than V (we assume without loss of generality that $a_1 > a_2$). We consider also the numbers $(a_1 - a_2), a_3, \dots, a_{n+1}$ and inequalities

$$(4) \qquad a_j > \bar{U} \qquad (j > 2)$$

$$(5) \qquad (a_1 - a_2) > \bar{V},$$

of which we assume there are at least 2^{n-2} . From (4) we derive an inequality (4') by dropping the parentheses from $(a_1 - a_2)$ in \bar{U} , and from (5) we derive an inequality (5'): $a_1 > a_2 + \bar{V}$. It is easy to see that no two of the primed inequalities are the same. Hence there must be at least $2 \cdot 2^{n-2} = 2^{n-1}$ inequalities

$$a_i > \sum_{j \neq i} \pm a_j \qquad (1 \leq i \leq n + 1)$$

in which the right member is positive. Taking into account the four possibilities of attributing signs to the members of each inequality we get the first statement of the lemma.

We now translate our result into terms of probability.

LEMMA 3.

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \leq \Pr \{ |x_{n+1}| > |x_1 + \dots + x_n| \} \leq \phi(n).$$

Here of course the random variables satisfy the conditions imposed at the beginning of § 1, and $\phi(n)$ is the function defined there. Since the joint distribution of the x_i is unchanged by permuting the x_i or by multiplying an x_i by -1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr \left\{ |x_{n+1}| > \left| \sum_1^n x_i \right| \right\} &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \Pr \left\{ |x_i| > \left| \sum_{j \neq i} x_j \right| \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} E \{ m(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} E \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{+,-} m(\pm |x_1|, \dots, \pm |x_{n+1}|) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n+1)2^{n+1}} E \{ M(|x_1|, \dots, |x_{n+1}|) \}, \end{aligned}$$

where m and M are the functions defined above. Since $|x_1|, \dots, |x_{n+1}|$ are ‘free’ with probability one (because the distribution of the x_i is continuous), Lemma 3 follows at once from Lemma 2.

Our later proofs could be made somewhat simpler than they stand if we could use the inequality

$$\frac{m}{m+n} \leq P_{m,n} \equiv \Pr \left\{ \left| \sum_1^n x_i \right| < \left| \sum_{n+1}^{n+m} x_i \right| \right\} \leq \phi([n/m])$$

for $m \leq n$. This generalization of Lemma 3 we have been unable to prove; and indeed a corresponding generalization of Lemma 2 is false. However, we shall use

$$(6) \quad P_{m,n} \leq 6 \phi([n/m]) < 3[n/m]^{-1/2},$$

and establish it in the following manner:

Let $a = [n/m]$, and write

$$u = x_1 + \dots + x_{am},$$

$$v = x_{am+1} + \dots + x_n,$$

$$w = x_{n+1} + \dots + x_{n+m},$$

$$z = y_{n+1} + \dots + y_{am+m},$$

where the y_k have the same distribution as the x_j , and the x_j and y_k taken together form an independent set of random variables. Let E be the set on which the four inequalities

$$|w| < |u \pm v \pm z|$$

hold; by Lemma 3 the probability of any one of these inequalities is at least $1 - \phi(a + 1)$; hence E has probability at least $1 - 4\phi(a + 1)$. Similarly the probability of the set F on which the two inequalities $|v \pm z| < |u|$ hold in at least $1 - 2\phi(a)$. Now clearly $|u + v| > |w|$ on EF and also

$$\Pr\{EF\} \geq 1 - 2\phi(a) - 4\phi(a + 1) \geq 1 - 6\phi(a).$$

3. Proofs of Theorems 1, 2, 3. It is easy to see that the probability of s_k and s_{k+1} differing in sign is one-half the probability of s_{k+1} being larger in absolute value than s_k . Thus

$$E\{N_n\} = \sum_1^n \Pr\{s_k s_{k+1} < 0\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_1^n \Pr\{|x_{k+1}| > |s_k|\},$$

and Lemma 3 implies Theorem 1.

Let us turn to Theorem 3. Clearly the probability of s_k' and

$$s_{2k}' = \sum_1^{2k'} x_j$$

differing in sign is $1/4$. Also, $s_{k+a} - s_{2k}'$ is independent of both s_k' and s_{2k}' , for

$$(k + a)' \geq (1 + \alpha)^a k' \geq 2k'.$$

Thus $s_{k+a}' - s_{2k}'$ has an even chance of taking on the same sign as s_{2k}' ; so

we must have

$$\Pr\{s'_k s'_{k+a} < 0\} \geq \frac{1}{2} \Pr\{s'_k s'_{2k} < 0\} = 1/8.$$

Now, if $s'_k s'_{k+a} < 0$ then must be at least one change of sign in the sequence $s'_k, s'_{k+1}, \dots, s'_{k+a}$. Hence, if p_k is the probability of s'_k and s'_{k+1} differing in sign, we have

$$p_k + \dots + p_{k+a-1} \geq \frac{1}{8},$$

and consequently

$$(7) \quad E\{N'_n\} = \sum_1^n p_k \geq \frac{1}{8} [n/a].$$

This proves the first half of the theorem.

As a preliminary to proving the second half of the theorem we show that the variance of N'_n is $O(n)$ by estimating the probabilities

$$p_{i,j} = \Pr\{s'_i s'_{i+1} < 0 \text{ \& } s'_j s'_{j+1} < 0\}.$$

Suppose that $i < j$; set

$$u = s'_i, \quad v = s'_{i+1} - s'_i, \quad w = s'_j - s'_{i+1}, \quad z = s'_{j+1} - s'_j;$$

and define the events

$$\begin{aligned} A &: uv < 0, \\ B &: |u| < |v|, \\ C &: (u + v + w)z < 0, \\ D &: |u + v + w| < |z|, \\ D' &: |w| < |z|, \\ E &: |z - w| > |u + v|. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$p_i = \Pr\{AB\}, p_j = \Pr\{CD\}, \text{ and } p_{i,j} = \Pr\{ABCD\}.$$

One sees immediately that A, B, C, D' are independent, and that $ED = ED'$. Writing \tilde{E} for the complement of E , we have

$$ABCD = \tilde{E}ABCD + EABCD' \subset \tilde{E} + ABCD',$$

and

$$D' \subset \tilde{E} + D.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\{ABCD\} &\leq \Pr\{\tilde{E}\} + \Pr\{ABC\}\Pr\{D'\} \\ &\leq \Pr\{\tilde{E}\} + \Pr\{ABC\}(\Pr\{\tilde{E}\} + \Pr\{D\}) \\ &\leq \Pr\{AB\}\Pr\{C\}\Pr\{D\} + 2\Pr\{\tilde{E}\} = p_i p_j + 2\Pr\{\tilde{E}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note now that $z - w$ is the sum of $(j + 1)' - (i + 1)'$ of the x 's, and $u + v$ is the sum of $(i + 1)'$, of the x 's, and that moreover

$$(j + 1)' - (i + 1)' \geq [(1 + \alpha)^{j-i} - 1] (i + 1)'.$$

We may thus apply the inequality (6) following Lemma 3 to obtain

$$\Pr\{\tilde{E}\} < 3 [(1 + \alpha)^{j-i} - 2]^{-1/2}$$

provided $j - i \geq a$. This yields an upper bound for $p_{i,j}$; a similar argument yields a corresponding lower bound. We have finally

$$p_{i,j} = p_i p_j + O\{|1 + \alpha|^{-|i-j|/2}\}$$

for all i and j . This estimate shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad E\{N_n'^2\} &\equiv \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} p_{ij} \\ &= \sum p_i p_j + \sum O\{(1 + \alpha)^{-|i-j|/2}\} = E\{N_n'\}^2 + O(n). \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote $E\{N_k'\}$ by b_k . It follows from (7), (8), and Tchebycheff's

inequality that

$$\Pr \left\{ \left| \frac{N'_k}{b_k} - 1 \right| > \epsilon \right\} < \frac{c}{\epsilon^2 k}$$

for an appropriate constant c and for all positive ϵ . Thus

$$\Pr \left\{ \left| \frac{N'_{k^2}}{b_{k^2}} - 1 \right| > \epsilon \right\}$$

is the k th term of a convergent series, so that according to the lemma of Borel and Cantelli

$$\frac{N'_{k^2}}{b_{k^2}} \rightarrow 1$$

with probability one. Note also that

$$\frac{b_{k^2}}{b_{(k+1)^2}} \rightarrow 1.$$

Now for every natural number n we have

$$\frac{N'_{k^2}}{b_{(k+1)^2}} \leq \frac{N'_n}{b_n} \leq \frac{N'_{(k+1)^2}}{b_{k^2}},$$

with k so chosen that $k^2 \leq n < (k+1)^2$. Since the extreme members tend to one as n increases, the proof of the second half of Theorem 3 is complete.

Theorem 2 is obtained from Theorem 3 in the following way. Let r be a large integer and let $1', 2', \dots$ be the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} & r, (r+1), \\ & r^2, r(r+1), (r+1), (r+1)^2, \\ & \dots \\ & r^l, r^{l-1}(r+1), \dots, (r+1)^l, \\ & r^m, r^{m-1}(r+1), \dots, (r+1)^m, \\ & \dots \end{aligned}$$

where m is defined by

$$r^{m+1} \geq (r + 1)^{l+1} > r^m.$$

Let us call j 'favorable' if $(j + 1)' = (1 + 1/r)j'$. Then it is easy to see that:

- a) $(1 + 1/r)j' \leq (j + 1)' \leq (1 + r)j'$ for all j ;
- b) there are $k + o(k)$ favorable j less than k (as $k \rightarrow \infty$);
- c) $\log k' = k \log (1 + 1/r) + o(k)$.

Now, if j is favorable then

$$j' = r\{(j + 1)' - j'\}$$

and we may apply Lemma 3 to $s_{j'}$ and $s_{j'+1} - s_{j'}$. Thus

$$\Pr \{s_{j'} s_{j'+1} < 0\} = \frac{1}{2} \Pr \{|s_{j'+1} - s_{j'}| > |s_{j'}|\} \geq \frac{1}{2(1+r)}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E \{N'_k\} &= \sum_{j=1}^k \Pr \{s_{j'} s_{j'+1} < 0\} \\ &\geq \sum_{j \text{ favorable}} \Pr \{s_{j'} s_{j'+1} < 0\} \geq \frac{k}{2(r+1)} + o(k). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for every natural number n

$$\frac{N_n}{\log n} \geq \frac{N'_k}{\log (k + 1)'},$$

where k is chosen so that $k' \leq n < (k + 1)'$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2N_n}{\log n} &\geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2N'_k}{\log (k + 1)'} = \liminf \frac{2N'_k}{(k + 1) \log (1 + 1/r)} \\ &\geq \liminf \frac{N'_k}{E \{N'_k\} (r + 1) \log (1 + 1/r)} = \frac{1}{(r + 1) \log (1 + 1/r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ we have Theorem 2.

4. An example. Our construction of a sequence x_1, x_2, \dots for which $N_n/\log n \rightarrow 1/2$ with probability one depends on the following observations. For given k define the random index $i = i(k)$ by the condition

$$|x_i| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq k+1} |x_j|,$$

and let A_k be the event $|x_i| > \sum |x_j|$, where the summation is over $j \neq i$, $1 \leq j \leq k+1$. Let f_k be the characteristic function of the event ' $s_k s_{k+1} < 0$,' and g_k is the characteristic function of the event ' $i(k) = k+1$ and further $(x_1 + \dots + x_k)x_{k+1} < 0$ '. It is clear that g_1, g_2, \dots are independent random variables, that

$$2 \Pr \{g_k = 1\} = \frac{1}{(k+1)},$$

and that the strong law of large numbers applies to the sequence g_1, g_2, \dots also $f_k = g_k$ on A_k ; if moreover $\sum \Pr \{\tilde{A}_k\} < \infty$ (here \tilde{A}_k is the complement of A_k) then, with probability one, $f_k = g_k$ for all but a finite number of indices. In this case we have, with probability one,

$$N_n = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k + O(1) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2(k+1)} + o(\log n),$$

the last step being the strong law of large numbers applied to g_1, g_2, \dots . Thus, in order to produce the example, we have only to choose the x_j so that, say,

$$\Pr \{\tilde{A}_k\} = O(k^{-2}).$$

To do this we take $x_j = \pm \exp(\exp 1/u_j)$, where u_1, u_2, \dots is a sequence of independent random variables each of which is uniformly distributed on the interval $(0, 1)$ and the \pm stands for multiplication by the j th Rademacher function. For a given k let y and z be the least and the next to least of u_1, \dots, u_{k+1} . The joint density function of y and z is

$$(k+1)k(1-z)^{k-1} \quad (0 < y < z < 1).$$

Consequently the event

$$D_k : \frac{1}{y} > \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{k^2}$$

has probability

$$k(k+1) \int_0^{k^2/(k^2+1)} dy \int_{k^2 y/(k^2-y)}^1 (1-z)^{k-1} dz = 1 + O(k^{-2}),$$

and the event $E_k : 1/z > 3 \log k$ also has probability $1 + O(k^{-2})$. It is easy to verify that the event A_k defined above contains $D_k E_k$; thus

$$\Pr \{ \tilde{A}_k \} = O(k^{-2}),$$

and our example is completed.

5. Proof of Theorem 4. We prove Theorem 4 in the form

$$T_n \equiv \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ s_k > 0}} \frac{1}{k} = \frac{1}{2} \log n + o(\log n)$$

by much the same method as we proved Theorem 2. First,

$$E \{ T_n \} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_1^n \frac{1}{k} = \frac{1}{2} \log n + o(1).$$

Next, the inequality following Lemma 3 yields

$$\Pr \{ |s_l - s_k| < |s_k| \} \leq 3 \left[\frac{k}{l-k} \right]^{1/2} \quad (l \geq 2k),$$

so that

$$\Pr \{ |s_l - s_k| < |s_k| \} = O\left(\frac{k}{l}\right)^{1/2}$$

for $l > k$. Consequently

$$\Pr \{ s_k > 0 \ \& \ s_l > 0 \} = \frac{1}{4} + O\left(\frac{k}{l}\right)^{1/2} \quad (l > k).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\{T_n^2\} &\equiv \sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} \frac{1}{kl} \Pr\{s_k > 0 \ \& \ s_l > 0\} \\
 &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{1}{k^2} \Pr\{s_k > 0\} + 2 \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \frac{1}{kl} \Pr\{s_k > 0 \ \& \ s_l > 0\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_1^n \frac{1}{k^2} + 2 \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l \leq n} \frac{1}{kl} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} + O\left(\frac{k}{l}\right)^{1/2} \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} (\log n)^2 + O(\log n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the variance of T_n is of the order of $\log n$. Setting $n(k) = 2^{k^2}$, we have, according to Tchebycheff's inequality,

$$\Pr \left\{ \left| \frac{T_{n(k)}}{\log n(k)} - 1 \right| > \epsilon \right\} \leq \frac{c}{\epsilon^2 k^2}$$

for an appropriate constant c and all positive ϵ . Since the right member is the k th term of a convergent series, the lemma of Borel and Cantelli implies that

$$\frac{T_{n(k)}}{\log n(k)} \rightarrow 1$$

with probability one. Note also that

$$\frac{\log n(k+1)}{\log n(k)} \rightarrow 1.$$

Now, for any n ,

$$\frac{T_{n(k)}}{\log n(k+1)} \leq \frac{T_n}{\log n} \leq \frac{T_{n(k+1)}}{\log n(k)},$$

where k is so chosen that $n(k) \leq n \leq n(k+1)$. Here the extreme members almost certainly tend to one as n increases. This proves Theorem 4.

REFERENCES

1. Kai Lai Chung and G. A. Hunt, *The zeros of $\sum \pm 1$* , Ann. of Math. 50 (1949), 385-400.
2. P. Lévy, *Théorie de l'addition des variables aléatoires*, Paris, 1937.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF LONDON

CORNELL UNIVERSITY

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS, LOS ANGELES

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

R. M. ROBINSON
University of California
Berkeley 4, California

R. P. DILWORTH
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena 4, California

E. HEWITT
University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

E. F. BECKENBACH
University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

H. BUSEMANN P. R. HALMOS
HERBERT FEDERER HEINZ HOPF
MARSHALL HALL R. D. JAMES

BØRGE JESSEN J. J. STOKER
PAUL LÉVY E. G. STRAUS
GEORGE PÓLYA KÔSAKU YOSIDA

SPONSORS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS,
INSTITUTE FOR NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any of the editors except Robinson, whose term expires with the completion of the present volume; they might also be sent to M. M. Schiffer, Stanford University, Stanford, California, who is succeeding Robinson. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, E. F. Beckenbach, at the address given above.

Authors are entitled to receive 100 free reprints of their published papers and may obtain additional copies at cost.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$2.50. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to the publishers, University of California Press, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Ann Arbor, Michigan. Entered as second class matter at the Post Office, Berkeley, California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PRESS · BERKELEY AND LOS ANGELES

COPYRIGHT 1953 BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Paul Erdős and Gilbert Agnew Hunt, Jr., <i>Changes of sign of sums of random variables</i>	673
Paul Erdős and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>On linear independence of sequences in a Banach space</i>	689
Haim Hanani, <i>On sums of series of complex numbers</i>	695
Melvin Henriksen, <i>On the prime ideals of the ring of entire functions</i>	711
Irving Kaplansky, <i>Completely continuous normal operators with property L</i>	721
Samuel Karlin, <i>Some random walks arising in learning models. I</i>	725
William Judson LeVeque, <i>On uniform distribution modulo a subdivision</i>	757
Lee Lorch, <i>Derivatives of infinite order</i>	773
Ernest A. Michael, <i>Some extension theorems for continuous functions</i>	789
Tyre Alexander Newton, <i>A note on the Hölder mean</i>	807
Raymond Moos Redheffer, <i>On a theorem of Plancherel and Pólya</i>	823
Choy-Tak Taam, <i>On the complex zeros of functions of Sturm-Liouville type</i>	837