# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON GROUPS OF ORTHONORMAL FUNCTIONS. II

NATHAN JACOB FINE

Vol. 5, No. 1

September 1955

# ON GROUPS OF ORTHONORMAL FUNCTIONS (II)

## N. J. FINE

An orthonormal group on a measure-space  $\Omega$  is defined as an orthonormal system of functions which is simultaneously a group with respect to multiplication. In an earlier note [1] we showed that, essentially, all such systems are derived from character groups of compact abelian groups.<sup>1</sup> It may be of interest to know, for a given  $\Omega$ , what orthonormal groups it can support. The answer to this question for  $\Omega = I$ , the unit interval, is given by the following theorem.

THEOREM. Let G be any countable abelian group. Then there exists on the unit interval l an orthonormal group G' isomorphic to G. If G is infinite, then G' is complete in  $L^2(1)$ .

*Proof.* Assign the discrete topology to G, and let H be its character group. If G is of finite order n, then H and G are isomorphic. To each  $h_k \in H$ , k = 1,  $2, \dots, n$ , we associate the interval  $I_k = [(k-1)/n, k/n]$ , and define the n functions  $f_i$  by

$$f_j(x) = h_k(g_j) \qquad (x \in I_k) ,$$

where  $g_i$  are the elements of G. Then  $\{f_i\}$  is the required orthonormal group.

If G is infinite, H is uncountable. The measure-algebra of H (with respect to normalized Haar measure) is non-atomic, separable, and normalized. Hence [2, p. 173] it is isomorphic to the measure-algebra of I. Now H is a complete separable metric space, and the outer Haar measure is a regular Caratheodory outer measure; the same is true of Lebesgue measure on I. Therefore we can apply a theorem of von Neumann [3, Th. 1] to obtain a measure-preserving transformation from H to I. The characters of H, transferred to I, then form the required orthonormal group, complete in  $L^2(I)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Only the case of a countable orthonormal group was considered in [1], but the proofs carry over to the uncountable case with slight modification.

Received March 14, 1954. This paper was written while the author was a National Science Foundation Post-doctoral Feilow, 1953-1954.

Pacific J. Math. 5 (1955), 61-65

### N. J. FINE

We shall now examine a special case of this theorem, in which we can construct G' explicitly. Let G denote the additive group of rationals mod 1. G is the (weak) direct sum of the primary groups  $G_p$  consisting of all the rationals mod 1 with denominators powers of the prime p. The character group of  $G_p$  is  $H_p$ , the group of p-adic integers

(1) 
$$\xi_p = c_{p0} + c_{p1}p + c_{p2}p^2 + \cdots \qquad (c_{pm} = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, p - 1),$$

and H is the (strong) direct sum of all the  $H_p$ . The value of the character  $\xi_p$  at  $1/p^m$ , m > C, is given by

(2) 
$$\chi_{1/p^m}(\xi_p) = \exp 2\pi i A_m(\xi_p),$$

where

(3) 
$$A_m(\xi_p) = \frac{1}{p^m} (c_{p0} + c_{p1}p + \dots + c_{p,m-1}p^{m-1}).$$

For  $n \leq m$ ,  $p^n A_m(\xi_p) \equiv A_{m-n}(\xi_p) \pmod{1}$ , so the definition

(4) 
$$\chi_{k/p^m}(\xi_p) = \chi_{1/p^m}^k(\xi_p)$$

is unambiguous. For  $h = (\xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_5, \dots) \in H$ , and for  $r \in G$ , we decompose r into

(5) 
$$r = \frac{k_1}{p_1^{m_1}} + \frac{k_2}{p_2^{m_2}} + \dots + \frac{k_t}{p_t^{m_t}}$$

and have

(6) 
$$\chi_{r}(h) = \prod_{s=1}^{t} \chi_{k_{s}/p_{s}^{m_{s}}}(\xi_{p_{s}}).$$

Next we construct a mapping  $\lambda$  of H onto I. For this purpose we remark that, given any sequence of integers  $n_k > 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , it is possible to get a representation of  $x \in I$  by sequences  $c_k(x)$  which generalizes the representation to the fixed base b. We divide I into  $n_1$  equal intervals, each of these into  $n_2$  equal intervals, and so on. If x falls in the *j*th interval  $(j=0, 1, 2, \dots, n_k - 1)$  at the *k*th stage, we define  $c_k(x) = j$ . We then have

(7) 
$$x = \frac{c_1}{n_1} + \frac{c_2}{n_1 n_2} + \frac{c_3}{n_1 n_2 n_3} + \cdots,$$

and the representation is unique except for a countable set. We can remove the ambiguity by choosing the finite expansion where two are possible. It is easy to see that the measure of the set of  $x \in I$  for which  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$  have given values is  $(n_1n_2 \dots n_k)^{-1}$ , so that the functions  $c_k(x)$  are statistically independent.

Returning to the mapping  $\lambda$  to be defined, let  $h = (\xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_5, \dots) \in H$  be given. We run through the integers  $c_{pm}$  by the diagonal method, taking  $n_k = p$  whenever we reach  $c_{pm}$ . Thus  $n_1 = 2$ ,  $n_2 = 3$ ,  $n_3 = 2$ ,  $n_4 = 5$ ,  $n_5 = 3$ ,  $n_6 = 2$ ,  $n_7 = 7$ , and so on. This defines a number  $x \in I$  which we designate as  $\lambda(h)$ . Thus

(8) 
$$\lambda(h) = \frac{c_{20}}{2} + \frac{c_{30}}{2 \cdot 3} + \frac{c_{21}}{2^2 \cdot 3} + \frac{c_{50}}{2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5} + \frac{c_{31}}{2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5} + \frac{c_{22}}{2^3 \cdot 5} + \frac{c_{22}}{2^3 \cdot$$

 $D_{pm}$  is defined, for p = k th prime  $p_k$ , by

(9) 
$$D_{pm} = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} p_j^{m+k-j} \prod_{j=k}^{m+k} p_j^{m+k-j+1},$$

empty products being interpreted as 1. With the convention given above,  $\lambda$  is one-to-one, and the inverse  $\mu$  is given by

(10) 
$$c_{pm}(x) \equiv [D_{pm}x] \pmod{p}$$
  $0 \le c_{pm}(x) < p$ .

It is easily verified that  $\lambda$  is continuous. More important, however, is the fact that it is measure-preserving, since the  $c_{pm}(h)$  are statistically independent with respect to Haar measure on H, and have the same distribution as the  $c_{pm}(x)$  on I; the measures on both spaces are determined by the measures on the sets of constancy of finite collections of  $c_{pm}$ . It follows that the functions

(11) 
$$f_r(x) = \chi_r(\mu(x)) \qquad (r \in G; x \in I)$$

form a complete orthonormal group on *I*.

It may be of interest to note the following Fourier expansion of the function ((x)) = x - [x] - 1/2:

(12) 
$$((x)) = -\sum_{p,m,n} \left\{ p^m D_{pm} \left( 1 - e^{-2\pi i n/p^{m+1}} \right) \right\}^{-1} f_{n/p^{m+1}}(x),$$

where the summation is extended over all primes p, all  $m \ge 0$ , and  $0 < n < p^{m+1}$ , with (n, p) = 1. To derive (12), write

$$M = c_{p0}(x) + c_{p1}(x)p + \dots + c_{pm}(x)p^{m},$$
$$N = c_{p0}(x) + \dots + c_{p,m-1}(x)p^{m-1},$$

so that

$$(13) c_{pm}(x) = \frac{M-N}{p^m}.$$

On the other hand, from (2), (3), and (11),

$$f_{k/p^m}(x) = \exp 2\pi i \, \frac{kN}{p^m}.$$

Thus

(14)  
$$N = \sum_{r=0}^{p^{m-1}} r\delta(r, N) = \frac{1}{p^m} \sum_{r=0}^{p^{m-1}} r \sum_{k=0}^{p^{m-1}} e^{-2\pi i k r/p^m} f_{k/p^m}(x)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{p^{m-1}} f_{k/p^m}(x) \left\{ \frac{1}{p^m} \sum_{r=0}^{p^{m-1}} r e^{-2\pi i k r/p^m} \right\}.$$

There is a similar expression for M. Combined with (13), they yield the Fourier expansion for  $c_{pm}(x)$ . Substituting this in (8) and rearranging, we obtain (12). The series converges uniformly and absolutely for all x. This, incidentally, furnishes an alternate proof of the completeness of  $\{f_r\}$ , since every power of x has an expansion of the same kind, and the polynomials are dense in  $L^2(1)$ .

If we wish to exhibit the rationals R as a complete orthonormal group, this time on the unit square  $l_2$ , we need merely take

(15) 
$$g_r(x, y) = e^{2\pi i r y} f_r(x)$$
  $(r \in R; (x, y) \in I_2).$ 

To see this, let  $\hat{R}$  denote the character group of R. Every element  $\sigma \in \hat{R}$  determines a number y,  $0 \le y < 1$ , by  $\sigma(1) = \exp 2\pi i y$ , and an element  $h \in H$ , defined by  $\chi_r(h) = \sigma(r) \exp(-2\pi i r y)$ . Conversely, every pair (h, y) determines a unique  $\sigma$ . If  $h = (\xi_2, \xi_3, \cdots)$ ,  $h' = (\xi'_2, \xi'_3, \cdots)$ , then  $\sigma(h, y) \cdot \sigma(h', y') = \sigma(h'', y'')$ , where  $y'' \equiv y + y' \pmod{1}$  and  $h'' = (\xi''_2, \xi''_3, \cdots)$  is given by  $\xi''_p = \xi_p + \xi'_p + [y + y']$ . Using these relations, we can show that the mapping  $\sigma(h, y) \longrightarrow (\lambda(h), y)$  is a continuous and measure-preserving transformation from  $\hat{R}$  to  $I_2$ . Under this transformation R, realized as the character group of  $\hat{R}$ , goes over into the system (15). We could, of course, map  $I_2$  onto I, preserving measure, and thus realize R as an orthonormal group on I.

### REFERENCES

1. N.J. Fine, On groups of orthonormal functions (1), Pacific J. Math. 5 (1955), 51-59.

2. P.R. Halmos, Measure theory, New York, 1950.

3. J. von Neumann, Einige Sätze über messbare Abbildungen, Ann. of Math. 33 (1932), 574-586.

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA AND THE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY

# Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 5, No. 1September, 1955

1
17
33
51
61
67
73
85
93
103
119
125
47
1