

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A NOTE ON HELLY'S THEOREM

MICHAEL OSER RABIN

A NOTE ON HELLY'S THEOREM

MICHAEL RABIN

1. Introduction. The aim of this note is to give a new elementary proof of Helly's theorem [1] on the intersection of convex sets in n dimensional Euclidean space E^n . Like other elementary proofs, our proof avoids the use of limit concepts and is thus valid for any n dimensional affine space with coordinates in a real number field. In § 3 we remark that Carathéodory's theorem on convex hulls may be derived from Helly's theorem. This is a reverse procedure of the one adopted by Rademacher and Schoenberg [2], and indicates the central position of Helly's theorem in the theory of convex bodies. We shall prove the following version of Helly's theorem.

HELLY'S THEOREM. *Let C_1, \dots, C_m , $m > n$, be convex sets in E^n . If every $n + 1$ of these sets have a point in common then there is a point common to all C_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.*

Equivalently the theorem states that if

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^m C_i = \phi \text{ (the void set),}$$

then there exist $k + 1$ (with $k \leq n$) sets $C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_{k+1}}$ such that

$$C_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap C_{i_{k+1}} = \phi.$$

Other versions of Helly's theorem refer, under suitable restrictions, to infinite sets of convex bodies. These are easily deduced from the above form. In these generalizations the completeness of the space is essential and it is impossible to avoid the limit concept in some form or another.

2. We shall first prove the following special case of Helly's theorem.

LEMMA 1. *Helly's theorem is valid in the special case when C_1, \dots, C_m*

Received September 22, 1953. This work was done in a seminar on convex bodies conducted by Prof. A. Dvoretzky at the Hebrew University, Jerusalem.

Pacific J. Math. 5 (1955), 363-366

are closed half-spaces of E^n .

Proof. The case $n = 1$ is simple. We proceed by induction and note that if we have the Lemma for some E^k it obviously remains true if some of the C_i are allowed to coincide with E^k or to be void sets. Let C_1, \dots, C_m be closed half-spaces of E^n defined by the hyperplanes π_1, \dots, π_m and assume

$$(1) \quad C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_m = \phi.$$

We may assume that no C_i in (1) may be omitted without making the intersection nonvoid. C_1 is a closed half-space so $C_1 \supset \pi_1$ hence

$$\pi_1 \cap C_2 \cap \dots \cap C_m = \phi,$$

that is

$$(\pi_1 \cap C_2) \cap \dots \cap (\pi_1 \cap C_m) = \phi.$$

Now $\pi_1 \cap C_i$ is either a closed half-space of π_1 considered as an $n - 1$ dimensional space, or (if π_1 and π_i are parallel) coincides with π_1 or the null-set. By virtue of the generalized induction hypothesis there are $k, k \leq n$, sets $\pi_1 \cap C_i$ having no point in common. Thus, after renumbering the sets if necessary:

$$(\pi_1 \cap C_2) \cap \dots \cap (\pi_1 \cap C_{k+1}) = \pi_1 \cap C_2 \cap \dots \cap C_{k+1} = \phi.$$

Denote $C_2 \cap \dots \cap C_{k+1}$ by B then B is convex. We claim that either

- (a) $B \cap \tilde{C}_1 = \phi$ (where \tilde{C}_1 is the complement of C_1 in E^n) or
 (b) $B \cap C_1 = \phi$. Indeed, if both (a) and (b) were false there would exist two points P_1, P_2 with $P_1 \in B \cap \tilde{C}_1$ and $P_2 \in B \cap C_1$ and the line segment $\overline{P_1 P_2}$ would have a point in common with π_1 . As B is convex, $\overline{P_1 P_2} \subset B$ contradicting $B \cap \pi_1 = \phi$. Now case (a) is impossible, because it implies $\tilde{C}_1 \cap C_2 \cap \dots \cap C_m = \phi$ which together with (1) implies that

$$(\tilde{C}_1 \cup C_1) \cap C_2 \cap \dots \cap C_m = C_2 \cap \dots \cap C_m = \phi$$

contrary to the assumption that none of the C_i in (1) could be omitted. Thus case (b) holds, that is, $C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_{k+1} = \phi$; since $k \leq n$ the proof of the lemma is completed.

Proof of Helly's theorem. Let C_1, \dots, C_m be arbitrary convex sets in E^n

every $n+1$ of which have a nonempty intersection. Let $C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_{n+1}}$ be any $n+1$ sets C_i and P_{i_1}, \dots, i_{n+1} any point in $C_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap C_{i_{n+1}}$, denote by A the finite set of all these points (for this device compare [1]). The sets $C_i \cap A$ are finite sets every $n+1$ of which have a point in common. Put $B_i = H(C_i \cap A)$ where $H(S)$ stands for the convex hull of S . The convex hull of a finite set may be represented as the intersection of a finite number of closed half-spaces (for an elementary proof of this fact see [3]), thus $B_i = D_{i,1} \cap \dots \cap D_{i,k_i}$, say. Let D_1, \dots, D_s be all the half-spaces appearing for all the B_i . To every D_j corresponds a certain B_i for which $D_j \supset B_i \supset C_i \cap A$ so that every $n+1$ of the D_j have a common point. By virtue of Lemma 1: $D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_s \neq \phi$. Now

$$D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_s = B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_m$$

also $C_i \supset A \cap C_i$ so that by the convexity of C_i we have

$$C_i \supset H(C_i \cap A) = B_i$$

hence

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^m C_i \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^m B_i \neq \phi. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

3. Carathéodory's theorem states that the convex hull $H(S)$ where $S \subset E^n$ equals the union of the convex hulls $H(F)$ where F ranges over all sub-sets of S containing not more than $n+1$ points. It is easy to show that $H(S)$ equals the union of the convex hulls of all the finite sub-sets of S , so that the crucial point of Carathéodory's theorem lies in the following:

THEOREM. *Let $P_1, \dots, P_k, k \geq n+1$, be points of E^n . Let $Q \in H(P_1, \dots, P_k)$ then $n+1$ points $P_{i_1}, \dots, P_{i_{n+1}}$ may be chosen so that $Q \in H(P_{i_1}, \dots, P_{i_{n+1}})$.*

We shall deduce this result from Helly's theorem and the following easily established lemma.

LEMMA 2. *Let $Q \neq P_i, i = 1, \dots, k$. Denote by π_i the hyperplane through P_i perpendicular to the direction $\overrightarrow{QP_i}$, let C_i be the closed half-space defined by π_i , which does not contain Q . A necessary and sufficient condition for $Q \in H(P_1, \dots, P_k)$ is $C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_k = \phi$.*

Proof of Carathéodory's theorem. We may suppose that $Q \neq P_i, i = 1, \dots, k$.

By the lemma $\bigcap_{i=1}^k C_i = \phi$; by the special case of Helly's theorem $n+1$ half-spaces $C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_{n+1}}$ may be chosen so that $\bigcap_{s=1}^{n+1} C_{i_s} = \phi$. Using again the lemma we conclude $Q \in H(P_{i_1}, \dots, P_{i_{n+1}})$ Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

1. E. Helly, *Über Mengen konvexer Körper mit gemeinschaftlichen Punkten*, Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker Vereinigung, **32** (1923), 175-176.
2. H. Rademacher and I. J. Schoenberg, *Helly's theorem on convex domains and Tchebycheff's approximation problem*, Canadian J. Math. **2** (1950), 245-256.
3. H. Weyl, *Elementare Theorie der konvexen Polyeder*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, **7** (1935), 290-306. English translation in Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 24. Princeton.

HEBREW UNIVERSITY
JERUSALEM

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H.L. ROYDEN
Stanford University
Stanford, California

E. HEWITT
University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

R. P. DILWORTH
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena 4, California

* Alfred Horn
University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

H. BUSEMANN	P.R. HALMOS	R.D. JAMES	GEORGE PÓLYA
HERBERT FEDERER	HEINZ HOPF	BØRGE JESSEN	J.J. STOKER
MARSHALL HALL	ALFRED HORN	PAUL LÉVY	KOSAKU YOSIDA

SPONSORS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY
SHELL DEVELOPMENT COMPANY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any of the editors. Manuscripts intended for the outgoing editors should be sent to their successors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Alfred Horn, at the University of California Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50; back numbers (Volumes 1, 2, 3) are available at \$2.50 per copy. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to the publishers, University of California Press, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Ann Arbor, Michigan. Entered as second class matter at the Post Office, Berkeley, California.

* During the absence of E.G. Straus.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PRESS • BERKELEY AND LOS ANGELES

COPYRIGHT 1955 BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Nesmith Cornett Ankeny and S. Chowla, <i>On the divisibility of the class number of quadratic fields</i>	321
Cecil Edmund Burgess, <i>Collections and sequences of continua in the plane</i>	325
Jane Smiley Cronin Scanlon, <i>The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear elliptic equations</i>	335
Arieh Dvoretzky, <i>A converse of Helly's theorem on convex sets</i>	345
Branko Grünbaum, <i>On a theorem of L. A. Santaló</i>	351
Moshe Shimrat, <i>Simple proof of a theorem of P. Kirchberger</i>	361
Michael Oser Rabin, <i>A note on Helly's theorem</i>	363
Robert E. Edwards, <i>On factor functions</i>	367
Robert E. Edwards, <i>On certain algebras of measures</i>	379
Harley M. Flanders, <i>Methods in affine connection theory</i>	391
Alfred Huber, <i>The reflection principle for polyharmonic functions</i>	433
Geoffrey Stuart Stephen Ludford, <i>Generalised Riemann invariants</i>	441
Ralph Gordon Selfridge, <i>Generalized Walsh transforms</i>	451