Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON HOMOGENEOUS LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH ARBITRARY CONSTANT COEFFICIENTS

A. SEIDENBERG

Vol. 5, No. 4 December 1955

ON HOMOGENEOUS LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH ARBITRARY CONSTANT COEFFICIENTS

A. SEIDENBERG

Let K be an arbitrary ordinary differential field—for our purposes it is sufficient to consider an arbitrary (algebraic) field K which is converted into a differential field by setting $c'=0$ for every $c \in K$. Let u be a differential indeterminate over K and let $u = u_0, u_1, \cdots$ represent the successive derivatives of u. Further, let $c_0, \cdots, c_m$ be arbitrary constants over the field $K\langle u\rangle = K(u_0, u_1, \cdots)$, that is, $m+1$ further indeterminates with which we compute in the usual way, setting $c_i'=0$. In addition to the ring $R = K\{u\} = K[u_0, u_1, \cdots]$, we will also be interested in the rings $R_{t+m} = K[u_0, u_1, \cdots, u_{t+m}]$. Theorems referring to some one of these rings R_{t+m} may, if convenient, be regarded as belonging to ordinary, rather than differential, algebra, but we will still apply the operation of differentiation to elements of R_{t+m} (not involving u_{t+m}). This then amounts to a convenience in writing formulas.

Let $l_0 = c_0 u_0 + \cdots + c_m u_m$. This element generates a prime differential ideal $[l_0] = (l_0, l_1, \cdots)$ in $S = K(c)\{u\}$, where $l_i = c_0 u_i + \cdots + c_m u_{i+m}$. *We are interested in having explicitly a basis for* $[l_0] \cap K\{u\}$. If $\Delta(u)$ is the determinant of coefficients of any $m+1$ of the l_i regarded as linear forms in the c_j, then clearly $\Delta(u) \in [l_0] \cap K\{u\}$ and Theorem 2 below asserts that the $\Delta(u)$ obtained from all choices of the l_i form the required basis.

Let us confine ourselves to the rings R_{t+m} and $S_{t+m} = K(c)[u_0, \cdots, u_{t+m}]$. In S_{t+m}, let $\mathfrak{p} = (l_0, \cdots, l_t)$.

LEMMA 1. *$\mathfrak{p} = (l_0, \cdots, l_t)$ is an m-dimensional prime ideal in S_{t+m}.*

Proof. Let $G(u_0, \cdots, u_{t+m}) \in S_{t+m}$. Eliminating successively u_{t+m}, $u_{t+m-1}, \cdots, u_m$ mod $(l_0, \cdots, l_t)$, we may write $G(u_0, \cdots, u_{t+m}) \equiv G_1(u_0, \cdots, u_{m-1})$ mod $(l_0, \cdots, l_t)$, where $G_1 \in S_{t+m}$ is a polynomial in the indicated variables. Moreover, starting with indeterminate values ξ_i for u_i, $i = 0, \cdots, m-1$, we can build up a zero $(\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{t+m})$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ by defining ξ_m from the condition

Received December 7, 1953, This paper was written while the author was a Guggenheim Fellow.

Pacific J. Math. 5 (1955), 599-606

$l_0(\xi) = 0$, and defining ξ_{m+i} successively from the condition $l_i(\xi) = 0$. Then $(\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{t+m})$ is clearly a general point of p, whence p is prime and m-dimensional.

LEMMA 2. *Let* $p \cap R_{t+m} = P$; *and let* $t \geq m - 1$. *Then* P *is a* $2m$-*dimensional prime ideal in* R_{t+m}.

Proof. Consider the equations:

$$c_0 \xi_0 + \cdots + c_m \xi_m = 0$$

$$c_0 \xi_1 + \cdots + c_m \xi_{1+m} = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$c_0 \xi_{m-1} + \cdots + c_m \xi_{2m-1} = 0.$$

From these we are going to solve successively for the c_i, $i = 0, \cdots, m-1$. Since $\xi_0 \neq 0$, we can solve for c_0 and find $c_0 \in K(c_1, \cdots, c_m, \xi_0, \cdots, \xi_m)$. Suppose in this way, solving successively for the c_i, we find

$$c_0, \cdots, c_i \in K(c_{i+1}, \cdots, c_m, \xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{m+i}), \qquad i < m-1.$$

In fact, assume we have found inductively that

$$(A_i) \qquad c_0, \cdots, c_i \in K(\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{2i+1}) \cdot c_{i+1}$$

$$+ K(\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{2i+2}) \cdot c_{i+2} + \cdots + K(\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{i+m}) \cdot c_m.$$

Since

$$\text{dt } K(c_0, \cdots, c_m, \xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{m+i})/K(c_0, \cdots, c_m) = m \text{ and}$$

$$\text{dt } K(c_0, \cdots, c_m)/K = m + 1,$$

we have

$$\text{dt } K(c_0, \cdots, c_m, \xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{m+i})/K = 2m + 1$$

$$= \text{dt } K(c_{i+1}, \cdots, c_m, \xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{m+i})/K,$$

where dt stands for "degree of transcendency". From this we see that $\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{m+i}$ are algebraically independent over K (since the set $c_{i+1}, \cdots, \xi_{m+i}$ has

$2m+1$ members), in particular they are not zero. The coefficient of c_{i+1} in $l_{i+1}(\xi)$ is $\xi_{2(i+1)}$ plus a term in $K(\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{2i+1})$ arising from $c_0\,\xi_{i+1} + \cdots + c_i\,\xi_{2i+1}$, and since $i+1 < m$, we have $2(i+1) < m+i+1$ and $\xi_{2(i+1)} \notin K(\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{2i+1})$. Hence $c_{i+1} \in K(c_{i+2}, \cdots, \xi_{m+i+1})$; also A_{i+1} holds. Continuing, we have $c_0, \cdots, c_{m-1} \in K(c_m, \xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{2m-1})$. Hence $\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{2m-1}$ are algebraically independent over K. Thus P is at least $2m$-dimensional.

Let $\Delta_i(\xi)$, $i \geq m$, be the determinant of the coefficients of the forms $l_0(\xi), \cdots, l_{m-1}(\xi)$, $l_i(\xi)$ regarded as linear forms in $c_0, \cdots, c_m$; that is,

$$\Delta_i(\xi) = \begin{vmatrix} \xi_0 \cdots \xi_m \\ \xi_1 \cdots \xi_{1+m} \\ \cdots \\ \xi_{m-1} \cdots \xi_{2m-1} \\ \xi_i \cdots \xi_{i+m} \end{vmatrix}$$

Then one finds $c_j\,\Delta_i(\xi) = 0$, so that $\Delta_i(\xi) = 0$. The coefficient of ξ_{i+m} in this equation is a polynomial in the indeterminates $\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{2m-1}$; this coefficient contains the term $\xi_0\,\xi_2 \cdots \xi_{2m-2}$ and hence is not zero (therefore also $l_0(\xi), \cdots, l_{m-1}(\xi)$ are linearly independent over $K(\xi)$). Thus P is at most $2m$-dimensional, and hence exactly $2m$-dimensional, Q.E.D.

LEMMA 3. *Let $M = M(u)$ be the matrix:*

$$\left\| \begin{matrix} u_0 \cdots u_m \\ u_1 \cdots u_{1+m} \\ \cdots \\ u_m \cdots u_{2m} \\ \cdots \\ u_t \cdots u_{t+m} \end{matrix} \right\|, \quad t \geq m.$$

Let A be the ideal generated in R_{t+m} by the $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ subdeterminants of $M(u)$. Then $A \subseteq P$.

Proof. Since $l_0(\xi), \cdots, l_{m-1}(\xi)$ are linearly independent over $K(\xi)$ (and in fact over any field containing $K(\xi)$) but $l_0(\xi), \cdots, l_{m-1}(\xi)$, $l_i(\xi)$ are linearly dependent over $K(\xi)$, the matrix $M(\xi)$ has rank m. Hence $A \subseteq P$.

We want to prove $A = P$, in particular that A is prime. Conversely, if we knew that A were prime, we could conclude immediately that $A = P$. In fact, suppose A is prime and let $\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{t+m}$ be a general point of A. Since A has a basis of forms of degree $m + 1$, no form of degree m vanishes at η. Hence all $m \times m$ subdeterminants of $M(\eta)$ differ from zero, and it follows that A is $2m$-dimensional, whence $A = P$.

In proving $A = P$, we proceed by induction on m, the assertion being clearly true for $m = 0$. For given m, we proceed by induction on $t(t \geq m)$. For $t = m$, we have to prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 4. *Let D be the determinant*

$$\begin{vmatrix} u_0 \cdots u_m \\ u_1 \cdots u_{1+m} \\ \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \\ u_m \cdots u_{2m} \end{vmatrix} .$$

Then D is different from zero and is irreducible in R_{2m}.

Proof. By induction on m, being trivial for $m = 0$. D is linear in u_0, the coefficient δ of u_0 being different from zero and irreducible by induction: in particular, therefore, $D \neq 0$. Also D is linear in u_{2m} and the coefficient δ' of u_{2m} is irreducible. D is reducible if and only if δ is a factor of $D - u_0\delta$, hence of D. Similarly for δ'. Now δ and δ' are not associates, since they are of different degree in u_0. So D is reducible if and only if it is divisible by $\delta\delta'$. For $m = 1$, this means if and only if $u_0 u_2 - u_1^2$ is divisible by $u_0 u_2$. This is not the case. For $m > 1$, D is reducible only if it is of degree at least $2m$, whereas it is of degree $m + 1$. Hence for every m, D is irreducible.

DEFINITION. An ideal is called homogeneous if it has a basis of forms. Similarly we call an ideal *isobaric* if it has a basis of isobaric polynomials.

LEMMA 5. *A and P are homogeneous and isobaric.*

Proof. A is clearly homogeneous. Moreover consider one of the $(m + 1) \times (m + 1)$ subdeterminants of $M(u)$, say one involving the ith and jth rows, $i < j$. Then u_{i+k-2} is the element in the ith row and kth-column and u_{j+l-2} is the element in the jth row and lth column. Suppose $k > l$. The determinant in question has together with a term $\pi \cdot u_{i+k-2} u_{j+l-2}$ also a term $\pm\pi \cdot u_{i+l-2} \cdot u_{j+k-2}$, which is of the same weight. Hence if rows $i_0, \cdots, i_m$ are involved, each term has the weight of the term $u_{i_0} u_{i_1+1} u_{i_2+2} \cdots u_{i_m+m}$, that is, the determinant is isobaric. Thus A is isobaric. As for P, we know that p is homogeneous, and from this and the fact that $P = p \cap R_{t+m}$ one concludes immediately that P also is homogeneous. To see that P is isobaric, let $g(u) \in P$ and write $g(u) = g_r(u) + g_{r+1}(u) + \cdots$, where $g_j(u)$ is zero or isobaric of weight j. It is clearly sufficient to prove $g_r(u) \in P$, assuming $g_r \neq 0$. Since $g(u) \in P$, we have

$$h(c)g(u) = \sum A_i(c, u) l_i(c, u),$$

where $h(c)$ is a polynomial in the c_i alone, and the A_i are polynomials in the c_i and u_j. We assign to c_i the weight $m - i$. Let $h(c) = h_s(c) + h_{s+1}(c) + \cdots$, where $h_j(c)$ is zero or isobaric of weight j and $h_s(c) \neq 0$. Observe that the $l_i(c, u)$ are isobaric. Comparing terms of like weight on both sides of the above equation we see that $h_s(c) g_r(u) = \sum A_i'(c, u) l_i(c, u)$. Hence $g_r(u) \in p$.

THEOREM 1. *$A = P$. In particular, therefore, for $m > 0$, $A : u_0 = A$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on m and t, and first show that $A : u_0 = A$. Let $\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{t+m}$ be the general zero of P introduced above. Let $D(u)$ be the determinant occurring in Lemma 4. From $D(\xi) = 0$ we see that ξ_{2m} can be written as a quotient of two polynomials in the indeterminates $\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{2m-1}$, with the denominator being

$$\begin{vmatrix} \xi_0 & \cdots & \xi_{m-1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \xi_{m-1} & \cdots & \xi_{2m-2} \end{vmatrix}$$

which is irreducible by Lemma 4. Hence we see that

$$\begin{vmatrix} \xi_2 & \cdots & \xi_{m+1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \xi_{m+1} & \cdots & \xi_{2m} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0,$$

(for were it zero, then ξ_{2m} could be written as a quotient of two irreducible polynomials in $\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{2m-1}$, the denominator this time not being an associate of the other denominator). Hence ξ_0 is algebraic over $K(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{t+m})$. Hence $\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{t+m}$ defines a $2m$-dimensional prime ideal P_1 in $K[u_1, \cdots, u_{t+m}]$; and P_1 is generated by the $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ subdeterminants of $M(u)$ which do not involve the first row of $M(u)$. Designating also by P_1, the extension of P_1 to $K[u_0, \cdots, u_{t+m}]$, we see that $P_1 \subseteq A$. Let now $u_0 g(u) \in A$. We write

$u_0 g(u) = \sum A_i(u)\Delta_i(u)$, where the $\Delta_i(u)$ are the $(m+1)\times(m+1)$ subdeterminants of $M(u)$, and the A_i are polynomials. We write $A_i = A_i' + u_0 A_i''$, where A_i' does not involve u_0. We then have $u_0(g(u) - \sum A_i''\Delta_i(u)) = \sum A_i'\Delta_i(u)$. The right hand side here is of degree at most one in u_0, hence $g_1 = g(u) - \sum A_i''\Delta_i(u)$ does not involve u_0: $g_1 = g_1(u_1, \cdots, u_{t+m})$. Now $g(u)$ and $\Delta_i(u)$ vanish at $\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{m+t}$, hence so does g_1; that is, g_1 vanishes at $\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{m+t}$. Hence, $g_1 \in P_1$, whence $g \in A$. Hence $A: u_0 = A$.

As a corollary to the above we get that $A : f = A$ for any polynomial $f \in R_{m+t}$ containing a term du_0^r, $d \in K$, $d \neq 0$ $(m > 0)$. For suppose $fg \in A$: to prove $g \in A$. We may suppose f and g isobaric; and also homogeneous. We then get $du_0^r g \in A$, whence $g \in A$.

We proceed to prove that A is prime. Let $\bar{l}_i = l_i/u_0 = c_0 v_i + \cdots + c_m v_{i+m}$, where $v_i = u_i/u_0$. We pass to the rings $\bar{R}_{t+m} = K[v_1, \cdots, v_{t+m}]$ and $\bar{S}_{t+m} = K(c)[v]$. Observe that $v_1, \cdots, v_{t+m}$ are algebraically independent over K. Let $\bar{M}$ be the matrix of the coefficients of the $\bar{l}_i$, that is, the matrix:

$$\left\| \begin{matrix} 1 & v_1 & v_2 & \cdots v_m \\ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & \cdots v_{1+m} \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot \\ v_t & v_{t+1} & v_{t+2} & \cdots v_{t+m} \end{matrix} \right\|,$$

and let $\bar{A}$ be the ideal generated in $\bar{R}_{t+m}$ by the $(m+1)\times(m+1)$ subdeterminants of $\bar{M}(v)$. Each such subdeterminant is a power of u_0 times an $(m+1)\times(m+1)$ subdeterminant of $M(u)$; and vice-versa. It would therefore be sufficient to prove $\bar{A}$ prime, in fact it would be sufficient to prove that the extension of $\bar{A}$ to the quotient ring Q of $\bar{R}_{t+m}$ relative to the ideal $(v_1, \cdots, v_{t+m})$ is prime. For suppose this proved and $g(u)h(u) \in A$, where we assume without loss of generality that $g(u)$, $h(u)$ are homogeneous. Dividing by appropriate powers of u_0 and setting

$$g(u)/u_0^r = \bar{g}(v), \quad h(u)/u_0^s = \bar{h}(v),$$

we get $\bar{g}(v)\bar{h}(v) \in \bar{A}$, whence by assumption $\bar{f}(v)\bar{g}(v)$ or $\bar{f}(v)\bar{h}(v)$, say $\bar{f}\bar{g}$ is in $\bar{A}$ for some $\bar{f}(v) \in \bar{R}_{t+m}$, $\bar{f} \notin (v_1, \cdots, v_m)$. Multiplying by a power of u_0 we find $u_0^\rho f(u)g(u) \in A$, where $f(u)$ contains a term du_0^σ. Hence $g(u) \in A$.

The ideal $\bar{A}$ in $\bar{R}_{t+m}$ has $\xi_1/\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{t+m}/\xi_0$ as a zero, hence is at least $(2m-1)$-dimensional. Also $\bar{A}$ remains at least $(2m-1)$-dimensional upon extension to Q. In fact, if $\xi_1/\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{t+m}/\xi_0$ determines $\bar{P}$ in $\bar{R}_{t+m}$, then

$\overline{P} \subseteq (v_1, \cdots, v_{t+m})$, as one sees from the fact that $\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{t+m}$ determines a homogeneous and isobaric ideal P and $u_0 \notin P$.

Subtracting v_i times the first row from the $(i+1)$th row of $\overline{M}$, we get the matrix

$$\left\| \begin{matrix} 1 & v_1 & v_2 & \cdots v_m \\ 0 & v_2 - v_1 v_1 & v_3 - v_1 v_2 & \cdots v_{m+1} - v_1 v_m \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \quad \cdot \\ 0 & v_{t+1} - v_t v_1 & v_{t+2} - v_t v_2 & \cdots v_{t+m} - v_t v_m \end{matrix} \right\|.$$

Each $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ subdeterminant of this matrix is also an $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ subdeterminant of $\overline{M}$. Hence one sees that every $m \times m$ subdeterminant of the matrix

$$\left\| \begin{matrix} v_2 & v_3 & \cdots v_{1+m} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \quad \cdot \\ v_{t+1} & v_{t+2} & \cdots v_{t+m} \end{matrix} \right\|$$

is a leading-form of an element in $Q \cdot \overline{A}$. These $m \times m$ subdeterminants generate, by induction, a $2(m-1)$-dimensional prime ideal in $K[v_2, \cdots, v_{t+m}]$, and hence a $(2m-1)$-dimensional prime ideal $\overline{q}$ in $K[v_1, \cdots, v_{t+m}]$. The leading form ideal of $\overline{A}$ contains or equals $\overline{q}$. If it contained $\overline{q}$ properly, it would be of dimension less than $2m-1$. But an ideal and its leading form ideal have the same dimension [**1**; Satz 8]. Hence $\overline{q}$ is the leading-form ideal of $\overline{A}$ and $\overline{A}$ is $(2m-1)$-dimensional.

Moreover A is prime. For quite generally in a local ring, if an ideal $\overline{A}$ has a prime ideal $\overline{q}$ as leading form ideal, it must itself be prime. In fact, suppose $gh \in \overline{A}$, $g \notin \overline{A}$, $h \notin \overline{A}$. Then the leading form ideal $LFI(\overline{A}, g)$ of $(\overline{A}, g)$ contains $\overline{q}$ properly, and likewise for $(\overline{A}, h)$. But $LFI(\overline{A}, g) \times LFI(\overline{A}, h) \subseteq LFI((\overline{A}, g) \times (\overline{A}, h)) \subseteq LFI\overline{A} = \overline{q}$, a contradiction. Hence $\overline{A}$ is prime, and the proof is complete.

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *A basis for* $[l_0] \cap K\{u\}$ *is given by the* $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ *subdeterminants of the* $\infty \times (m+1)$ *matrix*

$$\left\| \begin{matrix} u_0 & u_1 & \cdots u_m \\ u_1 & u_2 & \cdots u_{1+m} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{matrix} \right\|.$$

Reference

1. W. Krull, *Dimensionstheorie in Stellenringen*, J. Reine angew. Math. **179** (1938), 204-226.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA;
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any of the editors. Manuscripts intended for the outgoing editors should be sent to their successors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Alfred Horn, at the University of California Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is $12.00; single issues, $3.50; back numbers (Volumes 1, 2, 3) are available at $2.50 per copy. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $4.00 per volume; single issues, $1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to the publishers, University of California Press, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Ann Arbor, Michigan. Entered as second class matter at the Post Office, Berkeley, California.

*During the absence of E.G. Straus.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PRESS · BERKELEY AND LOS ANGELES

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 5, No. 4 December, 1955