

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE USE OF FORMS IN VARIATIONAL CALCULATION

LOUIS AUSLANDER

THE USE OF FORMS IN VARIATIONAL CALCULATIONS

LOUIS AUSLANDER

Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to present a method of calculating the first and second variation which is suitable for spaces which have a Euclidean connection. I then use this method to calculate the first and second variations along a geodesic in a Finsler space in terms of differential invariants of the Finsler metric. In the special case of Riemannian geometry, this calculation has been carried out by Schoenberg in [4].

Indications as to how this calculation should be made are originally due to E. Cartan [1]. I wish to thank Prof. S. S. Chern for the privilege of seeing his calculations on this matter for Riemann spaces.

1. Algebraic Preliminaries. Let $I=[0, 1]$ and $0 \leq \xi_1, \xi_2 \leq 1$. Let M^n be an n -dimensional C^∞ manifold. Assume we have a one parameter family of mappings of I into M^n which we will denote by $f(\xi_1, \xi_2)$, where ξ_2 is taken as the parameter along I and ξ_1 parametrizes the family of mappings. Then we may define a mapping $\eta: I \times I \rightarrow M^n$ by the equation

$$\eta(\xi_1, \xi_2) = f(\xi_1, \xi_2).$$

We require that η shall also be a C^∞ mapping.

Let η_* denote the mapping induced by η on the tangent space to $I \times I$ into the tangent space to M^n . Let η^* denote the dual mapping induced on the cotangent spaces. Then we define two vector fields X_1 and X_2 over $\eta(I \times I)$ by

$$X_2 = \eta_*(\partial/\partial \xi_2) \quad \text{and} \quad X_1 = \eta_*(\partial/\partial \xi_1).$$

Then if w is any form in M^n we may write

$$\eta^*(w) = w_\delta d\xi_1 + w_a d\xi_2,$$

where w_δ and w_a are defined by the equation.

LEMMA 1.1. *If $\langle X, w \rangle$ denotes the value that X takes on the co-vector w at each point, then*

$$w_\delta = \langle X_1, w \rangle$$

and

$$w_a = \langle X_2, w \rangle.$$

Received February 12, 1954 and in revised form June 2, 1954.

Proof. $w_\delta = \langle \partial/\partial \xi_1, \eta^*(w) \rangle = \langle \eta^*(\partial/\partial \xi_1), w \rangle = \langle X_1, w \rangle$.
The proof is analogous for w_a .

Let Ω be any two form and let X_1 and X_2 be any two vector fields. It is well known that $\mathcal{L}^2(V)$ and $\mathcal{L}^2(V^*)$ are dually paired. Let this pairing be denoted by

$$\langle X_1 \wedge X_2, \Omega \rangle.$$

Then if Ω can be decomposed as $w_1 \wedge w_2$, where w_1 and w_2 are one forms, we have that the pairing may be defined by the following expression:

$$\langle X_1 \wedge X_2, w_1 \wedge w_2 \rangle = \langle X_1, w_1 \rangle \langle X_2, w_2 \rangle - \langle X_1, w_2 \rangle \langle X_2, w_1 \rangle.$$

THEOREM 1.1. $\langle X_1 \wedge X_2, w_1 \wedge w_2 \rangle = w_{1\delta} w_{2a} - w_{1a} w_{2\delta}$.

The proof of this theorem is straightforward.

We define the symbols δw_a and dw_δ by the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta w_a &= \partial/\partial \xi_1 \langle X_2, w \rangle, \\ dw_\delta &= \partial/\partial \xi_2 \langle X_1, w \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If f is any function of ξ_1 and ξ_2 , we define

$$d^r \delta^s f = \frac{\partial^t f}{\partial \xi_2^r \partial \xi_1^s},$$

where $t = r + s$. Define $\delta^r d^s f$ similarly.

THEOREM 1.2. $\langle X_1 \wedge X_2, dw \rangle = \delta w_a - dw_\delta$.

Proof. Now, in terms of a local coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_n) ,

$$\langle X_1 \wedge X_2, dw \rangle = \sum \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \left(a_i \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial \xi_2} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} \left(a_i \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial \xi_1} \right) \right]$$

since

$$\sum a_i \frac{\partial^2 x_i}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2} = \sum a_i \frac{\partial^2 x_i}{\partial \xi_2 \partial \xi_1}.$$

This and the definition of δw_a and dw_δ prove the theorem.

2. The First Variation. Consider the integral

$$(2.1) \quad I = \int_a^b F(q_1, \dots, q_n; q'_1, \dots, q'_n; t) dt$$

in a space M of $2n+1$ dimensions. Then in the cotangent space to the manifold M define the form w by the equation

$$(2.2) \quad w = \sum \frac{\partial F}{\partial q'_i} dq - \left(\sum q'_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial q'_i} - F \right) dt .$$

Now let C be a curve in M^{2n+1} expressed by the equations

$$q_i = q_i(\xi_2), \quad q'_i = q'_i(\xi_2), \quad t = (b-a)\xi_2 + a .$$

Assume further that $dq_i/d\xi_2 = q'_i$ for all values of ξ_2 . Let X_2 be the image of $\partial/\partial\xi_2$ under the mapping described above. Then

$$(2.3) \quad X_2 = \sum q'_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} + \sum \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial \xi_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial q'_i} + (b-a) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} ,$$

and

$$w_a d\xi_2 = F(q, q', t) \frac{dt}{(b-a)} .$$

Hence

$$(2.4) \quad I = \int_0^1 w_a d\xi_2 = \int_a^b F(q_1(t), \dots, q_n(t); q'_1(t), \dots, q'_n(t); t) dt .$$

Now consider a one parameter family of curves $f(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ each with the property described above. For each curve in the family we get a vector field which we will denote by $X_2(\xi_1)$. We may consider the variational problem for this family of curves. The crucial fact is that the requirement that $f(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ is a mapping of a *fixed* interval for each fixed value of ξ_1 enables us to treat the problem of variable end point without the necessity of differentiating limits of integration. We consider

$$I(\xi_1) = \int_0^1 \langle X_2(\xi_1), w \rangle d\xi_2$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \delta I = \frac{\partial I(\xi_1)}{\partial \xi_1} = \int_0^1 \delta w_a d\xi_2 .$$

If we add and subtract dw_δ under the integral sign we get

$$(2.6) \quad \delta I = [w_\delta]_0^1 + \int_0^1 (\delta w_a - dw_\delta) d\xi_2$$

$$(2.7) \quad = [w_\delta]_0^1 + \int_0^1 w'(\delta, d) d\xi_2 ,$$

where

$$(2.8) \quad w'(\delta, d) = \langle X_1 \wedge X_2, dw \rangle ,$$

and

$$w'(d, \delta) = \langle X_2 \wedge X_1, dw \rangle.$$

It may be noted that $w'(\delta, d) = -w'(d, \delta)$. The term $[w_\delta]_0^1$ is called the transversality term.

THEOREM 2.1. *Assume $[w_\delta]_0^1 = 0$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for $\delta I = 0$ for all variations is that $dw = 0$ along C .*

Proof. The condition is clearly sufficient. An equivalent form of the hypothesis is that

$$\int_0^1 \langle X_1 \wedge X_2, dw \rangle d\xi_2 = 0$$

for all vector fields X_1 along C . Assume dw does not equal zero along C . Then there exists an X_1 such that $\langle X_1 \wedge X_2, dw \rangle > 0$ for some open interval $a < \xi_2 < b$. Then we may choose a new vector field X_1 such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_1 &= X_1 && \text{for } a < \xi_2 < b \\ \bar{X}_1 &= 0 && \text{for } 0 \leq \xi_2 \leq a - \epsilon \text{ or } b + \epsilon \leq \xi_2 \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where ϵ may be chosen arbitrarily small. Then

$$\int_0^1 \langle \bar{X}_1 \wedge X_2, dw \rangle d\xi_2 = \int_a^b \langle X_1 \wedge X_2, dw \rangle d\xi_2 + \epsilon',$$

where ϵ' depends on ϵ and $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon' = 0$. Hence we may choose ϵ in such a way that

$$\int_0^1 \langle \bar{X}_1 \wedge X_2, dw \rangle d\xi_2 > 0.$$

This contradiction proves the theorem.

Remark: This is essentially the usual argument for the derivation of Euler's equation.

3. Application to Finsler Geometry. If we assume that our integral is of the Finsler type then we may proceed to calculate the second variation. For treating this special case we assume that the reader has a familiarity with Euclidean connections and we will use the Euclidean connection for a Finsler space as calculated by E. Cartan in [2] and Chern [3].

Let M be an n -dimensional differentiable manifold and let G be the principal bundle over M with fiber and group the n -dimensional orthogonal groups, $O_{(n)}$. Then in G , we have forms w_i, w_{ij} , where $w_{ij} + w_{ji} = 0$ and $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. The equations of structure are

$$(3.1) \quad dw_i = w_j \wedge w_{ji} + \gamma_{j\alpha} w_j \wedge w_{\alpha n}$$

$$(3.2) \quad dw_{ij} = w_{ik} \wedge w_{kj} + \Omega_{ij},$$

where $\alpha=1, \dots, n-1$. (Henceforth we will assume that Greek indices run from 1 to $n-1$ and Latin indices run from 1 to n .) The $\gamma_{ij\alpha}$ are symmetric in all indices and zero if any index is n . Also

$$(3.3) \quad \Omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} Q_{ij\alpha\beta} w_{\alpha n} \wedge w_{\beta n} + \sum_{l, \alpha} P_{ijl\alpha} w_l \wedge w_{\alpha n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l, k} R_{ijlk} w_l \wedge w_k.$$

Let C be any path in M^n . Choose any path in G with the property that if e_1, \dots, e_n represents a righthanded frame, that is, an element of $O_{(n)}$, then e_n is in the tangent direction to C . Then arc length along a path C is

$$I = \int_0^1 (w_n)_\alpha d\xi_2.$$

This follows from equation (2.4) and the definition of w_n (see [3]).

Now $X_2 = e_n$ and $X_1 = \sum k_i e_i$. Therefore $(w_n)_\delta = \langle X_1, w_n \rangle = k_n$. Hence if X_1 is perpendicular to the curve C , then the transversality term is zero. From equation (3.1), we have

$$dw_n = \sum w_\alpha \wedge w_{\alpha n}.$$

Hence

$$(3.4) \quad \delta I = [\delta(w_n)]_0^1 + \int_0^1 \sum \{ (w_\alpha)_\delta (w_{\alpha n})_a - (w_\alpha)_a (w_{\alpha n})_\delta \} d\xi_2,$$

where

$$(w_\alpha)_a = \langle w_\alpha, e_n \rangle = 0.$$

It is clear from the last equation that the symbols δ and d and our indices make the notation awkward. Hence a w_a will be written as w and a w_δ will be written as ϕ . In this notation equation (3.4) becomes

$$(3.5) \quad I = [\phi_n]_0^1 + \int_0^1 \sum \phi_\alpha w_{\alpha n} d\xi_2,$$

since $w_\alpha = 0$ along the path C .

From Theorem 2.1 we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. *The differential equations of a geodesic in Finsler geometry are*

$$w_\alpha = 0, \quad w_{\alpha n} = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

We will now compute the second variation along a geodesic. We have

$$\delta I = \int_0^1 \delta w_n d\xi_2,$$

and $\delta^2 I$ is the second variation. Hence we have to compute $\delta^2(w_n)$ along a geodesic. Now

$$(3.6) \quad \delta^2(w_n) = \delta d(\phi_n) + \phi_\alpha \delta(w_{\alpha n})$$

since $w_{\alpha n} = 0$ along the geodesic. We have

$$(3.7) \quad \delta(w_{\alpha n}) - d(\phi_{\alpha n}) = \langle X_1 \wedge X_2, dw_{\alpha n} \rangle.$$

From equation (3.2) we obtain

$$\langle X_1 \wedge X_2, dw_{\alpha n} \rangle = \langle X_1 \wedge X_2, w_{\alpha\beta} \wedge w_{\beta n} \rangle + \langle X_1 \wedge X_2, \Omega_{\alpha n} \rangle.$$

By Theorem 1.1 and since C is a geodesic, we have

$$(3.8) \quad \delta w_{\alpha n} = d\phi_{\alpha n} - w_{\alpha\beta} \phi_{\beta n} + \langle \Omega_{\alpha n}, X_1 \wedge X_2 \rangle.$$

Now by equation (3.2) and the facts that

$$R_{ijkl} = -R_{jikl}, \quad R_{ij,kl} = R_{kl,ij}$$

we have

$$(3.9) \quad \langle X_1 \wedge X_2, \Omega_{\alpha n} \rangle = \sum P_{n\alpha n\beta} w_n \phi_{\beta n} + \sum R_{n\alpha n\beta} \phi_{\beta n} w_n.$$

Therefore, from equations (3.6), (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \delta^2(w_n) = \delta d\phi_n + \sum \phi_\alpha [d\phi_{\alpha n} - \phi_{\beta n} w_{\alpha\beta} + P_{n\alpha n\beta} w_n \phi_{\beta n} + R_{n\alpha n\beta} \phi_{\beta n} w_n].$$

Now,

$$\delta d\phi_n = \delta d\phi_n \quad \text{and} \quad d(\phi_\alpha \phi_{\alpha n}) = \phi_{\alpha n} (d\phi_\alpha) + \phi_\alpha (d\phi_{\alpha n}).$$

Hence

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta^2(w_n) = & d[\delta\phi_n + \phi_\alpha \phi_{\alpha n}] - \phi_{\alpha n} d\phi_\alpha \\ & + [-\phi_\alpha \phi_{\beta n} w_{\alpha\beta} + P_{n\alpha n\beta} \phi_\alpha \phi_{\beta n} + R_{n\alpha n\beta} \phi_\alpha \phi_{\beta n}] w_n. \end{aligned}$$

But from equation (3.1) we have

$$(3.12) \quad d\phi_\alpha = \delta w_\alpha + w_j \phi_{j\alpha} - \phi_j w_{j\alpha}$$

since

$$\gamma_{j\alpha\beta} [\phi_j w_{\beta n} - w_j \phi_{\beta n}] = 0$$

along the geodesic. Also $\delta w_\alpha = 0$ along the geodesic, since $w_\alpha \geq 0$ and equals zero along the geodesic and hence w_α must attain a minimum along a geodesic.

Hence

$$(3.13) \quad \delta^2 w_n = d[\delta\phi_n + \sum \phi_\alpha \phi_{\alpha n}] + \sum (\phi_{\alpha n} \phi_{\alpha n} + P_{n\alpha n\beta} \phi_\alpha \phi_{\beta n} + R_{n\alpha n\beta} \phi_\alpha \phi_\beta) w_n.$$

Hence the integral form of the second variation becomes

$$\delta^2 I = [\delta\phi_n + \sum \phi_\alpha \alpha_{\alpha n}]_0^1 + \int_0^1 \sum (\phi_{\alpha n} \phi_{\alpha n} + P_{n\alpha n\beta} \phi_\alpha \phi_{\beta n} + R_{n\alpha n\beta} \phi_\alpha \phi_\beta) w_n d\xi_2.$$

For Riemannian geometry we have $P_{ijkl} = 0$ and $\sum \phi_\alpha \phi_{\alpha n}$ represents the second fundamental form of the geodesic surface perpendicular to the geodesic at the point.

REFERENCES

1. E. Cartan, *Leçons sur les invariants intégraux*, Paris, Hermann, (1922).
2. ———, *Les Espaces de Finsler*, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, no. 79, Paris, Hermann, (1934).
3. S. S. Chern, *On the Euclidean connections in a Finsler space*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., **29** (1943), 33–37.
4. I. J. Schoenberg, *Some applications of the calculus of variations to Riemannian geometry*, Ann. of Math., **33** (1932), 485–495.

YALE UNIVERSITY

../../../../FrontMatter/paper.pdf

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 5, No. 6

, 1955

Nesmith Cornett Ankeny and Theodore Joseph Rivlin, <i>On a theorem of S. Bernstei</i>	849
Louis Auslander, <i>The use of forms in variational calculation</i>	853
Paul Civin, <i>Abstract Riemann sum</i>	861
Paul Civin, <i>Some ergodic theorems involving two operator</i>	869
Eckford Cohen, <i>The number of solutions of certain cubic congruence</i>	877
Richard M. Cohn, <i>Specializations over difference field</i>	887
Jean Dieudonné, <i>Pseudo-discriminant and Dickson invariant</i>	907
Ky Fan, <i>A comparison theorem for eigenvalues of normal matrice</i>	911
Richard P. Gosselin, <i>On the convergence behaviour of trigonometric interpolating polynomial</i>	915
Peter K. Henrici, <i>On generating functions of the Jacobi polynomial</i>	923
Meyer Jerison, <i>An algebra associated with a compact grou</i>	933
Wilhelm Magnus, <i>Infinite determinants associated with Hill's equatio</i>	941
G. Power and D. L. Scott-Hutton, <i>The slow steady motion of liquid past a semi-elliptical bos</i>	953
Lyle E. Pursell, <i>An algebraic characterization of fixed ideals in certain function ring</i>	963
C. T. Rajagopal, <i>Additional note on some Tauberian theorems of O. Szás</i>	971
Louis Baker Rall, <i>Error bounds for iterative solutions of Fredholm integral equation</i>	977
Shigeo Sasaki and Kentaro Yano, <i>Pseudo-analytic vectors on pseudo-Kählerian manifold</i>	987
Eugene Schenkman, <i>On the tower theorem for finite group</i>	995
P. Stein and John E. L. Peck, <i>On the numerical solution of Poisson's equation over a rectangl</i>	999
Morgan Ward, <i>The mappings of the positive integers into themselves which preserve divisio</i>	1013
Seth Warner, <i>Weak locally multiplicatively-convex algebra</i>	1025
Louis Weisner, <i>Group-theoretic origin of certain generating function</i>	1033