

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ABSTRACT RIEMANN SUM**

PAUL CIVIN

# ABSTRACT RIEMANN SUMS

PAUL CIVIN

**1. Introduction.** A theorem of B. Jessen [5] asserts that for  $f(x)$  of period one and Lebesgue integrable on  $[0, 1]$

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} f(x + k2^{-n}) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt \text{ almost everywhere.}$$

We show that the theorem of Jessen is a special case of a theorem analogous to the Birkhoff ergodic theorem [1] but dealing with sums of the form

$$(2) \quad 2^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} f(T^{k/2^n} x).$$

In this form  $T$  is an operator on a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space such that  $T^{1/2^n}$  exists as a one-to-one point transformation which is measure preserving for  $n=0, 1, \dots$ , and  $f(x)$  is integrable with  $f(x)=f(Tx)$ . We also obtain in §3 the analogues for abstract Riemann sums of the ergodic theorems of Hurewicz [4] and of Hopf [3].

We might remark that there is no use, due to the examples of Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [6] and Ursell [8], in considering sums of the form

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^{k/n} x)$$

without further hypothesis on  $f(x)$ . However we may replace  $2^n$  throughout by  $m_1 m_2 \dots m_n$  with  $m_j$  integral and  $m_j \geq 2$  without altering any argument.

In §4 necessary and sufficient conditions are obtained on a transformation  $T$  in order that the sums (2) have a limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for almost all  $x$ . These conditions are analogous to those of Ryll-Nardzewski [7] in the ergodic case. We use the necessary conditions to establish an analogue of a form of the Hurewicz ergodic theorem for two operators [2].

**2. Notation.** Let  $(S, \Omega, \mu)$  be a fixed  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. We consider throughout point transformations  $T$  which have measurable square roots of all orders, that is,

(3.1) *There exist one-to-one point transformations  $T_n$  so that*

---

Received June 2, 1954.

$$T_0 = T; \quad T_n^2 = T_{n-1} \quad n=1, 2, \dots$$

$$(3.2) \quad \text{If } X \in \Omega, \text{ then } T_n X \in \Omega \text{ and } T_n^{-1} X \in \Omega, \quad n=0, 1, \dots$$

No requirement is made of the uniqueness of the sequence  $T_n$ . For example in the theorem of Jessen,  $T$  is the identity transformation while  $T_n x = x + 2^{-n} \pmod{1}$ . We also suppose throughout that  $T$  is measure preserving

$$(3.3) \quad \mu(TX) = \mu(X) \text{ for } X \in \Omega.$$

**3. Limit theorems.** Let  $\Phi$  be a finite valued set function defined on  $\Omega$  and absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . Form the sums

$$(4) \quad \Phi_n(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \Phi(T_n^k X) \quad n=0, 1, \dots,$$

and

$$(5) \quad \mu_n(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \mu(T_n^k X) \quad n=0, 1, \dots$$

Then  $\Phi_n$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu_n$  and there exists an averaging sequence of point functions  $f_n(x)$  so that

$$(2) \quad \Phi_n(X) = \int_X f_n(x) \mu_n(dx), \quad n=0, 1, \dots$$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $T$  be a transformation such that (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) are satisfied. Let  $\Phi$  be a finite valued set function defined on  $\Omega$ , absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  and such that  $\Phi(TX) = \Phi(X)$ . Then for almost all  $x[\mu]$  the averaging sequence of point functions defined by (4), (5) and (6) has a limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The limit function  $F(x)$  has the following properties:*

- (i)  $F(T_n x) = F(x)$  almost everywhere  $[\mu]$ ,  $n=0, 1, \dots$
- (ii)  $F(x)$  is integrable over  $S$ .
- (iii) For any set  $X$  with  $T_n X = X$ ,  $n=0, 1, \dots$  and  $\mu(X) < \infty$

$$\int_X F(x) \mu(dx) = \int_X f(x) \mu(dx).$$

*Proof.* Note first that since  $\Phi(TX) = \Phi(X)$ ,

$$(7) \quad \Phi_n(T_n X) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \Phi(T_n^{k+1} X) = \Phi(X).$$

Likewise

$$(8) \quad \mu_n(T_n X) = \mu_n(X).$$

Therefore for all  $X$

$$\int_X f_n(T_n x) \mu_n(dx) = \int_{T_n X} f_n(x) \mu_n(dx) = \int_X f_n(x) \mu_n(dx)$$

and consequently

$$(9) \quad f_n(T_n x) = f_n(x) \quad \text{almost everywhere } [\mu_n].$$

Relation (3.1) then implies

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(T_n^j x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(T_n^m x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \end{cases} \quad \text{almost everywhere } [\mu] \quad \begin{matrix} j=1, \dots, 2^m-1 \\ m=1, 2, \dots \end{matrix}$$

Let

$$(11) \quad A = \{x \mid \sup_{0 \leq n} f_n(x) \geq 0\}.$$

It is asserted that

$$(12) \quad \int_A f_0(x) \mu(dx) \geq 0.$$

We define the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} P_j &= \{x \mid f_j(x) \geq 0\} & j=0, 1, \dots \\ A_N &= \{x \mid \sup_{0 \leq n \leq N} f_n(x) \geq 0\} & N=0, 1, \dots \\ C_{N,j} &= P'_N \cap \dots \cap P'_{j+1} \cap P_j & j=0, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

Now (9) together with (3.1) imply that  $T_k P_j = P_j$  for  $k \leq j$ . Consequently

$$T_j C_{N,j} = C_{N,j} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(C_{N,j}) = \phi(T_j^k C_{N,j}).$$

Therefore

$$2^j \phi(C_{N,j}) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \phi(T_j^k C_{N,j}) = \phi_j(C_{N,j})$$

and

$$2^j \phi(C_{N,j}) = \int_{C_{N,j}} f_j(x) \mu_j(dx) \geq 0, \quad j=0, \dots, N.$$

Since the  $C_{N,j}$  are disjoint for  $j=0, \dots, N$ , we have  $\phi(A_N) \geq 0$  and by a limiting process we obtain (12).

Likewise if

$$(13) \quad B = \{x | \inf_{0 \leq n} f_n(x) \geq 0\},$$

then

$$(14) \quad \int_B f_0(x) \mu(dx) \geq 0.$$

Inasmuch as the preceding argument made no use of the finiteness of  $\Phi$ , we may apply the result to the set function  $\Psi = \Phi - c\mu$  for any real  $c$ . Since

$$\Psi_n(X) = \int_X (f_n(x) - c) \mu_n(dx)$$

we deduce that for

$$(15) \quad A^c = \{x | \sup_{0 \leq n} f_n(x) \geq c\}$$

we have

$$(16) \quad \Phi(A^c) \geq c\mu(A^c)$$

and for

$$(17) \quad A_d = \{x | \inf_{0 \leq n} f_n(x) \leq d\}$$

we have

$$(18) \quad \Phi(A_d) \leq d\mu(A_d).$$

Let now for  $r > s$

$$(19) \quad L_s^r = \{x | \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) > r \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) < s\}.$$

From (10) we obtain

$$(20) \quad T_m^j L_s^r = L_s^r \quad j=0, 1, \dots, 2^m-1; m=0, 1, \dots.$$

Since  $L_s^r$  is invariant under each  $T_m$  we may consider it as a new space. The sets  $A^r$  and  $A_s$  relative to the new space are now the full space  $L_s^r$ . Hence if we apply (16) and (18) we obtain

$$\Phi(L_s^r) \geq r\mu(L_s^r); \quad \Phi(L_s^r) \leq s\mu(L_s^r).$$

The finiteness of  $\Phi$  together with the assumption  $r > s$  implies  $\mu(L_s^r) = 0$ . Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  exists almost everywhere  $[\mu]$ .

Property (i) of the limit function  $F(x)$  follows immediately from (10). Utilizing (i) the proofs of (ii) and (iii) are now identical with

the corresponding proofs by Hurewicz [4, p. 201] in the ergodic case.

The theorem for abstract Riemann sums analogous to the Hopf ergodic theorem is now deducible as a corollary.

COROLLARY 1. *Let  $T$  be a transformation such that (3.1) and (3.2) are satisfied and in addition*

$$(21) \quad \mu(T_n X) = \mu(X) \quad n=0, 1, \dots.$$

*Then for any integrable  $f(x)$  with  $f(Tx) = f(x)$  and any  $g(x) > 0$  with  $g(Tx) = g(x)$*

$$(22) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} f(T_n^k x)}{\sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} g(T_n^k x)}$$

*exists for almost every  $x$  [ $\mu$ ]. The limit function  $h(x)$  is integrable, satisfies  $h(T_n x) = h(x)$  for almost all  $x$  [ $\mu$ ], and for sets  $Y$  with  $\mu(Y) < \infty$  and  $T_m Y = Y$ ,  $m=0, 1, \dots$*

$$(23) \quad \int_Y h(x)g(x)\mu(dx) = \int_Y f(x)\mu(dx).$$

*Proof.* Introduce the measure

$$\nu(X) = \int_X g(x)\mu(dx),$$

and the set function

$$F(X) = \int_X f(x)\mu(dx).$$

The function  $F$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu$  and is finite valued. Condition (21) implies that

$$F_n(X) = \int_X \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} f(T_n^k x)\mu(dx)$$

and

$$\nu_n(X) = \int_X \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} g(T_n^k x)\mu(dx).$$

Thus from the representation

$$F_n(X) = \int_X f_n(x)\nu_n(dx)$$

we deduce that

$$f_n(x) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} f(T_n^k x)}{\sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} g(T_n^k x)} \quad \text{almost everywhere } [\mu].$$

The corollary is then an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

The theorem of Jessen now follows from the version of Corollary 1 with  $g(x)=1$  with the  $T_n$  as noted in § 2.

**4. Invariant measure and two operators.** It is possible for the conclusion of Corollary 1 to hold when  $g(x)=1$  but  $T$  does not satisfy (21). If we introduce

$$(24) \quad R_n(A, Y) = 2^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \mu(Y \cap T_n^{-k} A)$$

we obtain the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $T$  is a transformation such that (3.1) and (3.2) are satisfied, then the following statements are equivalent :*

(25.1) *For every integrable  $f(x)$  with  $f(Tx)=f(x)$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} f(T_n^k x)$$

*exists for almost every  $x$   $[\mu]$ .*

(25.2) *For each  $Y$  with  $\mu(Y) < \infty$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n(A, Y) \leq K\mu(A)$ .*

(25.3) *For each  $Y$  with  $\mu(Y) < \infty$ ,  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n(A, Y) \leq K\mu(A)$ .*

(25.4) *For an increasing sequence of sets  $Y_j$  with  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} Y_j = S$ ,*

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n(A, Y_j) \leq K\mu(A) .$$

(25.5) *There exists a countably additive measure  $\nu$  with the properties :*

(i)  $0 \leq \nu(X) \leq K\mu(X)$

(ii) *If  $A = T_n A$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\nu(A) = \mu(A)$*

(iii)  $\nu(A) = \nu(T_n A)$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$

The proof is almost identical with that of Ryll-Nardzewski [7] in

the ergodic case, and is omitted. The existence of an invariant measure implies, as in the ergodic case [2], the following theorem with two operators (or two sequences of roots of the same operator).

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $T$  and  $U$  each satisfy (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (25.1), and let*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \mu(T_n^k X)$$

*be absolutely continuous with respect to*

$$\mu_n(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \mu(U_n^k X), \quad n=0, 1, \dots$$

*For any finite valued set function  $\Phi$  absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  and with  $\Phi(TX)=\Phi(X)$  form*

$$\Phi_n(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \Phi(T_n^k X).$$

*Then in the representation*

$$\Phi_n(X) = \int_X f_n(x) \mu_n(dx),$$

*the averaging sequence of point functions  $f_n(x)$  tends to a limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for almost every  $x$  [ $\mu$ ].*

As a consequence of Theorem 3 we obtain the following corollary in the same fashion as Corollary 1 was derived from Theorem 1.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $T$  and  $U$  each satisfy (3.1) and (3.2), and in addition*

$$(26) \quad \mu(V_n X) = \mu(X) \quad n=0, \dots$$

*for  $V=T$  and  $V=U$ . Then for any integrable  $f(x)$  with  $f(Tx)=f(x)$  and any  $g(x) > 0$  with  $g(Ux)=g(x)$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} f(T_n^k X)}{\sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} g(U_n^k X)}$$

*exists for almost all  $x$  [ $\mu$ ].*



## REFERENCES

1. G.D. Birkhoff, *Proof of the ergodic theorem*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., **17** (1932), 650.
2. P. Civin, *Some ergodic theorems involving two operators*, Pacific J. Math., **5** (1955), 869-876.
3. E. Hopf, *Ergodentheorie*, Berlin 1937.
4. W. Hurewicz, *Ergodic theorem without invariant measure*, Ann. Math., **45** (1944), 192-206.
5. B. Jessen, *On the approximation of Lebesgue integrals by Riemann sums*, Ann. Math., **35** (1934), 248-251.
6. J. Marcinkiewicz and A. Zygmund, *Mean values of trigonometric polynomials*, Fund. Math., **28** (1937), 131-166.
7. C. Ryll-Nardzewski, *On ergodic theorems I*, Studia Math., **12** (1951), 65-73.
8. H.D. Ursell, *On the behavior of a certain sequence of functions derived from a given one*, J. London Math. Soc., **12** (1937), 229-232.

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON AND  
THE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY

../../../../FrontMatter/paper.pdf

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 5, No. 6

, 1955

Nesmith Cornett Ankeny and Theodore Joseph Rivlin, <i>On a theorem of S. Bernstei</i> . . . . .	849
Louis Auslander, <i>The use of forms in variational calculation</i> . . . . .	853
Paul Civin, <i>Abstract Riemann sum</i> . . . . .	861
Paul Civin, <i>Some ergodic theorems involving two operator</i> . . . . .	869
Eckford Cohen, <i>The number of solutions of certain cubic congruence</i> . . . . .	877
Richard M. Cohn, <i>Specializations over difference field</i> . . . . .	887
Jean Dieudonné, <i>Pseudo-discriminant and Dickson invariant</i> . . . . .	907
Ky Fan, <i>A comparison theorem for eigenvalues of normal matrice</i> . . . . .	911
Richard P. Gosselin, <i>On the convergence behaviour of trigonometric interpolating polynomial</i> . . . . .	915
Peter K. Henrici, <i>On generating functions of the Jacobi polynomial</i> . . . . .	923
Meyer Jerison, <i>An algebra associated with a compact grou</i> . . . . .	933
Wilhelm Magnus, <i>Infinite determinants associated with Hill's equatio</i> . . . . .	941
G. Power and D. L. Scott-Hutton, <i>The slow steady motion of liquid past a semi-elliptical bos</i> . . . . .	953
Lyle E. Pursell, <i>An algebraic characterization of fixed ideals in certain function ring</i> . . . . .	963
C. T. Rajagopal, <i>Additional note on some Tauberian theorems of O. Szás</i> . . . . .	971
Louis Baker Rall, <i>Error bounds for iterative solutions of Fredholm integral equation</i> . . . . .	977
Shigeo Sasaki and Kentaro Yano, <i>Pseudo-analytic vectors on pseudo-Kählerian manifold</i> . . . . .	987
Eugene Schenkman, <i>On the tower theorem for finite group</i> . . . . .	995
P. Stein and John E. L. Peck, <i>On the numerical solution of Poisson's equation over a rectangl</i> . . . . .	999
Morgan Ward, <i>The mappings of the positive integers into themselves which preserve divisio</i> . . . . .	1013
Seth Warner, <i>Weak locally multiplicatively-convex algebra</i> . . . . .	1025
Louis Weisner, <i>Group-theoretic origin of certain generating function</i> . . . . .	1033