

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A NOTE ON ORTHOGONAL SYSTEMS

ISIDORE ISAAC HIRSCHMAN, JR.

A NOTE ON ORTHOGONAL SYSTEMS

I. I. HIRSCHMAN, JR.

1. Let $\omega_n(x)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, be an orthonormal set of functions which are uniformly bounded,

$$(1) \quad |\omega_n(x)| \leq M \quad (n=1, 2, \dots, 0 \leq x \leq 1).$$

If $\sum_1^\infty |a_n| < \infty$, and if $\int_0^1 |g(x)| dx < \infty$ we may define

$$(2) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n \omega_n(x), \quad b_n = \int_0^1 g(x) \overline{\omega_n(x)} dx.$$

The following inequalities were established by R. E. A. C. Paley [1]:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \left[\int_0^1 |f(x)|^q dx \right]^{1/q} &\leq A_1'(q) \left[\sum_{n=1}^\infty |a_n|^q n^{q-2} \right]^{1/q} & (2 \leq q < \infty); \\ \left[\sum_{n=1}^\infty |b_n|^p n^{p-2} \right]^{1/p} &\leq A_2'(p) \left[\int_0^1 |g(x)|^p dx \right]^{1/p} & (1 < p \leq 2); \\ \left[\int_0^1 |f(x)|^p x^{p-2} dx \right]^{1/p} &\leq A_3'(p) \left[\sum_{n=1}^\infty |a_n|^p \right]^{1/p} & (1 < p \leq 2); \\ \left[\sum_{n=1}^\infty |b_n|^q \right]^{1/q} &\leq A_4'(q) \left[\int_0^1 |g(x)|^q x^{q-2} dx \right]^{1/q} & (2 \leq q < \infty). \end{aligned}$$

In the present paper we shall establish some related results which are however a great deal simpler. We shall prove that

$$(4') \quad \left[\int_0^1 |f(x)|^2 x^{-2\alpha} dx \right]^{1/2} \leq A_1(\alpha) \left[\sum_{n=1}^\infty |a_n|^2 n^{2\alpha} \right]^{1/2} \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1/2);$$

$$(4'') \quad \left[\sum_{n=1}^\infty |b_n|^2 n^{-2\alpha} \right]^{1/2} \leq A_2(\alpha) \left[\int_0^1 |g(x)|^2 x^{2\alpha} dx \right]^{1/2} \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1/2).$$

As Paley pointed out, the inequalities (3) include the inequalities of F. Riesz which assert that

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \left[\int_0^1 |f(x)|^q dx \right]^{1/q} &\leq B(p) \left[\sum_{n=1}^\infty |a_n|^p \right]^{1/p}, \\ \left[\sum_{n=1}^\infty |b_n|^q \right]^{1/q} &\leq B(p) \left[\int_0^1 |g(x)|^p dx \right]^{1/p} \quad (1 \leq p \leq 2, 1/p + 1/q = 1). \end{aligned}$$

Received December 2, 1954. Research supported in part by the Office of Ordnance Research under contract DA-23-072-ORD-392.

(The best values of the constants $B(p)$ cannot be obtained by this argument however). The inequalities (4') and (4'') also include (5) (again not with the best values for $B(p)$). The demonstration of Riesz's theorem which one obtains in this way is unusually simple.

2. We now proceed to the demonstration of the inequalities 1(4). We assert that $A_1(\alpha) = A_2(\alpha)$ and thus that either of the inequalities implies the other. Suppose that the inequality 1(4') holds. We define

$$F_N(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N b_n n^{-2\alpha} \omega_n(x).$$

By assumption

$$\int_0^1 |F_N(x)|^2 x^{-2\alpha} dx \leq A_1^2(\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^N |b_n n^{-2\alpha}|^2 n^{2\alpha} = A_1^2(\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^N |b_n|^2 n^{-2\alpha}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N |b_n|^2 n^{-2\alpha} &= \int_0^1 F_N(x) g(x) dx \leq \left[\int_0^1 |F_N(x)|^2 x^{2\alpha} dx \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_0^1 |g(x)|^2 x^{-2\alpha} dx \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left[A_1^2(\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^N |b_n|^2 n^{-2\alpha} \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_0^1 |g(x)|^2 x^{2\alpha} dx \right]^{1/2}, \\ \left[\sum_{n=1}^N |b_n|^2 n^{-2\alpha} \right]^{1/2} &\leq A_1(\alpha) \left[\int_0^1 |g(x)|^2 x^{2\alpha} dx \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Allowing N to increase without limit we see that $A_2(\alpha) \leq A_1(\alpha)$. Suppose now that 1(4'') holds. Set

$$b_n = \int_0^1 f(x) x^{-2\alpha} \overline{\omega_n(x)} dx.$$

By assumption

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n|^2 n^{-2\alpha} \leq A_2^2(\alpha) \int_0^1 |f(x) x^{-2\alpha}|^2 x^{2\alpha} dx = A_2^2(\alpha) \int_0^1 |f(x)|^2 x^{-2\alpha} dx.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |f(x)|^2 x^{-2\alpha} dx &= \int_0^1 \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \omega_n(x) \right] \overline{f(x) x^{-2\alpha}} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \int_0^1 \overline{f(x) x^{-2\alpha}} \omega_n(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \bar{b}_n \leq \left[\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2 n^{2\alpha} \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n|^2 n^{-2\alpha} \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left[A_2^2(\alpha) \int_0^1 |f(x)|^2 x^{-2\alpha} dx \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 n^{2\alpha} \right]^{1/2}, \\ \left[\int_0^1 |f(x)|^2 x^{-2\alpha} dx \right]^{1/2} &\leq A_2(\alpha) \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 n^{2\alpha} \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus $A_1(\alpha) \leq A_2(\alpha)$. Since $A_1(\alpha) = A_2(\alpha)$ we may write $A(\alpha)$ for $A_1(\alpha)$

and $A_2(\alpha)$.

It is evidently sufficient to prove either 1(4') or 1(4''). We shall prove 1(4'). There are four cases: (i) $\alpha=0$, (ii) $0 < \alpha < 1/4$, (iii) $\alpha = 1/4$ and (iv) $1/4 < \alpha < 1/2$.

Case (i). The desired conclusion follows from Bessel's inequality.

To demonstrate the remaining cases we set

$$\Omega_\mu(x) = \sum_{\substack{2^{\mu-1} \\ y^{\mu-1}}^{\substack{2^\mu-1 \\ y^{\mu-1}}} a_n \omega_n(x), \quad W_\mu = \sum_{\substack{2^{\mu-1} \\ y^{\mu-1}}} |a_n|^2 n^{2\alpha},$$

$$I_{\nu\mu} = \int_0^1 |\Omega_\mu(x) \Omega_\nu(x)| x^{-2\alpha} dx.$$

We further define

$$I_{\nu\mu}^{(1)} = \int_0^\varepsilon |\Omega_\mu(x) \Omega_\nu(x)| x^{-2\alpha} dx, \quad I_{\nu\mu}^{(2)} = \int_\varepsilon^1 |\Omega_\mu(x) \Omega_\nu(x)| x^{-2\alpha} dx.$$

We begin by proving two inequalities we shall use repeatedly:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{l.u.b.}_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |\Omega_\mu(x)| &\leq M \sum_{\substack{2^{\mu-1} \\ y^{\mu-1}}} |a_n| \\ (1) \quad &\leq M \left[\sum_{\substack{2^{\mu-1} \\ y^{\mu-1}}} |a_n|^2 n^{2\alpha} \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{\substack{2^{\mu-1} \\ y^{\mu-1}}} n^{-2\alpha} \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq A W_\mu^{1/2} 2^{-\alpha\mu + \mu/2}; \\ (2) \quad &\left[\int_0^1 |\Omega_\mu(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} = \left[\sum_{\substack{2^{\mu-1} \\ y^{\mu-1}}} |a_n|^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq A W_\mu^{1/2} 2^{-\alpha\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Here and later A will be any constant depending only on M and α .

Case (ii). Suppose that $\nu \geq \mu$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\nu\mu}^{(1)} &\leq \left[\text{l.u.b.}_{0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon} |\Omega_\mu(x)| \right] \left[\int_0^\varepsilon x^{-4\alpha} dx \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_0^\varepsilon |\Omega_\nu(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq A \varepsilon^{1/2-2\alpha} W_\mu^{1/2} W_\nu^{1/2} 2^{-\alpha\mu - \alpha\nu + \mu/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\nu\mu}^{(2)} &\leq \left[\text{l.u.b.}_{\varepsilon \leq x \leq 1} x^{-2\alpha} \right] \left[\int_\varepsilon^1 |\Omega_\mu(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_\varepsilon^1 |\Omega_\nu(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq A \varepsilon^{-2\alpha} W_\mu^{1/2} W_\nu^{1/2} 2^{-\alpha\mu - \alpha\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\varepsilon=2^{-\mu}$ we find that (for all μ and ν)

$$I_{\nu\mu} \leq A W_{\mu}^{1/2} W_{\nu}^{1/2} 2^{-\alpha|\nu-\mu|}.$$

Since $f(x) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{\mu}(x)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |f(x)|^2 x^{-2\alpha} dx &\leq \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^{\infty} I_{\nu\mu} \leq A \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^{\infty} W_{\mu}^{1/2} W_{\nu}^{1/2} 2^{-\alpha|\nu-\mu|} \\ &\leq A \left[\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} W_{\mu} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha|\nu-\mu|} \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} W_{\nu} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha|\nu-\mu|} \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha|\nu-\mu|} \leq A$$

from which it follows that

$$\int_0^1 |f(x)|^2 x^{-2\alpha} dx \leq A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 n^{2\alpha}.$$

Case (iii): $\alpha=1/4$. Suppose that $\nu \geq \mu$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\nu\mu}^{(1)} &\leq \left[\text{l.u.b.}_{0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon} |\Omega_{\mu}(x)| \right] \left[\text{l.u.b.}_{0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon} |\Omega_{\nu}(x)| \right] \int_0^{\varepsilon} x^{-1/2} dx \\ &\leq A \varepsilon^{1/2} W_{\mu}^{1/2} W_{\nu}^{1/2} 2^{(\mu+\nu)/4}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\nu\mu}^{(2)} &\leq \left[\int_{\varepsilon}^1 |\Omega_{\nu}(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{\varepsilon}^1 x^{-1} |\Omega_{\mu}(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left[\int_{\varepsilon}^1 |\Omega_{\nu}(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \left[\text{l.u.b.}_{\varepsilon \leq x \leq 1} |\Omega_{\mu}(x)| \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{\varepsilon}^1 x^{-1} |\Omega_{\mu}(x)| dx \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left[\int_{\varepsilon}^1 |\Omega_{\nu}(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \left[\text{l.u.b.}_{\varepsilon \leq x \leq 1} |\Omega_{\mu}(x)| \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{\varepsilon}^1 |\Omega_{\mu}(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/4} \left[\int_{\varepsilon}^1 x^{-2} dx \right]^{1/4} \\ &\leq A \varepsilon^{-1/4} W_{\mu}^{1/2} W_{\nu}^{1/2} 2^{-\nu/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon=2^{-\mu/3-2\nu/3}$ we obtain (for all μ and ν)

$$I_{\nu\mu} \leq A W_{\mu}^{1/2} W_{\nu}^{1/2} 2^{-1\nu-\mu/12},$$

and the proof may be completed as before.

Case (iv): $1/4 < \alpha < 1/2$. We again suppose $\nu \geq \mu$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\nu\mu}^{(1)} &\leq \left[\text{l.u.b.}_{0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon} |\Omega_{\mu}(x)| \right] \left[\text{l.u.b.}_{0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon} |\Omega_{\nu}(x)| \right] \int_0^{\varepsilon} x^{-2\alpha} dx \\ &\leq A \varepsilon^{1-2\alpha} W_{\mu}^{1/2} W_{\nu}^{1/2} 2^{-\alpha\mu-\alpha\nu+\mu/2+\nu/2}; \\ I_{\nu\mu}^{(2)} &\leq \left[\text{l.u.b.}_{\varepsilon \leq x \leq 1} |\Omega_{\mu}(x)| \right] \left[\int_{\varepsilon}^1 x^{-4\alpha} dx \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{\varepsilon}^1 |\Omega_{\nu}(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq A \varepsilon^{1/2-2\alpha} W_\mu^{1/2} W_\nu^{1/2} 2^{-\alpha\mu-\alpha\nu+\mu/2}.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon=2^{-\nu}$ we find that (for all μ and ν)

$$I_{\mu\nu} \leq A W_\mu^{1/2} W_\nu^{1/2} 2^{(1/2-\alpha)|\nu-\mu|}.$$

The proof of the inequality 1(4) is now complete.

It is evident that 1(4') remains valid if the condition $\sum_i^\infty |a_n| < \infty$ is abandoned, provided that $f(x)$ is interpreted as limit in the mean.

Let a_1, a_2, \dots be a sequence of complex constants which approach 0 as n approaches ∞ . We denote by a_1^*, a_2^*, \dots the sequence $|a_1|, |a_2|, \dots$ arranged in non-increasing order. Let $f(x)$ be a complex valued measurable function defined on $[0,1]$. We denote by $f^*(x)$ the function equimeasurable with $|f(x)|$ and non-increasing. A simple and well-known argument, see [2; pp. 207-211], enables us to restate our inequalities in the stronger form,

$$(3') \quad \int_0^1 [f^*(x)]^2 x^{-2\alpha} dx \leq A(\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^\infty [a_n^*]^2 n^{2\alpha} \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1/2);$$

$$(3'') \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty [a_n^*]^2 n^{-2\alpha} \leq A(\alpha) \int_0^1 [f^*(x)]^2 x^{-2\alpha} dx. \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1/2).$$

3. We now deduce the first of Riesz's inequalities. Let b_1, b_2, \dots be given such that $B = (\sum_1^\infty |b_n|^p)^{1/p}$ is finite where $1 < p \leq 2$. We may write $B^p = \sum_i^\infty [b_n^*]^p$.

Since b_n^* is non-increasing $n[b_n^*]^p \leq B^p$ or $b_n^* \leq n^{-1/p} B$. It follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty [b_n^*]^2 n^{(2-p)/p} \leq B^{2-p} \sum_{n=1}^\infty [b_n^*]^p = B^2.$$

By 2(3') we have, if $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n \omega_n(x)$,

$$\int_0^1 [(f^*(x))]^2 x^{-(2-p)/p} dx \leq \left[A \left(\frac{2-p}{2p} \right) \right]^2 B^2.$$

Let $F = \left[\int_0^1 |f(x)|^q dx \right]^{1/q}$ where $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. We have $F^q = \int_0^1 [f^*(x)]^q dx$.

Since $f^*(x)$ is non-increasing $x[f^*(x)]^q \leq F^q$ or $f^*(x) \leq x^{-1/q} F$. It follows that

$$F^2 = F^{2-q} \int_0^1 [f^*(x)]^q dx \leq \int_0^1 [f^*(x)]^2 x^{-(q-2)/q} dx.$$

Since $(q-2)/q = (2-p)/p$ we obtain

$$\left[\int_0^1 |f(x)|^q dx \right]^{1/q} \leq B(p) \left[\sum_1^\infty |b_n|^p \right]^{1/p},$$

where $B(p)$ may be taken as $\left[A \left(\frac{2-p}{2p} \right) \right]$. A similar argument serves to establish the other Riesz inequality.

4. It is natural to conjecture the existence of a general inequality which includes Paley's inequalities 1(3) and the inequalities 1(4). We shall prove that

$$(1) \quad \int_0^1 |f(x)|^r x^{-r\gamma} dx \leq A_1''(r, \gamma) \sum_{n=1}^\infty |a_n|^r n^{r-2+r\gamma} \quad (2 \leq r < \infty, 0 \leq \gamma < 1/r),$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty |a_n|^r n^{-r\gamma} \leq A_2''(r, \gamma) \int_0^1 |f(x)|^r x^{r-2+r\gamma} dx \quad (2 \leq r < \infty, 0 \leq \gamma < 1/r),$$

$$(3) \quad \int_0^1 |f(x)|^r x^{r-2-r\gamma} dx \leq A_3''(r, \gamma) \sum_{n=1}^\infty |a_n|^r n^{r\gamma} \quad (1 < r \leq 2, 0 \leq \gamma < 1-1/r),$$

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty |a_n|^r n^{r-2-r\gamma} \leq A_4''(r, \gamma) \int_0^1 |f(x)|^r x^{r\gamma} dx \quad (1 < r \leq 2, 0 \leq \gamma < 1-1/r).$$

Let us prove (1). Choose $q, 2 < r < q$. We have

$$\left[\int_0^1 |f(x)|^2 x^{-2\alpha} dx \right]^{1/2} \leq A(\alpha) \left[\sum_{n=1}^\infty |a_n|^2 n^{2\alpha} \right]^{1/2},$$

$$\left[\int_0^1 |f(x)|^q dx \right]^{1/2} \leq A_1'(q) \left[\sum_{n=1}^\infty |a_n|^q n^{q-2} \right]^{1/q}.$$

We write (formally)

$$|g(x)|^2 M(x) = |f(x)|^2 x^{-2\alpha}, \quad |g(x)|^q M(x) = |f(x)|^q.$$

These relations suggest that we define $g(x)$ and $M(x)$ by

$$g(x) = f(x) x^{2\alpha/(q-2)}, \quad M(x) = x^{-2\alpha q/(q-2)}.$$

Similarly from the (formal) relations

$$|b_n|^2 m(n) = |a_n|^2 n^{2\alpha}, \quad |b_n|^q m(n) = |a_n|^q n^{q-2},$$

we are lead to the definitions

$$b_n = a_n n^{1-2\alpha/(q-2)}, \quad m(n) = n^{2(q\alpha-q+2)/(q-2)}.$$

The mapping $T\{b_n\}_1^\infty = g(x)$ is a linear transformation, and we have

$$\int_0^1 |g(x)|^2 M(x) dx \leq A(\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^\infty |b_n|^2 m(n),$$

$$\int_0^1 |g(x)|^q M(x) dx \leq A_1'(q) \sum_{n=1}^\infty |b_n|^q m(n).$$

By the Riesz interpolation theorem,

$$(5) \quad \left[\int_0^1 |g(x)|^r M(x) dx \right]^{1/r} \leq C(\alpha, r, q) \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n|^r m(n) \right]^{1/r}.$$

Now

$$\int_0^1 |g(x)|^r M(x) dx = \int_0^1 |f(x)|^r x^{2\alpha r/(q-2)} x^{-2\alpha q/(q-2)} dx,$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n|^r m(n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^r n^r n^{-2\alpha r/(q-2)} n^{2(q\alpha - q + 2)/(q-2)}.$$

If γ is defined by the equation

$$(6) \quad r\gamma = -\frac{2\alpha(r-q)}{q-2}$$

then (5) can be rewritten as

$$\int_0^1 |f(x)|^r x^{-r\gamma} dx \leq C(\alpha, r, q) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^r n^{r-2+r\gamma}.$$

It is evident from (6) that, by properly choosing α and q , γ can assume any value in the range $0 \leq \gamma < 1/r$. Thus we have established (1). The relations (2), (3) and (4) can be dealt with similarly. For the special case of Fourier series these inequalities have been established by H. R. Pitt [3].

The “*” forms of these inequalities are also true.

5. In the present section we shall prove a result which is a slight variant of the Riesz-Thorin convexity theorem. While this is probably known I have not been able to find a reference for it.

Let $[T_{ij}]$ ($i=1, \dots, m; j=1, \dots, n$) be a complex matrix, and let

$$a_i = \sum_{j=1}^n T_{ij} b_j \quad (i=1, \dots, m).$$

Let μ_i, σ_i be positive for $i=1, \dots, m$ and ν_j, τ_j be positive for $j=1, \dots, n$. For $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ let

$$(1) \quad A(\alpha, \beta) = \text{l.u.b.} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m |a_i|^p \mu_i^\alpha \sigma_i \right]^{1/p},$$

where the least upper bound is extended over all sets (b_1, \dots, b_n) such that

$$(2) \quad \left[\sum_{j=1}^n |b_j|^q \nu_j^\beta \tau_j \right]^{1/q} = 1.$$

We assert that $\log A(\alpha, \beta)$ is convex for $-\infty < \alpha, \beta < \infty$; that is, if

$$(3) \quad \alpha = (1 - \theta)\alpha_1 + \theta\alpha_2, \quad \beta = (1 - \theta)\beta_1 + \theta\beta_2 \quad (0 < \theta < 1),$$

then

$$(4) \quad A(\alpha, \beta) \leq A(\alpha_1, \beta_1)^{1-\theta} A(\alpha_2, \beta_2)^\theta.$$

To prove this let (b_1, \dots, b_n) be fixed, such that (2) is satisfied and let (c_1, \dots, c_m) be such that

$$(5) \quad \left[\sum_{i=1}^m |c_i|^{p'} \mu_i^{-p'\alpha} \sigma_i \right]^{1/p'} = 1, \quad 1/p + 1/p' = 1.$$

Consider

$$f(w) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\sum_{j=1}^n T_{ij} b_j \nu_j^{\beta - \beta_1 + w(\beta_1 - \beta_2)} \right] c_i \mu_i^{-\alpha + \alpha_1 + w(-\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \sigma_i.$$

The function $f(w)$ is entire and is bounded in every vertical strip. Let us set

$$b_j(w) = b_j \nu_j^{\beta - \beta_1 + w(\beta_1 - \beta_2)}, \quad c_i(w) = c_i \mu_i^{-\alpha + \alpha_1 + w(-\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)},$$

$$a_i(w) = \sum_{j=1}^n T_{ij} b_j(w),$$

so that

$$f(w) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i(w) c_i(w) \sigma_i.$$

We have

$$\left[\sum_{j=1}^n |b_j(iv)|^q \nu_j^{\beta_1} \tau_j \right]^{1/q} = 1,$$

and thus

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^m |a_i(iv)|^p \mu_i^{p\alpha_1} \sigma_i \right]^{1/p} \leq A(\alpha_1, \beta_1);$$

further

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^m |c_i(iv)|^{p'} \mu_i^{-p'\alpha} \sigma_i \right]^{1/p'} = 1.$$

Applying Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$|f(iv)| \leq A(\alpha_1, \beta_1).$$

We may similarly show that

$$|f(1+iv)| \leq A(\alpha_2, \beta_2).$$

By the three lines theorem

$$|f(\theta)| \leq A(\alpha_1, \beta_1)^{1-\theta} A(\alpha_2, \beta_2)^\theta$$

which is equivalent to the inequality

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i c_i \sigma_i \right| \leq A(\alpha_1, \beta_1)^{1-\theta} A(\alpha_2, \beta_2)^\theta.$$

Since this holds for all (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m) satisfying (5) this implies that

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^m |a_i|^p \mu_i^{p\alpha} \sigma_i \right]^{1/p} \leq A(\alpha_1, \beta_1)^{1-\theta} A(\alpha_2, \beta_2)^\theta,$$

thus verifying our assertion. We have tacitly assumed above that $p, q < \infty$. The case where p or q or both are ∞ can be dealt with by passing to the limit.

We shall now apply this to show that if $A(\alpha)$ is defined as in § 2 then $\log A(\alpha)$ is convex. Let

$$T_{ij} = \int_{(j-1)/n}^{j/n} \omega_i(x) dx \quad (i=1, \dots, m; j=1, \dots, n)$$

and let $f(x)$ be a step function taking the value b_j for $(j-1)/n \leq x < j/n$. If $a_i = \int_0^1 f(x) \omega_i(x) dx$ then

$$a_i = \sum_{j=1}^m T_{ij} b_j.$$

Let

$$A_{m,n}(\alpha) = \text{l.u.b.} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m |a_i|^2 i^{-2\alpha} \right]^{1/2}$$

the least upper bound being taken over all b_1, \dots, b_n such that

$$\left[\sum_{j=1}^n |b_j|^2 n^{-1} (j/n)^{-2\alpha} \right]^{1/2} = 1.$$

For every m and $n, A_{m,n}$ is a logarithmically convex function of α . We have

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} A_{m,n}(\alpha) = A(\alpha)$$

and from this it follows that $A(\alpha)$ is logarithmically convex.

Because of this fact it is sufficient in § 2 to deal only with cases (i) and (iv), since (ii) and (iii) then follow by interpolation.

REFERENCES

1. R. E. A. C. Paley, *Some theorems on orthogonal functions*, *Studia Math.*, **3** (1931), 226-239.

2. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometrical series*, Lwow 1935.
3. H. R. Pitt, *Theorems on Fourier series and power series*, Duke Math. J., **3** (1937), 747-755.

WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. L. ROYDEN

Stanford University
Stanford, California

E. HEWITT

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

R. P. DILWORTH

California Institute of Technology
Pasadena 4, California

E. G. STRAUS

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

C. E. BURGESS

H. BUSEMANN

H. FEDERER

M. HALL

P. R. HALMOS

V. GANAPATHY IYER

R. D. JAMES

M. S. KNEBELMAN

I. NIVEN

T. G. OSTROM

M. M. SCHIFFER

J. J. STOKER

G. SZEKERES

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY

Printed in Japan by Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha
(International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), Tokyo, Japan

| | |
|--|-----|
| David Blackwell, <i>An analog of the minimax theorem for vector payoffs</i> | 1 |
| L. W. Cohen, <i>A non-archimedean measure in the space of real sequences</i> | 9 |
| George Bernard Dantzig, <i>Constructive proof of the Min-Max theorem</i> | 25 |
| Jim Douglas, <i>On the numerical integration of quasilinear parabolic differential equations</i> | 35 |
| James Michael Gardner Fell, <i>A note on abstract measure</i> | 43 |
| Isidore Isaac Hirschman, Jr., <i>A note on orthogonal systems</i> | 47 |
| Frank Harary, <i>On the number of dissimilar line-subgraphs of a given graph</i> | 57 |
| Newton Seymour Hawley, <i>Complex bundles with Abelian group</i> | 65 |
| Alan Jerome Hoffman, Morris Newman, Ernst Gabor Straus and Olga Taussky, <i>On the number of absolute points of a correlation</i> | 83 |
| Ernst Gabor Straus and Olga Taussky, <i>Remark on the preceding paper. Algebraic equations satisfied by roots of natural numbers</i> | 97 |
| Ralph D. James, <i>Summable trigonometric series</i> | 99 |
| Gerald R. Mac Lane, <i>Limits of rational functions</i> | 111 |
| F. Oberhettinger, <i>Note on the Lerch zeta function</i> | 117 |
| Gerald C. Preston, <i>On locally compact totally disconnected Abelian groups and their character groups</i> | 121 |
| Vikramaditya Singh and W. J. Thron, <i>On the number of singular points, located on the unit circle, of certain functions represented by C-fractions</i> | 135 |
| Sherman K. Stein, <i>The symmetry function in a convex body</i> | 145 |
| Edwin Weiss, <i>Boundedness in topological rings</i> | 149 |
| Albert Leon Whiteman, <i>A sum connected with the series for the partition function</i> | 159 |
| Alfred B. Willcox, <i>Some structure theorems for a class of Banach algebras</i> | 177 |
| Joseph Lawrence Zemmer, <i>Some remarks on p-rings and their Boolean geometry</i> | 193 |