REMARK ON THE PRECEDING PAPER. ALGEBRAIC EQUATIONS SATISFIED BY ROOTS OF NATURAL NUMBERS

Ernst Gabor Straus and Olga Taussky
REMARK ON THE PRECEDING PAPER

ALGEBRAIC EQUATIONS SATISFIED BY ROOTS OF
NATURAL NUMBERS

E. G. STRAUS AND O. TAUSSKY

In the preceding paper [1] it was shown that the polynomials in question are factors of \( \Phi_h(x^k/n) \) where \( \Phi_h \) is the cyclotomic polynomial of order \( h \) and \( k, n \) are positive integers. The case \( k=2 \) was settled in [1, Lemma 2]. It will now be shown that this is essentially the only nontrivial case. For a different treatment of a somewhat related question see K. T. Vahlen [2].

First let us remark that we can exclude the case \( n=m^d \) where \( d/k, d>1 \); since we may then set \( y=x^{k/d}/m \) so that \( \Phi_h(y^d) \) is either reducible with cyclotomic factors or equal to \( \Phi_h(y) \). We shall refer to \( n \) and \( \Phi_h(x^k/n) \) which satisfy the above exclusion as simplified.

**Theorem.** The simplified polynomial \( \Phi_h(x^k/n) \) is irreducible for all odd \( k \). For \( k=2l \) the polynomial is reducible if and only if \( \Phi_h(x^2/n) \) is reducible. In that case we have

\[
\Phi_h(x^k/n) = g(x)g(-x),
\]

where the polynomials on the right are irreducible.

The proof is based on the following lemma.

**Lemma.** If \( k>2 \) and \( n^{1/k} \) is simplified then \( n^{1/k} \) is not contained in a cyclotomic field.

**Proof.** The Galois group of a cyclotomic field \( R(\zeta) \) is Abelian and hence all subfields of \( R(\zeta) \) are normal. The field \( R(n^{1/k}) \) is, however, not a normal field for \( k>2 \).

We can now prove the Theorem. Let \( \zeta_h \) be a primitive \( h \)th root of unity. A zero \( \omega \) of a simplified \( \Phi_h(x^k/n) \) is a zero of

\[
x^k-n\zeta_h
\]

and hence \( R(\omega) \) is an algebraic extension of \( R(\zeta_h) \). If the degree of \( R(\omega) \) over \( R(\zeta_h) \) were \( h \) then its degree over \( R \) would be \( k\phi(h) \). Hence \( \Phi_h(x^k/n) \) is reducible if and only if (2) is reducible over \( R(\zeta_h) \). Say

\[
x^k-n\zeta_h=F(x)G(x) \quad F, G \in R(\zeta_h)[x].
\]

Since all the roots of (2) are of the form \( n^{1/k}\zeta_h^s \) we have
where $\zeta$ is a root of unity. In other words

\[(4) \quad n^{1/k} \in R(\zeta, \zeta') = R(\zeta')\]

where $\zeta'$ is a root of unity.

According to the lemma (4) is impossible if the reduced fraction $1/k$ has denominator $> 2$. For $k$ odd this means $l = 0$ or $k$ and $\phi_h(x^k/n)$ irreducible. For $k$ even and $0 < l < k$ we can have only $l = k/2$. In this case

\[F(0) = \pm n^{1/k} e_h, \quad G(0) = \pm n^{1/k} e_h;\]

and since both $F(0)G(0)$ and $F(0)/G(0)$ are in $R(\zeta)$ we obtain

\[s + t = s - t \equiv 0 \pmod{k}.\]

Hence $s = t \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ so that

\[(5) \quad F(0) = \sqrt{n^{1/k} e_h} \in R(\zeta).\]

But we noted in [1, Lemma 1] that (5) is necessary and sufficient for the reducibility of $\phi_h(x^n/n)$. Thus we have

\[\phi_h(x^n/n) = g(x)g(-x)\]

and therefore

\[\phi_h(x^n/n) = g(x')g(-x')\]

as the complete factorization of $\phi_h(x^n/n)$ over $R[x]$. 
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