

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**RETRACTIONS IN SEMIGROUPS**

ALEXANDER DONIPHAN WALLACE

# RETRACTIONS IN SEMIGROUPS

A. D. WALLACE

Let  $S$  be a semigroup (that is, a Hausdorff space together with a continuous associative multiplication) and let  $E$  denote the set of idempotents of  $S$ . If  $x \in S$  let

$$L_x = \{y | y \cup Sy = x \cup Sx\}$$

and

$$R_x = \{y | y \cup yS = x \cup xS\} .$$

Put  $H_x = L_x \cap R_x$  and for  $e \in E$  let

$$H = \cup \{H_e | e \in E\} ,$$

$$M_e = \{x | ex \in H \text{ and } xe \in H\} ,$$

$$Z_e = H_e \times (R_e \cap E) \times (L_e \cap E)$$

and

$$K_e = (L_e \cap E) \cdot H_e \cdot (R_e \cap E) .$$

Under the assumption that  $S$  is compact we shall prove that  $K_e$  is a retract of  $M_e$  and that  $K_e$  and  $Z_e$  are equivalent, both algebraically and topologically. This latter fact sharpens a result announced in [6] and the former settles several questions raised in [7].

I am grateful to A. H. Clifford and to R. J. Koch for their several comments. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $Z = S \times S \times S$  and define a multiplication in  $Z$  by*

$$(t, x, y) \cdot (t', x', y') = (txy't', x', y) ;$$

*then  $Z$  is a semigroup and, with this multiplication, the function  $f: Z \rightarrow S$  defined by  $f(t, x, y) = ytx$  is a continuous homomorphism.*

The proof of this is immediate. We use only the above defined multiplication in  $Z$  and not coordinatewise multiplication. It is clear that  $f(Z_e) = K_e$ .

Since the sets  $H_e$ ,  $e \in E$ , are pairwise disjoint groups [1] it is legitimate to define functions

$$\eta : H \rightarrow E , \quad \theta : H \rightarrow H$$

Received August 10, 1956.

by “ $\eta(x)$  is the unit of the group  $H_e$  which contains  $x$ ” and “ $\theta(x)$  is the inverse of  $x$  in the group  $H_e$  which contains  $x$ ”. If  $x \in M_e$  then  $ex, xe \in H$  so that  $\eta(ex), \eta(xe)$  are defined. Define  $g: M_e \rightarrow Z$  by

$$g(x) = (exe, \eta(ex), \eta(xe))$$

and note that the continuity of  $\eta$  implies the continuity of  $g$ . For  $x \in M_e$  let

$$\rho(x) = \eta(xe)x\eta(ex)$$

so that  $\rho$  is continuous if  $\eta$  is continuous.

LEMMA 2. For any  $x \in K_e$  we have  $fg(x) = x = \rho(x)$  and  $g(K_e) = Z_e$ . The function  $f|Z_e$  takes  $Z_e$  onto  $K_e$  in a one-to-one way and is a homeomorphism if  $\eta$  is continuous. If  $\eta$  is continuous then  $\rho$  retracts  $M_e$  onto  $K_e$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t \in H_e, e_1 \in R_e \cap E$  and  $e_2 \in L_e \cap E$ . Since  $L_{e_2} = L_e$  it is immediate that  $ee_2 = e$  and since  $t$  is an element of the group  $H_e$  whose unit is  $e$  (Green [3]) we also have  $et = t = te$ . Similarly we see that  $e_1e = e$ . It is important to observe that the sets  $\{L_x|x \in S\}$ ,  $\{R_x|x \in S\}$  and  $\{H_x|x \in S\}$  are disjointed covers of  $S$  so that, for example  $L_x \cap L_y \neq \emptyset$  implies  $L_x = L_y$ . We see that  $ee_2te_1 = te_1$  and  $e_2te_1e = e_2t$  so that  $ee_2te_1e = t$ . We note next that  $te_1 \in H_{e_1}$  and thus  $\eta(te_1) = e_1$ . For  $e \in R_e \cap L_e = R_{e_1} \cap L_{e_1}$  and  $e^2 = e$ , give  $te_1 \in R_{e_1} \cap L_{e_1}$  in view of Theorem 3 of [2]. But

$$R_t \cap L_{e_1} = R_e \cap L_{e_1} = R_{e_1} \cap L_{e_1} = H_{e_1}$$

and  $H_{e_1}$  being a group with unit  $e_1$  we have, from the definition of  $\eta$ ,  $\eta(te_1) = e_1$ . In a similar fashion we show that  $\eta(e_2t) = e_2$ . If  $x \in K_e$  then we have  $x = e_2te_1$  with the above notation and

$$\begin{aligned} fg(x) &= f(exe, \eta(ex), \eta(xe)) = \eta(xe)exe\eta(ex) \\ &= \eta(e_2t)t\eta(te_1) = e_2te_1 = x. \end{aligned}$$

It will suffice to show in addition that  $gf(z) = z$  for  $z \in Z$  since  $fg(x) = x$  gives  $x = \rho(x)$ . Now let  $z = (t, e_1, e_2) \in Z_e$  so that  $f(z) = e_2te_1 \in K_e$  and

$$g(f(z)) = (ef(z)e, \eta(ef(z)), \eta(f(z)e)) = (t, e_1, e_2)$$

in virtue of the computation given earlier.

It remains to prove the continuity of  $\eta$  when  $S$  is compact. This was announced in [7] but no proof of this fact has been published. Let

$$\mathcal{L} = \{(x, y) | L_x = L_y\}, \quad \mathcal{R} = \{(x, y) | R_x = R_y\}$$

and let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}$ .

LEMMA 3. *If  $S$  is compact then  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  are closed.*

*Proof.* Let

$$\mathcal{L}' = \{(x, y) \mid Sx \subset Sy\}$$

and assume that  $(a, b) \in S \times S \setminus \mathcal{L}'$ . Then  $Sb \subset S \setminus a$  and hence  $Sb \subset S \setminus U^*$  for some open set  $U$  about  $a$  since  $Sb$  is closed and  $S$  is regular. Again from the compactness of  $S$  we can find an open set  $V$  about  $b$  such that  $SV \subset S \setminus U^*$ . Hence  $(U \times V) \cap \mathcal{L}' = \emptyset$  and we may infer that  $\mathcal{L}'$  is closed. There is no loss of generality in assuming that  $S$  has a unit [3]. Hence if  $h: S \times S \rightarrow S \times S$  is defined by  $h(x, y) = (y, x)$  then  $h(\mathcal{L}')$  is closed and thus  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}' \cap h(\mathcal{L}')$  is closed. In a similar way it may be shown that  $\mathcal{R}$  is closed. Moreover,  $\mathcal{H}$  is closed because  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}$ .

THEOREM 1 [7]. *If  $S$  is compact then  $H$  is closed,  $\eta: H \rightarrow E$  is a retraction and  $\theta: H \rightarrow H$  is a homeomorphism.*

*Proof.* Define  $p: S \times S \rightarrow S$  by  $p(x, y) = x$ . Then

$$H = \bigcup \{H_e \mid e \in E\} = p(\mathcal{H} \cap (S \times E))$$

is closed since  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $E$  are closed. We show next that  $\theta$  is continuous and to this end it is enough to prove that  $G = \{(x, \theta(x)) \mid x \in H\}$  in virtue of the fact that  $H$  is compact Hausdorff. If  $m: S \times S \rightarrow S$  is defined by  $m(x, y) = xy$  then  $\mathcal{H} \cap (H \times H) \cap m^{-1}(E)$  is closed and we will show that this set is the same as  $G$ . For  $(x, \theta(x))$  in  $G$  implies  $m(x, \theta(x)) = x\theta(x) \in E$  in virtue of the definition of  $\theta$ . Since  $x$  and  $\theta(x)$  are in the same set  $H_e$ ,  $e \in E$ , it is clear that  $(x, \theta(x)) \in H \times H$  and it is easily seen from the definition of  $H_x = L_x \cap R_x$ , and  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}$  that also  $(x, \theta(x)) \in \mathcal{H}$ . Now take  $x, y$  such that  $xy = e \in E$ ,  $x, y \in H$  and  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{H}$ . The last fact shows that  $H_x = H_y$ , and the penultimate condition, together with this shows that  $x, y \in H_{e_1}$  for some  $e_1 \in E$ . But  $e = xy \in H_{e_1}$  and the fact that  $H_{e_1}$  is a group implies that  $e = e_1$ . Now the uniqueness of inversion in the group  $H_e$  shows that  $y = \theta(x)$ . Hence  $\theta$  is continuous and  $\eta$  is continuous because  $\eta(x) = x\theta(x)$  from the definition of  $\eta$  and  $\theta$ .

G. B. Preston raised the question as to the continuity of a certain generalized "inversion"—Suppose that there is a unique function  $\alpha: S \rightarrow S$  such that  $x\alpha(x)x = x$  and  $\alpha(x)x\alpha(x) = \alpha(x)$  for each  $x \in S$ . If  $S$  is compact then  $\alpha$  is continuous. To see this let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the set of all  $(x, y) \in S \times S$  such that  $xyx = x$  and  $yx y = y$  and define  $\varphi: S \times S \rightarrow S \times S$  by  $\varphi(x, y) = (xyx, x)$ . If  $D$  is the diagonal of  $S \times S$  then  $\varphi^{-1}(D)$  is closed. Similarly  $\psi^{-1}(D)$  is closed where  $\psi(x, y) = (y, yxy)$  and  $\mathcal{N} = \varphi^{-1}(D) \cap \psi^{-1}(D)$  is therefore closed. The uniqueness of  $\alpha$  implies that  $\{(x, \alpha(x)) \mid x \in S\} = \mathcal{N}$

so that  $\alpha$  is continuous if  $S$  is compact. For a discussion of the existence and uniqueness of such functions as  $\alpha$ , see [2, pp. 273-274] as well as references therein to Liber, Munn and Penrose, Thierrin, Vagner and the papers of Preston in London Math. Soc., 1954.

From Theorem 1 and Lemma 2 we obtain at once

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $S$  be compact and let  $e \in E$ ; then  $K_e$  is topologically isomorphic with*

$$Z_e = H_e \times (L_e \cap E) \times (R_e \cap E)$$

and  $K_e$  is a retract of  $M_e$ .

It is not asserted that  $K_e$  is a subsemigroup of  $S$ . The first corollary is a topologized form of the Rees-Suschkewitsch theorem, see [6], [7] and [2] for a bibliography of relevant algebraic results.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $S$  is compact, if  $K$  is the minimal ideal of  $S$  and if  $e \in E \cap K$  then  $K$  is topologically isomorphic with  $eSe \times (Se \cap E) \times (eS \cap E)$  and  $K$  and each "factor" of  $K$  is a retract of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* We rely, without explicit citation, on the results of [1]. It is immediate that  $M_e = S$ . Now  $L_e = Se$ ,  $R_e = eS$  and  $H_e = eSe$  so that (by definition and [1])  $K_e = Se \cdot eSe \cdot eS \subset K$  and, being an ideal,  $K_e = K$ . Clearly  $x \rightarrow exe$  retracts  $S$  onto  $eSe$ . Now  $Se \subset K \subset H$  and  $\eta|_{Se}$  retracts  $Se$  onto  $Se \cap E$ .

It is clear, when  $S$  is compact, that  $K$  enjoys all the retraction invariants of  $S$ , for example, if  $S$  is locally connected so is  $K$ . We do not list these nor do we give here the applications of Corollary 1 that were mentioned in [6].

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $S$  is a clan [7], if  $K \subset E$  and if  $H^n(S) \neq 0$  for some  $n > 0$  and some coefficient group, then  $\dim K \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* If  $K \subset E$  then  $H_e = \{e\}$  and  $K$  is thus topologically the product  $Se \times eS$  since  $Se, eS \subset K$ . Now  $H^n(Se) \approx H^n(S) \approx H^n(eS)$  [9] and hence  $Se, eS$  are non-degenerate continua. It follows that  $\dim K \geq 2$ .

It is possible to put some of the above in a more general framework. Let  $T$  be a closed subsemigroup of  $S$  and let

$$L_x = \{y|x \cup Tx = y \cup Ty\},$$

with similar definitions for  $R_x$  and  $H_x$ . If  $e \in E$  then  $H_e$  is a semigroup and  $H_e$  is a group if  $eT \cup Te \subset T$ . If  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}$  are defined analogously then  $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$ . Moreover we have  $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{J}$ , where

$$\mathcal{J} = \{(x, y) | x \cup Tx \cup xT \cup TxT = y \cup Ty \cup yT \cup TyT\},$$

when  $S$  is compact [5]. In this case  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  are closed. It is easy to see that many of the results of [3] and [2] are valid in this setting. If we define a left  $T$ -ideal as a non-void set  $A$  such that  $TA \subset A$ , then the basic propositions about ideals are also available. Many of these results follow from general theorems on structures [8].

#### REFERENCES

1. A. H. Clifford, *Semigroups containing minimal ideals*, Amer. J. Math. **70** (1948), 521-526.
2. ——— and D. D. Miller, *Regular D-classes in semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1956), 270-280.
3. J. A. Green, *On the structure of semigroups*, Ann. Math. **54** (1951), 163-172.
4. R. J. Koch and A. D. Wallace, *Maximal ideals in compact semigroups*, Duke Math. J., **21** (1954), 681-685.
5. ——— and ———, *Stability in semigroups*, Duke Math. J., **24**, (1957), 193-196.
6. A. D. Wallace, *The Rees-Suschkewitsch theorem for compact semigroups*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **42** (1956), 430-432.
7. ———, *The structure of topological semigroups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **61** (1955), 95-112.
8. ———, *Struct ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1955), 634-642.
9. ———, *Inverses in Euclidean mobs*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **3** (1953), 23-28.

THE TULANE UNIVERSITY OF LOUISIANA



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. L. ROYDEN

Stanford University  
Stanford, California

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington  
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California  
Los Angeles 7, California

E. G. STRAUS

University of California  
Los Angeles 24, California

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

C. E. BURGESS

M. HALL

E. HEWITT

A. HORN

V. GANAPATHY IYER

R. D. JAMES

M. S. KNEBELMAN

L. NACHBIN

I. NIVEN

T. G. OSTROM

M. M. SCHIFFER

G. SZEKERES

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
OREGON STATE COLLEGE  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION  
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY  
THE RAMO-WOOLDRIDGE CORPORATION

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any of the editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, E. G. Straus at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 10, 1-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.



# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 7, No. 3

March, 1957

Silvio Aurora, <i>Multiplicative norms for metric rings</i> . . . . .	1279
Ross A. Beaumont and John Richard Byrne, <i>On the construction of R-modules and rings with polynomial multiplication</i> . . . . .	1305
Fred Brafman, <i>An ultraspherical generating function</i> . . . . .	1319
Howard Ernest Campbell, <i>On the Casimir operator</i> . . . . .	1325
Robert E. Edwards, <i>Representation theorems for certain functional operators</i> . . . . .	1333
Tomlinson Fort, <i>The five-point difference equation with periodic coefficients</i> . . . . .	1341
Isidore Heller, <i>On linear systems with integral valued solutions</i> . . . . .	1351
Harry Hochstadt, <i>Addition theorems for solutions of the wave equation in parabolic coordinates</i> . . . . .	1365
James A. Hummel, <i>The coefficient regions of starlike functions</i> . . . . .	1381
Fulton Koehler, <i>Estimates for the eigenvalues of infinite matrices</i> . . . . .	1391
Henry Paul Kramer, <i>Perturbation of differential operators</i> . . . . .	1405
R. Sherman Lehman, <i>Development of the mapping function at an analytic corner</i> . . . . .	1437
Harold Willis Milnes, <i>Convexity of Orlicz spaces</i> . . . . .	1451
Vikramaditya Singh, <i>Interior variations and some extremal problems for certain classes of univalent functions</i> . . . . .	1485
William Lee Stamey, <i>On generalized euclidean and non-euclidean spaces</i> . . . . .	1505
Alexander Doniphan Wallace, <i>Retractions in semigroups</i> . . . . .	1513
R. L. Wilder, <i>Monotone mappings of manifolds</i> . . . . .	1519