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1. Preliminaries. Throughout the discussions in the following sections, we shall assume that \( G \) is a compact topological group whose space is \( T \), with an identity element \( e \) and with Haar-measure \( \mu \) normalized in such a way that \( \mu(G) = 1 \). \( G \) has a complete system of inequivalent irreducible unitary representations \( R^{(\lambda)}(\lambda \in \Lambda) \) where \( R^{(\lambda)} \) is the identity-representation and \( r_{\lambda} \) is the degree of \( R^{(\lambda)} \). \( R^{(\lambda)}(e) \) will then denote the identity matrix of degree \( r_{\lambda} \).

The concept of equidistribution of a sequence of points was introduced first by H. Weyl [6] for the direct product of circle groups. It has been transferred to compact groups by B. Eckmann [1] and highly generalized by E. Hlawka [4]. We shall use it in the following from:

**Definition 1.** Let \( \{x_v : v \in \omega\} \) be a sequence of elements in \( G \) and let, for any closed subset \( M \) of \( G \), \( N(M) \) be the number of elements in the set \( \{x_v : x_v \in M, \nu \leq N\} \).

The sequence \( \{x_v : v \in \omega\} \) is said to be equidistributed in \( G \) if

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{N(M)}{N} = \mu(M)
\]

for all closed subsets \( M \) of \( G \), whose boundaries have measured 0.

It is easy to see that a sequence which is equidistributed in \( G \) is also dense in \( G \). As Eckmann has shown for compact groups with a countable base, and E. Hlawka for compact groups in general, the equidistribution of a sequence in \( G \) can be stated by means of the system \( \{R^{(\lambda)} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \) of representations of \( G \).

**Lemma 1.** The sequence \( \{x_v : v \in \omega\} \) is equidistributed in \( G \) if and only if

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{v=1}^{N} R^{(\lambda)}(x_v) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \neq 1.
\]

Using this lemma, Eckmann arrives at the following theorem.
**Theorem 1.** Let \( g \) be an element of \( G \) such that
\[
|R^{(\lambda)}(g) - R^{(\lambda)}(e)| \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \neq 1.
\]

Then the sequence \( \{g^{
u} : \nu \in \omega \} \) is equidistributed in \( G \).

It follows immediately that a group containing an element \( g \) with
the above property is abelian and generated by a single element in the
sense that the powers of \( g \) are dense in \( G \). A group with the last property
is called monothetic.

It is possible to extend this concept of generation of a group by
one element to generation by a finite number of elements, that is, to
ask for the smallest closed subgroup of \( G \) which contains a given finite
set of elements of \( G \) (i.e., in which the set of all finite products of
finite powers of these elements is dense).

**Definition 2.** The finite set \( \{g_k : k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) of elements of \( G \)
is said to generate the subgroup \( H \) of \( G \), if \( H \) is the smallest closed
subgroup of \( G \) containing all \( g_k (k = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \).

Our subject in the following discussion will be a generalization of
Eckmann's results in two directions indicated by that definition. First
we shall try to find equidistributed sequences produced by finite set of
elements in not necessarily commutative groups. In fact, the corre-
sponding Theorem 2 will turn out to contain Theorem 1 as a special
case. Furthermore we shall extend the definition of equidistribution in
\( G \) to equidistribution in a subgroup of \( G \).

**Definition 3.** The sequence \( \{x_\nu : \nu \in \omega \} \) of elements of a subgroup
\( H \) is said to be equidistributed in \( H \) if it is equidistributed in the topological
group \( H \) with respect to the relativized topology and with respect
to the Haar-measure on the topological group \( H \).

This definition is legitimate since \( H \) in the relativized topology is
again a compact and \( T_1 \). Theorem 3 permits us to find sequences equi-
distributed in a subgroup of \( G \) and contains Theorem 2 as a special case.

In § 4 we compare our results with the results already known
for finite groups which can be considered as compact groups in the
discrete topology. Finally, we apply our results to abelian groups.

Before taking up this program, we state two rather obvious lemmas
which will be helpful for deriving new equidistributed sequence from
given ones. Clearly changing a finite number of elements of an equi-
distributed sequence has no influence on the property of being equi-
distributed.

**Lemma 2.** If the sequence \( \{a_\nu : \nu \in \omega \} \) is equidistributed in \( G \), then
the sequence \( \{a_\nu^{-1} : \nu \in \omega \} \) is also equidistributed in \( G \).
Proof. If $M$ is an arbitrary closed subset of $G$ whose boundary has measure 0, then $M^{-1}$ is also closed its boundary has measure 0 and $\mu(M) = \mu(M^{-1})$ because of the fundamental properties of the Haar-measure $\mu$. Let $N'(M)$ be the number of elements in the set $\{a_v : a_v \in M, r \leq N\}$ and correspondingly $N''(M)$ the number of elements in $\{a_v^{-1} : a_v^{-1} \in M, \nu \leq N\}$. Then $N'(M) = N'(M^{-1})$ and

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{N''(M^{-1})}{N} = \mu(M^{-1})$$

which holds because of our assumption for $\{a_v : v \in \omega\}$ is equivalent with

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{N''(M)}{N} = \mu(M).$$

Therefore $\{a_v^{-1} : v \in \omega\}$ is equidistributed in $G$.

**Lemma 3.** If the sequences $\{a_v : v \in \omega\}$ and $\{b_v : v \in \omega\}$ are equidistributed in $G$, then the sequence $\{c_v : c_{2v-1} = a_v, c_{2v} = b_v, v \in \omega\}$ is also equidistributed in $G$.

**Proof.** Define $N'(M)$, $N''(M)$ and $N(M)$ respectively for the sequences $\{a_v : v \in \omega\}$, $\{b_v : v \in \omega\}$ and $\{c_v : v \in \omega\}$ as above. For any positive integer $N$, let $N_1$ be the greatest integer in $(N+1)/2$ and let $N_2 = N - N_1$ ($N_1$ and $N_2$ are just the numbers of $a$'s and $b$'s among the first $N c$'s). Then

$$\frac{N(M)}{N} = \frac{N_1'(M) + N_2''(M)}{N_1 + N_2} = \frac{N_1'(M)}{N} + \frac{N_2''(M)}{N}.$$

Starting from our assumption about $\{a_v : v \in \omega\}$ and $\{b_v : v \in \omega\}$ it is easy to show that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{N_1'(M)}{N} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{N_2''(M)}{N} = \frac{\mu(M)}{2}$$

for any closed subset $M$ of $G$ whose boundary has measure 0. But this implies (1) and the equidistribution of $\{c_v : v \in \omega\}$.

2. Non-commutative groups. A first generalization of Eckmann's Theorem 1 is given by the following.

**Theorem 2.** Let $g_k (k=1, 2, \cdots, n)$ be $n$ elements of $G$ such that for each $\lambda \neq 1$ there is at least one $g_k$ for which

$$|R^{(\lambda)}(g_k) - R^{(\lambda)}(e)| \neq 0.$$
Then the set of elements\(^3\)

\[
G' = \{ g' : g' = g_1^{i_1}g_2^{i_2} \cdots g_n^{i_n}, \ 0 \leq i_k < \infty, \ k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \}
\]

can be arranged in a sequence which is equidistributed in \(G\).

Proof. We shall use Lemma 1. In order to simplify the notation of the proof, let us agree on the following. If \(A\) is the matrix \((a_{ij})\) then \(\| A \|\) shall stand for the matrix \((|a_{ij}|)\), and if \(B\) is a matrix \((b_{ij})\) of the same degree \(r_\lambda\) as \(A\) then we shall write \(\| A \| \leq \| B \|\) for the simultaneous inequalities \(|a_{ij}| \leq |b_{ij}|\) for all \(i, j\) with \(1 \leq i, j \leq r_\lambda\). The symbol \(F^{(\lambda)}\) shall stand for the matrix of degree \(r_\lambda\) with the entries \(f_{ij} = 1\) for all \(i, j\) with \(1 \leq i, j \leq r_\lambda\). We can regard \(\| A \|\) as matrix-norm for \(A\) for which the following relations hold (all matrices are of same degree \(r_\lambda\)).

\[
\begin{align*}
\| kA \| &= |k| \cdot \| A \| & (k \in K=\text{field of complex numbers}) \\
\| A + B \| &\leq \| A \| + \| B \| \\
\| AB \| &\leq \| A \| \cdot \| B \| \\
\| A \| \cdot \| B \| &\leq \| C \| \cdot \| D \| & \text{if} \ \| A \| \leq \| C \| \ \text{and} \ \| B \| \leq \| D \| \\
\| A \| &\leq aF^{(\lambda)} & \text{if} \ a \geq \max \{ |a_{ij}| : 1 \leq i, j \leq r_\lambda \}
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

Furthermore we shall write \(\Pi_{i=1}^m h_i\) for the ordered product \(h_1h_2\cdots h_m\)

and \(\sum_{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_m}^{T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_m}\)

if it is clear that \(l\) goes from 1 to \(m\).

In order to prove the theorem we first arrange the countable set \(G'\) in a sequence \(\{ g'_\nu : \nu \in \omega \}\) as follows, let \(g'_\nu = e (i_k = 0 \ \text{for} \ k = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\); as the next \(2^n-1\) elements we take the products \(\Pi_{k=1}^n g_k^{i_k}\) with \(0 \leq i_k \leq 1\) \((k=1, 2, \ldots, n)\) and \(\max \{ i_k : k=1, 2, \ldots, n \} = 1\) in any order. Then we take the \(3^n-2^n\) products \(\Pi_{k=1}^n g_k^{i_k}\) with \(0 \leq i_k \leq 2\) \((k=1, 2, \ldots, n)\) and \(\max \{ i_k : k=1, 2, \ldots, n \} = 2\) in any order and so on.

The sequence so constructed \(\{ g'_\nu : \nu \in \omega \}\) contains all elements of \(G'\) and has the property that the first \((i+1)^n\) elements \(g'_\nu (\nu=1, \ldots, (i+1)^n)\) are precisely all elements \(\Pi_{k=1}^n g_k^{i_k}\) with \(0 \leq i_k \leq i\) \((k=1, 2, \ldots, n)\). In order to show the equidistribution of this sequence in \(G'\) we have to show that

\(^3\) We allow any element of \(G\) to occur an arbitrary number of times in the set \(G'\) and similar sets formed below.
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\gamma}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \neq 1
\]

Let us assume that for a fixed \( \lambda \neq 1 \) the element \( g_{\bar{k}} \) satisfies the condition
\[
|R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\bar{k}}) - R^{(\lambda)}(e)| \neq 0
\]
which means exactly that the matrix \( R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\bar{k}}) \) does not have the eigenvalue 1. For a given \( N \) let \( \bar{i} \) be the greatest integer in \( N^{1/n} - 1 \), such that
\[
(i+1)^{n} \leq N < (i+2)^{n}.
\]
Then
\[
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\gamma}) = \frac{1}{N} \left[ \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} R^{(\lambda)}(\prod_{k=1}^{n} g_{\bar{k}}^{\gamma}) + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\gamma}) \right]
\]
where the second term in the square brackets vanishes if \( N = (i+1)^{n} \); with the same qualification we have
\[
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\gamma}) = \frac{1}{N} \left[ \frac{1}{(i+1)^{n}} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} R^{(\lambda)}(\prod_{k=1}^{n} g_{\bar{k}}^{\gamma}) + \frac{1}{(i+1)^{n}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\gamma}) \right].
\]
Let us now consider separately the terms in the square brackets.

(a) Because of well-known properties of matrices and group-representations we can write
\[
\frac{1}{(i+1)^{n}} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} R^{(\lambda)}(\prod_{k=1}^{n} g_{\bar{k}}^{\gamma}) = \frac{1}{(i+1)^{n}} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \prod_{k=1}^{n} [R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\bar{k}})]^{i_{k}}
\]
\[
= \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{i_{k} + 1} \sum_{i_{k}=0}^{i_{k}} [R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\bar{k}})]^{i_{k}} \right\}
\]
\[
= \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{i_{k} + 1} \sum_{t_{k}=0}^{i_{k}} [R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\bar{k}})]^{t_{k}} \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{i_{k} + 2} \sum_{t_{k}=0}^{i_{k}} [R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\bar{k}})]^{t_{k}}
\]
\[
\times \prod_{k=i_{k}+1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{i_{k} + 1} \sum_{t_{k}=0}^{n} [R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\bar{k}})]^{t_{k}} \right\}
\]
Again the first or last of the three factors vanishes if \( k = 1 \) or \( k = n \).
Since \( R^{(\lambda)}(e) \) is the identity matrix, the following identity holds.
\[
[R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\bar{k}}) - R^{(\lambda)}(e)] \sum_{t_{k}=0}^{i_{k}} [R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\bar{k}})]^{t_{k}} = [R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\bar{k}})]^{i_{k}+1} - R^{(\lambda)}(e)
\]
Because of our assumption (4), we can solve this equation to obtain.
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{i} [R^{(\lambda)}(g_k)]^k = [R^{(\lambda)}(g) - R^{(\lambda)}(e)]^{-1} \cdot \{[R^{(\lambda)}(g_k)]^{i+1} - R^{(\lambda)}(e)\}
\]

Since \( R^{(\lambda)} \) is a unitary representation of \( G \), we have for any \( g \in G \) and any integral exponent \( j \)

\[
\| [R^{(\lambda)}(g)]^j \| = \| R^{(\lambda)}(g^j) \| \leq F^{(\lambda)}.
\]

According to our rules (2), the following inequalities then hold

\[
\| [R^{(\lambda)}(g_k)]^{i+1} - R^{(\lambda)}(e) \| \leq 2F^{(\lambda)},
\]

\[
\| [R^{(\lambda)}(g_k) - R^{(\lambda)}(e)]^{-1} \| \leq m_{\lambda} F^{(\lambda)},
\]

where \( m_{\lambda} \) is a positive constant independent of \( i \). This gives an upper bound for the matrix norm of the middle factor in (7)

\[
\left\| \frac{1}{i+1} \sum_{k=0}^{i} [R^{(\lambda)}(g_k)]^k \right\| \leq \frac{2m_{\lambda}}{i+1} \left[ F^{(\lambda)} \right]^2 = \frac{2m_{\lambda} \rho_{\lambda}}{i+1} F^{(\lambda)}.
\]

Furthermore by (8)

\[
\left\| \frac{1}{i+1} \sum_{k=0}^{i} [R^{(\lambda)}(g_k)]^k \right\| \leq \frac{1}{i+1} (i+1) F^{(\lambda)} = F^{(\lambda)}.
\]

Replacing each factor in (7) by the stated bound for its matrix-norm, we get

\[
\left\| \frac{1}{(i+1)^n} \sum_{\gamma=(i+2)^n}^{(i+1)^n} R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\gamma}) \right\| \leq \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{i-1}{i-1}} \{ F^{(\lambda)} \} \cdot \frac{1}{i+1} \cdot 2m_{\lambda} \rho_{\lambda} F^{(\lambda)} \cdot \prod_{h=k+1}^{n} \{ F^{(\lambda)} \}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{i+1} \cdot 2m_{\lambda} \rho_{\lambda} F^{(\lambda)}.
\]

(b) Using (5) we get an upper bound for matrix norm of the second term in (6)

\[
\left\| \frac{1}{(i+1)^n} \sum_{\gamma=(i+2)^n}^{(i+1)^n+1} R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\gamma}) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{(i+1)^n} \sum_{\gamma=(i+1)^n+1}^{(i+1)^n+1} \| R^{(\lambda)}(g_{\gamma}) \|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{N-(i+1)^n}{(i+1)^n} F^{(\lambda)} \quad \text{(by (8))}
\]

\[
< \frac{(i+2)^n-(i+1)^n}{(i+1)^n} F^{(\lambda)} \quad \text{(by (5))}
\]

\[
= \left[ \left( \frac{i+2}{i+1} \right)^n - 1 \right] F^{(\lambda)}
\]

\[
= \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{1}{i+1} \right)^n - 1 \right] F^{(\lambda)}.
\]
(c) Let now $\varepsilon > 0$. Because of (9) we can find a number $I_i$ such that for all $i \geq I_i$

\begin{equation}
\left\| \frac{1}{(i+1)^n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} R^{(\lambda)} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n g_k^{i\varepsilon} \right) \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} F^{(\lambda)} \tag{11}
\end{equation}

Let

$$I_i = \frac{2 - \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 1 \right)^{1/n}}{\left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 1 \right)^{1/n} - 1},$$

then for $i \geq I_i$ we have

\begin{equation}
(1 + \frac{1}{i+1})^n - 1 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation}

Now let $I = \max(I_i, I_2)$ and take $M = (I+2)^n$. Then from (6), (10) and the last two relations (11) and (12) it follows that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N R^{(\lambda)}(g_i) \right\| < \frac{(i+1)^n}{N} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon}{2} F^{(\lambda)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} F^{(\lambda)} \right] \leq \varepsilon F^{(\lambda)}$$

for all $N \geq M$.

This shows the validity of (3) and the application of Lemma 1 completes the proof.

E. Hlawka, [4, §6] has shown that any sequence which is dense in $G$ can be rearranged so as to be a sequence which is equidistributed in $G$. In view of that fact it should be emphasized that Theorem 2 (as well as any of the following ones) does not merely state that the set $G'$ is dense in $G$; it states also the existence of a generally valid formula, as shown in the proof, for actually arranging the elements of $G'$ in a sequence which is equidistributed in $G$.

Theorem 2 implies two more facts which are worth noting. First if we have $n$ elements of $G$ which satisfy the required condition, then we can, before actually producing the set $G'$, arrange them in an entirely arbitrary order without affecting the equidistribution of the corresponding sequence in $G$. In the non-commutative case we shall therefore in general get different sequences containing different elements of $G$ which are equidistributed in $G$. Second, we can add an arbitrary finite number of arbitrary elements $g_{n+1}, \ldots, g_m$ to our set of $n$ elements $\{g_k : k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ of $G$. The new set of $m(>n)$ elements of $G$ still satisfies the condition of the theorem and, taken in any order, produces a set which can be arranged so as to be an equidistributed sequence in $G$.

The first remark together with Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 leads to the following.
COROLLARY 2.1. If the elements \( g_k \) \((k = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\) satisfy the condition of Theorem 2, then the sets

\[
G'' = \{g'' : g'' = g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} \cdots g_n^{i_n}, \quad -\infty < i_k \leq 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}
\]

and

\[
G''' = \{g''' : g''' = g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} \cdots g_n^{i_n}, \quad -\infty < i_k < +\infty, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}
\]

can be arranged in sequences which are equidistributed in \( G \).

Proof. Let

\[
\overline{G} = \{\overline{g} : \overline{g} = g_n^{i_n} g_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}} \cdots g_1^{i_1}, \quad 0 \leq i_k < +\infty, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}.
\]

Then \( \overline{G} \) can be arranged in a sequence equidistributed in \( G \) and \( G'' = \overline{G}^{-1} \). According to Lemma 2, \( G'' \) can also be arranged in a sequence which is equidistributed in \( G \).

\( G''' \) is the union of \( G' \) and \( G'' - e \) and according to Lemma 3 can be arranged in a sequence equidistributed in \( G \).

COROLLARY 2.2. If the elements \( g_k \) \((k = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\) satisfy the condition of Theorem 2, then \( G \) is generated by \( \{g_k : k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \).

Proof. We notice that \( G' \) is not an abstract subgroup of \( G \). However, the subgroup \( H \) generated by \( \{g_k : k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) must contain any finite product of finite powers of the \( g_k \)’s. Therefore, it must contain all elements of the set \( G' \). Since \( G' \) is dense in \( G \), we have \( H = G \).

3. Subgroups. If \( H \) is a subgroup of \( G \), then any \( R^{(\omega)}(\lambda \in \Lambda) \) restricted to the elements of \( H \), gives a representation \( R^{(\lambda)} \) of \( H \). Each \( R^{(\lambda)} \) can be completely reduced into a direct sum of irreducible unitary representations of \( H \) which, as remarked before, is again a compact group. Let \( R^{(\tau)}(\tau \in \Lambda') \) be the system of inequivalent irreducible unitary representations of \( H \), so obtained. \( R^{(\tau)}(\tau \in \Lambda') \) again denotes the identity representation of \( H \), obtained e.g. by restricting \( R^{(\lambda)} \) to \( H \).

It can be shown without difficulty that \( \{R^{(\tau)} : \tau \in \Lambda'\} \) is a complete system of inequivalent irreducible representations of \( H \). In order to do that we have by the Stone-Weinstress-theorem to show that the entries of the system \( \{R^{(\tau)} : \tau \in \Lambda'\} \) span a linear space which is an algebra closed under pointwise multiplication and under conjugation and which separates points in \( H \). But all these properties hold for the system \( \{R^{(\lambda)} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \), and from this we have obtained \( \{R^{(\tau)} : \tau \in \Lambda'\} \) only by changing the base in each \( R^{(\lambda)} \), restricting it to \( H \) and selecting a system of linearly independent entries.
We can apply Theorem 2 to a subgroup $H$ in the following form.

**Theorem 3.** Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ and let $h_k (k=1, 2, \cdots, n)$ be elements of $H$ with the property that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there is at least one element $h_\bar{k}$ such that the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 in $R^{(\lambda)}(h_\bar{k})$ is exactly the multiplicity with which the identity-representation $R^{(1)}$ of $H$ is contained in $R^{\ast (\lambda)}$.

Then the set

$$H' = \{h' : h' = h_1^{i_1} h_2^{i_2} \cdots h_n^{i_n}, 0 \leq i_k < + \infty, k = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}$$

can be arranged in a sequence which is equidistributed in $H$.

**Proof.** From the above remarks we can conclude that any irreducible representation of $H$ is contained in some $R^{\ast (\lambda)}$. Suppose that for a certain $\tau \neq 1$ and for each $k=1, 2, \cdots, n$ we have

$$|R^{(\tau)}(h_k) - R^{(\tau)}(e)| = 0.$$

This implies that $R^{(\tau)}(h_k)$ has the eigenvalue 1 for each $k=1, 2, \cdots, n$. The representation $R^{(\tau)}$ is contained in some $R^{\ast (\lambda)}$ which may contain also $R^{(1)}$ with multiplicity $m$. But then each $R^{(\lambda)}(h_k) (k=1, 2, \cdots, n)$ would have the eigenvalue 1 at least with multiplicity $m+1$ which contradicts our assumption.

Therefore for each $\tau \neq 1$ there has to be at least one $h_\bar{k}$ such that

$$|R^{(\tau)}(h_\bar{k}) - R^{(\tau)}(e)| \neq 0$$

and the conclusion of Theorem 2 applies to the topological group $H$.

Again we notice that the order, in which the elements $h_k$ are used to produce the set $H'$ is insignificant. By exactly the same reasoning as in §2, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 3.1.** If the elements $h_k (k=1, 2, \cdots, n)$ satisfy the condition of Theorem 3, then the sets

$$H'' = \{h'' : h'' = h_1^{i_1} h_2^{i_2} \cdots h_n^{i_n}, -\infty < i_k \leq 0, k = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}$$

and

$$H''' = \{h''' : h''' = h_1^{i_1} h_2^{i_2} \cdots h_n^{i_n}, -\infty < i_k < + \infty, k = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}$$

can be arranged in sequences which are equidistributed in $H$.

**Corollary 3.2.** If the elements $h_k (k=1, 2, \cdots, n)$ satisfy the condition of Theorem 3, then $H$ is generated by $\{h_k : k=1, 2, \cdots, n\}$.

4. **Finite groups.** Let now $G$ be a not necessarily commutative
finite group of order \( o \), considered as a finite compact group with the
 discrete topology. The Haar-measure of \( G \) is then defined by \( \mu(g) = 1/o \)
 for any element \( g \in G \).

The theorems stated so far are valid in general and therefore also
 for finite groups, since \( G \) was nowhere required in the definitions,
 lemmas and proofs to have infinitely many different group elements or
 inequivalent, irreducible representations. However, it is of not much
 use to talk about infinite sequences in a finite group. Therefore it seems
 justified to modify the concept of equidistribution of a sequence to the
 situation in finite groups in the following way:

\[ (13) \]

DEFINITION 4. Let \( \{x_v : v=1, 2, \ldots, N\} \) be a finite sequence of
 elements of \( G \) and let \( N(M) \) be the number of elements in the set
 \( \{x_v : x_v \in M, v \leq N\} \) for any subset \( M \) of \( G \).

The sequence \( \{x_v : v=1, 2, \ldots, N\} \) is said to be \textit{equidistributed in}
 \( G \) if

\[ \frac{N(M)}{N} = \mu(M) \]

for all subsets \( M \) of \( G \).

The formal translation of Definition 1 to finite groups, however,
 admits a much less complicated statement of equidistribution of finite
 sequence in a finite group which in turn reflects the intuitive meaning
 of equidistribution in infinite groups. In contrast to the infinite case the
 order of the element in the finite sequence \( \{x_v : v=1, 2, \ldots, N\} \) is
 completely irrelevant. Instead of talking about a finite sequence of elements
 of \( G \), we might therefore just as well talk about a finite set of elements
 of \( G \) (which may contain any element of \( G \) arbitrarily often). If \( M \)
 contains \( m \) elements, then \( \mu(M) = m/o \). Especially if \( M = \{g\} \) (a single
 element of \( G \)) (13) gives \( N(g) = N/o \) for any element \( g \in G \) which means
 that \( \{x_v : v=1, 2, \ldots, N\} \) contains each element of \( G \) equally often.
 Conversely, if the latter is true, then \( N(M) = mN/o \) and (13) holds for
 any subset \( M \) of \( G \). So we can give the following better definition.

DEFINITION 4'. The finite set \( \{x_v : v=1, 2, \ldots, N\} \) of elements of \( G \) is
 said to be \textit{equidistributed in} \( G \) if it contains every element of \( G \) equally
 often.

In the same way we modify Definition 3.

DEFINITION 5. The finite set \( \{x_v : v=1, 2, \ldots, N\} \) of elements of a
 subgroup \( H \) is said to be \textit{equidistributed in} \( H \) if it contains every element
 of \( H \) equally often.

The theorems obtained so far are then completely transferrable to
the finite case [3 Theorems 8 and 9]. Let now \( \{R^{(\lambda)} : \lambda = 1, 2, \cdots, l\} \) be a complete system of inequivalent irreducible unitary representations of \( G \) and let \( R^{(1)} \) again be the identity-representation of \( G \). Furthermore let \( o(g) \) be the order of any element \( g \in G \). The results are as follows.

**Theorem 4.** Let \( g_k (k=1, 2, \cdots, n) \) be \( n \) elements of the finite group \( G \) such that for each \( \lambda \neq 1 \) there is at least one \( g_k \) for which

\[
|R^{(\lambda)}(g_k) - R^{(\lambda)}(e)| \neq 0.
\]

Then the set

\[
G' = \{g' : g' = g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} \cdots g_n^{i_n}, 0 \leq i_k < o(g_k), k = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}
\]

is equidistributed in \( G \) and contains each element \( g \in G \) exactly

\[
\frac{1}{o} \prod_{k=1}^{n} o(g_k)
\]
times.

**Corollary 4.1.** If the elements \( g_k (k=1, 2, \cdots, n) \) satisfy the condition of Theorem 4, then \( G \) is generated by \( \{g_k : k=1, 2, \cdots, n\} \).

**Theorem 5.** Let \( H \) be a subgroup of order \( o(H) \) of the finite group \( G \) and let \( h_k (k=1, 2, \cdots, n) \) be elements of \( H \) with the property that for each \( \lambda = 1, 2, \cdots, l \) there is at least one element \( h_k \) such that the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 in \( R^{(\lambda)}(h_k) \) is exactly the multiplicity with which the identity representation \( R^{(1)} \) of \( H \) is contained in \( R^{(\lambda)} \).

Then the set

\[
H' = \{h' : h' = h_1^{i_1} h_2^{i_2} \cdots h_n^{i_n}, 0 \leq i_k < o(h_k), k = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}
\]

is equidistributed in \( H \) and contains each element \( h \in H \) exactly \( o(H)^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} o(h_k) \) times.

**Corollary 5.1.** If the elements \( h_k (k=1, 2, \cdots, n) \) satisfy the condition of Theorem 5, then \( H \) is generated by \( \{h_k : k=1, 2, \cdots, n\} \).

The Corollaries 2.1 and 3.1, transferred to the finite case, coincide with Theorems 4 and 5. There is a last case which might be of interest, where \( H \) is a finite discrete subgroup of the infinite compact group \( G \). Take the notation as defined in the corresponding cases. We get the following.

**Theorem 6.** Let \( H \) be a finite discrete subgroup of order \( o(H) \) of the infinite compact group \( G \) and let \( h_k (k=1, 2, \cdots, n) \) be elements of
H with the property that for each \( \lambda \in \Lambda \) there is at least one element \( h_k \) such that the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 in \( R^{(\lambda)}(h_k) \) is exactly the multiplicity with which the identity-representation \( R^{(1)} \) of \( H \) is contained in \( R^{(\lambda)}(h_k) \).

Then the set
\[
H' = \{ h' : h' = h_1^{i_1} h_2^{i_2} \cdots h_n^{i_n}, 0 \leq i_k < o(h_k), k = 1, 2, \cdots, n \}
\]
is equidistributed in \( H \) and contains each element \( h \in H \) exactly \( o(H)^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^n o(h_k) \) times.

**Proof.** By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3 we assert that each irreducible representation of \( H \) is contained in some \( R^{(\lambda)}(\cdot) \), restricted to \( H \). Then as there was done with Theorem 2 we apply Theorem 4 to the finite group \( H \).

**Corollary 6.1.** If the elements \( h_k (k=1, 2, \cdots, n) \) satisfy the conditions of Theorem 6, then \( H \) is generated by \( \{ h_k : k=1, 2, \cdots, n \} \).

It may be remarked that Theorems 4 to 6 can be deduced also from Theorems 2 and 3 without going back to the corresponding condition imposed on the generating elements, by means of the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.** Let \( g_k (k=1, 2, \cdots, n) \) be elements of finite order \( o(g_k) \) \((k=1, 2, \cdots, n)\) of an arbitrary compact group \( G \).

Then (i) the finite set
\[
\overline{G} = \{ \overline{g} : \overline{g} = g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} \cdots g_n^{i_n}, 0 \leq i_k < o(g_k), k = 1, 2, \cdots, n \}
\]
is equidistributed in \( G \) if and only if (ii) the set
\[
G' = \{ g' : g' = g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} \cdots g_n^{i_n}, 0 \leq i_k < +\infty, k = 1, 2, \cdots, n \}
\]
can be arranged as in the proof of Theorem 2 in a sequence which is equidistributed in \( G \).

**Proof.** (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii). From (i) it follows immediately that \( G \) is finite. Let \( m \) be the least common multiple of the numbers \( o(g_k) \) \((k=1, 2, \cdots, n)\). If we arrange the elements of \( G' \) in a sequence \( \{ g' : v \in \omega \} \) as in the proof of Theorem 2, then we observe that
\[
\{ g' : v \leq (pm)^n \} = \{ g' : g' = g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} \cdots g_n^{i_n}, 0 \leq i_k < pm, k = 1, 2, \cdots, n \}
\]
\((p=positive integer)\) is just composed of \( (pm)^n/\Pi_{k=1}^n o(g_k) \) times the set \( \overline{G} \). So for \( N=(pm)^n \) in (1') we get

\[
\frac{1}{(pm)^n} \sum_{v=1}^{(pm)^n} R^{(\lambda)}(g_v') = \frac{1}{\Pi_{k=1}^n o(g_k)} \sum_{0 \leq i_k < o(g_k)} R^{(\lambda)} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n g_k^{i_k} \right).
\]
If (i) holds it can be shown that the right-side sum in (14) is the 0-matrix for \( \lambda \neq 1 \). If \( N \) is an integer between \((pm)^n\) and \([(p+1)m]^n\) then the left-side term in (1') can be split up into \((pm)^n/N\) times the left-side term of (14) and \(1/N\) times a sum of \(N-(pm)^n\) unitary matrices. But

\[
\frac{N-(pm)^n}{N} \leq \left[\frac{(p+1)m^n}{(pm)^n}\right] = \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^n - 1
\]
can be made arbitrarily small as in the proof of Theorem 2 and by the method used there we arrive at (1').

(ii) \(\rightarrow\) (i). We first observe that \(G'\) contains only finitely many different elements, namely those contained in \(\overline{G}\), and \(G\) is finite. Again (14) holds. Since (14) gives just the value of the left-side term of (1') for \(N=(pm)^n\ (p \in \omega)\), we can conclude from the validity of (1') that

\[
\sum_{\alpha \leq k < \alpha(g_k)} R^{(\lambda)}(\prod_{k=1}^{n} g_k^i) = \sum_{g \in \bar{G}} R^{(\lambda)}(g)
\]
has to be the 0-matrix for \(\lambda \neq 1\). But from this follows (i) by the well-known properties of irreducible representations of a finite group.

5. Abelian groups. Let now \(G\) be a (finite or infinite) compact abelian group. The irreducible representations are of degree 1 and instead of talking about a complete system of inequivalent irreducible unitary representations \(R^{(\lambda)} (\lambda \in \Lambda)\) we may talk about a complete system of inequivalent characters \(\chi^{(\lambda)} (\lambda \in \Lambda)\), where \(\chi^{(\lambda)}\) denotes the identity character. As can be seen easily, the conditions of Theorem 2 and 3 take the specially simple form "\(\chi^{(\lambda)}(g_k) \neq 1\) for \(\lambda \neq 1\)" and "for each \(\lambda\) for which \(\chi^{(\lambda)}(h) \neq 1\) for some element \(h \in H\) there is at least one element \(h_k\) such that \(\chi^{(\lambda)}(h_k) \neq 1\)" respectively. However, here we can make a stronger statement than in the preceding theorems.

**Theorem 7.** Let \(g_k (k=1, 2, \cdots, n)\) be elements of the abelian group \(G\). Furthermore, let

\[
G' = \{g' \colon g' = g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} \cdots g_n^{i_n}, \ 0 \leq i_k < + \infty, \ k = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}
\]
and let \(\{g'_v \colon v \in \omega\}\) be the sequence in which the elements of \(G'\) have been arranged as in the proof of Theorem 2.

A necessary and sufficient condition for (i) \(\{g_k \colon k=1, 2, \cdots, n\}\) to generate \(G\) and (ii) \(\{g'_v \colon v \in \omega\}\) to be equidistributed in \(G\) is that for each \(\lambda \neq 1\) there is at least one \(g_k\) such that

\[
\chi^{(\lambda)}(g_k) \neq 1.
\]

**Proof.** The statement about sufficiency is exactly Theorem 2
together with Corollary 2.2. Let us now assume that \( \{g'_v : v \in \omega\} \) is equidistributed in \( G \). Then \( \{g_k : k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) generates \( G \). Suppose that for a given \( \lambda \neq 1 \) we have \( \chi^{(\lambda)}(g_k) = 1 \) for all \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). Take a fixed element \( g \in G \) with \( \chi^{(\lambda)}(g) \neq 1 \) and an arbitrary small positive number \( \varepsilon < |\chi^{(\lambda)}(g) - 1| \). Since finite products of finite powers of the elements \( g_k (k = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \) are dense in \( G \) and since \( \chi^{(\lambda)} \) is a continuous character on \( G \) there has to be an element \( g' = g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} \cdots g_n^{i_n} \) such that

\[
|\chi^{(\lambda)}(g) - \chi^{(\lambda)}(g')| < \varepsilon.
\]

Since \( \chi^{(\lambda)}(g') = 1 \) this implies \( |\chi^{(\lambda)}(g) - 1| < \varepsilon \). But this contradicts our assumption about \( \varepsilon \).

**Theorem 8.** Let \( h_k (k = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \) be elements of a subgroup \( H \) of the abelian group \( G \). Furthermore let

\[
H' = \{h' : h' = h_1^{i_1} h_2^{i_2} \cdots h_n^{i_n}, 0 \leq i_k < +\infty, k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}
\]

and let \( \{h_v : v \in \omega\} \) be the sequence in which the elements of \( H' \) have been arranged as in the proof of Theorem 3.

A necessary and sufficient condition for (i) \( \{h_k : k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) to generate \( H \) and (ii) \( \{h'_v : v \in \omega\} \) to be equidistributed in \( H \) is that for each \( \lambda \) for which \( \chi^{(\lambda)}(h) \neq 1 \) for some element \( h \in H \) there is at least one element \( h_k \) such that \( \chi^{(\lambda)}(h_k) \neq 1 \).

**Proof.** Again the sufficiency of the above condition is stated in Theorem 3 and Corollary 3.2. On the other hand, if \( \{h'_v : v \in \omega\} \) is equidistributed in \( H \), then \( \{h_k : k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) generates \( H \) and we can prove our claim exactly as in the proof of the preceding theorem.

Naturally there hold similar statements as Corollaries 2.1 and 3.1. For finite abelian groups we can, by obvious modifications, arrive at conclusions about equidistribution of finite sets as in §4, see [3, Theorems 10 and 11].

If we take as our abelian group \( G \) the direct product of \( p \) circle groups, the \( p \)-dimensional toroidal group, then Theorems 7 and 8 give us well-known theorems of Kronecker [5, p. 83 Theorem 4] and Weyl [6, Theorem 4]. It has been shown by Halmos and Samelson and again by Eckmann (see [1, Theorems 2 and 5] and [2, Theorem II* and Corollary]) that the \( p \)-dimensional toroidal group as well as any separable connected compact abelian group is monothetic.

In contrast to the situation in abelian groups the condition of Theorem 2 is not necessary for the existence of an equidistributed sequence of the form \( \{g'_v : v \in \omega\} \) (as constructed there) in a non-commutative group. A simple counter example is given by the tetrahedral group \( A_4 \) (the alternating group of 4 variables). Let \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) be two
different elements of order 2 and \( g_3 \) an arbitrary element of order 3. If we denote by \( R^{(i)} \) the irreducible representation of \( A_i \) of degree 3 it can be easily checked\(^4\) that \( |R^{(k)}(g_k) - R^{(k)}(e)| = 0 \) for \( k = 1, 2, 3 \). However, the set of 12 elements \( G = \{ \bar{g} : \bar{g} = g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} g_3^{i_3}, 0 \leq i_1 < 2, 0 \leq i_2 < 2, 0 \leq i_3 < 3 \} \) is equidistributed in \( G \). By Lemma 4 it follows that the set 

\[
G' = \{ g' : g' = g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} g_3^{i_3}, 0 \leq i < \infty, k = 1, 2, 3 \}
\]

can be arranged in a sequence which is equidistributed in \( G \). A counterexample disproving the necessity of the condition of Theorem 3 is given by any group containing \( A_i \) as a subgroup, for example \( A_i \) itself or the symmetric group of 4 variables.
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\(^4\) The characters of \( g_1, g_2, g_3 \) in \( R^{(i)} \) are \(-1, -1, 0\) respectively.
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