

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE ISOMETRIES OF CERTAIN FUNCTION-SPACES

JOHN W. LAMPERTI

ON THE ISOMETRIES OF CERTAIN FUNCTION-SPACES

JOHN LAMPERTI

1. Introduction. In Chapter 11 of his well-known book [1], S. Banach has given theorems characterizing the linear, norm-preserving operators on the spaces L_p and l_p , where $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $p \neq 2$. The proofs are not given completely and the theorems are stated in less than full generality. The first purpose of this paper is to supply a new proof for a somewhat more general theorem; besides being set in an arbitrary (σ -finite) measure space, this theorem applies to values of $p < 1$. The preliminaries in § 2 turn up one interesting fact (Theorem 2.2) as a bonus.

The second purpose is generalization; there are other spaces besides L_p where a norm, metric, or something like it is defined in terms of an integral

$$(1.1) \quad I[f] = \int \phi(|f(x)|) d\mu,$$

and the method we use on L_p spaces can be applied to some of these others as well. The conclusions are that like the L_p case, isometries come from non-singular transformations of the underlying measure space, but unlike L_p , not all such transformations give isometries.

2. Some inequalities. The first lemma and theorem serve as preparation for the generalization, as well as the L_p theorem.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $\phi(t)$ be a continuous, strictly increasing function defined for $t \geq 0$, with $\phi(0) = 0$, and let z and w be complex numbers. If $\phi(\sqrt{t})$ is a convex function of t , then*

$$(2.1) \quad \phi(|z + w|) + \phi(|z - w|) \geq 2\phi(|z|) + 2\phi(|w|),$$

while if $\phi(\sqrt{t})$ is concave the reverse inequality is true. Provided the convexity or concavity is strict, equality holds if and only if $zw = 0$.

Proof. Since $\phi(\sqrt{t})$ is convex, Theorem 92 of [7] gives

$$(2.2) \quad \phi^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\phi(|z + w|) + \phi(|z - w|)}{2} \right\} \geq \left\{ \frac{|z + w|^2 + |z - w|^2}{2} \right\}^{1/2} \\ = \{|z|^2 + |w|^2\}^{1/2}.$$

But the convexity of $\phi(\sqrt{t})$ implies that $t^2/\phi(t)$ is decreasing, strictly if

Received April 14, 1958.

the convexity is strict. This is the hypothesis of Theorem 105 of [7], which asserts that

$$(2.3) \quad \{|z|^2 + |w|^2\}^{1/2} \geq \Phi^{-1}\{\Phi(|z|) + \Phi(|w|)\} .$$

Combining (2.2) and (2.3) yields (2.1), since Φ^{-1} is an increasing function. In case $\Phi(\sqrt{t})$ is strictly convex, we also obtain from Theorem 105 the fact that (2.3) (and hence (2.1)) is strict, unless z or w is zero. The case where $\Phi(\sqrt{t})$ is concave may be treated similarly using the same two theorems; inequalities (2.2) and (2.3) are both reversed.

Let (X, F, μ) be a measure space; we will always assume that $X \in F$ and that μ is σ -finite. Given $\Phi(t)$, a functional I on measurable functions is defined by (1.1). The set of functions $f(x)$ such that $I[f] < \infty$ will be denoted L_Φ ; in general, L_Φ need not be a linear space. In case $\Phi(t) = t^p$ for some $p > 0$, L_Φ in the space L_p and $I[f] = \|f\|_p^p$.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\Phi(t)$ be a continuous, strictly increasing function (for $t \geq 0$) with $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi(\sqrt{t})$ convex, and suppose that $f(x) + g(x)$ and $f(x) - g(x)$ belong to L_Φ . Then*

$$(2.4) \quad I[f + g] + I[f - g] \geq 2I[f] + 2I[g] .$$

If $\Phi(\sqrt{t})$ is concave and $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ belong to L_Φ , the reverse inequality to (2.4) is true. If the convexity or concavity of $\Phi(\sqrt{t})$ is strict, equality holds in (2.4) if, and only if, $f(x)g(x) = 0$ almost everywhere.

Proof. (2.4) may be written

$$\int_x \{\Phi(|f(x) + g(x)|) + \Phi(|f(x) - g(x)|) - 2\Phi(|f(x)|) - 2\Phi(|g(x)|)\} d\mu \geq 0 .$$

This holds if $\Phi(\sqrt{t})$ is convex, because by the lemma the integrand is non-negative. Equality can occur only when the integrand is zero almost everywhere; if $\Phi(\sqrt{t})$ is strictly convex this means that for almost all x either $f(x) = 0$ or $g(x) = 0$. The case where $\Phi(\sqrt{t})$ is concave is similar.

REMARK. Theorem 2.1 is equally true for spaces at real or complex functions; this will also be the case for the main Theorems 3.1 and 4.1, but won't be mentioned explicitly.

COROLLARY 2.1.¹ *If $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ belong to L_p , $p \geq 2$, then*

¹ Inequality (2.5) was used by Clarkson in [4]. He did not discuss the condition for equality, which was not needed for his application (but is for ours). [3] is also closely related

$$(2.5) \quad \|f + g\|_p^p + \|f - g\|_p^p \geq 2\|f\|_p^p + 2\|g\|_p^p .$$

If $0 < p \leq 2$, the reverse inequality holds. In either case, if $p \neq 2$, equality occurs if, and only if, $f(x)g(x) = 0$ almost everywhere.

This corollary has an immediate application to a question raised by Boas, who showed in [2] that the spaces L_p and H_p are isomorphic for $1 < p < \infty$.² The question is whether an isometric mapping of H_p onto L_p is possible when $p \neq 2$.

THEOREM 2.2. *Provided $0 < p < \infty$ and $p \neq 2$, there is no isometric linear mapping of H_p onto L_p .*

Proof. In H_p , a function not identically zero must be different from zero almost everywhere. Hence by Corollary 2.1, the equality can never hold in (2.5) unless $\|f\|_p = 0$ or $\|g\|_p = 0$. But in L_p there are pairs of nonnull functions for which equality holds. Since the occurrence of equality must be preserved by a linear isometric mapping, no such mapping can take H_p onto L_p .

3. The isometries of L_p spaces. A “regular set isomorphism” of the measure space (X, F, μ) will mean a mapping T of F into itself, defined modulo sets of measure zero, satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad T(X - A) = TX - TA$$

$$(3.2) \quad T\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} TA_n \text{ for disjoint } A_n$$

$$(3.3) \quad \mu(TA) = 0 \text{ if, and only if, } \mu(A) = 0$$

for all sets A, A_n belonging to F . A regular set isomorphism induces a linear transformation (also to be denoted T) on the set of measurable functions, which is characterized by $T\varphi_A = \varphi_{TA}$, where φ_A is the characteristic function of the set A .³

THEOREM 3.1. *Let U be a linear operator on a space L_p for some positive $p \neq 2$, such that*

$$(3.4) \quad \|Uf\|_p = \|f\|_p \quad \text{for all } f(x) \in L_p .$$

Then there exists a regular set-isomorphism T and a function $h(x)$ such that U is given by

² Here L_p is formed with X the circumference of the unit circle and μ normalized Lebesgue measure; see for instance [10] for background on the H_p spaces. Only one fact is needed in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

³ This process is described in detail in [5], pp. 453 and 454; the assumption made there that the set mapping is measure-preserving can be replaced by (3.3).

$$(3.5) \quad Uf(x) = h(x)Tf(x) ;$$

if a measure μ^* is defined by $\mu^*(A) = \mu(T^{-1}A)$, then

$$(3.6) \quad |h(x)|^p = \frac{d\mu^*}{d\mu} \text{ a.e. on } TX .$$

Conversely, for any regular set-isomorphism T and any $h(x)$ satisfying (3.6), the operator U defined by (3.5) satisfies (3.4).

Proof. We will carry out the proof under the assumption that $\mu(X) < \infty$; the extension to the σ -finite case is straightforward. Suppose that (3.4) holds, and define a set mapping by

$$(3.7) \quad TA = \{x : U\varphi_A(x) \neq 0\} .$$

Now if A and B are disjoint sets,

$$\|\varphi_A + \varphi_B\|_p^p + \|\varphi_A - \varphi_B\|_p^p = 2\|\varphi_A\|_p^p + 2\|\varphi_B\|_p^p .$$

By (3.4) the same relation holds for $U\varphi_A$ and $U\varphi_B$, and so by Corollary 2.1 we conclude that $U\varphi_A$ and $U\varphi_B$ have almost disjoint support, or that $\mu(TA \cap TB) = 0$. Consequently $T(A \cup B) = TA \cup TB$ to within a set of measure zero, and the extension to denumerable sums follows from the continuity of U . In particular, except for sets of measure zero, $TX = TA \cup T(X - A)$ and the latter are disjoint, so that $T(X - A) = TX - TA$. Thus the mapping T satisfies (3.1) and (3.2); (3.3) is obvious in view of (3.4) so that T is a regular set-isomorphism.

Since $\mu(X) < \infty$, $\varphi_x \in L_p$ and we can let $h(x) = U\varphi_x(x)$. (In the σ -finite case $h(x)$ would have to be defined piecemeal.) For any set $A \in F$, $h(x) = U\varphi_A(x) + U\varphi_{x-A}(x)$. But the two functions on the right have (almost) disjoint support, so that $U\varphi_A(x)$ agrees with $h(x)$ almost everywhere that the former is not zero. Hence

$$(3.8) \quad U\varphi_B(x) = h(x)\varphi_{TB}(x) = h(x)T\varphi_B(x) \text{ a.e.}$$

By (3.8) and the linearity of U , (3.5) holds for any simple function, and since such functions are dense in L_p and U is continuous, (3.5) is valid in general.

It remains to identify $|h(x)|^p$. From (3.4) and (3.5) we have

$$(3.9) \quad \mu(A) = \|\varphi_A\|_p^p = \|U\varphi_A\|_p^p = \int_X |h(x)|^p \varphi_A^p(x) d\mu = \int_{TA} |h(x)|^p d\mu .$$

But by (3.3) the measure $\mu^*(A) = \mu(T^{-1}A)$, (defined for sets in the range of the mapping T), is absolutely continuous with respect to μ , and so

$$(3.10) \quad \mu(A) = \mu^*(TA) = \int_{TA} \frac{d\mu^*}{d\mu} d\mu .$$

Comparison of (3.9) and (3.10) together with the uniqueness of the Radon-Nikodym derivative proves (3.6). The converse statement can easily be verified.

COROLLARY 3.1. *Suppose that U is a linear transformation of functions measurable on (X, F, μ) which preserves the L_p norm for two different positive values of p . Then there exists a measure-preserving set-isomorphism T such that*

$$Uf(x) = h(x)Tf(x) ,$$

where $|h(x)| = 1$ almost everywhere on TX . It follows that U is a norm-preserving operator on L_p for all p .

Proof. One of the two values of p , say p_1 , is different from 2, so the theorem applies. It follows that (whether $p_2 = 2$ or not)

$$|h(x)|^{p_1} = \frac{d\mu^*}{d\mu} = |h(x)|^{p_2} \text{ a.e. ,}$$

and so $|h(x)| = 1$ or 0. By (3.3), $|h(x)| = 1$ a.e. on TX , which implies that T is measure-preserving.

REMARK. Presumably it most often happens in cases of interest that an invertible "regular set-isomorphism" is generated by an essentially one-to-one onto, measurability-preserving, non-singular point mapping. It is easy to see that if the measure space is discrete, this is always so; much wider conditions on the measure-space are known under which it is so for all *measure-preserving* transformations.⁴ If such a theorem were available which applied to all regular set-isomorphisms, Theorem 3.1 could be sharpened. As it is, the corollary can be improved if (X, F, μ) has "sufficiently many measure-preserving transformations" (see [6]) by replacing the set mapping T by a point mapping. Similar remarks apply to the results of the next section.

4. Generalization. In this section we shall consider functionals $I[f]$ defined by (1.1) with various functions $\Phi(t)$ other than t^p . We assume hereafter that $\Phi(t)$ is continuous and strictly increasing, with $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi(1) = 1$.

DEFINITION. A positive number a will be called a "multiplier" of $\Phi(t)$ provided $\Phi(at) = \Phi(a)\Phi(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. The set of all multipliers

⁴ In particular, von Neumann showed in [9] that if X is a closed region in R_n and μ is equivalent to Lebesgue measure, a measure-preserving set transformation can be obtained from a point mapping. Further results on this problem are contained in [6].

will be denoted by M .

It is not hard to show that M is a group under multiplication which contains all its non-zero limit points; with the aid of this and some well known facts we obtain

LEMMA 4.1. *M contains all positive numbers if, and only if, $\Phi(t) = t^p$ for some p ; otherwise M consists of all the integral powers of some positive number $a_0 \neq 1$, or else of the identity above.*

As an example of a well-behaved function with a discrete set of multipliers we mention $\Phi(t) = t^p \exp \sin \log t$; $a_0 = e^{2\pi}$ and the function is convex for large p . There is, however, a quite general sufficient condition ensuring that $M = \{1\}$:

LEMMA 4.2. *Suppose that $\Phi(t)$ is of regular variation⁵ at $t = 0$ or $t = \infty$. Then either $\Phi(t) = t^p$ or $M = \{1\}$.*

Proof. If a number $a \neq 1$ is a multiplier of Φ , it follows from the definition that

$$\Phi(a^n) = \Phi^n(a) \text{ for all integers } n .$$

Suppose $\Phi(t) = t^p L(t)$ where $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} L(ct)/L(t) = 1$ for all $c > 0$. (The case of regular variation at $t = 0$ is entirely similar.) Combining these things gives

$$L(a^n) = \left\{ \frac{\Phi(a)}{a^p} \right\}^n .$$

It follows from this and the defining property of a slowly-varying function that $\Phi(a) = a^p$ and that $L(a^n) = 1$ for all n . Now for any value of t ,

$$\Phi(ta^n) = t^p a^{np} L(ta^n) .$$

But using the fact that a^n is a multiplier and the value of $\Phi(a^n)$,

$$\Phi(ta^n) = \Phi(t)\Phi(a^n) = a^{np} t^p L(t) .$$

Hence $L(ta^n) = L(t)$ for all n , and together with the fact that $L(a^n) = 1$ this implies $L(t) = 1$, and so $\Phi(t) = t^p$.

THEOREM 4.1. *Suppose that $\Phi(\sqrt[t]{t})$ is either strictly convex or strictly concave, and that U is a linear operator on the space L_Φ over*

⁵ $\Phi(t)$ is of regular variation at ∞ if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(ct)/\Phi(t)$ exists for all $c > 0$; this implies that $\Phi(t) = t^p L(t)$ for some p , where $L(t)$ is a slowly-varying function (i.e., $L(ct)/L(t) \rightarrow 1$ for all $c > 0$). The case $t = 0$ is defined similarly. These ideas are due to Karamata [7].

(X, F, μ) such that

$$(4.1) \quad I[Uf] = I[f] \text{ for all } f(x) \in L_\Phi .$$

Then there exists a regular set-isomorphism T and a function $h(x)$ such that U is given by

$$(4.2) \quad Uf(x) = h(x)Tf(x) ;$$

if μ^* is the measure $\mu(T^{-1}A)$, $h(x)$ must satisfy

$$(4.3) \quad \Phi(|h(x)|) = \frac{d\mu^*}{d\mu} \text{ and } |h(x)| \in M \text{ a.e. on } TX .$$

Conversely, if T is a regular set-isomorphism such that there exist functions satisfying (4.3), and $h(x)$ is such a function, then U defined by (4.2) is an isometry. If in addition to the other hypotheses $\Phi(t)$ is of regular variation at either $t = 0$ or $t = \infty$, but is not a power of t , then T must be measure-preserving and $|h(x)| = 1$ a.e. on TX .

Proof. As before we assume for simplicity that $\mu(X) < \infty$. Suppose that (4.1) holds, and define

$$(4.4) \quad TA = \{x : U\varphi_A(x) \neq 0\} .$$

The fact that T maps disjoint sets into almost disjoint sets follows from (4.1) and Theorem 2.1 ; thereafter the proof of (4.2) is the same as that of the corresponding part of Theorem 3.1.

From (4.1) and (4.2) we have (since $\Phi(1) = 1$)

$$(4.4) \quad \mu(A) = I[\varphi_A] = I[U\varphi_A] = \int_x \Phi(|h(x)\varphi_{TA}(x)|)d\mu = \int_{TA} \Phi(|h(x)|)d\mu .$$

But (as before)

$$(4.5) \quad \mu(A) = \mu^*(TA) = \int_{TA} \frac{d\mu^*}{d\mu} d\mu ,$$

and comparison proves the first part of (4.3). Replacing $\varphi_A(x)$ in (4.4) by $t\varphi_A(x)$, $t > 0$, we obtain

$$\Phi(t)\mu(A) = \int_{TA} \Phi(t|h(x)|)d\mu .$$

Comparing this with (4.5) gives

$$\frac{\Phi(t|h(x)|)}{\Phi(t)} = \frac{d\mu^*}{d\mu} = \Phi(|h(x)|) \text{ a.e. on } TX ,$$

and the second part of (4.3) follows. Conversely, provided (4.3) holds,

it is easy to verify that (4.2) gives an isometry. The last assertion of the theorem follows immediately from Lemma 4.2.

EXAMPLES. If $\Phi(t) = t/(1+t)$ and the measure space is chosen appropriately, L_Φ becomes the space S or s [1, pp.9-10]; for any measure space and this choice of $\Phi(t)$, $\rho(f, g) = I[f - g]$ is a metric. From the above theorem,⁶ the only isometries of these spaces are those induced by measure-preserving transformations of the underlying measure space. Somewhat more generally, any function $\Phi(t)$ satisfying our other assumptions which is concave must also be subadditive, so that $\rho(f, g) = I[f - g]$ is a metric; since $\Phi(t)$ concave implies $\Phi(\sqrt{t})$ strictly concave, Theorem 4.1 applies.

REFERENCES

1. S. Banach, *Theorie des operations lineaires*, Warsaw, 1932.
2. R. P. Boas, *Isomorphism between H_p and L_p* , Amer. J. Math. **77** (1955), 655-656.
3. ———, *Some uniform convex spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **46** (1940), 304-311.
4. J. A. Clarkson, *Uniformly convex spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1936), 396-414.
5. J. L. Doob, *Stochastic Processes*, New York, 1953.
6. P. R. Halmos and J. von Neumann, *Operator methods in classical mechanics II*, Ann. of Math. **43** (1942), 332-350.
8. Hardy, Littlewood, and Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge, 1952.
7. M. J. Karamata, *Sur un mode de croissance reguliere*, Bull. Math. Soc. France **61**, (1933), 55-62.
9. J. von Neumann, *Einige Sätze über messbar Abbildungen*, Ann. of Math. **33** (1932), 574-586.
10. I. I. Priwalow, *Randeigenschaften analytischer Funktionen* (translated from Russian), Berlin, 1956.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

⁶ The fact that $\Phi(1) \neq 1$ causes no difficulty; U is still an isometry and the theorem applies to the space $L_{\Phi/\Phi(1)}$ with the measure $\Phi(1)\mu$.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DAVID GILBARG
Stanford University
Stanford, California

A. L. WHITEMAN
University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

E. G. STRAUS
University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH
C. E. BURGESS
M. HALL
E. HEWITT

A. HORN
V. GANAPATHY IYER
R. D. JAMES
M. S. KNEBELMAN

L. NACHBIN
I. NIVEN
T. G. OSTROM
H. L. ROYDEN

M. M. SCHIFFER
G. SZEKERES
F. WOLF
K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY
THE RAMO-WOOLDRIDGE CORPORATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any of the editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, E. G. Straus at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 8, No. 3

May, 1958

Michael Israel Aissen, <i>A set function defined for convex plane domains</i>	383
Robert Ellis, <i>Distal transformation groups</i>	401
Ciprian Foias, <i>On a commutative extension of a commutative Banach algebra</i>	407
Jerry William Gaddum, <i>Linear inequalities and quadratic forms</i>	411
Allen A. Goldstein and Elliott Ward Cheney, Jr., <i>A finite algorithm for the solution of consistent linear equations and inequalities and for the Tchebycheff approximation of inconsistent linear equations</i>	415
William L. Hart and T. S. Motzkin, <i>Proof of the fundamental theorem on implicit functions by use of composite gradient corrections</i>	429
Henry Berge Helson, <i>Conjugate series and a theorem of Paley</i>	437
Wu-Chung Hsiang, <i>Abelian groups characterized by their independent subsets</i>	447
John W. Lamperti, <i>On the isometries of certain function-spaces</i>	459
Karel DeLeeuw and Walter Rudin, <i>Extreme points and extremum problems in H_1</i>	467
Eugene Lukacs, <i>Some extensions of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz</i>	487
George W. Mackey, <i>Multiplicity free representations of finite groups</i>	503
Eben Matlis, <i>Injective modules over Noetherian rings</i>	511
John William Neuberger, <i>Continuous products and nonlinear integral equations</i>	529
Lawrence Edward Payne and Hans F. Weinberger, <i>New bounds for solutions of second order elliptic partial differential equations</i>	551
William T. Reid, <i>A Prüfer transformation for differential systems</i>	575
Howard L. Rolf, <i>The free lattice generated by a set of chains</i>	585
K. M. Saksena, <i>Inversion and representation theorems for a generalized Laplace integral</i>	597
Daniel Shanks, <i>Two theorems of Gauss</i>	609
Paul Slepian, <i>On the Lebesgue area of a doubled map</i>	613
Otto Szász and Nelson Paul Yeardley, Jr., <i>The representation of an analytic function by general Laguerre series</i>	621
Alan C. Woods, <i>On two-dimensional convex bodies</i>	635