Pacific Journal of Mathematics

MODULUS OF A BOUNDARY COMPONENT

Martin Jurchescu

Vol. 8, No. 4 June 1958

MODULUS OF A BOUNDARY COMPONENT

MARTIN JURCHESCU

§1. PRELIMINARIES AND SUMMARY

1.1 Preliminary definitions. Let R be an open Riemann surface, and let $\{G_n\}$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$ be an infinite sequence of subregions of R such that:

(a) the relative boundary of each G_n is compact,

(b) $G_n \supset G_{n+1}$, and

(c) $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{G}_n = 0$.

$\{G_n\}$ is said to define a *boundary component* γ of R in the sense of Kerékjártó [6] and Stoilow [16]. Here two sequences of subregions $\{G_n\}$ and $\{G'_n\}$ are considered to be equivalent and to define the same γ if each region G_n includes a region G'_m. That this is a proper equivalence relation follows immediately.

Let γ be a boundary component of R, and let S be a subregion of R. If there exists a defining sequence $\{G_n\}$ of γ with $G_{n_0} = S$, for some n_0, we call S a *neighborhood of* γ. Throughout this paper we shall consider only neighborhoods S of γ such that the relative boundary of S is a closed analytic Jordan curve γ_0.

By an *exhaustion* of R, we mean an infinite sequence $\{R_n\}$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$ of subregions of R as follows (see [16]):

(1) each R_n is compact relative to R and the relative boundary β_n of R_n consists of a finite number of closed analytic Jordan curves β_{ni},

(2) $R_n \subset R_{n+1}$,

(3) $\bigcup^{\infty} R_n = R$, and

(4) each connected component S_{ni} of $R - \overline{R}_n$ is non-compact (relative to R) and its boundary consists of a single curve β_{ni}.

Each set $R - \overline{R}_n$ is said to be a *boundary neighborhood* of R. It is easy to see that, for any boundary component γ of R, there exists a single connected component S_{ni} which is a neighborhood of γ.

A property is said to be a *boundary property* (respectively a *γ-property*) if the following is true. If a Riemann surface R has the property then every Riemann surface R' which admits a conformal mapping from a boundary neighborhood of R' (a neighborhood of γ', where γ' is a boundary

Received April, 1, 1958, and in received form May 1, 1958. This paper is a part of the author's dissertation realized under the guidance of Professor Stoilow at Mathematical Institute of the R. P. P. Academy, Bucarest. The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor Stoilow for his encouragement and permanent support. The author is also indebted to Dr. Kotaro Oikawa of Tokyo Institute of Technology who read the manuscript and made valuable remarks.

component of R') onto a boundary neighborhood of R (a neighborhood of γ) has the property.

Let u be a harmonic function on a subregion S of R. We shall denote by $\bar{u}$ the conjugate harmonic function of u and by $D(u; S)$ the Dirichlet integral of u over S.

1.2. Capacity of a boundary component. Let γ be a boundary component of an open Riemann surface R, P_0 a point of R, and $K_z: |z| \leqq 1$ a fixed parametric disc on R with $z = 0$ corresponding to P_0. Let $\{R_n\}$ be an exhaustion of R with $P_0 \in R_1$, and let γ_n denote the curve β_{ni} which separates γ from P_0. This means that γ_n separates a neighborhood of γ from P_0.

We consider the class $\{t\}_\gamma$ of single-valued functions on R which satisfy the following conditions:

(1.1) each t is harmonic on $R - P_0$ and has the form

$$t = \log |z| + h(z)$$

in K_z, where h is harmonic and $h(0) = 0$.

$$\int_{\gamma n} d\bar{t} = 2\pi \text{ and } \int_{\beta ni \neq \gamma n} d\bar{t} = 0 , \qquad \text{for all } n , \tag{1.2}$$

where γ_n and β_{ni} are described in the positive sense with respect to R_n.

We further consider the corresponding class $\{t\}_{\gamma n}$ on R_n, and we denote by t_n the function of this class with $t_n = k_n$ on γ_n and $t_n = k_{ni}$ on $\beta_{ni} \neq \gamma_n$, where k_n and k_{ni} are real numbers.

The following theorem due to Sario is proved in [14] (see also Savage [15]). Let $t \in \{t\}_\gamma$, and let

$$I(t) = \lim \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\beta n} t d\bar{t} .$$

THEOREM 1. *The sequence of functions $\{t_n\}$ is compact. Let t_γ denote a limit function of $\{t_n\}$. Then we have the following conclusions:*

$$t_\gamma \in \{t\}_\gamma \text{ and, for any } t, \min I(t) = I(t_\gamma) . \tag{1.3}$$

$$I(t) = I(t_\gamma) + D(t - t_\gamma; R) . \tag{1.4}$$

$$k_n \leqq k_{n+1} \text{ and } I(t_\gamma) = \lim k_n \equiv k_\gamma . \tag{1.5}$$

By (1.4), for $k_\gamma < \infty$, the minimizing function t_γ is unique. t_γ is called the *capacity function* of R for γ, and the quantity $c_\gamma = e^{-k\gamma}$ is called the *capacity* of γ (with respect to K_z). Let $z' = az + \cdots, a \neq 0$, be a new local parameter in the neighborhood of P_0, and let c'_γ denote the capacity of γ with respect to this local parameter. It follows, from the definition of the capacity, that

(1.6) $$c_\gamma = |a| c'_\gamma .$$

Hence, the condition $c_\gamma = 0$ is independent of the local parameter which is used in the neighborhood of P_0. Using Green's formula, it is easy to see that this condition is also independent of P_0. A boundary component γ is called *weak* if it has a capacity $c_\gamma = 0$. The class of Riemann surfaces for which all γ are weak is denoted by C_γ. The boundary of a Riemann surface R belonging to C_γ is called *absolutely disconnected* [14, 15].

1.3. Summary. Let R be an open Riemann surface, γ a boundary component of R, S a neighborhood of γ, and γ_0 the relative boundary of S. The present paper deals with a conformal invariant of S which is denoted by $\mu(S; \gamma_0, \gamma)$ (or, simply, for fixed S, by μ_γ) and is called the *modulus of S for γ_0 and γ* (the *modulus of γ*).

In §2 harmonic functions u on S with $u = 0$ on γ_0 and satisfying conditions (2.3) are considered, and a theorem is proved which establishes the existence of a minimizing function $u_\gamma = u(z; S; \gamma_0, \gamma)$ for the Dirichlet integral $D(u; S)$. The modulus is defined by setting $\mu_\gamma = D(u_\gamma; S)$. The notion of a parabolic boundary component is defined by the condition $\mu_\gamma = \infty$, and a theorem is proved which shows the equivalence of parabolicity and weakness.

In §3 measurable conformal metrics are considered. An important minimal property of the conformal metric $\rho_\gamma = |\operatorname{grad} u_\gamma|$ corresponding to a result of Wolontis [17] and Strebel [18] is proved, which connects μ_γ with the extremal length of a certain family of curves on S. As an application, a characterization of a parabolic boundary component is obtained in terms of conformal metrics. Another characterization of a parabolic boundary component is given by means of the divergence of a modular series $\sum \mu(E_n; \gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_n)$. The sufficient part of this theorem implies the modular criterion of Savage [15]. A theorem shows the equivalence of perimeter in Ahlfors and Beurling's sense and capacity in Sario's sense.

Section 4 deals with the class M_γ of Riemann surfaces for which all γ are parabolic in the case of a finite genus. The conformal mapping properties of u_γ and t_γ are discussed, and, for planar Riemann surfaces, the equalities $O_{SB} = M_\gamma = O_{SD}$ [1, 14] are proved. Finally a theorem is proved which shows the connection between M_γ and the class of Riemann surfaces for which the continuation is topologically unique, or which do not possess essential continuations.

§2. Harmonic Functions and Modulus

2.1. Moduli of a compact subregion. Let S_0 denote a relatively compact subregion of a Riemann surface R. We assume that the boundary of S_0 is a set $\gamma_0 \cup \alpha_0$, where γ_0 is a closed analytic Jordan curve and α_0 consists of a finite number of closed analytic Jordan curves $\alpha_{01}, \cdots, \alpha_{0k}$ $(k \geqq 1)$. We assign to each $\alpha_{0i} (i = 1, \cdots, k)$ as positive orientation the positive sense with respect to S_0 and to γ_0 the sense for which γ_0 and α_0 are homologous.

If u is a harmonic function on S_0 then we denote the conjugate period of u around α_{0i} by $p_i(u)$. This is defined by the integral $\int_{\alpha'_{0i}} d\bar{u}$, where α'_{0i} is any closed Jordan curve on S_0 such that α_{0i} and α'_{0i} are homologous. If u is harmonic on $S_0 \cup \alpha_{0i}$ then clearly $p_i(u) = \int_{\alpha_{0i}} d\bar{u}$. The period vector $(p_1(u), \cdots, p_k(u))$ will be denoted by $p(u)$.

LEMMA 1. *There is a harmonic function $u_0 = u(z; S_0; \gamma_0, k_{01})$ on S_0 satisfying the following conditions*:

(a) $u_0 = 0$ *on* γ_0 *and* $u_0 = \mu_{0i} = const.$ *on* $\alpha_{0i} (i = 1, \cdots, k)$,

(b) $p(u_0) = (1, 0, \cdots, 0)$.

(c) $0 < u_0(z) < \mu_{01}$ *on* S_0 *and on the boundary curves* $\alpha_{02}, \cdots, \alpha_{0k}$.

Proof. Denote the harmonic measure of α_{0i} with respect to S_0 by ω_i, and consider the function

$$u(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_i \omega_i(z) , \tag{2.1}$$

where μ_i are arbitrary real numbers. Clearly, this function is harmonic on $\overline{S}_0 = S_0 \cup \gamma_0 \cup \alpha_0$. Setting $a_{ij} = p_i(\omega_i)$, we obtain

$$p_i(u) = \int_{\alpha_{0i}} d\bar{u} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{ij}\mu_j .$$

We assert that this linear mapping of the k-dimensional cartesian space into itself is one-to-one. In fact, from Green's formula

$$D(u) \equiv D(u; S_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{\alpha_{0i}} u d\bar{u} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_i p_i(u) ,$$

we see that the condition $p_i(u) = 0$, for all i, implies $D(u) = 0$, that is $u \equiv 0$ (since $u = 0$ on γ_0) and consequently $\mu_i = 0$, for all i, which proves our assertion. Hence we deduce in particular that the above linear mapping is onto, i.e., for any p, there is a function $u = \sum \mu_i \omega_i(z)$ such that $p(u) = p$. Let u_0 denote the function (1.1) corresponding to $p_0 = (1, 0, \cdots, 0)$. This is clearly the unique bounded harmonic function on S_0 satisfying (a) and (b).

Now denote the maximum and the minimum of u_0 on the boundary of S_0 by M_0 and m_0 respectively. From the maximum principle, we have

$m_0 < u_0(z) < M_0$ on S_0. It follows that $\partial u_0/\partial n \leqq 0$ on each boundary curve $\gamma(M_0)$ on which $u_0(z) = M_0$. Here $\partial/\partial n$ denotes the derivative in the direction of the interior normal. Since u_0 is not constant and $\partial u_0/\partial n$ is continuous, there exists a subarc of $\gamma(M_0)$ on which $\partial u_0/\partial n < 0$ and therefore

$$\int_{\gamma(M_0)} d\bar{u}_0 = -\int_{\gamma(M_0)} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial n} |dz| > 0 ,$$

where $\gamma(M_0)$ is described in the positive sense with respect to S_0. This and condition (b) implies that $\gamma(M_0)$ coincides necessarily with α_{01}, whence $M_0 = \mu_{01}$ and this maximum is attained only on α_{01}. Similarly, it can be proved that $m_0 = 0$ and that this minimum is attained only on γ_0 This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. *The function u_0 gives the minimum of $D(u)$,*

$$\min D(u) = D(u_0) ,$$

in the class of all harmonic functions u on S_0 with $u = 0$ on γ_0 and $p(u) = (1, 0, \cdots, 0)$.

Proof. Clearly, the function u_0 belongs to the class of admissible functions and, by Green's formula,

$$D(u_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_{0i} p_i(u_0) = \mu_{01} < \infty .$$

Let u be any admissible function with $D(u) < \infty$. Setting $u - u_0 = h$, we have

$$D(u) = D(u_0) + D(h) + 2D(u_0, h) ,$$

where $D(u_0, h) = D(u_0, h; S_0)$ is the mixed Dirichlet integral of u_0 and h over S_0. We shall show that $D(u_0, h) = 0$. If u is harmonic on $\overline{S}_0$ then Green's formula gives immediately

$$D(u_0, h) = \int_{\alpha_0} u_0 d\bar{h} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_{0i}\, p_i(h) = 0$$

since, for all i, $p_i(h) = p_i(u) - p_i(u_0) = 0$. If the above assumption is not true, we consider the open set $S_0(\varepsilon) = S_0 - \cup_{i=1}^{k} E_{0i}(\varepsilon)$, where ε is a positive number, sufficiently small, and $E_{0i}(\varepsilon)$ is the set (of points of S_0 for which) $\mu_{0i} - \varepsilon \leqq u_0(z) \leqq \mu_{0i} + \varepsilon$. The boundary of $S_0(\varepsilon)$ consists only of level lines of u_0. On the other hand each level line $c(\mu)\colon u_0(z) = \mu$ $(0 < \mu < \mu_{01}$, $\mu \neq \mu_{0i}$, $i = 1, \cdots, k)$ is a dividing cycle on S_0 (that is, $c(\mu)$ is homologous with a sum of α_{0i}) and therefore $\int_{c(\mu)} d\bar{h} = 0$. Hence, Green's formula gives again $D(u_0, h; S_0(\varepsilon)) = 0$ and, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, $D(u_0, h) = 0$. We conclude finally that

$$D(u) = D(u_0) + D(u - u_0) , \tag{2.2}$$

which proves our lemma.

The uniqueness of the minimizing function u_0 is an immediate consequence of (2.2). For, if $D(u) = D(u_0)$, we conclude from (2.2) that $D(u - u_0) = 0$, that is $u \equiv u_0$, since $u - u_0 = 0$ on γ_0.

The function $u_0 = u(z; S; \gamma_0, \alpha_{01})$ will be called the *extremal function* of S_0 for γ_0 and α_{01}. The quantity $\mu_{01} = D(u_0)$ will be called the *modulus* of S_0 for γ_0 and α_{01} and denoted generally by $\mu(S_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_{01})$.

2.2. Modulus of a boundary component. Let us consider a boundary component γ of an open Riemann surface R, and let S be a given neighborhood of γ. Let γ_0 be the relative boundary of S (see 1.1). An exhaustion of S is a sequence $\{S_n\}$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$ of subregions of R such that: (1) S_n is a relatively compact subregion of R and the relative boundary of S_n is a set $\gamma_0 \cup \alpha_n$, where $\gamma_0 \cap \alpha_n = 0$ and α_n consists of a finite number of closed analytic Jordan curves α_{ni}, (2) $S_n \subset S_{n+1}$, (3) $\bigcup_{n+1}^{\infty} S_n = S$, and (4) each connected component of $S - S_n$ is non-compact and its relative boundary consists of a single α_{ni}. We assign to each α_{ni} as positive orientation the positive sense with respect to S_n and to γ_0 the sense for which γ_0 and α_n are homologous.

Let γ_n be the curve α_{ni} which separates γ from γ_0, and let $\{n\}_\gamma$ be the class of all harmonic functions u on S with $u = 0$ on γ_0 and

$$\int_{\gamma_n} d\bar{u} = 1 \text{ and } \int_{\alpha_{ni} \neq \gamma_n} d\bar{u} = 0 , \tag{2.3}$$

for all n. It is easy to see, using Green's formula, that conditions (2.3) are independent of the particular exhaustion which is used.

THEOREM 2. *In $\{u\}_\gamma$ there exists a function u_γ with the property*

$$\min D(u; S) = D(u_\gamma; S) .$$

Moreover, for any u,

$$D(u; S) = D(u_\gamma; S) + D(u - u_\gamma; S) . \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Denote by u_n the extremal function of S_n for γ_0 and γ_n, and put $\mu_n = D(\mu_n; S_n) =$ value of u_n on γ_n; μ_n is the modulus of S_n for γ_0 and γ_n.

Since the restriction of u_{n+1} to S_n satisfies the condition of Lemma 2 (where S_0 is replaced by S_n and α_{01} by γ_n), we have

$$\mu_n = D(u_n; S_n) \leqq D(u_{n+1}; S_n) \leqq D(u_{n+1}; S_{n+1}) = \mu_{n+1} .$$

Similarly, we see that $\mu_n \leqq \mu_\gamma$, where μ_γ is the greatest lower bound of

$D(u; S)$ for u *in* $\{u\}_\gamma$. Thus, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n$ exists and we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n \leqq \mu_\gamma .$$

For a fixed N, let s be the bounded harmonic function on S_N with $s = 0$ on γ_0 and $s = d$ on α_N, where d is a constant value determined by $\int_{\alpha_N} d\bar{s} = 1$. From Green's formula $\int_{\alpha_N} u_n d\bar{s} - s d\bar{u}_n) = 0$ and the boundary behavior of u_n and s, we obtain

$$\int_{\alpha_N} u_n d\bar{s} = d ,$$

for all $n \geqq N$, whence $\min_{\alpha_N} u_n \leqq d$. It follows from Harnack's principle that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is compact. A subsequence, say again $\{u_n\}$, converges, uniformly on each S_N, to a function u_γ. Obviously this function belongs to $\{u\}_\gamma$, so that

$$\mu_\gamma \leqq D(u_\gamma; S) .$$

On the other hand, the lower semicontinuity of the Dirichlet integral gives

$$D(u_\gamma; S) \leqq \lim D(u_n; S_n) = \lim \mu_n .$$

From the three preceding inequalites we conclude that

$$D(u_\gamma; S) = \lim \mu_n = \mu_\gamma ,$$

which proves the first assertion of Theorem 2.

Let us now prove equality (2.4), for any u in $\{u\}_\gamma$. This is evident if $D(u; S) = \infty$. Suppose $D(u; S) < \infty$, and put $u - u_\gamma = h$. For any real number ε, $u_\gamma + \varepsilon h \in \{u\}_\gamma$, and therefore

$$D(u_\gamma + \varepsilon h) = D(u_\gamma) + 2\varepsilon D(u_\gamma, h) + \varepsilon^2 D(h) \geqq D(u_\gamma) .$$

Since $D(u_\gamma + \varepsilon h) < \infty$, this is possible only if $D(u_\gamma, h) = 0$, so that, as $\varepsilon = 1$, we obtain (2.4).

As in Lemma 2, the uniqueness of the minimizing function u_γ in the case $\mu_\gamma < \infty$ is an immediate consequence of (2.4).

The function u_γ will be called the *extremal function* of S for γ_0 and γ and denoted generally by $u(z; S; \gamma_0, \gamma)$. The conformal invariant $\mu_\gamma = D(u_\gamma, S)$ will be called the *modulus* of S for γ_0 and γ or, simply, for fixed S, the modulus of γ. It will be denoted generally by $\mu(S; \gamma_0, \gamma)$.

2.3. Parabolic boundary components. Let γ be a boundary component of an open Riemann surface R. Consider any two neighborhoods S and S' of γ, and denote by γ_0 and γ'_0 the relative boundaries of S and

S' respectively. Set $u(z; S; \gamma_0, \gamma) = u_\gamma$, $u(z; S'; \gamma'_0, \gamma) = u'_\gamma$, $\mu(S; \gamma_0, \gamma) = \mu_\gamma$, $\mu(S'; \gamma'_0, \gamma) = \mu'_\gamma$.

LEMMA 3. *The moduli μ_γ and μ'_γ are simultaneously finite or infinite.*

Proof. Suppose first $S \subset S'$, and let $\{S'_n\}$ be an exhaustion of S'. The regions $S_n = S \cap S'_n$ give, for n sufficiently large, an exhaustion of S. Set $u(z; \gamma_0, \gamma_n) = u_n$, $u(z; S'_n; \gamma'_0, \gamma_n) = u'_n$, $\mu(S_n; \gamma_0, \gamma_n) = \mu_n$, $\mu(S'_n; \gamma'_0, \gamma_n) = \mu'_n$.

From Green's formula

$$\int_{\alpha_n \cup \gamma_0^{-1}} (u'_n d\bar{u}_n - u_n d\bar{u}'_n) = 0 ,$$

it follows

$$\mu'_n - \mu_n = \int_{\gamma_0} u'_n d\bar{u}_n .$$

Hence, as $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\mu'_\gamma - \mu_\gamma = \int_{\gamma_0} u'_\gamma d\bar{u}_\gamma .$$

This proves our lemma in the particular case $S \subset S'$.

Let us now consider the general case, and construct a third neighborhood S'' of γ such that $S'' \subset S \cap S'$. Let γ''_0 denote the relative boundary of S'', and put $\mu(S''; \gamma''_0, \gamma) = \mu''_\gamma$. As before, μ_γ and μ''_γ are simultaneously finite or infinite. The same is valid for μ'_γ and μ''_γ and consequently for μ_γ and μ'_γ, which completes the proof of Lemma 3.

A boundary component γ of R is called *parabolic* if $\mu_\gamma = \infty$ and *hyperbolic* if $\mu_\gamma < \infty$. From Lemma 3, this condition is independent of the neighborhood S which is used, i.e. the parabolicity of a γ is a γ-property of R. The class of all Riemann surfaces for which all boundary components are parabolic will be denoted by M_γ. The property $R \in M_\gamma$ (or $R \notin M_\gamma$) is a boundary property of R.

Consider now the capacity function t_γ of R for γ with respect to a fixed parametric disc $|z| \leqq 1$. Let λ denote a positive number which is sufficiently small such that the level line $c(\lambda)$: $t_\gamma(z) = \log\lambda$ is a closed Jordan curve and the set $t_\gamma(z) \leqq \log\lambda$ is compact. The set $S(\lambda)$: $t_\gamma(z) > \log\lambda$ is then a neighborhood of γ. Put $u(z; S(\lambda); c(\lambda), \gamma) = u_{\gamma,\lambda}$, $\mu(S(\lambda); c(\lambda), \gamma) = \mu_{\gamma,\lambda}$.

LEMMA 4. *If λ satisfies the above conditions, then*

$$t_\gamma(z) - \log \lambda = 2\pi u_{\gamma,\lambda}(z) , \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$k_\gamma - \log\lambda = 2\pi\mu_{\gamma,\lambda} . \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. Consider an exhaustion $\{R_n\}$ of R as in 2.1. The regions $S_n(\lambda) = R_n \wedge S(\lambda)$ give, for n sufficiently large, an exhaustion of $S(\lambda)$. Set $u(z; S_n(\lambda); c(\lambda), \gamma_n) = u_{n,\lambda}$, $\mu(S_n(\lambda); c(\lambda), \gamma_n) = \mu_{n,\lambda}$, $t_\gamma - 2\pi u_{\gamma,\lambda} = h$, $t_n - 2\pi u_{n\pi} = h_n$, where t_n is the function on R_n defined in 1.2. From Green's formula, we have

$$D(h_n ; S_n(\lambda)) = \int_{\beta n} h_n d\bar{h}_n - \int_{c(\lambda)} h_n d\bar{h} = - \int_{c(\lambda)} h_n d\bar{h}_n ,$$

since $h_n =$ const. on β_{ni} and $\int_{\beta_{ni}} d\bar{h}_n = 0$, for all β_{ni}. Hence, by the lower semicontinuity of the Dirichlet integral,

$$D(h; S(\lambda)) \leqq -\int_{c(\lambda)} hd\bar{h} = 0 ,$$

since $h =$ const. $= \log\lambda$ on $c(\lambda)$ and $\int_{c(\lambda)} d\bar{h} = 0$. We conclude that $h \equiv \log\lambda$, which proves (2.5).

Now apply Green's formula on $S_n(\lambda)$ to $u_{n,\lambda}$ and t_n. We obtain

$$k_n - 2\pi\mu_{n,\lambda} = \int_{c(\lambda)} t_n d\bar{u}_{n,\lambda} ,$$

whence, as $n \to \infty$,

$$k_\gamma - 2\pi\mu_{\gamma,\lambda} = \int_{c(\lambda)} t_\gamma d\bar{u}_{\gamma,\lambda} = \log\lambda ,$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 4.

THEOREM 3. *A boundary component γ of R is parabolic if and only if it has a vanishing capacity.*

Proof. This is evident from Lemmas 3 and 4.

COROLLARY. $M_\gamma = C_\gamma$.

§3 MODULUS AND CONFORMAL METRICS

3.1. Definitions. Consider a non-negative function $\rho(z)$ which is defined on each parametric disc K_z: $|z| \leqq 1$ of a subregion S of R and satisfies

$$\rho(z) = \rho(z') \left| \frac{dz'}{dz} \right|$$

at corresponding points z, z' of any two overlapping K_z and $K_{z'}$. We say that ρ is a conformal metric on S. We define the ρ-length of any cycle c (finite set of closed Jordan curves) on S by the lower Darboux integral (see [4])

$$l(\rho; c) = \int_c \rho(z)|dz| .$$

A conformal metric ρ is said to be measurable on S if its restriction to any parametric disc is measurable in Lebesgue's sense. If ρ is a measurable conformal metric on S, we define the ρ-area of S by the Lebesgue integral

$$\mathrm{A}(\rho; S) = \int_S \rho^2(z)d\sigma_z ,$$

where σ_z is the Lebesgue measure on K_z. A measurable conformal metric ρ defined on S is said to be A-bounded on S if $A(\rho; S) < \infty$.

3.2. **Extremal conformal metrics.** Consider first the relatively compact subregion S_0 of 2.1. We prove the following

LEMMA 5. *The conformal metric* $\rho_0 = |\mathrm{grad} u_0|$ *gives the minimum of* $A(\rho; S_0)$,

$$\min A(\rho; S_0) = A(\rho_0; S_0) , \tag{3.1}$$

in the class of all conformal metrics satisfying $l(\rho; c) \geqq 1$, *for all dividing cycles* c *on* S_0 *which separate* α_{01} *from* γ_0.

Moreover, for any admissible ρ,

$$A(\rho; S_0) \geqq A(\rho_0; S_0) + A(\rho - \rho_0; S_0) . \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Clearly the conformal metric ρ_0 satisfies the condition of the lemma, and $A(\rho_0; S_0) = D(u_0; S_0) = \mu_{01} < \infty$. Let ρ be any admissible conformal metric on S_0 with $A(\rho; S_0) < \infty$.

We evaluate the integral

$$\int_{S_0} \rho(z)\rho_0(z)d\sigma_z .$$

Take $w_0 = u_0 + i\bar{u}_0$ for the local parameter on S_0, so that $\rho_0(w_0) \equiv 1$. Denote the level line $u_0(z) = \mu$ $(0 \leqq \mu \leqq \mu_{01}$; see Lemma 1) by $c(\mu)$. From Fubini's theorem,

$$\int_{S_0} \rho(z)\rho_0(z)d\sigma_z = \int_0^{\mu_{01}} d\mu \int_{c(\mu)} \rho(w_0)d\bar{u}_0 .$$

Here the integral $\int_{c(\mu)}\rho(w_0)d\bar{u}_0$ exists almost everywhere, for μ on the closed interval $[0, \mu_{01}]$. But $c(\mu)$ is, for any $\mu \neq \mu_{0i}$, a dividing cycle on S_0 which separates α_{01} from γ_0 and therefore, almost everywhere,

$$\int_{c(\mu)}\rho(w_0)d\bar{u}_0 = \int_{c(\mu)}\rho(z)\,|\,dz\,| \geqq \int_{c(\mu)}\rho(z)\,|\,dz\,| \geqq 1$$

From the two preceding relations it follows that

$$\int_{S_0}\rho(z)\rho_0(z)d\sigma_z \geqq \mu_{01} .$$

Now put $\rho = \rho_0 + (\rho - \rho_0)$ in $A(\rho; S_0)$; we obtain

$$A(\rho; S_0) = \mu_{01} + A(\rho - \rho_0; S_0) + 2\int_{S_0}\rho\rho_0 d\sigma - 2\mu_{01}$$

and, from the preceding inequality, we conclude finally that

$$A(\rho; S_0) \geqq \mu_{01} + A(\rho - \rho_0; S_0) ,$$

which proves our lemma.

Clearly the admissible conformal metric which minimizes $A(\rho; S_0)$ is unique. For, if $A(\rho; S_0) = A(\rho_0; S_0) = \mu_{01} < \infty$, we deduce from (3.2) that $A(\rho - \rho_0; S_0) = 0$, i.e. $\rho = \rho_0$ almost everywhere on S_0.

Now let γ be a boundary of R, and let S be a given neighborhood of γ. Let $\{\rho\}_\gamma$ denote that class of all measurable conformal metrics defined on S which satisfy the condition

$$l(\rho; c) \geqq 1 , \tag{3.3}$$

for all dividing cycles c which separate γ from γ_0. If $u \in \{u\}_\gamma$, then obviously $|\operatorname{grad} u| \in \{\rho\}_\gamma$. This is valid, in particular, for the conformal metric $\rho_\gamma = |\operatorname{grad} u_\gamma|$. The ρ_γ-area of S is $A(\rho_\gamma; S) = D(u_\gamma; S) = \mu_\gamma$.

THEOREM 4. *In $\{\rho\}_\gamma$ the conformal metric $\rho_\gamma = |\operatorname{grad} u_\gamma|$ gives the minimum of $A(\rho; S)$:*

$$\min A(\rho; S) = A(\rho_\gamma; S) . \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover, for any ρ,

$$A(\rho; S) \geqq A(\rho_\gamma; S) + A(\rho - \rho_\gamma; S) . \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. If $A(\rho; S) = \infty$, (3.5) is evident. Assume now that there exists in $\{\rho\}_\gamma$ a conformal metric ρ which is A-bounded.

Set $|\operatorname{grad} u_n| = \rho_n$ (see 2.2). Since $A(\rho; S) \geqq A(\rho; S_n)$, we conclude from Lemma 5 that

$$A(\rho; S) \geqq \mu_n + A(\rho - \rho_n; S_n)$$

As $n \to \infty$, Fatou's Lemma gives immediately

$$A(\rho; S) \geqq \mu_\gamma + \liminf A(\rho - \rho_n; S_n) \geqq \mu_\gamma + A(\rho - \rho_\gamma; S)\ ,$$

which proves (3.5) and the theorem.

As in Lemma 5, the uniqueness of the minimizing conformal metric ρ_γ in the case $\mu_\gamma < \infty$ is an immediate consequence of (3.5).

By Theorem 4, the quantity $\lambda_\gamma = \mu_\gamma^{-1}$ is equal to the extremal length of the family of all dividing cycles c on S separating γ from γ_0 ([1], [5]).

3.3. Parabolic boundary components. We return to the condition $\mu_\gamma = \infty$ studied in 2.2.

THEOREM 5. *A boundary component γ of R is parabolic if and only if, for any neighborhood S of γ and for any A-bounded conformal metric ρ on S, there exists a dividing cycle separating γ from γ_0 with an arbitrarily small ρ-length.*

Proof. If $\mu_\gamma < \infty$, the conformal metric ρ_γ is A-bounded and satisfies $l(\rho; c) \geqq 1$, for all dividing cycles separating γ from γ_0. Conversely, if there is an A-bounded conformal metric ρ on S satisfying $l(\rho; c) \geqq \varepsilon > 0$, for all dividing cycles c separating γ from γ_0, the conformal metric $\rho^* = (1/\varepsilon)\rho$ is A-bounded and belongs to $\{\rho\}_\gamma$. Therefore, by Theorem 4, $\mu_\gamma < \infty$.

THEOREM 6. *Suppose R is imbedded in a larger Riemann surface R^*. If a boundary component γ of R or a part of γ realized on R^* contains a continuum γ^*, then γ is hyperbolic.*

Proof. Let $K^* : |z^*| \leqq 1$ denote a parametric disc on R^* for which $K^* \cap \gamma^*$ contains a continuum, say again γ^*. Since γ^* is a boundary continuum of R, there exists a disc $\overline{R}_0 \subset K^* \cap R$. In K^* let $Q = aba'b'$ be a rectangle such that its side a is completely interior to R_0 and its opposite sides b, b' have common points with γ^*.

Set $R - \overline{R}_0 = S$. We define a conformal metric ρ_0 on S by setting $\rho_0(z^*) = 1$ on $Q \cap S$ and $\rho_0 = 0$ otherwise. Clearly ρ_0 is A-bounded and satisfies $l(\rho_0; c) \geqq l_0 > 0$, where l_0 is the length of a in K^* and c is any dividing cycle separating γ from γ_0. Hence, by Theorem 5, γ is not parabolic.

Let S be a given neighborhood of a boundary component γ of R, and let $\{S_n\}$ be an exhaustion of S as in 2.2. Let E_n denote the connected component of $S_n - S_{n-1}$ whose boundary includes γ_{n-1} and γ_n. We assert that

$$\mu(S;\gamma_0,\gamma) \geqq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n;\gamma_{n-1},\gamma_n)\ . \tag{3.6}$$

In fact, since the restriction of ρ_γ to E_n is admissible in Lemma 5 (where S_0 is replaced by E_n, γ_0 and α_{01} by γ_{n-1} and γ_n respectively), we conclude that $A(\rho_\gamma;E_n) \geqq \mu(E_n;\gamma_{n-1},\gamma_n)$. Therefore, $\mu(S;\gamma_0,\gamma) \geqq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A(\rho_\gamma;E_n) \geqq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n;\gamma_{n-1},\gamma_n)$, which proves (3.6).

Similarly, it may be proved that

$$\mu(S;\gamma_0,\gamma) \geqq \mu(E_1;\gamma_0,\gamma_1) + \mu(S^*_1;\gamma_1,\gamma)\ , \tag{3.7}$$

where S^*_1 is the connected component of $S-\overline{S}_1$ whose relative boundary is γ_1.

THEOREM 7. *A boundary component γ of R is parabolic if and only if there exists an exhaustion of S for which*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n;\gamma_{n-1},\gamma_n) = \infty\ . \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. By (3.6), the condition (3.8) is sufficient for the parabolicity of γ.

Conversely, assume that γ is parabolic, and let $\{S_n\}$ be a given exhaustion of S. Since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(S_n;\gamma_0,\gamma_n) = \mu(S;\gamma_0,\gamma) = \infty\ ,$$

we can choose $n_1 \geqq 1$ such that $\mu(S_n;\gamma_0,\gamma_{n_1}) \geqq 1$. Let $S^*_{n_1}$ denote the connected component of $S-\overline{S}_{n_1}$ whose relative boundary is γ_{n_1}. $S^*_{n_1}$ is a neighborhood of γ. Since γ is parabolic, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(S^*_{n_1,n};\gamma_{n_1},\gamma_n) = \mu(S^*_{n_1};\gamma_{n_1},\gamma) = \infty\ ,$$

where $S^*_{n_1,n} = S^*_{n_1} \cap S_n$. Therefore, we can choose $n_2 > n_1$ such that $\mu(S^*_{n_1,n_2};\gamma_{n_1},\gamma_{n_2}) \geqq 1$. Continuing this procedure, we obtain an exhaustion $\{S_{n_k}\}$ $(k=1,2,\cdots)$ of S, which satisfies condition (3.8). Thus Theorem 7 is established.

3.4. Perimeter and capacity. Let $|z| \leqq r_0$ be a fixed parametric disc on R, and let $S(r)$ denote the complement of the disc $|z| \leqq r$ $(0<r\leqq r_0)$ with respect to R. Set $\mu(S(r);|z|=r,\gamma) = \mu_{\gamma,r}$. By (3.7), for $r'<r$,

$$\mu_{\gamma,r'} \leqq \frac{1}{2\pi}\log\frac{r}{r'} + \mu_{\gamma,r}$$

or

$$-2\pi\mu_{\gamma,r'} - \log r' \leqq -2\pi\mu_{\gamma,r} - \log r .$$

Therefore,

$$\pi_\gamma = \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{1}{r} e^{-2\pi\mu_{\gamma,r}}$$

exists. According to Ahlfors and Beurling [1], we call π_γ perimeter of γ with respect to the fixed parametric dics $|z| \leqq r_0$. Let $z' = \lambda(z) = az + \cdots, a \neq 0$, be a new local parameter in the neighborhood of the point $P_0 \in R$ corresponding to $z = 0$, and let π'_γ denote the perimeter of γ with respect to the parametric disc $|z'| \leqq r'_0$. Set $|z| = r$ and $|z'| = r'$. For corresponding r and r' by $z' = \lambda(z)$, we have

$$|a|r(1 - \varepsilon_r) \leqq r' \leqq |a|r(1 + \varepsilon_r) ,$$

where ε_r is a positive function of r and $\varepsilon_r \to 0$, as $r \to 0$. It follows, from the conformal invariance and the monotony of modulus, that

$$\pi_\gamma = |a|\,\pi'_\gamma . \tag{3.9}$$

We now prove the following.

THEOREM 8. *If the perimeter π_γ and the capacity c_r are defined with respect to the same parametric disc $|z| \leqq r_0$, then $\pi_\gamma = c_\gamma$.*

Proof. From (1.6) and (3.9), it is sufficient to prove the required equality for a particular parametric disc of the point P_0. We choose this parametric disc, say again $|z| \geqq r_0$, such that $t_\gamma \equiv \log|z|$ on $|z| \leqq r_0$. Then, by (2.6), we conclude immediately that

$$\pi_\gamma = \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-2\pi\mu_{\gamma,\lambda}} = e^{-k_\gamma} = c_\gamma ,$$

which proves our theorem.

COROLLARY. *If P_γ denote the class of Riemann surfaces defined by $\pi_\gamma = 0$, for all γ, then $P_\gamma = c_\gamma = M_\gamma$.*

§ 4. RIEMANN SURFACES OF FINITE GENUS

4.1. Planar subregions. Let γ be a boundary component of an open Riemann surface R, and suppose that γ is hyperbolic and possesses a neighborhood S which is planar.

Set, as usually, $u(z; S; \gamma_0, \gamma) = u_\gamma$, $\mu(S; \gamma_0, \gamma) = \mu_\gamma$, and consider the function $w = F_\gamma(z)$ defined by

$$F_\gamma(z) = \exp 2\pi(u_\gamma(z) + i\bar{u}_\gamma(z)) \tag{4.1}$$

Consider an exhaustion $\{S_n\}$ of S as in 2.2. Since S is planar, the homology group $H^1(S)$ is generated from the boundary curves α_{ni} of $S_n (n = 1, 2, \cdots)$, and we conclude by (2.3) that F_γ is single-valued. We now prove the following [7]:

THEOREM 9. *The function $w = F_\gamma(z)$ maps the region S univalently onto the annulus*

$$A_{0,\mu_\gamma} : 1 < |w| < e^{2\pi\mu_\gamma}$$

slit along a set of circular arcs around the origin. Here the boundary circumferences $|w| = 1$ and $|w| e^{2\pi\mu_\gamma}$ correspond to γ_0 and γ respectively. The total area of the slits vanishes.

Proof. We define the function $w = F_n(z)$ on S_n by

$$F_n(z) = \exp 2\pi(u_n(z) + i\bar{u}(z)) ,$$

where $u_n = u(z; S_n; \gamma_0, \gamma_n)$. As before, we see that F_n is single-valued, for all n.

The function $w = F_n(z)$ gives a one-to-one conformal mapping of S_n onto the covering surface $S_{n,w} = (S_n, w = F_n(z))$. By the definition of u_n, $|F_n(z)|$ assumes constant values on the boundary curves of S_n and satisfies on S_n:

$$1 < |F_n(z)| < e^{2\pi\mu_n} .$$

It follows that $S_{n,w}$ is an unlimited covering surface of the annulus A_{0,μ_n} slit along a finite number of circular arcs. On the other hand, evaluate the ρ_0-area of $S_{n,w}$, where

$$\rho_0(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi|w|} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\left|\frac{d}{dw}\log w\right| .$$

Since, for $w = F_n(z)$,

$$\rho_n(z) = |\mathrm{grad}\, u_n(z)| = \frac{1}{2\pi}\left|\frac{d}{dz}\log w\right| = \rho_0(w)\left|\frac{dw}{dz}\right| ,$$

we obtain

$$A(\rho_0; S_{n,w}) = A(\rho_n; S_n) = \mu_n .$$

This is equal to the ρ_0- area of the annulus A_{0,μ_n}. It follows that the covering surface $S_{n,w}$ consists necessarily of a single sheet, that is the function F_n is univalent. Since $F_n \to F_\gamma$ uniformly on each S_N, F_γ is also univalent.

Let us now consider the image $S_w = F_\gamma(z)$. Denote the connected components of the boundary of S_w which correspond to γ_0 and γ by γ_w^0 and γ_w respectively. Clearly γ_w^0 is the circumference $|w| = 1$. Further, since $\mu_n \leqq \mu_\gamma$, for all n, S_w is included in the annulus A_{0,μ_γ}. As before, the ρ_0-area of S_w is

$$A(\rho_0; S_w) = A(\rho_\gamma; S) = \mu_\gamma ,$$

since

$$\rho_\gamma(z) = \rho_0(w)\left|\frac{dw}{dz}\right| \qquad (w = F_\gamma(z)) .$$

This is equal to the ρ_0-area of the annulus A_{0,μ_γ}. Accordingly, the complements of S_w with respect to A_{0,μ_γ} has a (logarithmic and Euclidian) vanishing area.

Assume finally that the set $A_{0,\mu_\gamma} - S_w$ possesses a connected component γ^*_w which is not a point or a circular arc around the origin. Construct two circumferences $|w| = r_i$ $(i = 1, 2; r < r_1 < r_2 < e^{2\pi\mu_\gamma})$ having common points with γ^*_w, and consider a point w_0 in the annulus $r_1 < |w| < r_2$. Let K_ε be the disc $|w - w_0| \leqq \varepsilon$. Obviously, for ε sufficiently small, the conformal metric ρ_ε, defined by $\rho_\varepsilon = 0$ on K_ε and $\rho_\varepsilon(w) = \rho_0(w)$ on $S_w - K_\varepsilon$, satisfies the condition (3.3), for all dividing cycles c on S_w separating γ_w from γ_w^0. This contradicts Theorem 4, since $A(\rho_\varepsilon; S_w) < A(\rho_0; S_w) = \mu_\cdot$. Therefore, the continuum γ^*_w does not exist. In particular, γ_w coincides with $|w| = e^{2\pi\mu_\gamma}$. Theorem 9 is completely proved.

4.2. Planar Riemann surfaces. Suppose now that R itself is planar. Let $|z| \leqq 1$ be a fixed parametric disc on R, γ a hyperbolic boundary component of R, and $c_\gamma > 0$ the capacity of γ with respect to $|z| \leqq 1$. Consider the function $w = T_\gamma(z)$ defined by

$$T_\gamma(z) = c_\gamma \exp(t_\gamma(z) + i\bar{t}_\gamma(z)) .$$

By Lemma 4 and Theorem 9, we have the following [14]:

THEOREM 10. *The function $w = T_\gamma(z)$ is univalent and single-valued on R and maps R onto the unit circle slit along a set of circular arcs of vanishing total area. The boundary component γ is mapped into the unit circumference.*

Let SB (SD) be the class of univalent single-valued analytic functions having a bounded modulus (a finite Dirichlet integral), and let $O_{SB}(O_{SD})$ be the class of Riemann surfaces with no functions belonging to $SB(SD)$.

THEOREM 11. [1, 14] *For planar Riemann surfaces,*

$$O_{SB} = M_\gamma = O_{SB} \,. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Assume first that the planar surface R possesses a hyperbolic boundary component γ. Then, the function T_γ of Theorem 10 obviously belongs to the class SB and SD.

Conversely, suppose that there exists on R a function $w = T(z)$ which belongs to the class SB or SD. In both cases, the image $R_w = T(R)$ has a finite Euclidian area. Let $K_\varepsilon : |w - w_0| \leqq \varepsilon$ be a disc which is completely included in R_w. Denote by γ_w the connected component of the boundary of R_w which separates $w = 0$ from $w = \infty$ or contains $w = \infty$, The conformal metric $\rho(w) = 1/2\pi\varepsilon$ is clearly A-boundary on $R_w - K_\varepsilon$ and satisfies condition (3.3), for all dividing cycles on $R_w - K_\varepsilon$ which separate γ_w from $|w - w_0| = \varepsilon$. We conclude that the boundary component γ of R which corresponds to γ_w is hyperbolic.

4.3. Riemann surfaces of finite genus. A *continuation* of a Riemann surface R is defined by (1) another Riemann surface R' and (2) a one-to-one conformal mapping $T: R \rightarrow R'$, $T(R) \subset R'$, [**2, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12**]. If R' is a compact Riemann surface, the continuation is called *compact*. If $R' - T(R)$ contains interior points, the continuation is called *essential* [**9, 12**].

Let R be a Riemann surface of finite genus. We say that the continuation of R is *topologically unique* if, for any two compact continuations $T_\nu: R \rightarrow R'_\nu (\nu = 1, 2)$ of R, there exists a topological mapping $h^*_{12} = R'_1 \rightarrow R'_2$, $h^*_{12}(R'_1) = R'_2$, with $h^*_{12}\, T_1(R) = h_{12}$, where $h_{12} = T_2 T_1^{-1}$. If, in addition, h^*_{12} is always a conformal mapping, the continuation of R is said to be *conformally unique*.

Let O_{AD} denote the class of Riemann surfaces with no non-constant single-valued analytic functions having a finite Dirichlet integral. It is well known that the continuation of a Riemann surface R of finite genus is conformally unique if and only if $R \in O_{AD}$ [**1, 8, 12**]. We now prove the following

THEOREM 12. *For Riemann surfaces of finite genus, the following conditions are equivalent*:

(1) $R \in M_\gamma$

(2) *The continuation of R is topologically unique.*

(3) *R does not possess an essential continuation.*

Proof. (1) $\rightarrow$ (2). If $R \in M_\gamma$ and $T_\nu: R \rightarrow R'_\nu$ $(\nu = 1, 2)$ are compact continuations of R, then, by Theorem 6, the sets $\beta_\nu = R'_\nu - T_\nu(R)$ are totally disconnected. Set $T_2 T_1^{-1} = h_{12}$. We define a topological mapping h^*_{12} of R'_1 onto R'_2 as follows. First, set $h^*_{12}(P_1) = h_{12}(P_1)$, for any $P_1 \in T_1(R)$. Now let $P_1 \in \beta_1$. Since β_1 is totally disconnected, there is a fundamental sequence $\{U_n\}$ of neighborhoods of P_1 such that the open sets $V_n = U_n \cap T_1(R)$ are connected. Set $E(P_1) = \cap_n \overline{h_{12}(V_n)}$. Clearly this is a closed and connected set. On the other hand, $E(P_1) \subset \beta_2$ and, since β_2 is totally disconnected $E(P_1)$ contains a single point P_2. Set $h^*{}_{12}(P_1) = P_2$. It is easy to see that $h^*{}_{12}$ is a topological mapping between R'_1 and R'_2.

$(2) \to (3)$. If R possesses an essential continuation $T_1: R \to R'_1$, we may construct in an evident manner another compact continuation $T_2: R \to R'_2$ of R such that R'_1 and R'_2 have different genera.

$(3) \to (1)$. Assume that $R \notin M_\gamma$, i.e. R possesses some boundary component γ which is hyperbolic. Let S be a neighborhood of γ. We have $\mu_\gamma < \infty$. By Theorem 9, there is a one-to-one conformal mapping of S into the finite annulus $1 < |w| < e^{2\pi\mu_\gamma}$. Let K_w denote the set $|w| > 1$. Clearly the Riemann surface $R' = (R - S) \cup K_w$ defines an essential continuation of R, and therefore $(3) \to (1)$. Thus, Theorem 12 is established

COROLLARY 1. *For Riemann surfaces of finite genus, we have* $O_{AD} \subset M_\gamma$.

Note that by a theorem of Ahlfors and Beurling [1] this inclusion is strict.

COROLLARY 2. *Let* $R \in M_\gamma - O_{AD}$ *and of finite genus. Then there exist two compact continuations* $T_\nu: R \to R'_\nu$ $(\nu = 1, 2)$ *of* R *such that the corresponding topogical mapping* h^*_{12} *is not a conformal mapping.*

In particular, we conclude from Corollary 2 that there exist Pompeiu functions which are univalent (see [3], [10], and [16]).

REFERENCES

1. L. Ahlfors and A. Beurling, *Conformal invariants and function-theoretic null sets,* Acta Math. **83** (1950), 101–129

2. S. Bochner, *Fortsezung Riemannscher Flächen,* Math. Ann., **98** (1928), 406–421.

3. A. Denjoy, *Sur les singularités discontinues des fonctions analytiques uniformes,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **149** (1909), 386-388.

4. M. Heins, *On the continuation of a Riemann surface,* Ann. of Math., **43** (1942), 208-297.

5. J. Hersch, *Longueurs extrémales et théorie des fonctions,* Comm. Math. Helv., **29** (1954). 301–337.

6. B. Kerékjàrtó, *Verlesungen über Topologie,* Berlin, 1923.

7. H. Grötzsch, *Das Kreisbogenschlitztheorem der konformen Abbildung schlichter Bereiche,* Leipziger Ber., **83** (1931), 238-253,

8. A. Mori, *A remark on the prolongation of Riemann surfaces of finite genus,* J. Math. Soc. Japan, **4** (1952), 27-30.

9. R. Nevanlinna, *Uniformisierung,* Berlin, 1953.

10. D. Pompeiu, *Sur la continuité des fonclions de varlables complexes,* Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse, **7** (1905) 265-315.

11. T. Rado, *Über eine nichtfortsetzbare Riemannsche Mannigfaltigkeit*, Math. Z., **21** (1924), 1-6.
12. L. Sario, *Uber Riemannsche Flächen mit hebbarem Rand*, Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. AI, **50** (1948).
13. ———, *A linear operator method on arbitrary Riemann surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **72** (1952), 218-295.
14. ———, *Capacity of the boundary and of a boundary component*, Ann. of Math., **59** (1954), 135-144.
15. N. Savage, *Weak boundary components*, Duke Math. J., **24** (1957), 79-95.
16. S. Stoilow, *Lecons sur les principes topologiques de la théorie des fonctions analytiques*, Paris, 2e éd. 1956.
18. V. Wolontis, *Properties of conformal invariants*, Amer. J. Math., **74** (1952), 587-606.
19. K. Strebel, *Die extremale Distanz zweier Enden einer Riemannschen Fläche*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn, Ser. AI, **179** (1955).

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE R.P.R. ACADEMY, BUCAREST

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, E. G. Straus at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is $12.00; single issues, $3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $4.00 per volume; single issues, $1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 8, No. 4 June, 1958

Richard Arens, *The maximal ideals of certain functions algebras* 641
Glen Earl Baxter, *An operator identity* 649
Robert James Blattner, *Automorphic group representations* 665
Steve Jerome Bryant, *Isomorphism order for Abelian groups* 679
Charles W. Curtis, *Modules whose annihilators are direct summands* 685
Wilbur Eugene Deskins, *On the radical of a group algebra* 693
Jacob Feldman, *Equivalence and perpendicularity of Gaussian processes* 699
Marion K. Fort, Jr. and G. A. Hedlund, *Minimal coverings of pairs by triples* 709
I. S. Gál, *On the theory of (m, n)-compact topological spaces* 721
David Gale and Oliver Gross, *A note on polynomial and separable games* 735
Frank Harary, *On the number of bi-colored graphs* 743
Bruno Harris, *Centralizers in Jordan algebras* 757
Martin Jurchescu, *Modulus of a boundary component* 791
Hewitt Kenyon and A. P. Morse, *Runs* 811
Burnett C. Meyer and H. D. Sprinkle, *Two nonseparable complete metric spaces defined on $[0, 1]$* 825
M. S. Robertson, *Cesàro partial sums of harmonic series expansions* 829
John L. Selfridge and Ernst Gabor Straus, *On the determination of numbers by their sums of a fixed order* 847
Annette Sinclair, *A general solution for a class of approximation problems* 857
George Szekeres and Amnon Jakimovski, *(C, ∞) and (H, ∞) methods of summation* 867
Hale Trotter, *Approximation of semi-groups of operators* 887
L. E. Ward, *A fixed point theorem for multi-valued functions* 921
Roy Edwin Wild, *On the number of lattice points in $x^t + y^t = n^{t/2}$* 929