

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**DIRICHLET MULTIPLICATION IN LATTICE POINT
PROBLEMS. II**

JACK PHILLIP TULL

DIRICHLET MULTIPLICATION IN LATTICE POINT PROBLEMS. II

J. P. TULL

1. The author [8]* has given a theorem in which we assume two functions A and B have asymptotic formulae of the form

$$(1) \quad A(x) = \sum_{\mu=1}^h x^{\alpha_\mu} P_\mu(\log x) + O\{x^\rho \log^l(x+1)\}$$

and upper estimates $O\{x^\rho \log^m(x+1)\}$ on their total variations. We then conclude that their Stieltjes resultant C satisfies a formula similar to (1). The α_μ are complex numbers, the P_μ are polynomial functions, and we give an explicit formula for the error term in the resultant in terms of the given parameters.

In this paper we shall give a generalization of the above-mentioned result which will cover a wider class of lattice point problems.

2. Given two functions A and B defined for $x \geq 1$, of bounded variation on each bounded interval, we call the Stieltjes resultant of A by B any function C such that

$$(1) \quad C(x) = \int_1^x A(x/u) dB(u)$$

wherever the integral exists and for all x either.

$$(2) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} C(x-h) \leq C(x) \leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} C(x+h)$$

or

$$(3) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} C(x+h) \leq C(x) \leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} C(x-h).$$

Note that there are at most countably many x for which the integral (1) does not exist, namely those $x = ab$ where a is a discontinuity of A and b is a discontinuity of B . Note further that if $A(1) = B(1) = 0$ then the Stieltjes resultant is a commutative binary operation:

$$(4) \quad \int_1^x A(x/u) dB(u) = \int_1^x B(x/u) dA(u).$$

Widder [9] gives a slightly more restrictive definition of Stieltjes resultant, however, his requirement that A, B , and C be "normalized" is unnecessary.

Received August 19, 1958. Excerpt from dissertation for the degree Doctor of Philosophy, University of Illinois, 1957.

* Note that in the proof of [8] Theorem 2, no use was made of the assumption $\alpha, \beta, \rho, \tau$ are non-negative. Thus that assumption may be deleted from the theorem.

We shall denote the total variation of a function A on $(1, x)$ by $V_A(x)$.

3. In place of the functions $P_\mu(\log x)$ in the asymptotic formulae we shall use the more general slowly oscillating functions. By “slowly oscillating function” we mean a function L which is positive-valued and continuous on $x \geq x_0$, for some $x_0 \geq 0$, such that

$$(1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} L(cx)/L(x) = 1$$

for every $c > 0$. Karamata [4] has characterized such functions in the form

$$(2) \quad L(x) = a\rho(x)e^{\int_{x_0}^x t^{-1}\delta(ct)dt}$$

where $a > 0$, $\rho(x) \rightarrow 1$, $\delta(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$; ρ and δ being continuous on $x \geq x_0$. (See also a proof by Korevaar et al [5]).

From (2) it follows that the limit in (1) is uniform in c on each bounded interval

$$(3) \quad 0 < k_1 \leq c \leq k_2 .$$

Korevaar et al actually proved the uniformity first then used this property in proving the characterization (2).

Now a wide class of slowly oscillating functions can be written in the special form

$$(4) \quad L(x) = ae^{\int_1^x t^{-1}\delta(ct)dt} .$$

We shall give such functions the name “special slowly oscillating function”. However, we require in (4) only that $a > 0$ and $\delta(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where δ is bounded and Lebesgue integrable on each bounded interval. The function δ need not be continuous.

In order to maintain smoothness and to get a definite result we find it necessary—and quite reasonable—to deal mainly with special slowly oscillating functions.

For applications see Bateman [1], [2] and Bateman and Grosswald [3].

4. THEOREM. *Suppose A and B are complex valued function on $(1, \infty)$ of bounded variation on each bounded interval and with $A(1) = B(1) = 0$. Suppose*

$$(1) \quad R(x) = \sum_{\mu=1}^m c_\mu x^\alpha L_\mu(x)$$

and

$$S(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n d_\nu x^\beta M_\nu(x)$$

with

$$(2) \quad V_R(x) = O\{V_A(x)\}, V_S(x) = O\{V_B(x)\}$$

where the $c_\mu, \alpha_\mu, d_\nu, \beta_\nu$ are complex numbers such that if $\Re(\alpha_\mu) = \Re(\beta_\nu)$ then $\alpha_\mu = \beta_\nu$, and the L_μ and M_ν are special slowly oscillating functions.

We assume R and S so chosen that there exist α, β with $\alpha \leq \Re(\alpha_\mu)$ for each $\mu, \beta \leq \Re(\beta_\nu)$ for each ν , and there exist slowly oscillating functions L_0, M_0 such that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_1(x) &= A(x) - R(x) = O\{x^\alpha L_0(x)\}, \\ \Delta_2(x) &= B(x) - S(x) = O\{x^\beta M_0(x)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, we assume that there exist $\rho \geq \alpha, \tau \geq \beta$ and slowly oscillating functions P_0 and Q_0 such that

$$(4) \quad V_A(x) = O\{x^\rho P_0(x)\}, V_B(x) = O\{x^\tau Q_0(x)\}.$$

If C is the Stieltjes resultant of A and B then there is a function T of the form (1) such that if $\rho > \beta$ and $\tau > \alpha, 1 \leq y \leq x, z = x/y$,

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} C(x) &= T(x) + O\{x^\alpha L_0(x)\} + O\{x^\beta M_0(x)\} \\ &+ O\left\{x^\alpha \sum_{\Re(\beta_\nu)=\alpha} \int_1^x u^{-1} M_\nu(u) L_0(x/u) du\right\} + O\left\{x^\beta \sum_{\Re(\alpha_\mu)=\beta} u^{-1} L_\mu(u) M_0(x/u) du\right\} \\ &+ O\{z^\alpha y^\tau L_0(z) Q_0(y)\} + O\{z^\rho y^\beta M_0(y) P_0(z)\}, \end{aligned}$$

the O -constants being independent of x, y and z . More specifically,

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} T(x) &= \int_1^x R(x/u) dS(u) + \sum_{\Re(\beta_\nu) > \alpha} d_\nu x^{\beta_\nu} N_\nu(x) \\ &+ \sum_{\Re(\alpha_\mu) > \beta} c_\mu x^{\alpha_\mu} N'_\mu(x) - \Delta_2(1) \sum_{\Re(\alpha_\mu) > \beta} c_\mu x^{\alpha_\mu} L_\mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\int_1^x R(x/u) dS(u) = \sum_{\mu, \nu} c_\mu d_\nu \int_1^x (x/u)^{\alpha_\mu} L_\mu(x/u) d(u^{\beta_\nu} M_\nu(u))$$

is a complex linear combination of functions

$$x^{\gamma_{\mu\nu}} L_{\mu\nu}(x)$$

with $\gamma_{\mu\nu} = \alpha_\mu$ or β_ν , whichever has the greater real part, $L_{\mu\nu}$ a slowly oscillating function; and

$$\begin{aligned} N_\nu(x) &= x^{-\beta_\nu} \int_1^x \Delta_1(x/u) d(u^{\beta_\nu} M_\nu(u)) \\ N'_\mu(x) &= x^{-\alpha_\mu} \int_1^x \Delta_2(x/u) d(u^{\alpha_\mu} L_\mu(u)) \end{aligned}$$

are linear combinations of slowly oscillating functions. Further, the functions $L_{\mu\nu}$, N'_{μ} , N_{ν} are absolutely continuous on each bounded interval $(1, x)$.

If $\tau = \alpha$ the error is then

$$(7) \quad O\{x^{\beta}M_0(x)\} + O\left\{x^{\tau} \int_1^x u^{-1}Q_0(u)L_0(x/u)du\right\} \\ + O\left\{x^{\beta} \sum_{\Re(\alpha_{\mu})=\beta} \int_1^x u^{-1}L_{\mu}(u)M_0(x/u)du\right\}$$

the integrals in the error terms being absolutely continuous slowly oscillating functions.

If $\alpha > \tau$ we have simply

$$(8) \quad C(x) = T(x) + O\{x^{\alpha}L_0(x)\}$$

$T(x)$ being, in these latter two cases, the same as in (6) excepting that the term

$$\sum_{\Re(\beta_{\nu})>\alpha} d_{\nu}x^{\alpha}N_{\nu}(x)$$

is absorbed in the error term. The cases $\rho \leq \beta$ are similar.

Finally,

$$(9) \quad V_c(x) = O\{x^{\max(\rho, \tau)}S_0(x)\}$$

with

$$S_0(x) = \begin{cases} P_0(x), & \text{if } \rho > \tau, \\ Q_0(x), & \text{if } \rho < \tau, \\ \int_1^x u^{-1}P_0(u)Q_0(x/u)du, & \text{if } \rho = \tau. \end{cases}$$

Note that if there are different α_{μ} , β_{ν} with equal real parts, then the theorem still holds with the exception that the resulting integral

$$\int_1^x (x/u)^{\alpha_{\mu}}L_{\mu}(x/u)d(u^{\beta_{\nu}}M_{\nu}(u))$$

in $T(x)$ is not necessarily a combination of powers of x times slowly oscillating functions.

5. It suffices to consider only those values of $x > 1$ for which the integral defining the Stieltjes resultant converges. For any such x ,

$$C(x) = \int_1^x A(x/u)dB(u) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= \int_1^x R(x/u)dS(u) , \\
 I_2 &= \int_1^x \Delta_1(x/u)dS(u) , \\
 I_3 &= \int_1^x R(x/u)d\Delta_2(u) , \\
 I_4 &= \int_1^x \Delta_1(x/u)d\Delta_2(u) .
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof now consists of demonstrating several lemmas which amount to the fact that if I_1 and I_2 are split up suitably, as indicated in formula (4.6), then the right hand side of (4.6) is a sum of functions $ax^\gamma N(x)$ where N is an absolutely continuous slowly oscillating function, and the remaining terms are of the order of magnitude stated in (4.5), (4.7), or (4.8) as the case may be. We shall give here the two lemmas used to demonstrate the estimates on the error terms given in (4.5, 7, 8, 9). The remainder of the proof then involves juggling terms by means of integration by parts and considering various cases according to whether

$$\Re(\beta_\nu) >, =, \text{ or } > \alpha \text{ and } \Re(\alpha_\mu) >, =, < \beta .$$

LEMMA 1. *If L and M are slowly oscillating functions (on $x \geq 1$) and $\gamma > 0$, then for $1 \leq y \leq x, q \geq 1$,*

$$(1) \quad \int_q^y u^{\gamma-1} M(u)L(x/u)du = O\{y^\gamma M(y)L(x/y)\} ,$$

the O-constant depending only on L, M , and γ .

Since L and M are positive functions we may assume $q = 1$. Now if

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(x) &= a\rho(x) \exp \int_1^x t^{-1}\delta(t)dt \\
 M(x) &= b\tau(x) \exp \int_1^x t^{-1}\varepsilon(t)dt
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2}$$

where $\rho(x) \rightarrow 1, \tau(x) \rightarrow 1, \delta(x) \rightarrow 0, \varepsilon(x) \rightarrow 0$, as $x \rightarrow \infty, a > 0, b > 0$, then let

$$J(x) = L(x)/\rho(x) , \quad K(x) = M(x)/\tau(x)$$

so that

$$L(x) \sim J(x) , \quad M(x) \sim K(x)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that for all $t \geq 1$,

$$(3) \quad |\delta(t)| \leq \gamma/4 , \quad |\varepsilon(t)| \leq \gamma/4$$

for otherwise, the excess, which occurs only on a bounded interval, can be absorbed into the coefficient functions $a\rho(x)$ and $b\tau(x)$.

Now clearly

$$\int_1^y u^{\gamma-1} M(u)L(x/u)du = O\left\{\int_1^y u^{\gamma-1} K(u)J(x/u)du\right\}.$$

Upon integrating by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^y u^{\gamma-1} K(u)J(x/u)du \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \{y^\gamma K(y)J(x/y) - K(1)J(x)\} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_1^y u^{\gamma-1} K(u)\varepsilon(u)J(x/u)du \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_1^y u^{\gamma-1} K(u)J(x/u)\delta(x/u)du \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \{y^\gamma K(y)J(x/y) - K(1)J(x)\} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^y u^{\gamma-1} K(u)J(x/u)du \end{aligned}$$

by (3). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^y u^{\gamma-1} K(u)J(x/u)du & \leq \frac{2}{\gamma} \{y^\gamma K(y)J(x/y) - K(1)J(x)\} \\ & \leq \frac{2}{\gamma} y^\gamma K(y)J(x/y) = O\{y^\gamma M(y)L(x/y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

With the aid of lemma 1 and some simple inequalities one can readily prove the following.

LEMMA 2. *If A and B are functions of bounded variation on (1, x) for each x > 1 and if for x ≥ 1,*

$$A(x) = O(x^\alpha L(x)), \quad V_B(x) = O(x^\tau Q(x)),$$

where L and Q are slowly oscillating functions on x ≥ 1 and α and τ are real numbers, then for 2 ≤ y ≤ x, z = x/y, if x is not a product of a discontinuity of A by a discontinuity of B

$$(4) \quad \int_1^y A(x/u)dB(u) = \begin{cases} O\{z^\alpha y^\tau L(z)Q(y)\} & (\tau > \alpha) \\ O\left\{x^\alpha \int_1^y u^{-1} Q(u)L(x/u)du\right\} & (\tau = \alpha) \\ O\{z^\alpha y^\tau L(z)Q(y)\} + O\{x^\alpha L(x)\} & (\tau < \alpha) \end{cases}$$

uniformly in x and y

6. It is fairly easy to show that Theorem 2 of [8] is a corollary to the theorem of the present paper.

As was mentioned in paper [8] the author has given an example [7] which shows that Landau's theorem [6] on the multiplication of Dirichlet series gives the best possible result. Further, we showed that the same example applies to the result of [8] so that the exponent of x in the error term is as a general theorem best possible if we restrict the main terms to the form in (1.1). This gives some indication of the strength of our result, however, we are as yet unable to decide whether the result with the more general main terms of the present paper is improvable.

The author wishes to give due credit to his teacher, P. T. Bateman, who gave valuable help in getting this paper started.

REFERENCES

1. P. T. Bateman, *Remark on problem 4459*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **61** (1954), 477-479.
2. ———, *Proof of a conjecture of Grosswald*, Duke Math. J. **25**, No. 1 (1958), 67-72.
3. ———, and E. Grosswald, *On a theorem of Erdős and Szekeres*, Illinois J. Math. **2** (1958), 88-98.
4. J. Karamata, *Sur un mode de croissance reguliere des fonctions*, Math. (Cluj) **4** (1930), 38-53.
5. J., Korevaar, T. van Aardenne-Ehrenfest, and N. G. de Bruijn, *A note on slowly oscillating functions*, Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde **23** (1949), 77-86.
6. E., Landau, *Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen*, Leipzig 11 (1909), 755-758.
7. J. P. Tull, *The multiplication problem for Dirichlet series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9**, No. 2 (1958), 332-334.
8. ———, *Dirichlet multiplication in lattice point problems*, Duke Math. J. **26**, No. 1, (1959), 73-80.
9. D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton (1941), 83-91.

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DAVID GILBARG
Stanford University
Stanford, California

A. L. WHITEMAN
University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

L. J. PAIGE
University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH
C. E. BURGESS
E. HEWITT
A. HORN

V. GANAPATHY IYER
R. D. JAMES
M. S. KNEBELMAN
L. NACHBIN

I. NIVEN
T. G. OSTROM
H. L. ROYDEN
M. M. SCHIFFER

E. G. STRAUS
G. SZEKERES
F. WOLF
K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 9, No. 2

June, 1959

Lee William Anderson, <i>On the breadth and co-dimension of a topological lattice</i>	327
Frank W. Anderson and Robert L. Blair, <i>Characterizations of certain lattices of functions</i>	335
Donald Charles Benson, <i>Extensions of a theorem of Loewner on integral operators</i>	365
Errett Albert Bishop, <i>A duality theorem for an arbitrary operator</i>	379
Robert McCallum Blumenthal and Ronald Kay Getoor, <i>The asymptotic distribution of the eigenvalues for a class of Markov operators</i>	399
Delmar L. Boyer and Elbert A. Walker, <i>Almost locally pure Abelian groups</i>	409
Paul Civin and Bertram Yood, <i>Involutions on Banach algebras</i>	415
Lincoln Kearney Durst, <i>Exceptional real Lehmer sequences</i>	437
Eldon Dyer and Allen Lowell Shields, <i>Connectivity of topological lattices</i>	443
Ronald Kay Getoor, <i>Markov operators and their associated semi-groups</i>	449
Bernard Greenspan, <i>A bound for the orders of the components of a system of algebraic difference equations</i>	473
Branko Grünbaum, <i>On some covering and intersection properties in Minkowski spaces</i>	487
Bruno Harris, <i>Derivations of Jordan algebras</i>	495
Henry Berge Helson, <i>Conjugate series in several variables</i>	513
Isidore Isaac Hirschman, Jr., <i>A maximal problem in harmonic analysis. II</i>	525
Alfred Horn and Robert Steinberg, <i>Eigenvalues of the unitary part of a matrix</i>	541
Edith Hirsch Luchins, <i>On strictly semi-simple Banach algebras</i>	551
William D. Munro, <i>Some iterative methods for determining zeros of functions of a complex variable</i>	555
John Rainwater, <i>Spaces whose finest uniformity is metric</i>	567
William T. Reid, <i>Variational aspects of generalized convex functions</i>	571
A. Sade, <i>Isomorphisme d'hypergroupoï des isotopes</i>	583
Isadore Manual Singer, <i>The geometric interpretation of a special connection</i>	585
Charles Andrew Swanson, <i>Asymptotic perturbation series for characteristic value problems</i>	591
Jack Phillip Tull, <i>Dirichlet multiplication in lattice point problems. II</i>	609
Richard Steven Varga, <i>p-cyclic matrices: A generalization of the Young-Frankel successive overrelaxation scheme</i>	617