

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A NOTE ON ASSOCIATIVITY

DONALD ALAN NORTON

A NOTE ON ASSOCIATIVITY

DONALD A. NORTON

1. Introduction. In a groupoid with binary operation (\cdot) the constraints that the groupoid be a quasigroup¹ and that it be associative are not independent. This note defines three forms of associativity in order of descending strength and shows that in a groupoid they are essentially independent while in a quasigroup (with minor limitations on the number of elements) the stronger implies the weaker. Let us define:

A groupoid is *tri-associative* if for every triple x, y, z of distinct elements

$$(1) \quad x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z ;$$

A groupoid is *di-associative*² if in (1) above, exactly two of the elements are distinct;

A groupoid is *mono-associative* if (1) is true when all three x, y and z are equal.

The next section shows that a tri-associative quasigroup Q which contains sufficient elements (seventeen are adequate) for which $Q^2 = \{q^2, \text{ all } q \in Q\}$ also contains sufficient elements (seventeen are again adequate) is di-associative. Further, any di-associative quasigroup is mono-associative. The restrictions on the minimum number of elements in Q and Q^2 are necessitated by the method of proof for which there does not seem any essential improvement but Theorem II is probably true for all quasigroups. An examination of all possibilities indicates its validity if Q contains no more than 5 elements.

The final section illustrates, by examples, the falseness of these theorems if the assumption that Q is a quasigroup is removed.

2. Associativity conditions. We shall first prove a theorem of interest in its own right but which contributes little to the main theorems—Theorems II and III.

THEOREM I. *A tri-associative quasigroup Q has a unity element.*

Before proving the theorem it is convenient to have

LEMMA. *There exists no idempotent tri-associative quasigroup Q containing at least 2 elements.*

Proof of Lemma. We shall use product as our operation in Q with

Received May 21, 1959.

¹ For definitions of groupoid and quasigroup see, for instance, [1, pp. 1, 8, 15].

² This definition differs from the one used by this author [2, p. 59] in which di-associativity included power-associativity, and thereby mono-associativity. Theorem III shows that, in a quasigroup, this distinction is vacuous.

the usual conventions of juxtaposition of u and x to mean the binary product of u and x and the notation $a \cdot ux$ to mean $a(ux)$.

Suppose that $q^2 = q$, all $q \in Q$. For fixed $q \in Q$ let $u \in Q$, $u \neq q$. Then if x is the solution of $q = ux$, it is true that $x \neq q, u$; for if:

- (a) $x = q$, $q = uq = q^2$ implies $u = q$;
 (b) $x = u$, $q = u^2$. But $u^2 = u$ implies $u = q$.

Either is a contradiction.

Now consider $q^2 = q$. Since $q = ux$, substitution yields $q \cdot ux = ux$. Since $u \neq q \neq x \neq u$, tri-associativity implies $qu \cdot x = ux$, from which $qu = u = u^2$. So $q = u$; a contradiction. We are now ready for:

Proof of Theorem I. If Q contains 1, 2, or 3 elements an examination of possibilities yields the theorem. So suppose that Q contains at least 4 elements.

Q is not idempotent by preceding lemma so there is an $a \in Q$ so that $a^2 \neq a$. Let $ae = a$ whence $e \neq a$. Now choose some $b \neq a, e$. Tri-associativity yields $a \cdot eb = ae \cdot b = ab$; and since Q is a quasigroup

$$(1) \quad eb = b \text{ for all } b \neq a, e.$$

Finally choose $c \in Q$, $c \neq b, e$. As before $cb = c \cdot eb = ce \cdot b$ and

$$(2) \quad ce = e \text{ for all } c \neq b, e.$$

Therefore, combining (1) and (2), we see that e is a unity except perhaps for the products ea , ee , and be . Listing the possible values of the products from (1):

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{I (a)} & ea = a; \\ & ee = e; \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{I (b)} & ea = e; \\ & ee = a; \end{array}$$

and from (2):

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{II (a)} & be = b; \\ & ee = e; \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{II (b)} & be = e; \\ & ee = b. \end{array}$$

Now I(b) and II(b) are inconsistent since $a \neq b$. Similarly II(a) and I(b) or I(a) and II(b) are inconsistent since $e \neq a$, and $e \neq b$ respectively.

This leaves I(a) and II(a), or $ea = a$

$$\begin{array}{l} ee = e \\ be = b \end{array}$$

and e is a unity element.

We can now prove

THEOREM II. *Let Q be a tri-associative quasigroup for which both Q and $Q^2 = \{q^2; \text{ all } q \in Q\}$ contain a "sufficient number" of elements, then Q is di-associative.*

Proof. There are 3 equalities to show, where $a \neq b$:

- (1) $a \cdot ab = a^2 \cdot b$;
- (2) $a \cdot ba = ab \cdot a$;
- (3) $b \cdot a^2 = ba \cdot a$.

Because of the symmetry of the postulates, it is necessary to prove only one of (1) and (3). We shall prove (1) and (2).

As the proof will be given, each step of it has restrictions on the elements which will be listed and considered at the end.

- | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| (1) <i>Proof</i> | <i>Restrictions on elements</i> |
| $a \cdot ab$ | (a) $xy = a$ |
| $= xy \cdot ab$ | (b) $x \neq ab \neq y \neq x$ |
| $= x(y \cdot ab)$ | (c) $y \neq a \neq b \neq y$ |
| $= x(ya \cdot b)$ | (d) $x \neq ya \neq b \neq x$ |
| $= (x \cdot ya)b$ | (e) $x \neq y \neq a \neq x$ |
| $= (xy \cdot a)b$ | |
| $= a^2b$. | |

Let us now consider the restrictions:

(a) Since Q is a quasigroup, given either x , or y the other can always be found.

(b) If Q contains sufficient elements it is always possible to find x and y ; $x \neq ab$, $y \neq ab$.

We next note that if Q^2 contains n elements, there will be at least n or $n - 1$ pairs, x , y , $x \neq y$ for which $xy = a$, (the number depending on whether or not $a \in Q^2$).

(c) Conditions $y \neq a, b$ can always be satisfied if Q contains sufficient pairs to satisfy (a) and Q^2 enough to also satisfy (b) as well.

(d) The same as (c) may be said about the conditions $ya \neq b$ and $x \neq b$. Consider now the condition $x \neq ya$. Then $x^2 \neq x \cdot ya$.

Before proceeding we can also satisfy (e) which is a condition similar to (c).

Now since $x \neq y$; $x, y \neq a$.

$$x^2 \neq x \cdot ya = xy \cdot a = a^2$$

Conversely, if

$$x^2 \neq a^2 = xy \cdot a = x \cdot ya$$

then $x \neq ya$.

So the remaining condition of (d) can be satisfied if Q^2 contains an adequate number of elements.

The proof of (2) is parallel.

- | | |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| (2) $a \cdot ba$ | (a) $xy = a$ |
| $= xy \cdot ba$ | (b) $ba \neq x \neq y \neq ba$. |
| $= x(y \cdot ba)$ | (c) $y \neq a \neq b \neq y$. |
| $= x(yb \cdot a)$ | (d) $x \neq yb \neq a \neq x$, |
| $= (x \cdot yb)a$ | (e) $x \neq y \neq b \neq x$. |
| $= (xy \cdot b)a$ | |
| $= ab \cdot a$ | |

Condition (a), (c), (e) and $a \neq x$ of (d) have already been met previously. Condition (b) is a condition similar to (b) of the previous part and can be similarly met if Q contains adequate elements. The condition

$$\begin{aligned}
 &x \neq yb \text{ of part (d) yields} \\
 &x^2 \neq x \cdot yb \\
 &x^2 \neq xy \cdot b \\
 &x^2 \neq ab \text{ .}
 \end{aligned}$$

Again if Q^2 contains a sufficient number of elements, this may be met. To complete this section we shall prove

THEOREM III. *If a quasigroup Q satisfies the constraint $x \cdot xy = x^2y$ when $x \neq y$, then Q is mono-associative.*

Proof. We must show that $q \cdot q^2 = q^2 \cdot q$, all $q \in Q$. Since Q is a quasigroup, $\exists x$ so that

$$a \cdot a^2 = a^2x \text{ .}$$

If $x \neq a$, from the condition of the theorem

$$a \cdot ax = a^2x \text{ .}$$

Then $a^2 = ax$ since Q is a quasigroup and $a \neq x$, a contradiction. So it must be that $a = x$.

COROLLARY. *A di-associative quasigroup is mono-associative.*

3. Associativity conditions for groupoids.

EXAMPLE I. The groupoid whose multiplication table is displayed is trivially tri-associative since any triple of distinct elements must contain c and so the product must be c . However, it is not di-associative since

\cdot	a	b	c
a	b	b	c
b	a	b	c
c	c	c	c

$$ab \cdot a = ba = a \text{ while } a \cdot ba = a^2 = b \text{ ;}$$

nor is it mono-associative since

$$a^2 \cdot a = ba = a \text{ while } a \cdot a^2 = ab = b \text{ .}$$

EXAMPLE II. The groupoid whose multiplication table is displayed is di-associative as an examination of all possible triple products containing two distinct elements will reveal but it is not mono-associative since

$$aa^2 = ab = y \text{ while } a^2a = ba = x .$$

·	a	b	x	y
a	b	y	a	a
b	x	a	b	b
x	a	b	x	x
y	a	b	x	x

These examples illustrate that for the groupoid the “stronger” associativity assumption does not imply the weaker, while examples of power-associative and Moufang loops illustrate that, even for quasigroups the “weaker” do not imply the “stronger”.

REFERENCES

1. R. H. Bruck, *A survey of binary systems*, Springer-Verlag. Berlin, 1958.
2. D. A. Norton, *Hamiltonian loops*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **3** (1952), 56-65.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
 DAVIS, CALIFORNIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DAVID GILBARG

Stanford University
Stanford, California

F. H. BROWNELL

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY

E. HEWITT

A. HORN

L. NACHBIN

M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN

M. M. SCHIFFER

E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS

F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Maynard G. Arsove, <i>The Paley-Wiener theorem in metric linear spaces</i>	365
Robert (Yisrael) John Aumann, <i>Acceptable points in games of perfect information</i>	381
A. V. Balakrishnan, <i>Fractional powers of closed operators and the semigroups generated by them</i>	419
Dallas O. Banks, <i>Bounds for the eigenvalues of some vibrating systems</i>	439
Billy Joe Boyer, <i>On the summability of derived Fourier series</i>	475
Robert Breusch, <i>An elementary proof of the prime number theorem with remainder term</i>	487
Edward David Callender, Jr., <i>Hölder continuity of n-dimensional quasi-conformal mappings</i>	499
L. Carlitz, <i>Note on Alder's polynomials</i>	517
P. H. Doyle, III, <i>Unions of cell pairs in E^3</i>	521
James Eells, Jr., <i>A class of smooth bundles over a manifold</i>	525
Shaul Foguel, <i>Computations of the multiplicity function</i>	539
James G. Glimm and Richard Vincent Kadison, <i>Unitary operators in C^*-algebras</i>	547
Hugh Gordon, <i>Measure defined by abstract L_p spaces</i>	557
Robert Clarke James, <i>Separable conjugate spaces</i>	563
William Elliott Jenner, <i>On non-associative algebras associated with bilinear forms</i>	573
Harold H. Johnson, <i>Terminating prolongation procedures</i>	577
John W. Milnor and Edwin Spanier, <i>Two remarks on fiber homotopy type</i>	585
Donald Alan Norton, <i>A note on associativity</i>	591
Ronald John Nunke, <i>On the extensions of a torsion module</i>	597
Joseph J. Rotman, <i>Mixed modules over valuations rings</i>	607
A. Sade, <i>Théorie des systèmes demosiens de groupoi des</i>	625
Wolfgang M. Schmidt, <i>On normal numbers</i>	661
Berthold Schweizer, Abe Sklar and Edward Oakley Thorp, <i>The metrization of statistical metric spaces</i>	673
John P. Shanahan, <i>On uniqueness questions for hyperbolic differential equations</i>	677
A. H. Stone, <i>Sequences of coverings</i>	689
Edward Oakley Thorp, <i>Projections onto the subspace of compact operators</i>	693
L. Bruce Treybig, <i>Concerning certain locally peripherally separable spaces</i>	697
Milo Wesley Weaver, <i>On the commutativity of a correspondence and a permutation</i>	705
David Van Vranken Wend, <i>On the zeros of solutions of some linear complex differential equations</i>	713
Fred Boyer Wright, Jr., <i>Polarity and duality</i>	723