

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SEQUENCES OF COVERINGS

A. H. STONE

SEQUENCES OF COVERINGS

A. H. STONE

1. Introduction. The metrisable spaces S for which S' (the set of limit points of S) is compact, can be characterized as those uniformisable spaces for which the finest uniformity (compatible with the topology) is metrisable (see [5], [1], where further characterizations are given). B. T. Levshenko has shown [4] that they also coincide with the regular spaces in which every point-finite covering¹ can be refined by one of a fixed sequence of point-finite coverings, and that "point-finite" can be replaced throughout by "star-finite" or "locally finite". We shall extend these results (Theorem 2) and obtain an analogue for uniform spaces (Theorem 3). The proofs depend on a criterion for metrisability (Theorem 1) which may be of independent interest since, though not really new in content, it is particularly simple in form.

NOTATION. If \mathcal{U} is a covering of a space S , and $A \subset S$, the star $St(A, \mathcal{U})$ of A in \mathcal{U} is $\bigcup \{U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}, A \cap U \neq \phi\}$. When A is a 1-point set (x) , we abbreviate $St((x), \mathcal{U})$ to $St(x, \mathcal{U})$. The covering by the sets $St(U, \mathcal{U})$, $U \in \mathcal{U}$, is denoted by $St(\mathcal{U})$. A covering \mathcal{U} will be called "almost discrete" if only finitely many pairs U, V of sets of \mathcal{U} intersect; such a covering is clearly star-finite (in fact star-bounded) and so locally finite.

2. Metrisation criterion.

THEOREM 1. *A necessary and sufficient condition that a T_0 space S be metrisable is that S have a sequence of coverings \mathcal{U}_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that, for each $x \in S$, the stars $St(G, \mathcal{U}_n)$ of the open sets $G \ni x$ form a basis for the neighborhoods of x .*

The condition is trivially necessary. To prove it sufficient, we observe first that S is developable—i.e., the stars $St(x, \mathcal{U}_n)$ form a basis for the neighborhoods of each $x \in S$. It follows that S is T_1 ; for if x, y are distinct points of S , one of them, say x , has a neighborhood $St(x, \mathcal{U}_n)$ not containing y , and then $St(y, \mathcal{U}_n)$ does not contain x . We next show that S is collectionwise normal (see [2]). We may assume that \mathcal{U}_{n+1} refines \mathcal{U}_n (by replacing each \mathcal{U}_n by the "intersection" of the coverings $\mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n$). Let A_λ ($\lambda \in A$) be a discrete collection of closed subsets of S , and for each n and λ put

$$H_{n\lambda} = \bigcup \{U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_n, St(U, \mathcal{U}_n) \text{ meets } A_\lambda \text{ but not } A_\mu \text{ if } \mu \neq \lambda\},$$

Received June 8, 1959.

¹ Throughout this paper, "covering" means "open covering."

Let $P_{n\lambda} = \bigcup \{H_{m\mu} \mid m \leq n, \mu \neq \lambda\}$, $K_{n\lambda} = H_{n\lambda} - \bar{P}_{n\lambda}$, $H_\lambda = \bigcup \{H_{n\lambda} \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$, $K_\lambda = \bigcup \{K_{n\lambda} \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$; these sets are all open. It is easy to verify that $K_\lambda \cap K_\mu = \phi$ if $\lambda \neq \mu$, that $A_\lambda \subset H_\lambda$, and that $A_\lambda \cap \bar{P}_{n\lambda} = \phi$; hence $A_\lambda \subset K_\lambda$ where the sets K_λ are disjoint and open, as required.

As Bing has proved [2, Th. 10] that every developable collectionwise normal T_1 space is metrisable, the theorem follows. Alternatively Theorem 1 could be deduced from a general theorem of Nagata [6], or from a theorem of F. B. Jones [3].

3. THEOREM 2. *The following statements about a regular T_1 space S are equivalent:*

- (1) S is metrisable and S' is compact,
- (2) S has a sequence of coverings \mathcal{G}_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) such that each finite covering of S is refined by some \mathcal{G}_n ,
- (3) S has a sequence of almost discrete coverings \mathcal{G}_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) such that each covering of S is refined by some \mathcal{G}_n .

The implication (3) \rightarrow (2) is trivial. To prove (2) \rightarrow (1), we first show that, assuming (2), S is metrisable. Given $x \in U$ where U is open in S , there is an open set V such that $x \in V$ and $\bar{V} \subset U$. The finite covering $\mathcal{F} = \{V, U - (x), S - \bar{V}\}$ of S has a refinement \mathcal{G}_n , and $x \in$ some $G^0 \in \mathcal{G}_n$; then $G^0 \subset V$, the only set of \mathcal{F} which contains x . If $G^1 \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and meets G^0 , it follows that $G^1 \subset V \cup (U - (x)) = U$. Thus $St(G^0, \mathcal{G}_n) \subset U$, so Theorem 1 applies and S is metrisable. Let ρ be a metric for S ; we construct another, σ , for which each \mathcal{G}_n is uniform. We do this by successively constructing coverings $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots$, such that $St(\mathcal{U}_{n+1})$ refines \mathcal{U}_n , \mathcal{U}_n refines \mathcal{G}_n , and \mathcal{U}_n consists of sets of ρ -diameters $< 1/n$. By [7, p. 51] there is a corresponding pseudo-metric σ for which each \mathcal{U}_n , and so each \mathcal{G}_n , is uniform; and as $\sigma(x, y) = 0$ implies $\rho(x, y) = 0$ here, σ is a metric. Condition (2) shows that every finite covering of S is uniform in the metric σ ; it follows ([5]; see also [1, Th. 1, (4) \rightarrow (3)]) that S' is compact (and every covering of S is uniform).

Finally, (1) \rightarrow (3) by the argument in [4], which we sketch for completeness. For each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, cover S' by a finite system of open sets G_{ni} ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k_n$) of diameters $< 1/n$, all meeting S' , and adjoin the 1-point sets (x) for each $x \in S - \bigcup \{G_{ni} \mid i = 1, \dots, k_n\}$ to produce an almost discrete covering \mathcal{G}_n of S . It is easy to see that every covering \mathcal{U} of S is refined by \mathcal{G}_n when n is large enough.

REMARK. To require that S be separable, in (1), would be equivalent to requiring that the coverings \mathcal{G}_n be countable, in (2) and (3).

THEOREM 3. *The following statements about a completely regular T_1 space S are equivalent:*

- (1) S is metrisable,

(2) S has a uniformity in which every finite uniform covering is refined by some member of a fixed sequence of (not necessarily uniform) coverings \mathcal{G}_n of S ,

(3) S has a uniformity in which every uniform covering is refined by some member of a fixed sequence of locally finite uniform coverings \mathcal{G}_n of S .

To prove (1) \rightarrow (3), we use the fact that S is paracompact to take $\mathcal{G}_n = \mathcal{U}_n$ a locally finite refinement of the covering of S by "spheres" of radius $1/n$. As (3) \rightarrow (2) trivially, it remains to deduce (1) from (2). Given a neighborhood N of $x \in S$, there exists a uniform covering \mathcal{U} such that $St(x, \mathcal{U}) \subset N$, and there exist uniform coverings \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} such that $St(\mathcal{V})$ refines \mathcal{U} and $St(\mathcal{W})$ refines \mathcal{V} . Let $x \in W_0 \in \mathcal{W}$ and $St(W_0, \mathcal{W}) \subset V \in \mathcal{V}$. Write $X = St(W_0, \mathcal{W})$, $Y = \bigcup \{W \mid W \in \mathcal{W}, x \notin W, W \text{ meets } V\}$, $Z = \bigcup \{W \mid W \in \mathcal{W}, W \cap V = \phi\}$. Then $\mathcal{F} = \{X, Y, Z\}$, being refined by \mathcal{W} , is a uniform covering of S . Some \mathcal{G}_n refines \mathcal{F} ; say $x \in G^0 \in \mathcal{G}_n$. Because $X \cap Z = \phi$, it follows by an argument similar to one used in the proof of Theorem 2 that $St(G^0, \mathcal{G}_n) \subset X \cup Y \subset St(V, \mathcal{W}) \subset St(V, \mathcal{V}) \subset St(x, \mathcal{U}) \subset N$; hence S is metrisable, by Theorem 1.

REMARK. The uniformities in (2) and (3) of Theorem 3 will be different in general; that in (3) will be metrisable, while that in (2) need not be. By Theorem 2, not every uniformity on S can arise in (2) or (3) (unless S' is compact), but I have not found any satisfactory description of those which do.

REFERENCES

1. M. Atsugi, *Uniform continuity of continuous functions of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 11–16.
2. R. H. Bing, *Metrization of topological spaces*, Canadian J. Math. **3** (1951), 175–186.
3. F. Burton Jones, *R. L. Moore's Axiom 1' and metrisation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 487.
4. B. T. Levshenko, *On the concept of compactness and point-finite coverings*, Mat. Sbornik. **42**(84) (1957), 479–484.
5. J. Nagata, *On the uniform topology of bicompatifications*, J. Inst. Pol. Osaka City Univ **1** (1950), 28–38.
6. J. Nagata, *A contribution to the theory of metrisation*, *ibid.* **8** (1957), 185–192.
7. J. W. Tukey, *Convergence and uniformity in topology*, Princeton 1940.

UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER,
MANCHESTER, ENGLAND

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DAVID GILBARG
Stanford University
Stanford, California

F. H. BROWNELL
University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN
University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE
University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH
T. M. CHERRY
D. DERRY

E. HEWITT
A. HORN
L. NACHBIN

M. OHTSUKA
H. L. ROYDEN
M. M. SCHIFFER

E. SPANIER
E. G. STRAUS
F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 10, No. 2

October, 1960

Maynard G. Arsove, <i>The Paley-Wiener theorem in metric linear spaces</i>	365
Robert (Yisrael) John Aumann, <i>Acceptable points in games of perfect information</i>	381
A. V. Balakrishnan, <i>Fractional powers of closed operators and the semigroups generated by them</i>	419
Dallas O. Banks, <i>Bounds for the eigenvalues of some vibrating systems</i>	439
Billy Joe Boyer, <i>On the summability of derived Fourier series</i>	475
Robert Breusch, <i>An elementary proof of the prime number theorem with remainder term</i>	487
Edward David Callender, Jr., <i>Hölder continuity of n-dimensional quasi-conformal mappings</i>	499
L. Carlitz, <i>Note on Alder's polynomials</i>	517
P. H. Doyle, III, <i>Unions of cell pairs in E^3</i>	521
James Eells, Jr., <i>A class of smooth bundles over a manifold</i>	525
Shaul Foguel, <i>Computations of the multiplicity function</i>	539
James G. Glimm and Richard Vincent Kadison, <i>Unitary operators in C^*-algebras</i>	547
Hugh Gordon, <i>Measure defined by abstract L_p spaces</i>	557
Robert Clarke James, <i>Separable conjugate spaces</i>	563
William Elliott Jenner, <i>On non-associative algebras associated with bilinear forms</i>	573
Harold H. Johnson, <i>Terminating prolongation procedures</i>	577
John W. Milnor and Edwin Spanier, <i>Two remarks on fiber homotopy type</i>	585
Donald Alan Norton, <i>A note on associativity</i>	591
Ronald John Nunke, <i>On the extensions of a torsion module</i>	597
Joseph J. Rotman, <i>Mixed modules over valuations rings</i>	607
A. Sade, <i>Théorie des systèmes démosiens de groupoïdes</i>	625
Wolfgang M. Schmidt, <i>On normal numbers</i>	661
Berthold Schweizer, Abe Sklar and Edward Oakley Thorp, <i>The metrization of statistical metric spaces</i>	673
John P. Shanahan, <i>On uniqueness questions for hyperbolic differential equations</i>	677
A. H. Stone, <i>Sequences of coverings</i>	689
Edward Oakley Thorp, <i>Projections onto the subspace of compact operators</i>	693
L. Bruce Treybig, <i>Concerning certain locally peripherally separable spaces</i>	697
Milo Wesley Weaver, <i>On the commutativity of a correspondence and a permutation</i>	705
David Van Vranken Wend, <i>On the zeros of solutions of some linear complex differential equations</i>	713
Fred Boyer Wright, Jr., <i>Polarity and duality</i>	723