

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**AN ANALYTIC PROBLEM WHOSE SOLUTION FOLLOWS  
FROM A SIMPLE ALGEBRAIC IDENTITY**

GLEN EARL BAXTER

# AN ANALYTIC PROBLEM WHOSE SOLUTION FOLLOWS FROM A SIMPLE ALGEBRAIC IDENTITY

GLEN BAXTER

**1. Introduction.** It is convenient to describe the point of view of this paper in terms of a very simple example. The unique solution of

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{dy}{dx} = \lambda \varphi(x)y, \quad y(0) = 1,$$

where  $\varphi(x)$  is a continuous function and  $\lambda$  is a parameter, is given by

$$(1.2) \quad y = \exp \left\{ \lambda \int_0^x \varphi(\xi) d\xi \right\}.$$

For any continuous function  $\varphi(x)$  define

$$(1.3) \quad \varphi^+ = \varphi^+(x) = \int_0^x \varphi(\xi) d\xi.$$

After integrating both sides of the equation in (1.1) and using the notation of (1.3), we find that

$$(1.4) \quad y = 1 + \lambda(\varphi y)^+$$

has the solution

$$(1.5) \quad y = \exp(\lambda \varphi^+) = 1 + \lambda \varphi^+ + \lambda^2 \varphi^{+2}/2! + \lambda^3 \varphi^{+3}/3! + \dots$$

By the method of successive substitutions it is also possible to give a unique solution to (1.4) in the form

$$(1.6) \quad y = 1 + \lambda \varphi^+ + \lambda^2 (\varphi \varphi^+)^+ + \lambda^3 (\varphi (\varphi \varphi^+)^+)^+ + \dots$$

Equating coefficients in (1.5) and (1.6) we arrive at the well-known identities in  $\varphi$

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{array}{ll} (\varphi \varphi^+)^+ & = \varphi^{+2}/2! \\ (\varphi (\varphi \varphi^+)^+)^+ & = \varphi^{+3}/3! \\ & \dots\dots\dots \end{array}$$

We now wish to focus on the following fact: *All of the identities in (1.7) are a consequence of the first identity and the linear property*

---

Received June 1, 1959. This research was supported by the United States Air Force through the Air Force Office of Scientific Research of the Air Research and Development Command, under contract No. AF 18 (603)-30. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States government.

of the operator  $+$ . For example, putting  $\varphi = \psi + \psi\psi^+$  and using only the first identity and the linearity of  $+$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{+3}/2 &= \varphi^{+2}/2 - \psi^{+2}/2 - (\psi\psi^+)^{+2}/2 \\ &= (\varphi\varphi^+)^+ - (\psi\psi^+)^+ - (\psi\psi^+(\psi\psi^+)^+)^+ \\ &= (\psi(\psi\psi^+)^+)^+ + (\psi\psi^{+2}) = 3(\psi(\psi\psi^+)^+)^+.\end{aligned}$$

The fact in general is a special case of our Lemma 1. We observe that the identities in (1.7) are necessary and sufficient for the simplification of (1.6) to (1.5).

In this paper we are interested in certain sets of operator identities like (1.7) which allow a striking simplification of the form of the solution of a linear equation found by the method of successive substitution. In every case the whole set of identities follows from the first identity and the linear property of the operator. Our main theorems are as follows:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative Banach algebra of elements  $\varphi$  on which a bounded, linear operator  $+$  of norm  $N$  is defined taking  $A$  into  $A$ . Furthermore, let*

$$(1.8) \quad 2(\varphi\varphi^+)^+ = (\theta\varphi^2)^+ + \varphi^{+2}$$

*be satisfied for every  $\varphi$  in  $A$ , where  $\theta$  is a fixed element of  $A$ . Then the equation*

$$(1.9) \quad \psi = 1 + \lambda(\varphi\psi)^+$$

*has a unique solution in  $A$  for  $|\lambda| \cdot \|\varphi\| \max(\|\theta\|, N) < 1$  given by*

$$(1.10) \quad \psi = \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k} (\theta^{k-1}\varphi^k)^+ \right\}.$$

Formula (1.10) arises out of a formal manipulation of the coefficients in a certain power series. For this reason we state an alternative form of Theorem 1 which emphasizes the algebraic character of our result. We use notation more natural to algebra.

**THEOREM 1<sup>\*1</sup>.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative algebra over a field of characteristic zero, let  $T$  be a group endomorphism of  $A$  into  $A$ , and for every  $a$  in  $A$  let*

$$(1.8') \quad 2(a(aT))T = (a^2b)T + (aT)^2,$$

*where  $b$  is a fixed element in  $A$ . Define  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $a_n = (aa_{n-1})T$ . Then*

---

<sup>1</sup> The author is indebted to the referee for suggesting this elegant reformulation of Theorem 1.

$$(1.10') \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k} (b^{k-1} a^k) T \right\} \text{ in } A\langle x \rangle.$$

One of the most interesting special cases of (1.10) in the literature was given by Frank Spitzer [4]. Other special cases of (1.10) of interest in probability theory were given by E. Sparre Andersen [1, 2]. Our proof of Theorem 1 is most similar to the proof of the case of (1.10) given by Spitzer. A combinatorial lemma is proved and then applied to prove Theorem 1. The combinatorial lemma behind (1.10) is actually a consequence of a simple "algebraic" condition similar to (1.8).

Before the combinatorial lemma can be stated more notation must be introduced. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring of elements  $\varphi$  on which a linear mapping  $+$  taking  $R$  into  $R$  is defined. Furthermore, for any two elements  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  in  $R$  let

$$(1.11) \quad (\varphi_1 \varphi_2^+)^+ + (\varphi_2 \varphi_1^+)^+ = (\theta \varphi_1 \varphi_2)^+ + \varphi_1^+ \varphi_2^+,$$

where  $\theta$  is some fixed element in  $R$ . For any fixed set of elements  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n$  in  $R$  and any permutation  $P = (i_1 i_2 \dots i_{m_1})(i_{m_1+1} \dots i_{m_2}) \dots (i_{m_k+1} \dots i_n)$  of the integers  $1, 2, \dots, n$  written as a product of cycles including 1-cycles with no integer in more than one cycle, we define

$$(1.12) \quad \psi_P = (\theta^{m_1-1} \psi_{i_1} \psi_{i_2} \dots \psi_{i_{m_1}})^+ (\theta^{m_2-m_1-1} \psi_{i_{m_1+1}} \dots \psi_{i_{m_2}})^+ \dots (\theta^{n-m_k-1} \psi_{i_{m_k+1}} \dots \psi_{i_n})^+$$

Lemma 1. *Let  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n$  be fixed elements in  $R$ . Then,*

$$(1.13) \quad \sum_{(\sigma)} (\psi_{i_1} (\psi_{i_2} \dots (\psi_{i_{n-1}} \psi_n^+)^+ \dots)^+)^+ = \sum_{(P)} \psi_P,$$

where the summation on the left in (1.13) extends over all permutations  $\sigma: i_1 i_2 \dots i_n$  of the integers  $1, 2, \dots, n$  and where the summation on the right in (1.13) extends over all permutations  $P$ .

We note that (1.11) is the special case of (1.13) for  $n = 2$ . It is a simple exercise to show that if  $R$  is a ring of the type described above with a linear mapping  $+$  satisfying (1.11), then  $\varphi^- = \theta \varphi - \varphi^+$  defines another linear mapping taking  $R$  into  $R$  for which (1.11) is true.

In the next theorem we consider a slightly more general equation than (1.9). It is interesting to note that the results of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 do not in general overlap.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative Banach algebra with an operator  $+$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1. Define*

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} p(\lambda) &= \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k} (\theta^{k-1} \varphi^k)^+ \right\}, \\ q(\lambda) &= \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k} (\theta^{k-1} \varphi^k)^- \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for  $|\lambda| \cdot \|\varphi\| \max \|\theta\|, N) < 1$

- (a) the equation  $\psi = \Phi^+ + \lambda(\varphi\psi)^+$ , where  $\varphi, \Phi$  are in  $A$ , has the unique solution  $\psi = p(\Phi q)^+$ ,
- (b) the equation  $\psi = \Phi + \lambda(\varphi\psi)^+$ , where  $\varphi, \Phi$  are in  $A$ , has the unique solution  $\psi = \Phi + \lambda p(\varphi\Phi q)^+$ ,

and

- (c) The equation  $\psi = 1 + \lambda u(\varphi\psi)^+ + \lambda(\varphi\psi)^-$ , where  $|u| < 1$  and where  $\varphi^- = \theta\varphi - \varphi^+$ , has unique solution  $\psi = p(\lambda u)q(\lambda)$ .

In the next section proofs of the theorems and the lemma are given. In § 3 we give three examples to illustrate the theorems.

**2. Combinatorial lemmas and proofs.** In this section  $A$  and  $R$  will denote, respectively, a commutative Banach algebra and a commutative ring of elements  $\varphi$  on which a linear mapping  $+$  (which is a bounded operator in the case of the Banach algebra  $A$ ) taking  $A$  into  $A$  or  $R$  into  $R$  is defined satisfying, respectively, (1.8) or (1.11). As mentioned in the introduction  $\varphi^- = \theta\varphi - \varphi^+$  defines a linear mapping— which also satisfies (1.8) or (1.11) as the case may be. In terms of the— mapping we can give a slight but very convenient rewriting of (1.11). For any  $\varphi, \psi$  in  $R$

$$(2.1) \quad (\varphi\psi^+)^+ = \varphi^+\psi^+ + (\psi\varphi^-)^+$$

LEMMA 2. Let  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n$  be in  $R$  and define

$$\begin{aligned} p_m &= (\psi_1(\psi_2(\psi_3 \cdots (\psi_{m-1}\psi_m^+)^+ \cdots)^+)^+)^+, & p_0 &= 1, \\ q_{n,m} &= (\psi_n(\psi_{n-1} \cdots (\psi_{m+2}\psi_{m+1}^-) \cdots)^-)^-, & q_{n,n} &= 1, \\ r_{n,m} &= (\psi_n(\psi_{n-1} \cdots (\psi_{m+2}\psi_{m+1}^-) \cdots)^-)^+, & r_{n,n} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$(2.2) \quad p_n = \sum_{m=0}^n p_m r_{n,m} = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} p_m r_{n,m},$$

$$(2.3) \quad \theta^n \prod_{m=1}^n \psi_m = \sum_{m=0}^n p_m q_{n,m}.$$

*Proof.* First, we prove (2.2) by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$   $p_n = \psi_1^+$ ,  $r_{n,n} = 0$ ,  $r_{n,0} = \psi_1^+$ , and  $p_0 = 1$ . Relation (2.2) is clearly satisfied in this case. Assume that (2.2) has been demonstrated for all sets of elements  $\tilde{\psi}_1, \tilde{\psi}_2, \dots, \tilde{\psi}_n$  with  $n < N$ . Consider the set of elements  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_N$

in  $R$ . We apply (2.2) to the set  $\tilde{\psi}_1 = \psi_1, \tilde{\psi}_2 = \psi_2, \dots, \tilde{\psi}_{N-2} = \psi_{N-2}$ , and  $\tilde{\psi}_{N-1} = \psi_{N-1}\psi_N^+$  and find that

$$(2.4) \quad p_N = \tilde{p}_{N-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{N-2} \tilde{p}_m \tilde{r}_{N-1,m}$$

For all  $m < N-1$  relation (2.1) implies

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{r}_{N-1,m} &= (\psi_{N-1}\psi_N^+(\psi_{N-2} \cdots (\psi_{m+2}\psi_{m+1}^-) \cdots )^-)^+ \\ &= \psi_N^+(\psi_{N-1}(\psi_{N-2} \cdots (\psi_{m+2}\psi_{m+1}^-) \cdots )^-)^+ \\ &\quad + (\psi_N(\psi_{N-1}(\psi_{N-2} \cdots (\psi_{m+2}\psi_{m+1}^-) \cdots )^-)^-)^+ \\ &= \psi_N^+ r_{N-1,m} + r_{N,m} . \end{aligned}$$

Putting (2.4) and (2.5) together

$$(2.6) \quad p_N = \sum_{m=0}^{N-2} p_m \psi_N^+ r_{N-1,m} + \sum_{m=0}^{N-2} p_m r_{N,m} .$$

From relation (2.2) applied to  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_{N-1}$ , one finds

$$p_{N-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{N-2} p_m r_{N-1,m} .$$

Thus, (2.6) becomes

$$p_N = \psi_N^+ p_{N-1} + \sum_{m=0}^{N-2} p_m r_{N,m} = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} p_m r_{N,m} .$$

The proof of (2.2) follows by induction.

To prove (2.3) we first note that  $q_{n,m} = \theta \psi_n q_{n-1,m} - r_{n,m}$  for all  $n > m \geq 0$ . Thus

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^n p_m q_{n,m} &= p_n + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} p_m q_{n,m} \\ &= p_n + \theta \psi_n \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} p_m q_{n-1,m} - \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} p_m r_{n,m} \\ &= \theta \psi_n \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} p_m q_{n-1,m} \end{aligned}$$

Relation (2.3) follows from (2.7) by the obvious induction. This proves Lemma 2.

*Proof of Lemma 1.* We refer the reader to the notation introduced prior to the statement of Lemma 1. The proof is by induction on  $n$ . For the case  $n = 1$ , both sides of (1.13) equal  $\psi_1^+$ . Assume that (1.13) has been demonstrated for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$ , and let  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_N$  be fixed elements in  $R$ . Let  $P'$  be a permutation in which the cycle containing the integer  $N$  is  $(Ni_1 i_2 \cdots i_k), k \geq 0$ . We assume that in all permutations  $P$  the cycle containing  $N$  is written so that  $N$  appears

first. For the time being  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$  are *fixed* and  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N-1}$  is a *fixed* permutation of  $1, 2, \dots, N-1$ . There are many permutations  $P'$  containing the fixed cycle  $(Ni_1 i_2 \dots i_k)$ . In fact, there is one such permutation  $P'$  for every permutation (as a product of cycles or otherwise) of  $i_{k+1}, i_{k+2}, \dots, i_{N-1}$ . Applying the induction hypothesis we find

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{(P')} \psi_{P'} = \sum_{(\sigma')} (\psi_{j_{k+1}} (\psi_{j_{k+2}} \dots (\psi_{j_{N-2}} \psi_{j_{N-1}}^+ \dots)^+ \dots)^+ (\theta^k \psi_N \psi_{i_1} \dots \psi_{i_k})^+$$

where  $P'$  is any permutation of  $1, 2, \dots, N$  containing the fixed cycle  $(Ni_1 i_2 \dots i_k)$  and where  $\sigma': j_{k+1} j_{k+2} \dots j_{N-1}$  is any permutation of  $i_{k+1} i_{k+2} \dots i_{N-1}$ . We concentrate for a moment on the factor  $(\theta^k \psi_N \psi_{i_1} \dots \psi_{i_k})^+$ . Applying (2.3) to the elements  $\psi_{i_1}, \psi_{i_2}, \dots, \psi_{i_k}$ , we deduce that

$$(2.9) \quad (\theta^k \psi_N \psi_{i_1} \dots \psi_{i_k})^+ \\ = \sum_{m=0}^k \{ \psi_N (\psi_{i_1} (\psi_{i_2} \dots \psi_{i_m}^+)^+ \dots)^+ (\psi_{i_k} (\psi_{i_{k-1}} \dots \psi_{i_{m+1}}^-)^- \dots)^- \}^+$$

Now, any permutation of  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$  changes the cycle  $(Ni_1 \dots i_k)$ . Any change in  $k$  or any change in the set of integers  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$  also changes the cycle  $(Ni_1 i_2 \dots i_k)$ . Thus, the summation on the right in (1.13) is equal to the sum of all sums of the type on the left in (2.8) over all possible choices of  $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ , over all sets of  $k$  integers, and over all permutations of these integers. Combining (2.8) and (2.9) this implies that the right side of (1.13) is equal to the sum of all terms of the form

$$(2.10) \quad \{ \psi_N (\psi_{i_1} (\psi_{i_2} \dots \psi_{i_m}^+)^+ \dots)^+ (\psi_{i_k} (\psi_{i_{k-1}} \dots \psi_{i_{m+1}}^-)^- \dots)^- \}^+ \cdot \\ \cdot (\psi_{i_{k+1}} (\psi_{i_{k+2}} \dots \psi_{i_N}^+)^+ \dots)^+$$

over all permutations  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N-1}$  of  $1, 2, \dots, N-1$  and over all integers  $m$  and  $k$  satisfying  $0 \leq m \leq k \leq N-1$ .

We finish the proof by showing that the left side of (1.13) is equal to the same sum of terms in (2.10). For any permutation  $i_1 i_2 \dots i_N$  of  $1, 2, \dots, N$ , there exists an integer  $m$  with  $1 \leq m+1 \leq N$  such that  $i_{N-m} = N$ . To the term

$$(\psi_{i_1} (\psi_{i_2} \dots (\psi_{i_{N-m-1}} (\psi_N (\psi_{i_{N-m+1}} \dots \psi_{i_N}^+)^+ \dots)^+ \dots)^+ \dots)^+)$$

on the left side of (1.13) we apply (2.2) where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_1 &= \psi_{i_1} \\ &\vdots \\ \tilde{\psi}_{N-m-1} &= \psi_{i_{N-m-1}} \\ \tilde{\psi}_{N-m} &= (\psi_N (\psi_{i_{N-m+1}} (\psi_{i_{N-m+2}} \dots \psi_{i_N}^+)^+ \dots)^+)^+ \end{aligned}$$

This yields the equality

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_{i_1}(\psi_{i_2} \cdots \psi_{i_N}^+)^+ \cdots)^+ &= (\tilde{\psi}_1(\tilde{\psi}_2 \cdots \tilde{\psi}_{N-m}^+)^+ \cdots)^+ \\ &= \sum_{k=m}^N \{ \psi_N(\psi_{i_{N-m+1}}(\cdots \psi_{i_N}^+)^+ \cdots)^+ (\psi_{i_{N-m-1}} \cdots (\psi_{i_{N-k+1}} \psi_{i_{N-k}}^-) \cdots)^- \}^+ \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot (\psi_{i_1}(\psi_{i_2} \cdots (\psi_{i_{N-k-2}} \psi_{i_{N-k-1}}^+)^+ \cdots)^+)^+ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the sum on the left in (1.13) is equal to the sum of terms

$$(2.11) \quad \{ \psi_N(\psi_{i_{N-m+1}}(\cdots \psi_{i_N}^+)^+ \cdots)^+ (\psi_{i_{N-m-1}} \cdots (\psi_{i_{N-k+1}} \psi_{i_{N-k}}^-) \cdots)^- \}^+ \cdot \\ \cdot (\psi_{i_1} \cdots (\psi_{i_{N-k-2}} \psi_{i_{N-k-1}}^+)^+ \cdots)^+$$

over all permutations  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N-m-1}, i_{N-m+1}, \dots, i_N$  of the integers  $1, 2, \dots, N-1$ , and over all integers  $m$  and  $k$  which satisfy  $0 \leq m \leq k \leq N-1$ . It is easy to see that the terms of type (2.10) and those of type (2.11) are actually the same by means of the change of subscript

$$\begin{array}{ccc} i_{N-m+1} & \longrightarrow & j_1, \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ i_N & \longrightarrow & j_m, \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} i_{N-m-1} & \longrightarrow & j_k, \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ i_{N-k} & \longrightarrow & j_{m+1}, \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} i_1 & \longrightarrow & j_{k+1}, \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ i_{N-k-1} & \longrightarrow & j_{N-1}. \end{array}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Before proving Theorems 1, 1\*, and 2, we observe a fact. The elements of the Banach algebra (or algebra)  $A$  satisfy condition (1.11). To see this one simply puts  $\varphi = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2$  into (1.8).

*Proof of Theorem 1.* It is known that for  $|\lambda| \cdot \|\varphi\| N < 1$ ,

$$\psi = 1 + \lambda \varphi^+ + \lambda^2 (\varphi \varphi^+)^+ + \lambda^3 (\varphi (\varphi \varphi^+)^+)^+ + \cdots$$

is a unique solution of the equation

$$\psi = 1 + \lambda (\varphi \psi)^+.$$

By the remark preceding this proof we know that Lemma 1 applies. From Lemma 1 with  $\varphi = \psi_1 = \psi_2 = \cdots = \psi_n$ , we get the relation (see for example [1] or [4])

$$(2.12) \quad n! (\varphi (\varphi \cdots (\varphi \varphi^+)^+ \cdots)^+)^+ \\ = \sum_{1\alpha_1 + \cdots + n\alpha_n = n} n! \prod_{k=1}^n \left[ \frac{(\theta^{k-1} \varphi^k)^+}{k} \right]^{\alpha_k} \frac{1}{\alpha_k!}.$$

The summation in (2.12) extends over all sets of non-negative integers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  for which  $1\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \cdots + n\alpha_n = n$ . Now if  $|\lambda| \cdot \|\varphi\| \cdot \|\theta\| < 1$ , then

$$\exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k} (\theta^{k-1} \varphi^k)^+ \right\}$$



can be expanded in a power series in  $\lambda$ . Furthermore, the coefficient of  $\lambda^n$  is exactly the right side of (2.12) divided by  $n!$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi &= 1 + \lambda\varphi^+ + \lambda^2(\varphi\varphi^+)^+ + \lambda^3(\varphi(\varphi\varphi^+)^+)^+ + \dots \\ &= \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k} (\theta^{k-1}\varphi^k)^+ \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

and Theorem 1 is proved.

*Proof of Theorem 1\*.* Once again by Lemma 1 we have (in the new notation)

$$(2.13) \quad n! a_n = \sum_{1\alpha_1 + \dots + n\alpha_n = n} n! \prod_{k=1}^n \left[ \frac{(b^{k-1}a^k)T}{k} \right]^{\alpha_k} \frac{1}{\alpha^k!},$$

where the summation extends over all sets of non-negative integers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  for which  $1\alpha_1 + \dots + n\alpha_n = n$ . Relation (2.13) is equivalent to (1.10'), proving Theorem 1\*.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Consider first the equation

$$\psi = \Phi^+ + \lambda(\varphi\psi)^+,$$

where  $\varphi$  and  $\Phi$  are elements of  $A$ . For  $|\lambda| \cdot \|\varphi\| \cdot N < 1$  a unique solution of the above equation is

$$(2.14) \quad \psi = \Phi^+ + \lambda(\varphi\Phi^+)^+ + \lambda^2(\varphi(\varphi\Phi^+)^+)^+ + \lambda^3(\varphi(\varphi(\varphi\Phi^+)^+)^+)^+ + \dots$$

We denote by  $p_m$  and  $q_m$ , respectively, the coefficients of  $\lambda^m$  in  $p$  and  $q$  as defined in (1.14). By Theorem 1 we see that

$$\begin{aligned}p_m &= (\underbrace{\varphi(\varphi \dots (\varphi\varphi^+)^+ \dots)^+}_m) \\ q_m &= (\underbrace{\varphi(\varphi \dots (\varphi\varphi^-)^- \dots)^-}_m).\end{aligned}$$

We now apply (2.2), where  $\tilde{\psi}_1 = \dots = \tilde{\psi}_n = \varphi$  and  $\tilde{\psi}_{n+1} = \Phi$ . In the notation of Lemma 2, the coefficients of  $\lambda^n$  in (2.14) are

$$(2.15) \quad \tilde{p}_{n+1} = \sum_{m=0}^n \tilde{p}_m \tilde{r}_{n+1,m} = \sum_{m=0}^n p_m (\Phi q_{n-m})^+.$$

Thus,

$$(2.16) \quad \psi = p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n (\Phi q_n)^+ = p(\Phi q)^+.$$

Next, consider the equation

$$\psi = \Phi + \lambda(\varphi\psi)^+.$$

A unique solution for  $|\lambda| \cdot \|\varphi\| \cdot N < 1$  is given in this case by

$$(2.17) \quad \psi = \phi + \lambda(\phi\phi)^+ + \lambda^2(\phi(\phi\phi)^+)^+ + \lambda^3(\phi(\phi(\phi\phi)^+)^+)^+ + \dots$$

One sees easily that  $\psi - \phi$  in (2.17) is similar to (2.14) except that the  $\phi^+$  in (2.14) has been replaced by  $\lambda(\phi\phi)^+$  in (2.17). Thus, we have the indicated solution.

Finally, there is a unique solution to

$$\psi = 1 + \lambda u(\phi\psi)^+ + \lambda(\phi\psi)^-.$$

From Theorem 1 applied to both  $+$  and to  $-$ ,

$$(2.18) \quad p_u \equiv p(\lambda u) = 1 + \lambda u(\phi p_u)^+, q = 1 + \lambda(\phi q)^-.$$

From (1.11) it follows that for any two elements  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  of  $A$

$$(2.19) \quad (\psi_1\psi_2^-)^+ + (\psi_2\psi_1^+)^- = \psi_1^+ \cdot \psi_2^-.$$

Thus, by (2.19) and (2.18)

$$\begin{aligned} p_u q &= 1 + \lambda u(\phi p_u)^+ + \lambda(\phi q)^- + \lambda^2 u(\phi p_u)^+(\phi q)^- \\ &= 1 + \lambda u\{\phi p_u[1 + \lambda(\phi q)^-]\}^+ + \lambda\{\phi q[1 + \lambda u(\phi p_u)^+]\}^- \\ &= 1 + \lambda u(\phi p_u q)^+ + \lambda(\phi p_u q)^-. \end{aligned}$$

**3. Examples.** In this section we illustrate the use of the previous results by means of three simple examples.

**EXAMPLE 1. Symmetric functions.** Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots$  be a sequence of commuting symbols and let  $R$  be the commutative ring generated by the rationals and  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots$ . Finally let  $A$  be the commutative algebra of all sequences  $a_1 = (r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots)$ ,  $a_2 = (s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots)$  etc., where  $r_i, s_i$  are in  $R$ , and for which addition and multiplication are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} ra_1 &= (rr_1, rr_2, rr_3, \dots) \\ a_1 + a_2 &= (r_1 + s_1, r_2 + s_2, r_3 + s_3, \dots) \\ a_1 a_2 &= (r_1 s_1, r_2 s_2, r_3 s_3, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

If  $a_1 = (r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots)$  we define  $T$  by

$$a_1 T = (0, r_1, r_1 + r_2, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} r_k, \dots).$$

It is an easy exercise to show that for any  $a$  in  $A$ , condition (1.8') is satisfied where  $b = (-1, -1, -1, \dots)$ . Consider in particular the element

$$(3.1) \quad a = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_0^{(k)} &= 1 \\ \sigma_1^{(k)} &= x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \cdots + x_k \\ \sigma_2^{(k)} &= x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + \cdots + x_1 x_k + x_2 x_3 + \cdots + x_{k-1} x_k \\ &\vdots \\ \sigma_k^{(k)} &= x_1 x_2 x_3 \cdots x_k \\ \sigma_n^{(k)} &= 0 \quad (n \geq k+1) .\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show by an inductive argument that for the  $a$  in (3.1)

$$(3.2) \quad a_n = (a(a \cdots \underbrace{(a(aT))T \cdots T}_n)T = (\sigma_n^{(0)}, \sigma_n^{(1)}, \sigma_n^{(2)}, \dots, \sigma_n^{(n-1)}, \dots)$$

Using Theorem 1\*

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{x^k}{k} (a^k T) \right\} \varepsilon A \langle x \rangle .$$

But, if we set

$$s_n^{(k)} = \sum_{m=1}^n x_m^k ,$$

then for the element in (3.1)

$$(3.4) \quad a^k T = (0, s_1^{(k)}, s_2^{(k)}, \dots, s_{n-1}^{(k)}, \dots) .$$

Equating  $(n+1)$ th components on both sides of (3.3)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k \sigma_k^{(n)} = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{x^k}{k} s_n^{(k)} \right\} \varepsilon R \langle x \rangle .$$

*Example 2. Distribution of  $\max(0, {}_1S, \dots, S_n)$ .* Let  $A$  be the Banach algebra of functions

$$(3.5) \quad \varphi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} dG(x) \text{ with } \|\varphi\| = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |dG(x)| < \infty ,$$

with pointwise multiplication for product. Let

$$\varphi^+ \equiv \int_0^{\infty} e^{itx} dG(x) + G(0) - G(-\infty) .$$

Condition (1.8) is satisfied in this case with  $\theta = 1$ . Thus

$$(3.6) \quad \psi = 1 + \lambda(\varphi\psi)^+$$

has the unique solution

---

<sup>2</sup> The author is indebted to E. Sparre Andersen for this example. A similar general Banach algebra approach to this example can also be found in Wendel [6].

$$(3.7) \quad \psi = \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k} (\varphi^k)^+ \right\}, \quad (|\lambda| < 1/||\varphi||).$$

Let  $\{X_k\}$  be a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables with characteristic function

$$(3.8) \quad \varphi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} dF(x)$$

and let  $S_0 = 0, S_k = X_1 + \dots + X_k$ . Define  $M_n = \max(0, S_1, \dots, S_n)$  and let

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_n &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{itx} d_x P\{M_n < x\}, & (n \geq 0), \\ \psi &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_n \lambda^n, & (|\lambda| < 1). \end{aligned}$$

We now introduce  $M_{n,1} = \max(0, S_2 - S_1, S_3 - S_1, \dots, S_{n+1} - S_1)$ . Since the  $X_k$ 's are identically distributed,  $M_{n,1}$  also has the characteristic function  $\varphi_n$  given in (3.9). Moreover, we note that

$$(3.10) \quad M_{n+1} = \max(0, X_1 + M_{n,1}).$$

If  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is the characteristic function of any random variable  $\tilde{X}$ , then  $\tilde{\varphi}^+$  is the characteristic function of  $\max(0, \tilde{X})$ . In the notation of (3.8) and (3.9) and using (3.10),

$$(3.11) \quad \varphi_{n+1} = (\varphi \varphi_n)^+.$$

Thus, the  $\psi$  of (3.9) satisfies (3.6) with  $\varphi$  given by (3.8). This means

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \int_0^{\infty} e^{itx} d_x P\{M_n < x\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k} \left[ \int_0^{\infty} e^{itx} d_x P\{S_k < x\} + P\{S_k < 0\} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (3.12) is the original Spitzer's identity in [4]. A connection between this example and the Wiener-Hopf equation can be found in [5].

*Example 3. Number of positive partial sums.* Let  $A$  be as defined in example 2. Set

$$(3.13) \quad \varphi^+ = \int_{0^+}^{\infty} e^{itx} dG(x).$$

Condition (1.8) is satisfied where  $\theta = 1$ , and the norm of  $+$  is  $N = 1$ .

Let  $\{X_k\}$  be a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables with characteristic function

$$(3.14) \quad \varphi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} dF(x)$$

and let  $S_0 = 0, S_k = X_1 + \cdots + X_k$ . Let  $N_n$  denote the number of positive partial sums among  $S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n$ , and set

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_{nm} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} d_x P\{N_n = m, S_n < x\}, & (m \leq n), \\ \psi &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \psi_{nm} u^m \lambda^n, & (|u|, |\lambda| < 1). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for the  $\varphi$  in (3.14)

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} (\varphi \psi_{nm})^+ &= \int_{0^+}^{\infty} e^{itx} d_x P\{N_{n+1} = m+1, S_{n+1} < x\} = \psi_{n+1, m+1}^+, \\ (\varphi \psi_{nm})^- &= \int_{-\infty}^{0^+} e^{itx} d_x P\{N_{n+1} = m, S_{n+1} < x\} = \psi_{n+1, m}^-. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (3.16)

$$\psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \psi_{nm}^+ u^m \lambda^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \psi_{nm}^- u^m \lambda^n = 1 + u\lambda(\varphi\psi)^+ + \lambda(\varphi\psi)^-.$$

By Theorem 2 part (c), we have the generating function  $\psi$  for the number of positive partial sums  $S_k = X_1 + \cdots + X_k$

$$\psi = \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{0^+} e^{itx} dP\{S_k < x\} + u^k \int_{0^+}^{\infty} e^{itx} dP\{S_k < x\} \right] \right\}.$$

This example was considered previously by the author in [3] and by Andersen in [1].

## REFERENCES

1. E. Sparre Andersen, *On the fluctuations of sums of random variables*, Math. Scand. **1**, (1953), 263-285.
2. ———, *On the fluctuations of sums of random variables II*, Math. Scand. **2**, (1954), 195-223.
3. Glen Baxter, *An operator identity*, Pacific J. Math. **8**, No. 4, (1958), 649-663.
4. Frank Spitzer, *A combinatorial lemma and its application to probability theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **82**, No. 2, (1956), 323-339.
5. ———, *The Wiener-Hopf equation whose kernel is a probability density*, Duke Math. Jour. **24**, No 3, (1957), 327-344.
6. J. G. Wendel, *Spitzer's formula: a short proof*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9**, No. 6, (1958), 905-908.

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DAVID GILBARG

Stanford University  
Stanford, California

F. H. BROWNELL

University of Washington  
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California  
Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE

University of California  
Los Angeles 24, California

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY

E. HEWITT

A. HORN

L. NACHBIN

M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN

M. M. SCHIFFER

E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS

F. WOLF

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE COLLEGE

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

\* \* \*

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION

HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY

SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES

NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 10, No. 3

November, 1960

Glen Earl Baxter, <i>An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity</i> .....	731
Leonard D. Berkovitz and Melvin Dresher, <i>A multimove infinite game with linear payoff</i> .....	743
Earl Robert Berkson, <i>Sequel to a paper of A. E. Taylor</i> .....	767
Gerald Berman and Robert Jerome Silverman, <i>Embedding of algebraic systems</i> .....	777
Peter Crawley, <i>Lattices whose congruences form a boolean algebra</i> .....	787
Robert E. Edwards, <i>Integral bases in inductive limit spaces</i> .....	797
Daniel T. Finkbeiner, II, <i>Irreducible congruence relations on lattices</i> .....	813
William James Firey, <i>Isoperimetric ratios of Reuleaux polygons</i> .....	823
Delbert Ray Fulkerson, <i>Zero-one matrices with zero trace</i> .....	831
Leon W. Green, <i>A sphere characterization related to Blaschke's conjecture</i> .....	837
Israel (Yitzchak) Nathan Herstein and Erwin Kleinfeld, <i>Lie mappings in characteristic 2</i> .....	843
Charles Ray Hobby, <i>A characteristic subgroup of a p-group</i> .....	853
R. K. Juberg, <i>On the Dirichlet problem for certain higher order parabolic equations</i> .....	859
Melvin Katz, <i>Infinitely repeatable games</i> .....	879
Emma Lehmer, <i>On Jacobi functions</i> .....	887
D. H. Lehmer, <i>Power character matrices</i> .....	895
Henry B. Mann, <i>A refinement of the fundamental theorem on the density of the sum of two sets of integers</i> .....	909
Marvin David Marcus and Roy Westwick, <i>Linear maps on skew symmetric matrices: the invariance of elementary symmetric functions</i> .....	917
Richard Dean Mayer and Richard Scott Pierce, <i>Boolean algebras with ordered bases</i> .....	925
Trevor James McMinn, <i>On the line segments of a convex surface in <math>E_3</math></i> .....	943
Frank Albert Raymond, <i>The end point compactification of manifolds</i> .....	947
Edgar Reich and S. E. Warschawski, <i>On canonical conformal maps of regions of arbitrary connectivity</i> .....	965
Marvin Rosenblum, <i>The absolute continuity of Toeplitz's matrices</i> .....	987
Lee Albert Rubel, <i>Maximal means and Tauberian theorems</i> .....	997
Helmut Heinrich Schaefer, <i>Some spectral properties of positive linear operators</i> .....	1009
Jeremiah Milton Stark, <i>Minimum problems in the theory of pseudo-conformal transformations and their application to estimation of the curvature of the invariant metric</i> .....	1021
Robert Steinberg, <i>The simplicity of certain groups</i> .....	1039
Hisahiro Tamano, <i>On paracompactness</i> .....	1043
Angus E. Taylor, <i>Mittag-Leffler expansions and spectral theory</i> .....	1049
Marion Franklin Tinsley, <i>Permanents of cyclic matrices</i> .....	1067
Charles J. Titus, <i>A theory of normal curves and some applications</i> .....	1083
Charles R. B. Wright, <i>On groups of exponent four with generators of order two</i> .....	1097