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The Dirichlet problem for the particular equation

\[ D^2_x u + D_t u = 0 \]

\((D_\xi = \partial/\partial \xi)\) on the space-time cylinder \((0 < x < 1) \otimes (0 < t \leq T)\) is
treated in this paper. However the procedure is directly applicable to
the equation \(D^{2n}_x u + (-1)^n D_t u = 0\) without technical difficulty and, hence,
to any equation simply reducible to this type. It can be applied as
well to problems other than the Dirichlet problem. Recently P. G. Kirmser
[2] made use of it in solving other interesting problems posed for the
equation \(D^2_t u + D_t u = 0\). There is also an ‘uniqueness theorem’ con-
tained in his paper.

Using the methods of potential theory, as in Gevrey [1] and Tykhonov
equations, the problem is reduced to solving a system of integral equa-
tions. The integral equations and the integration of them are of in-
terest in themselves.

The procedure affords information on the behavior of the solution
along \(x = 0\) and \(x = 1\). In addition, the solution obtained allows an
analysis of its behavior as \((x, t)\) approaches \((0, 0)\) or \((0, 1)\) as in the
case of the heat equation.

1. Statement of the problem. The problem we pose is to find a
function \(u(x, t)\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
(i) & \quad D_x u + D_t u = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad 0 < t \leq T; \\
(ii) & \quad u(x, 0) = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1; \\
(iii) & \quad u(0, t) = a(t), \quad u(1, t) = b(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T; \\
(iv) & \quad D_x u(0, t) = c(t), \quad D_x u(1, t) = d(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T
\end{align*}
\]

where, \(a, b, c, \) and \(d\) are arbitrary functions from classes that we shall
presently define. Certain integral operators arise which make it natural
to make the following definitions:

DEFINITION 1. Let \(S_1\) denote the class of functions defined on \((0, T]\)
such that to each function, \(f(t)\), there corresponds a pair of positive
numbers \((\varepsilon, \lambda)\) so that
(1.2) \[ |f|_1 = \sup_{t, \tau} \left\{ \sigma^\lambda |f(t) - f(\tau)| \over |t - \tau|^\varepsilon \right\} < + \infty \]

where \( \sigma = \min(t, \tau), \varepsilon + 1/4 \leq \lambda < 1. \)

**DEFINITION 2.** Let \( S_\varepsilon \) denote the class of all functions, \( g(t) \), defined on \((0, T]\) and satisfying the conditions:

(i) \( g \) uniformly \((\varepsilon + 1/4) - \) Hölder continuous on any closed sub-interval of \((0, T]\), i.e., to each \( t_0 \in (0, T] \) there corresponds a constant \( c(t_0) \), depending only on \( t_0 \), such that

\[
|g(t_1) - g(t_2)| \leq c(t_0) |t_1 - t_2|^\varepsilon^{1/4}
\]

for all \( t_1, t_2 \in [t_0, T] \);

(ii)

\[
(1.3) \quad |g|_2 = \sup_{t, \tau} \left\{ \sigma^\lambda \left| 4t^{-1/4}g(t) + \int_0^t [g(t) - g(s)](t - s)^{-5/4}ds \right| \right. \\
+ \left. \left| -4\tau^{-1/4}g(\tau) - \int_0^\tau [g(\tau) - g(s)](\tau - s)^{-5/4}ds \right| \right\} < + \infty
\]

where \( \sigma, \lambda \) and \( \varepsilon \) are as in Definition 1.

We shall establish existence of solutions to (1.1) for \( a, b \in S_\varepsilon \) and \( c, d \in S_\delta. \)

2. **Derivation of the integral equations.** By the standard Fourier transform techniques we find the fundamental solution:

\[
(2.1) \quad k(x - y, t - \tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\xi(x-y)} e^{-i(\xi(t-\tau))} d\xi, \quad 0 \leq \tau < t
\]

which satisfies

\[ D_\tau^1 k + D_\tau^t k = 0 \]

and

\[ D_\tau^t k - D_\tau^t k = 0. \]

In the sequel we will frequently use the following basic estimates of the fundamental solution and of derivatives of same due to O. Ladyzhenskaya [3] (see also P. C. Rosenbloom [5]):

\[
(2.2) \quad |D_\tau^x k(x, t)| \leq c_1(\nu) \cdot t^{-(1+\nu)/4} \cdot \exp \left[ -c_2(x^t/t)^{1/3} \right]
\]

\(^1\) See appendix.
where \( D_\zeta = (\partial/\partial x)^\gamma \), \( c_1 \) depends on \( \nu \), and \( c_2 \) is an absolute constant.

**Lemma 1.**

\[
(2.3) \quad p(x) - \int_0^1 k(x - y, t)dy = \int_0^t [D_v^2 k(x - 1, t - \sigma) - D_v^3 k(x, t - \sigma)]d\sigma
\]

where

\[
p(x) = \begin{cases} 
1, & 0 < x < 1 \\
\frac{1}{2}, & x = 0, x = 1 \\
0, & x < 0, x > 1 .
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** Since \( D_v k = D_y k \),

\[
\int_0^1 D_v k(x - y, t - \sigma)dy = \int_0^1 D_v^3 k(x - y, t - \sigma)dy = D_v^3 k(x - 1, t - \sigma) - D_v^3 k(x, t - \sigma).
\]

Integrating with respect to \( \sigma \) from 0 to \( t - \varepsilon \) gives

\[
\int_0^{t-\varepsilon} \left( \int_0^1 D_v k(x - y, t - \sigma)dy \right)d\sigma = \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} D_v \left( \int_0^1 k(x - y, t - \sigma)dy \right)d\sigma
\]

\[
= \int_0^1 k(x - y, \varepsilon)dy - \int_0^1 k(x - y, t)dy
\]

\[
= \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} [D_v^3 k(x - 1, t - \sigma) - D_v^3 k(x, t - \sigma)]d\sigma.
\]

That is,

\[
\int_0^1 k(x - y, \varepsilon)dy - \int_0^1 k(x - y, t)dy
\]

\[
= \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} [D_v^3 k(x - 1, t - \sigma) - D_v^3 k(x, t - \sigma)]d\sigma.
\]

Since \( k(x - y, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{-1/4} k((x - y)/\varepsilon^{1/4}, 1) \) and \( k(-z, 1) = k(z, 1) \),

\[
\int_0^1 k(x - y, \varepsilon)dy = \int_0^1 k((x - y)/\varepsilon^{1/4}, 1) \frac{dy}{\varepsilon^{1/4}} = \int_{-x/\varepsilon^{1/4}}^{(1-x)/\varepsilon^{1/4}} k(z, 1)dz.
\]

Hence

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_0^1 k(x - y, \varepsilon)dy = \begin{cases} 
0, & x < 0, x > 1 \\
\int_0^1 k(z, 1)dz, & x = 1 \\
\int_0^1 k(z, 1)dz, & x = 0 \\
\int_{-\infty}^0 k(z, 1)dz, & 0 < x < 1 .
\end{cases}
\]
Now
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(z, 1)dz = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iz\cdot x} \cdot e^{-i\xi}d\xi \right)dz
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iz\cdot x} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iz\cdot \xi} \cdot e^{-i\xi}d\xi \right)dz \bigg|_{\xi=0} = e^{-t^4} \bigg|_{\xi=0} = 1
\]
and
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(z, 1)dz = \int_{-\infty}^{0} k(z, 1)dz + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(z, 1)dz = \frac{1}{2} .
\]
Thus,
\[
\int_{0}^{t} \left[ D_{t} k(x - 1, t - \sigma) - D_{t} k(x, t - \sigma) \right] d\sigma
\]
\[
= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{0}^{t-\epsilon} \left[ D_{t} k(x - 1, t - \sigma) - D_{t} k(x, t - \sigma) \right] d\sigma
\]
\[
= p(x) - \int_{0}^{1} k(x - y, t)dy .
\]
Q.E.D.

In particular, since \( D_{t} k(0, t - \sigma) \equiv 0 \)
\[
\frac{1}{2} - \int_{0}^{1} k(1 - y, t)dy = -\int_{0}^{1} D_{t} k(1, t - \sigma) d\sigma
\]
and
\[
\frac{1}{2} - \int_{0}^{1} k(-y, t)dy = \int_{0}^{1} D_{t} k(-1, t - \sigma) d\sigma .
\]
However, since \( k(-y, t) = k(y, t) \),
\[
D_{t} k(-1, t - \sigma) = -D_{t} k(1, t - \sigma) ,
\]
and
\[
\int_{0}^{1} k(1 - y, t)dy = \int_{0}^{1} k(y, t)dy ,
\]
(2.4)
\[
\frac{1}{2} - \int_{0}^{1} k(y, t)dy = -\int_{0}^{1} D_{t} k(1, t - \sigma) d\sigma .
\]
In deriving the integral equations we will need the following limit relations.

**Lemma 2.**

(a) \( f \in S_{i} , \quad i = 1, 2 \)
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$$\lim_{x \to 0 \cup \sigma \in [0, 1]} \int_0^t f(\sigma) D_y^3 k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma = -\frac{1}{2} f(t)$$

$$\lim_{x \to 1 \cup \sigma \in [0, 1]} \int_0^t f(\sigma) D_y^3 k(x - 1, t - \sigma) d\sigma = \frac{1}{2} f(t)$$

(b) \quad g \in S_2

$$\lim_{x \to 0 \cup \sigma \in [0, 1]} \int_0^t g(\sigma) D_y^3 k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma$$

$$= -g(t) k(0, t) - \int_0^t [g(t) - g(\sigma)] D_y k(0, t - \sigma) d\sigma$$

$$\lim_{x \to 1 \cup \sigma \in [0, 1]} \int_0^t g(\sigma) D_y^3 k(x - 1, t - \sigma) d\sigma$$

$$= -g(t) k(0, t) - \int_0^t [g(t) - g(\sigma)] D_y k(0, t - \sigma) d\sigma .$$

Proof. Part (a). We shall prove (2.5) for $f \in S_1$. The proofs for the remaining cases are essentially the same.

We write

$$\int_0^t f(\sigma) D_y^3 k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma$$

$$= f(t) \int_0^t D_y^3 k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma - \int_0^t [f(t) - f(\sigma)] D_y^3 k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma .$$

From (2.2) and the hypothesis on $f$

$$| [f(t) - f(\sigma)] | \cdot | D_y^3 k(x, t - \sigma) | \leq [f(t)]^\lambda(t - \sigma)^\gamma c_1(t - \sigma)^{-1} e^{-c_2 [x^\delta(t - \sigma)]^{1/3}}$$

$$\leq \text{(constant)} \cdot \sigma^{-\lambda}(t - \sigma)^{\delta - 1} .$$

Hence, by the dominated convergence theorem:

$$\lim_{x \to 0 \cup \sigma \in [0, 1]} \int_0^t [f(t) - f(\sigma)] \cdot D_y^3 k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma$$

$$= \int_0^t \lim_{x \to 0} [f(t) - f(\sigma)] \cdot D_y^3 k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma = 0 .$$

Thus

$$\lim_{x \to 0 \cup \sigma \in [0, 1]} \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot D_y^3 k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma = f(t) \lim_{x \to 0} \int_0^t D_y^3 k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma$$

which by (2.3) equals

$$f(t) \lim_{x \to 0} \left\{ \int_0^t D_y^3 k(x - 1, t - \sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^1 k(x - y, t) dy - 1 \right\}$$
and by (2.4) this equals
\[ f(t) \left( \frac{1}{2} - 1 \right) = -\frac{1}{2} f(t). \]

Part (b). We shall give the proof of (2.7). As above write
\[
\int_0^t g(\sigma) \cdot D_t^\gamma k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma
\]
\[
= g(t) \int_0^t D_t^\gamma k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma - \int_0^t [g(t) - g(\sigma)] D_t^\gamma k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma
\]
\[
= g(t) \int_0^t D_\sigma k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma - \int_0^t [g(t) - g(\sigma)] D_\sigma k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma
\]
\[
= g(t) \cdot \left( k(x, t - \sigma) \bigg|_{\sigma = 0}^{\sigma = t} \right) - \int_0^t [g(t) - g(\sigma)] \cdot D_\sigma k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma
\]
\[
= -g(t) \cdot k(x, t) - \int_0^t [g(t) - g(\sigma)] \cdot D_\sigma k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma
\]
\[
= -g(t) \cdot k(x, t) - \int_0^{t/2} [g(t) - g(\sigma)] \cdot D_\sigma k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma
\]
\[
- \int_{t/2}^t [g(t) - g(\sigma)] \cdot D_\sigma k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma.
\]

For given \( t \in (0, T] \), the first two terms are continuous in \( x \), for all \( x \), and the interchange of limit and integration in the latter is justified as above, using the Hölder continuity of \( g \). From these remarks, the proof follows.

Q.E.D.

We seek a solution to our problem in the following form:
\[
u(x, t) = \int_0^t \alpha(\sigma) D_t^\gamma k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^t \beta(\sigma) \cdot D_t^\gamma k(x - 1, t - \sigma) d\sigma
\]
\[
+ \int_0^t \gamma(\sigma) \cdot D_t^\gamma k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^t \delta(\sigma) \cdot D_t^\gamma k(x - 1, t - \sigma) d\sigma,
\]
where \( \alpha, \beta \in S_2 \) and \( \gamma, \delta \in S_1 \). The fact that \( u(x, t) \) satisfies the equation for \( 0 < x < 1 \) follows from (2.2), which justifies interchanging the order of differentiation and integration, and (2.1). From Lemma 2, we shall obtain a system of integral equations for the unknown functions \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \) and \( \delta \).

From (2.5) and (2.6) we obtain, upon taking the limit of (2.9) first as \( x \downarrow 0 \), and then as \( x \uparrow 1 \), the equations
\[
a(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha(t) + \int_0^t \beta(\sigma) \cdot D_t^\gamma k(-1, t - \sigma) d\sigma
\]
\[
+ \int_0^t \gamma(\sigma) \cdot D_t^\gamma k(0, t - \sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^t \delta(\sigma) \cdot D_t^\gamma k(-1, t - \sigma) d\sigma
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
b(t) &= \int_0^t \alpha(\sigma) \cdot D^3_y k(1, t - \sigma) d\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \beta(t) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \gamma(\sigma) D^3_y k(1, t - \sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^t \delta(\sigma) \cdot D^3_y k(0, t - \sigma) d\sigma.
\end{align*}
\]
(2.11)

The limits obtained from the various terms other than those where Lemma 2 is applied are by continuity which follows from (2.2).

Since
\[
D^3_y k(-1, t - \sigma) = -D^3_y k(1, t - \sigma)
\]
and
\[
D^3_y k(-1, t - \sigma) = D^3_y k(1, t - \sigma),
\]
we can write (2.10) as
\[
a(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha(t) - \int_0^t \beta(\sigma) \cdot D^3_y k(1, t - \sigma) d\sigma \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \gamma(\sigma) \cdot D^3_y k(0, t - \sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^t \delta(\sigma) \cdot D^3_y k(1, t - \sigma) d\sigma.
\]
(2.10)'

From (2.1)
\[
D_x u(x, t) = -\int_0^t \alpha(\sigma) \cdot D^3_y k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma - \int_0^t \beta(\sigma) \cdot D^3_y k(x - 1, t - \sigma) d\sigma \\
&\quad - \int_0^t \gamma(\sigma) \cdot D^3_y k(x, t - \sigma) d\sigma - \int_0^t \delta(\sigma) \cdot D^3_y k(x - 1, t - \sigma) d\sigma.
\]

Using (2.7) and (2.8) we obtain upon taking the limit of this relation as \( x \downarrow 0 \) and then as \( x \uparrow 1 \), the equations
\[
c(t) = \alpha(t) \cdot k(0, t) + \int_0^t [\alpha(t) - \alpha(\sigma)] D_\sigma k(0, t - \sigma) d\sigma \\
&\quad - \int_0^t \beta(\sigma) \cdot D_\sigma k(1, t - \sigma) d\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \gamma(t) + \int_0^t \delta(\sigma) \cdot D^3_y k(1, t - \sigma) d\sigma
\]
(2.12)

and
\[
d(t) = -\int_0^t \alpha(\sigma) \cdot D_\sigma k(1, t - \sigma) d\sigma + \beta(t) \cdot k(0, t) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t [\beta(t) - \beta(0)] D_\sigma k(0, t - \sigma) d\sigma \\
&\quad - \int_0^t \gamma(\sigma) \cdot D^3_y k(1, t - \sigma) d\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \delta(t).
\]
(2.13)

Adding and subtracting (2.10)' and (2.11) gives
\[ a(t) \pm b(t) = -\frac{1}{2} [\alpha(t) \mp \beta(t)] \pm \int_0^t [\phi(\sigma) \cdot D_\psi^2 k(1, t - \sigma)\, d\sigma \\
+ \int_0^t [\gamma(\sigma) \pm \delta(\sigma)] D_\psi^2 k(0, t - \sigma)\, d\sigma \pm \int_0^t [\gamma(\sigma) \mp \delta(\sigma)] D_\psi^2 k(1, t - \sigma)\, d\sigma \] .

Similarly, adding and subtracting (2.12) and (2.13) gives

\[ c(t) \pm d(t) = [\alpha(t) \pm \beta(t)] k(0, t) \\
+ \int_0^t \{[\alpha(t) \pm \beta(t)] - [\alpha(\sigma) \pm \beta(\sigma)] \} D_\sigma k(0, t - \sigma)\, d\sigma \\
= \int_0^t [\alpha(\sigma) \pm \beta(\sigma)] D_\sigma k(1, t - \sigma)\, d\sigma \pm \int_0^t [\gamma(\sigma) \pm \delta(\sigma)] D_\psi^2 k(1, t - \sigma)\, d\sigma \\
+ \frac{1}{2} [\gamma(t) \mp \delta(t)] .
\]

Setting

\[ \phi(t) = \gamma(t) + \delta(t) \quad A(t) = c(t) - d(t) \\
\psi(t) = \alpha(t) - \beta(t) \quad B(t) = a(t) + b(t) \\
f(t) = \gamma(t) - \delta(t) \quad C(t) = c(t) + d(t) \\
g(t) = \alpha(t) + \beta(t) \quad D(t) = a(t) - b(t)
\]

we obtain the following pairs of equations

\[ \frac{1}{2} \phi(t) + \int_0^t \phi(\sigma) \cdot D_\psi^2 k(1, t - \sigma)\, d\sigma + \psi(t) k(0, t) \\
+ \int_0^t [\gamma(t) - \gamma(\sigma)] D_\sigma k(0, t - \sigma)\, d\sigma = A(t) \]

(2.14) \[ \int_0^t \phi(\sigma) \cdot D_\psi^2 k(0, t - \sigma)\, d\sigma + \int_0^t \phi(\sigma) \cdot D_\psi^2 k(1, t - \sigma)\, d\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \psi(t) \\
+ \int_0^t \psi(\sigma) \cdot D_\psi^2 k(1, t - \sigma)\, d\sigma = B(t) ,
\]

and

\[ \frac{1}{2} f(t) - \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot D_\psi^2 k(1, t - \sigma)\, d\sigma + g(t) \cdot k(0, t) \\
+ \int_0^t [g(t) - g(\sigma)] \cdot D_\sigma k(0, t - \sigma)\, d\sigma = C(t) \]

(2.15) \[ - \int_0^t g(\sigma) \cdot D_\sigma k(1, t - \sigma)\, d\sigma = C(t) \\
\int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot D_\psi^2 k(0, t - \sigma)\, d\sigma - \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot D_\psi^2 k(1, t - \sigma)\, d\sigma - \frac{1}{2} g(t) \]
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\[- \int_0^t g(\sigma) \cdot D_x^\alpha k(1, t - \sigma) d\sigma = D(t) .\]

3. Solution of the integral equations. To facilitate the solution of the integral equations, we define for suitable functions \( f \) and \( g \)

\[(T_1 f)(t) = \frac{1}{I'(1/4)} \int_0^t f(\sigma)(t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma\]

and

\[(T_2 g)(t) = -\frac{1}{I'(-1/4)} \left[ 4t^{-1/4} \cdot g(t) + \int_0^t [g(t) - g(\sigma)](t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma \right].\]

\( T_1 \) is the operator which is commonly called \( I^{1/4} \) (see M. Riesz [4]). However, it is not immediately clear that \( T_2 \) is \( I^{-1/4} \) because of the singularities allowed at the origin in the classes of functions under consideration. The following example will illustrate the effect of the singularity at the origin. Let

\[ h(t) = t^{-1+\delta}, \quad 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{4} \quad (h \notin S). \]

Then

\[ (T_2 h)(t) = \frac{I'(\delta)}{I'(\delta-1/4)} \cdot t^{-5/4+\delta}, \]

a function to which \( T_1 \) (or \( I^{1/4} \)) cannot be applied. Using the methods employed by M. Riesz in the theory of Riemann-Liouville integrals, we shall show that on the classes under consideration \( T_2 \) is actually \( I^{-1/4} \).

THEOREM 1. If \( f \in S_1 \), then \( T_1 f \) is uniformly \( (\varepsilon + 1/4) \)-Hölder continuous on any closed subinterval of \((0, T]\) where the \( \varepsilon \) is that associated with \( f \in S_1^2 \).

Proof. Let \( t, \tau \in [\delta, T], \delta > 0 \). Assume without loss of generality \( \tau < t \). Form the difference

\[ \Delta = I' \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) \left[ (T_1 f)(t) - (T_1 f)(\tau) \right] \]

\[ = \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot (t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma - \int_0^\tau f(\sigma) \cdot (\tau - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma . \]

Adding and subtracting \( f(t) \) in the integrands gives

\[ \text{This Theorem is essentially contained in Hardy, G. H. and Littlewood, J. E., "Some properties of fractional integrals", Math. Zeit., Vol 27, (1928), pp. 565-606.} \]
\[ A = f(t) \int_0^\tau (t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma \]

\[ + \int_0^\tau [f(\sigma) - f(t)](t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma - f(t) \int_0^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma \]

\[ - \int_0^\tau [f(\sigma) - g(t)](\tau - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma = 4f(t)(t^{1/4} - \tau^{1/4}) \]

\[ + \int_0^\tau [f(\sigma) - f(t)] [(t - \sigma)^{-3/4} - (\tau - \sigma)^{-3/4}] d\sigma \]

\[ + \int_\tau^r [f(\sigma) - f(t)](t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma \equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3 , \]

say.

Regarding \( I_2 \), write it as

\[ I_2 = \int_0^{\delta/2} [f(\sigma) - f(t)][(t - \sigma)^{-3/4} - (\tau - \sigma)^{-3/4}] d\sigma \]

\[ + \int_{\delta/2}^\tau [f(\sigma) - f(t)][(t - \sigma)^{-3/4} - (\tau - \sigma)^{-3/4}] d\sigma \equiv J_{21} + J_{22} . \]

Using the mean-value theorem

\[ |f(\sigma) - f(t)| [(\tau - \sigma)^{-3/4} - (t - \sigma)^{-3/4}] \]

\[ = |f(\sigma) - f(t)| 3/4[(\tau - \sigma) + \theta(t - \tau)]^{-7/4} (t - \tau), 0 \leq \theta \leq 1 . \]

Since \( t - \sigma, \tau - \sigma \geq \delta/2 \) for \( J_{21} \),

\[ |f(\sigma) - f(t)| [(\tau - \sigma)^{-3/4} - (t - \sigma)^{-3/4}] \leq \sigma^{-\lambda}(t - \sigma)^{\nu} |f|_1 3/4(\delta/2)^{-7/4} (t - \tau) \]

\[ \leq 3/4 \cdot T^\nu \cdot (2/\delta)^{7/4} |f|_1 \sigma^{-\lambda}(t - \tau) = (constant) \cdot \sigma^{-\lambda}(t - \tau) . \]

Thus,

\[ \left| J_{21} \right| = \left| \int_0^{\delta/2} [f(\sigma) - f(t)][(t - \sigma)^{-3/4} - (\tau - \sigma)^{-3/4}] d\sigma \right| \]

\[ \leq \left| \int_0^{\delta/2} |f(\sigma) - f(t)||[(t - \sigma)^{-3/4} - (\tau - \sigma)^{-3/4}] d\sigma \right| \]

\[ \leq (constant) \cdot (t - \tau) \int_0^{\delta/2} \sigma^{-\lambda} d\sigma = (constant) \cdot (t - \tau) . \]

Now

\[ \left| J_{22} \right| \leq |f|_1 \int_{\delta/2}^\tau \sigma^{-\lambda}(t - \sigma)^{\nu} [(\tau - \sigma)^{-3/4} - (t - \sigma)^{-3/4}] d\sigma \]

\[ \leq (\delta/2)^{-\lambda} |f|_1 \int_{\delta/2}^\tau (t - \sigma)^{\nu} [(\tau - \sigma)^{-3/4} - (t - \sigma)^{-3/4}] d\sigma \]
\[ \leq (2/\delta)^{\lambda} \cdot |f|_{1} \cdot \int_{\tau}^{t} (t - \sigma)^{3/4} \cdot \left( (\tau - \sigma)^{-3/4} - (t - \sigma)^{-3/4} \right) d\sigma . \]

Set \( \tau - \sigma = (t - \sigma)s \). Then
\[
t - \sigma = \frac{t - \tau}{1 - s} ,
\]
\[
\tau - \sigma = \frac{s(t - \tau)}{1 - s} ,
\]
and
\[
d\sigma = -\frac{t - \tau}{(1 - s)^{3}} ds .
\]
Hence
\[
|J_{22}| \leq (\text{constant}) (t - \tau)^{1/4 + \varepsilon} \cdot \int_{0}^{\tau/4} (1 - s)^{-5/4 - \varepsilon} \cdot (s^{-3/4} - 1) ds
\]
\[
\leq (\text{constant}) (t - \tau)^{1/4 + \varepsilon} \cdot \int_{0}^{1} (1 - s)^{-5/4 - \varepsilon} \cdot (1 - s^{3/4}) \cdot s^{-3/4} ds
\]
\[
\leq (\text{constant}) (t - \tau)^{1/4 + \varepsilon} ;
\]
the latter integral existing for \( \varepsilon < 3/4 \) since
\[
(1 - s)^{-5/4 - \varepsilon} \cdot (1 - s^{3/4}) \cdot s^{-3/4}
\]
\[
= s^{-3/4} \cdot (1 - s)^{-1/4 - \varepsilon} \cdot (1 + s + s^{2})(1 + s^{3/2})^{-1}(1 + s^{3/4})^{-1} .
\]
Now
\[
|I_{3}| \leq \int_{\tau}^{t} |f(\sigma) - f(t)| \cdot (t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma \leq |f|_{1} \cdot \int_{\tau}^{t} \sigma^{-\lambda}(t - \sigma)^{\varepsilon - 3/4} d\sigma
\]
\[
\leq (2/\delta)^{\lambda} \cdot |f|_{1} \cdot \int_{\tau}^{t} (t - \sigma)^{\varepsilon - 3/4} d\sigma = (\text{constant}) (t - \tau)^{\varepsilon + 1/4} .
\]
This completes the proof.

Q.E.D.

\textbf{Theorem 2.} \( f \in S_{1} \)

(i) \( T_{2}T_{1} = I_{1} \), where \( I_{1} \) is the identity transformation on \( S_{1} \).

(ii) \( T_{1}f \in S_{2} \).

\textbf{Proof.}

(i) \( [T_{2}(T_{1}f)](t) = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1/4) \cdot \Gamma(-1/4)} \left\{ \frac{4t^{-1/4}}{\int_{0}^{t} f(\sigma)(t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma} \right. 
\]
\[
+ \left. \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/4) \cdot \Gamma(-1/4)} \left\{ \frac{4t^{-1/4}}{\int_{0}^{t} f(\sigma)(t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma} - \frac{4t^{-1/4}}{\int_{0}^{t} f(\sigma)(\tau - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma} \right\} (t - \tau)^{-5/4} d\tau \right\} .
\]
We proceed as in the theory of Riemann-Liouville integrals. Define

\[ F(\mu) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \left\{ \int_0^t \left[ \int_0^t f(\sigma)(t - \sigma)^{-\mu} d\sigma - \int_0^t f(\sigma)(\tau - \sigma)^{-\mu} d\sigma \right] (t - \tau)^{\mu - 1} d\tau \right\} \]

which exists and is analytic for \( \Re \mu > -1/4 - \varepsilon \) by Theorem 1. Now restrict \( \mu \) so that \( \Re \mu > 0 \). Then

\[ F(\mu) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^t f(\sigma)(t - \sigma)^{-\mu} d\sigma \cdot \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\mu - 1} d\tau - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^t \left( \int_0^t f(\sigma)(\tau - \sigma)^{-\mu} d\sigma \right) (t - \tau)^{\mu - 1} d\tau \]

\[ = \frac{t^\mu}{\mu \Gamma(\mu)} \cdot \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot (t - \sigma)^{\mu - 1} d\sigma - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^t \left( \int_0^t f(\sigma)(\tau - \sigma)^{-\mu} d\sigma \right) \]

\[ \times (t - \tau)^{\mu - 1} d\tau . \]

Interchanging the order of integration in the second term and setting \( \tau - \sigma = (t - \sigma) \cdot s \) in the inner integral gives

\[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot (t - \sigma)^{\mu - 1} d\sigma \cdot \left( \int_0^1 s^{-\mu/4} (1 - s)^{-1/4} ds \right) \]

\[ = \frac{\Gamma(1/4)}{\Gamma(\mu + 1/4)} \cdot \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot (t - \sigma)^{\mu - 3/4} d\sigma . \]

Adding and subtracting \( f(t) \) in the integrand of this latter integral gives

\[ \frac{\Gamma(1/4)}{\Gamma(\mu + 1/4)} \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot (t - \sigma)^{\mu - 3/4} d\sigma = \frac{\Gamma(1/4) \cdot f(t)}{\Gamma(\mu + 1/4)} \int_0^t (t - \sigma)^{\mu - 3/4} d\sigma \]

\[ + \frac{\Gamma(1/4)}{\Gamma(\mu + 1/4)} \int_0^t [f(\sigma) - f(t)] (t - \sigma)^{\mu - 3/4} d\sigma \]

\[ = \frac{\Gamma(1/4)}{\Gamma(\mu + 1/4)} f(t) \cdot t^{\mu + 3/4} + \frac{\Gamma(1/4)}{\Gamma(\mu + 1/4)} \int_0^t [f(\sigma) - f(t)] (t - \sigma)^{\mu - 3/4} d\sigma . \]

This latter term has a zero at \( \mu = -1/4 \) since the integral defines a function analytic for \( \Re \mu > -1/4 - \varepsilon \) and \( (\Gamma(\mu + 1/4))^{-1} \) is an entire function with a zero at \( \mu = -1/4 \).

From the identity theorem from 'function theory'

\[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^t \left[ \int_0^t f(\sigma)(t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma - \int_0^t f(\sigma)(\tau - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma \right] (t - \tau)^{\mu - 1} d\tau = F(\mu) \]

\[ = \frac{t^\mu}{\Gamma(\mu + 1)} \int_0^t f(\sigma)(t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma - \frac{\Gamma(1/4)}{\Gamma(\mu + 1/4)} \int_0^t [f(\sigma) - f(t)] (t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma \]
ON THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR CERTAIN HIGHER

\[ \frac{\Gamma'(1/4)}{\Gamma'(\mu + 5/4)} \cdot f(t) \cdot t^{\nu + 1/4} \]

for \( \Re \mu > -1/4 - \varepsilon \). Therefore we find that

\[
\frac{1}{\Gamma(-1/4)} \left[ \int_0^t f(\sigma)(t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma - \int_0^\tau f(\sigma)(\tau - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma \right] (t - \tau)^{-5/4} d\tau
\]

\[
= \frac{t^{-1/4}}{\Gamma(3/4)} \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot (t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma - \Gamma(1/4) \cdot f(t)
\]

\[
= - \frac{4 \cdot t^{-1/4}}{\Gamma(-1/4)} \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot (t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma - \Gamma(1/4) \cdot f(t)
\]

Thus,

\[
[T_2(T_1 f)](t) = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1/4) \cdot \Gamma(-1/4)} \left\{ 4 t^{-1/4} \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot (t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma - 4 t^{-1/4} \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot (t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma - \Gamma(1/4) \cdot \Gamma(1/4) f(t) \right\} = f(t)
\]

(ii) All that remains to be shown is that

\[
\sup_t t^{-1/4} \left| (T_1 f)(t) \right| < + \infty
\]

Adding and subtracting \( f(t) \) in the integrand we have

\[
t^{\lambda - 1/4} (T_1 f)(t) = \frac{t^{\lambda - 1/4}}{\Gamma(1/4)} \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot (t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma
\]

\[
= \frac{t^{\lambda - 1/4}}{\Gamma(1/4)} \int_0^t [f(\sigma) - f(t)] \cdot (t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma + \frac{t^{\lambda - 1/4}}{\Gamma(1/4)} \cdot f(t) \cdot \int_0^t (t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma
\]

Thus,

\[
t^{\lambda - 1/4} \left| (T_1 f)(t) \right| \leq t^{\lambda - 1/4} \cdot \left| f \right|_1 \cdot \int_0^t (t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma + \frac{4}{\Gamma(1/4)} \cdot t^{\lambda} \cdot \left| f(t) \right|
\]

\[
= t^{\lambda - 1/4} \cdot \left| f \right|_1 \cdot t^{-\lambda + 1/4 + \varepsilon} \cdot \int_0^t (1 - s)^{-3/4} ds + \frac{4}{\Gamma(1/4)} \cdot t^{\lambda} \cdot \left| f(t) \right|
\]

\[
\leq (\text{constant}) \cdot T^{\varepsilon} | f |_1 + \frac{4}{\Gamma(1/4)} \left[ \frac{t^{\lambda} | f(t) - f(T) |}{| T - t |^{3/4}} \right] (T - t)^{\varepsilon} + \frac{4}{\Gamma(1/4)} t^{\lambda} | f(T) |
\]

\[
\leq (\text{constant}) \cdot T^{\varepsilon} | f |_1 + \frac{4}{\Gamma(1/4)} \cdot T^{\lambda} | f(T) | < + \infty
\]

Q.E.D.

**Theorem 3.** \( g \in S_2 \)

(i) \( T_1 T_2 = I_2 \), where \( I_2 \) is the identity transformation on \( S_2 \).

(ii) \( T_2 g \in S_1 \).
Proof. Part (i) is proven exactly as part (i) of Theorem 2 and part (ii) follows directly from the definitions of $S_2$ and $T_2$. 

Consider the following system of equations made up from the terms with singular kernels in (2.14).

\begin{align}
\frac{1}{2} \phi(t) + \psi(t) \cdot k(0, t) + \int_0^t [\psi(t) - \psi(\sigma)] \cdot D_\sigma k(0, t - \sigma) d\sigma &= f(t) \\
\int_0^t \phi(\sigma) \cdot D_\sigma k(0, t - \sigma) d\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \psi(t) &= g(t)^3
\end{align}

where $f \in S_1$ and $g \in S_2$. Now

\[D_\sigma k(0, t - \sigma) = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \xi \cdot e^{-\xi(t - \sigma)} d\xi\]

\[= \frac{-1}{2\pi} (t - \sigma)^{-3/4} \int_0^\infty \eta^2 \cdot e^{-\eta^4} d\eta = \frac{-1}{\pi} (t - \sigma)^{-3/4} \int_0^\infty \eta^2 e^{-\eta^4} d\eta\]

\[= \frac{-1}{4\pi} (t - \sigma)^{-3/4} \int_0^\infty \xi^{-1/4} \cdot e^{-\xi} d\xi = \frac{\Gamma(3/4)}{4\pi} (t - \sigma)^{-3/4}.
\]

Similarly,

\[D_\sigma k(0, t - \sigma) = \frac{\Gamma(5/4)}{4\pi} (t - \sigma)^{-5/4}
\]

and

\[k(0, t) = \frac{\Gamma(1/4)}{4\pi} \cdot t^{-1/4}.
\]

Then using the fact that $\Gamma(3/4) \cdot \Gamma(1/4) = \pi \csc \pi/4 = \sqrt{2} \cdot \pi$

\[\int_0^t \phi(\sigma) \cdot D_\sigma k(0, t - \sigma) d\sigma = -\frac{\Gamma(3/4)}{4\pi} \int_0^t \phi(\sigma) \cdot (t - \sigma)^{-3/4} d\sigma
\]

\[= -\frac{\Gamma(3/4) \cdot \Gamma(1/4)}{4\pi} (T_1 \phi)(t) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (T_1 \phi)(t).
\]

Similarly,

\[\psi(t) \cdot k(0, t) + \int_0^t [\psi(t) - \psi(\sigma)] \cdot D_\sigma k(0, t - \sigma) d\sigma = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (T_2 \psi)(t).
\]

Thus from (3.4) and (3.5) we can write (3.3) as

\footnote{This is just the system of integrals equations one obtains for the problem on the half-space $(0 < x < \infty) \otimes (0, T)$.}
Using Theorems 2 and 3, we can solve this system of equations by formally applying $T_1$ and $T_2$. Applying $(1/\sqrt{2})T_2$ to the second equation and adding to the first gives

$$-rac{1}{4} T_2 T_1 \phi + \frac{1}{2} \phi = f + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} T_2 g.$$ 

Since $T_1 T_1 = I$, we find that

$$\phi = 4 \left( f + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} T_2 g \right) = 8 \left( \frac{1}{2} f + \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} T_2 g \right).$$

Similarly, we find that

$$\psi = -8 \left( \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} T_1 f + \frac{1}{2} g \right).$$

Thus the solution of (3.3)' is given by

$$\begin{cases} 
\phi = 8 \left( \frac{1}{2} f + \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} T_2 g \right) \\
\psi = -8 \left( \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} T_1 f + \frac{1}{2} g \right). 
\end{cases}$$

(3.6)

Defining

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} I_1 & \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} T_2 \\ \frac{1}{2} T_1 & \frac{1}{2} I_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $M$ is an operator on the product $S_1 \otimes S_2$ we can write (3.3)' as

(3.3)''

$$M \phi = F$$

where

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix};$$

and (3.6) as

(3.6)'

$$\phi = 8MF.$$
Thus,

(3.7) \[ M^{-1} = 8M. \]

Define for suitable functions:

\[ (Sf)(t) = \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot D_\tau k(1, t - \sigma) d\sigma \]

(3.8)

\[ (Uf)(t) = \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot D_\sigma k(1, t - \sigma) d\sigma \]

\[ (Vf)(t) = \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot D_\tau^2 k(1, t - \sigma) d\sigma. \]

In terms of the above defined operators and \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \), we can write the general system (2.14) as:

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{1}{2} \phi + S\phi + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} T_2 \psi + U\psi = A \\
-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} T_1 \phi + V\phi - \frac{1}{2} \psi + S\psi = B
\end{cases}
\]

(3.9)

or as,

(3.9)'

\[ M\Phi + N\Phi = F \in S_1 \otimes S_2 \]

where

(3.10)

\[ N = \begin{pmatrix} S & U \\ V & S \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}. \]

From (2.2) it follows that all of the kernels in the operators in \( N \) are bounded (in fact, they are \( C^\infty \) functions).

Write (3.9)' as

(3.9)''

\[ (I + NM^{-1})M\Phi = F \]

where

\[ I = \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \]

is the identity transformation on \( S_1 \otimes S_2 \). This is certainly meaningful since \( NM^{-1} \) is well-defined and likewise \( (I + NM^{-1})M \).

**Lemma.** All of the kernels in the operators in \( NM^{-1} \) are bounded and differentiable.
Proof.

\[ \begin{pmatrix} S & U \\ V & S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} I_1 & \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} T_2 \\ -\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} T_1 & -\frac{1}{2} I_2 \end{pmatrix} = 8 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} S - \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} U T_1 & \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} S T_2 - \frac{1}{2} U \\ \frac{1}{2} V - \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} S T_1 & \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} V T_2 - \frac{1}{2} S \end{pmatrix}. \]

We shall carry out the proof for \( ST_2 \). The proofs of the remaining ones follows exactly the same lines.

For \( f \in S_2 \):

\[
[S(T_2 f)](t) = \int_0^t \left\{ -\frac{1}{\Gamma(-1/4)} \left[ 4 \tau^{-1/4} \cdot f(\tau) + \int_0^\tau [f(\tau) - f(\sigma)](\tau - \sigma)^{-\mu/4} d\sigma \right] \cdot D_{\tau, k}^\mu(1, t - \tau) d\tau \right. 
- \frac{4}{\Gamma(-1/4)} \int_0^\tau \tau^{-1/4} \cdot f(\tau) \cdot D_{\tau, k}^\mu(1, t - \tau) d\tau 
- \frac{1}{\Gamma(-1/4)} \left( \int_0^\tau [f(\tau) - f(\sigma)] \cdot (\tau - \sigma)^{-\mu/4} d\sigma \right) \cdot D_{\tau, k}^\mu(1, t - \tau) d\tau.
\]

We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2. Let

\[
F(\mu) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^\tau \left( \int_0^\tau [f(\tau) - f(\sigma)] \cdot (\tau - \sigma)^{-\mu/4} d\sigma \right) \cdot D_{\tau, k}^\mu(1, t - \tau) d\tau.
\]

This defines an analytic function for \( \Re \mu > -1/4 \). Now restrict \( \mu \) so that \( \Re \mu > 0 \).

Then

\[
F(\mu) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^\tau f(\tau) \cdot \left( \int_0^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{-\mu/4} d\sigma \right) \cdot D_{\tau, k}^\mu(1, t - \tau) d\tau 
- \frac{1}{\mu \Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^\tau f(\sigma) (\tau - \sigma)^{-\mu/4} d\sigma \cdot D_{\tau, k}^\mu(1, t - \tau) d\tau 
= \frac{1}{\mu \Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^\tau f(\tau) \cdot \tau^{-\mu} \cdot D_{\tau, k}^\mu(1, t - \tau) d\tau 
- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \left( \int_0^\tau f(\sigma) \cdot (\tau - \sigma)^{-\mu/4} d\sigma \right) \cdot D_{\tau, k}^\mu(1, t - \tau) d\tau.
\]

Interchanging the order of integration in the second term gives

\[
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^\tau f(\sigma) \left( \int_0^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{-\mu/4} \cdot D_{\tau, k}^\mu(1, t - \tau) d\tau \right) d\sigma.
\]
Integrating the inner integral by parts \( n \) gives
\[
\frac{1}{1'(\mu + n)} \int_0^t f(\sigma) \left( (-1)^n \int_\sigma^t (\tau - \sigma)^{\mu + n - 1} D^n \nu^{\nu} k(1, t - \tau) d\tau \right) d\sigma,
\]
which is analytic for \( \Re \mu > -n \).

Thus from the identity theorem we have that
\[
\frac{1}{1'(\mu)} \int_0^t \left( \int_0^\sigma \left[ f(\tau) - f(\sigma) \right] \cdot (\tau - \sigma)^{-1/4} d\sigma \right) \cdot D^n \nu^{\nu} k(1, t - \tau) d\tau = F(\mu) = \int_0^\sigma f(\sigma) \cdot \left( \int_\sigma^t (\tau - \sigma)^{\mu + n - 1} \cdot D^n \nu^{\nu} k(1, t - \tau) d\tau \right) d\sigma, \ \Re \mu > -1/4.
\]

Taking the limit as \( \mu \downarrow -1/4 \), we get
\[
\frac{1}{1'(-1/4)} \int_0^t \left( \int_0^\sigma \left[ f(\tau) - f(\sigma) \right] \cdot (\tau - \sigma)^{-1/4} d\sigma \right) \cdot D^n \nu^{\nu} k(1, t - \tau) d\tau = -\frac{4}{1'(-1/4)} \int_0^t f(\tau) \cdot \tau^{-1/4} D^n \nu^{\nu} k(1, t - \tau) d\tau + \frac{(-1)^n}{1'(n - 1/4)} \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot \left( \int_\sigma^t (\tau - \sigma)^{n-1/4} \cdot D^n \nu^{\nu} k(1, t - \tau) d\tau \right) d\sigma.
\]

Hence
\[
[S(T_2 f)](t) = \frac{(-1)^n}{1'(n - 1/4)} \int_0^t f(\sigma) \cdot \left( \int_\sigma^t (\tau - \sigma)^{n-1/4} \cdot D^n \nu^{\nu} k(1, t - \tau) d\tau \right) d\sigma.
\]

From (2.2)
\[
\left| \int_\sigma^t (\tau - \sigma)^{n-1/4} \cdot D^n \nu^{\nu} k(1, t - \tau) d\tau \right| \leq (\text{Constant}) \cdot \int_\sigma^t (\tau - \sigma)^{n-1/4} d\tau = (\text{Constant}) \cdot (t - \sigma)^{n-1/4}.
\]

Clearly the kernel is continuous and differentiable for \( 0 \leq \sigma \leq t \). In fact, we could conclude that it is infinitely often differentiable.

Q.E.D.

The above Lemma shows that \( I + NM^{-1} \) is essentially a perturbation of the identity. That is, the problem is reduced to solving a system of Volterra type integral equations with bounded and differentiable kernels.

APPENDIX: Derivation of Estimate (2.2). This appendix is included at the suggestion of the referee in order to make this paper essentially self contained.
From (2.1)
\[D_n^x k(x, t) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-iz)^n \exp(-izx - z^t)dz, \quad t > 0.\]

Making the change of variable \(zt^{1/4} = y\) gives
\[
(1) \quad D_n^x k(x, t) = (-i)^n(2\pi)^{-1} t^{-\frac{(1+n)}{4}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^n \exp(-iyxt^{-1/4} - y^t)dy.
\]

The integral in (1) considered as an integral in the complex plane is easily seen to be equal to
\[
(2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (y + ic)^n \exp[-ia^3(y + ic) - (y + ic)^t]dy
\]
where \(c\) is any real number and \(a = (xt^{-1/4})^{1/3}\).

Denoting the integral (2) by \(I\) we find upon expanding that
\[
I = (\exp[a^3c - c^t]) \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} (ic)^{n-j}
\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^t \exp[-i(a^3y + 4yc^3 - 4yc^3) - (y^t - 6yc^3)]dy.
\]

Using the inequality \(6yc^3 \leq 9R-1y^t + Rc^t\) with \(R > 9\) it follows that
\[
|I| \leq (\exp[a^3c + (R - 1)c^t]) \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} |c|^{n-j} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |y|^t \exp[-y^t(1 - 9R^{-1})]dy.
\]

Setting
\[
A(n) = \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |y|^t \exp[-y^t(1 - 9R^{-1})]dy \right\}
\]
we obtain the inequality
\[
|I| \leq A(n)(1 + |c|)^n \exp[a^3c + (R - 1)c^t].
\]

Now choose \(c = -\mu(R - 1)^{-1/3}a,\ 0 < \mu < 1.\) Then
\[
a^3c + (R - 1)c^t = -\mu(1 - \mu^3)(R - 1)^{-1/3}a^t < 0
\]
and
\[
|I| \leq A(n)[1 + \mu(R - 1)^{-1/3}a^t]^n \exp[-\mu(1 - \mu^3)(R - 1)^{-1/3}a^t].
\]

Setting
\[
B(n) = \{\max_{z \geq 0} [1 + \mu(R - 1)^{-1/3}z]^n \exp[-2^{-1}\mu(1 - \mu^3)(R - 1)^{-1/3}z^t]\}
\]
and replacing \(a\) by \((xt^{-1/4})^{1/3}\) we get the inequality
\[
(3) \quad |I| \leq A(n)B(n) \exp[-2^{-1}\mu(1 - \mu^3)(R - 1)^{-1/3}(xt^{-1/3})^t].
\]
Estimate (2.2) is obtained from (1), (2), and (3) with
\[ C_1 = (2\pi)^{-1} A(n)B(n) \] and \[ C_2 = 2^{-\frac{1}{3}}(1 - \mu^2)(R - 1)^{-1/3}. \]
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