Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF DERIVATIVES OF STATIONARY MEASURES

SHU-TEH CHEN MOY

Vol. 10, No. 4

December 1960

ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF DERIVATIVES OF STATIONARY MEASURES

Shu-Teh C. Moy

1. Introduction. Let X be a non-empty set and \mathscr{S} be a σ -algebra of subsets of X. Consider the infinite product space $\Omega = \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} X_n$ where $X_n = X$ for $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ and the infinite product σ -algebra $\mathscr{F} = \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathscr{S}_n$ where $\mathscr{S}_n = \mathscr{S}$ for $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$. Elements of Ω are bilateral infinite sequences $\{\cdots, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, \cdots\}$ with $x_n \in X$. Let us denote the elements of Ω by w. If $w = \{\cdots, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, \cdots\} x_n$ is called the *n*th coordinate of w and shall be considered as a function on Ω to X. Let T be the shift transformation on Ω to Ω : the *n*th coordinate of *w* and shall be Tg(w) = g(Tw) so that $Tx_n = x_{n+1}$ for any integer n. We shall consider two probability measures μ, ν defined on \mathscr{F} . For $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ let $\Omega_n = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ where $X_i = X, i = 1, 2 \cdots, n$ and $\mathscr{F}_n = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathscr{F}_i$ where $\mathscr{F}_i = \mathscr{F}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Then $\Omega_1 = X$ and $\mathscr{F}_1 = \mathscr{S}$. Let $\mathscr{F}_{m,n}, m \leq n, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$, be the σ -algebra of subsets of Ω consisting of sets of the form

$$[w = \{\cdots, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1 \cdots\}: (x_m, x_{m+1}, \cdots, x_n) \in E]$$

Where $E \in \mathscr{F}_{n-m+1}$. Then $\mathscr{F}_{m n} \subset \mathscr{F}_{m n+1} \subset \mathscr{F}$. Let $\mu_{m n}, \nu_{m n}$ be the contractions of μ, ν , respectively to $\mathscr{T}_{m n}$. If $\nu_{m n}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ_{mn} , the derivative of ν_{mn} with respect to μ_{mn} is a function of x_m, \dots, x_n and shall be designated by $f_{m,n}(x_m, \dots, x_n)$. Since $f_{m,n}(x_m, \dots, x_n)$ is positive with ν -probability one $1/f_{m,n}(x_m, \dots, x_n)$ is well defined with ν -probability one. We shall let the function $1/f_{m,n}(x_m, \dots, x_n)$ take on the value 0 when $f_{m,n}(x_m, \dots, x_n) \leq 0$. Thus $1/f_{m,n}(x_m, \dots, x_n)$ is well defined everywhere. In fact $1/f_{m,n}(x_m, \dots, x_n)$ is the derivative of $\nu_{m n}$ -continuous part of $\mu_{m n}$ with respect to $\nu_{m n}$. According to the celebrated theorem of E. S. Anderson and B. Jessen [1] and J. L. Doob ([2]), pp. 343) $1/f_{m n}(x_m, \dots, x_n)$ converges with ν probability one as $n \to \infty$. If we assume that μ, ν are stationary, i.e., μ, ν are T invariant, more precise results may be expected. A fundamental theorem of Information Theory, first proved by C. Shannon for stationary Markovian measures [5] and later generalized to any stationary measure by B. McMillan [4], may be considered as a theorem of this sort. In their theorem X is assumed to be a finite set. In this paper we shall first treat Markovian stationary measures μ, ν with X being

Received September 2, 1959, and in revised form January 18, 1960. The author is very much indebted to the referee for his suggestions which have been of substantial benefit to this paper.

any set, finite or infinite, and \mathscr{S} , any σ -algebra of subsets of X. It will be proved that $n^{-1}\log f_{m,n}(x_m, \dots, x_n)$ converges as $n \to \infty$ with ν -probability one and also in $L_1(\nu)$ under some integrability conditions. The case that ν is only stationary is also treated. Similar convergence theorem is proved under the assumption that X is countable.

2. Asymptotic properties of derivatives of a Markovian measure with stationary transition probabilities with respect to another such measure.

Let $X, \mathcal{S}, \Omega, \mathcal{F}, \Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{F}_m, \mu_m, \nu_m f_m(x_m, \dots, x_n)$ be as in §1. $x_n, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, are considered as functions or random variables on Ω to X. Notations for conditional prababilities and conditional expectations relative to one or several random variables will be as in [2], chapter 1, §7. Since we have two probability measures we shall use subscripts μ, ν to indicate conditional probabilities and conditional expectations taken under measures μ, ν respectively. In this section μ, ν are assumed to be Markovian i.e., for any $A \in \mathcal{S}, m < n, n = 0 \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots,$

(1)
$$P_{\mu}[x_n \in A \mid x_m, \dots, x_{n-1}] = P_{\mu}[x_n \in A \mid x_{n-1}]$$
 with μ -probability one and

(2) $P_{\nu}[x_n \in A \mid x_m, \dots, x_{n-1}] = P_{\nu}[x_n \in A \mid x_{n-1}]$ with ν -probability one. For any set $E \subset \Omega$ let I_E be the real valued function on Ω defined by

$$egin{array}{ll} I_{\scriptscriptstyle E}(w) = 1 & ext{if} & w \in E \ = 0 & ext{if} & w
otin E \ . \end{array}$$

LEMMA 1. If $\nu_{n-1\,n}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_{n-1\,n}$ then for any $A \in \mathscr{S}$

Proof. For any $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\begin{split} \nu[x_n \in A, \, x_{n-1} \in B] \\ &= \int_{[x_{n-1} \in B]} P_{\nu}[x_n \in A \mid x_{n-1}] d\nu \\ &= \int_{[x_{n-1} \in B]} P_{\nu}[x_n \in A \mid x_{n-1}] f_{n-1 \ n-1}(x_{n-1}) d\mu \end{split}$$

On the other hand

$$\nu[x_n \in A, x_{n-1} \in B]$$

= $\int_{[x_{n-1} \in B]} I_{x_n \in A} f_{n-1,n}(x_{n-1}, x_n) | x_{n-1} d\mu$

$$= \int_{[x_{n-1}\in B]} E_{\mu}[I_{x_{n}\in A}f_{n-1\,n}(x_{n-1},\,x_{n})\,|\,x_{n-1}]d\mu \;.$$

Hence for any $B \in \mathscr{S}$

$$\begin{split} \int_{[x_{n-1}\in B]} P_{\nu}[x_n \in A \mid x_{n-1}] f_{n-1 \ n-1}(x_{n-1}) d\mu \\ &= \int_{[x_{n-1}\in B]} E_{\mu}[I_{x_n\in A} f_{n-1 \ n}(x_{n-1}, \ x_n) \mid x_{n-1}] d\mu , \end{split}$$

therefore (3) is true with μ -probability one. Dividing both sides of (3) by $f_{n-1,n-1}(x_{n-1})$ we then have

(4)
$$P_{\nu}[x_n \in A \mid x_{n-1}] = \frac{E_{\mu}[I_{x_n \in A} f_{n-1,n}(x_{n-1}, x_n) \mid x_{n-1}]}{f_{n-1,n-1}(x_{n-1})}$$

With μ -probability one on the set $[f_{n-1 n-1}(x_{n-1}) > 0]$. Since $\nu[f_{n-1 n-1}(x_{n-1}) > 0] = 1$, (4) is true with ν -probability one.

THEOREM 1. If $\nu_{n-1\,n}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_{n-1\,n}$ for $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ then $\nu_{m\,n}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_{m\,n}$ for $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ and $m \leq n$ with

(5)
$$f_{m \ n}(x_{m}, \dots, x_{n}) = f_{m \ m+1}(x_{m}, x_{m+1}) \frac{f_{m+1 \ m+2}(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2})}{f_{m+1 \ m+1}(x_{m+1})} \cdots \frac{f_{n-1 \ n}(x_{n-1}, x_{n})}{f_{n-1 \ n-1}(x_{n-1})}$$

with μ -probability one.

Proof. We shall prove the theorem for the case that $m = 1, n = 2, 3, \cdots$. The proof for the general case that m is any integer is similar. Since ν_{12} is absolutely continuous with respect to μ_{12} by hypothesis, (5) is trivially true for m = 1, n = 2. Suppose ν_{1k} ($k \ge 2$) is absolutely continuous with respect to μ_{1k} and $f_{1k}(x_1, \cdots, x_k)$ is given by (5) with μ -probability one. For any $A \in \mathcal{S}, B \in \mathcal{F}_k$

$$\nu[x_{k+1} \in A, (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in B] = \int_{[(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in B]} P_{\nu}[x_{k+1} \in A \mid x_1, \dots, x_k] d\nu .$$

Since ν is Markovian and by (4)

$$\begin{split} \nu[x_{k+1} \in A, \, (x_1, \, \cdots, \, x_k) \in B] \\ &= \int_{[(x_1, \cdots, x_k) \in B]} P_{\nu}[x_{k+1} \in A \mid x_k] d\nu \end{split}$$

$$= \int_{[(x_1,\dots,x_k)\in B]} \frac{E_{\mu}[I_{x_{k+1}\in A}f_{k,k+1}(x_k, x_{k+1}) | x_k]}{f_{k,k}(x_k)} d\nu$$

=
$$\int_{[(x_1,\dots,x_k)\in B]} \frac{E_{\mu}[I_{x_{k+1}\in A}f_{k,k+1}(x_k, x_{k+1}) | x_k]}{f_{k,k}(x_k)} f_{1,k}(x_1,\dots,x_k)d\mu .$$

Since μ is Markovian

$$egin{aligned} &E_{\mu}[I_{x_{k+1}}\epsilon_A f_{k\ k+1}(x_k,\ x_{k+1})\ |\ x_k]\ &=E_{\mu}[I_{x_{k+1}}\epsilon_A f_{k\ k+1}(x_k,\ x_{k+1})\ |\ x_1,\ \cdots,\ x_k] \end{aligned}$$

with μ -probability one. Hence

$$\nu[x_{k+1} \in A, (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in B]$$

$$= \int_{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in B} E_{\mu} \Big[I_{x_{k+1} \in A} \frac{f_{k \ k+1}(x_k, x_{k+1})}{f_{k \ k}(x_k)} f_{1 \ k}(x_1, \dots, x_k) | x_1, \dots, x_k \Big] d\mu$$

$$= \int_{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in B} I_{x_{n+1} \in A} f_{1 \ k}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \frac{f_{k \ k+1}(x_k, x_{k+1})}{f_{k \ k}(x_k)} d\mu .$$

Hence

$$\nu[x_{k+1} \in A, (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in B]$$

= $\int_{[x_{k+1} \in A, (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in B]} f_{1k}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \frac{f_{kk+1}(x_k, x_{k+1})}{f_{kk}(x_k)} d\mu$

for any $A \in \mathcal{S}, B \in \mathcal{F}_k$. Hence for any $E \in \mathcal{F}_{k+1}$

$$u(E) = \int_{E} f_{1\,k}(x_1,\,\cdots,\,x_k) \, rac{f_{k\,k+1}(x_k,\,x_{k+1})}{f_{k\,k}(x_k)} \, d\mu$$
 ,

Therefore $\nu_{1\,k+1}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_{1\,k+1}$ and

$$(6) f_{1 k+1}(x_1, \cdots, x_{k+1}) = f_{1 k}(x_1, \cdots, x_k) \frac{f_{k k+1}(x_k, x_{k+1})}{f_{k k}(x_k)}$$

with μ -probability one. (6) together with the supposition that (5) holds true for m = 1, n = k implies that (5) holds true for m = 1, n = k + 1. Thus the theorem for the case that m = 1 is proved.

Any Markovian probability measure on \mathscr{F} is said to have stationary transition probabilities if E being a set of probability one implies that $TE, T^{-1}E$ are also of probability one and for any $A \in \mathscr{S}$ and any n

$$P[x_{n+1} \in A \,|\, x_n] = TP[x_n \in A \,|\, x_{n-1}]$$

with probability one. Thus for a Markovian probability measure with stationary transition probabilities we have for any pair of integers m, n and any $A \in \mathcal{S}$

(7) $P[x_n \in A | x_{n-1}] = T^{n-m}P[x_m \in A | x_{m-1}]$ with probability one and (8) $E[g(x_{n-1}, x_n) | x_{n-1}] = T^{n-m}E[g(x_{m-1}, x_m) | x_{m-1}]$ with probability one for any real valued \mathscr{F}_2 -measurable function g on Ω_2 .

THEOREM 2. Let both μ, ν have stationary transition probabilities. If ν_{nn} is absolutely continuous with respect to μ_{nn} for $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ and ν_{12} is absolutely continuous with respect to μ_{12} then ν_{mn} is absolutely continuous with respect to μ_{mn} for $m \leq n, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ and

(9)
$$f_{m n}(x_{m}, \dots, x_{n}) = f_{m m}(x_{m}) \frac{f_{1 2}(x_{m}, x_{m+1})}{f_{1 1}(x_{m})} \cdots \frac{f_{1 2}(x_{n-1}, x_{n})}{f_{1 1}(x_{n-1})}$$

with μ -probability one.

Proof. By Lemma 1, for any $A \in \mathcal{S}$

(10)
$$P_{\nu}[x_{2} \in A \mid x_{1}] = \frac{E_{\mu}[I_{x_{2}} \in A f_{1,2}(x_{1}, x_{2}) \mid x_{1}]}{f_{1,1}(x_{1})}$$

with ν -probability one. For any $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\begin{split} \nu[x_n \in A, \, x_{n-1} \in B] \\ &= \int_{[x_{n-1} \in B]} P_{\nu}[x_n \in A \mid x_{n-1}] d\nu \\ &= \int_{[x_{n-1} \in B]} T^{n-2} P_{\nu}[x_2 \in A \mid x_1] d\nu \\ &= \int_{[x_{n-1} \in B]} \{T^{n-2} P_{\nu}[x_2 \in A \mid x_1]\} f_{n-1 \ n-1}(x_{n-1}) d\mu \;. \end{split}$$

Hence by (10) and (8)

$$\begin{split} \nu[x_n \in A, \, x_{n-1} \in B] \\ &= \int_{[x_{n-1} \in B]} T^{n-2} \left\{ \frac{E_{\mu}[I_{x_2} \in Af_{12}(x_1, \, x_2) \mid x_1]}{f_{11}(x_1)} \, f_{n-1 \, n-1}(x_{n-1}) d\mu \\ &= \int_{[x_{n-1} \in B]} \frac{E_{\mu}[I_{x_n} \in Af_{12}(x_{n-1}, \, x_n) \mid x_{n-1}]}{f_{11}(x_{n-1})} \, f_{n-1 \, n-1}(x_{n-1}) d\mu \\ &= \int_{[x_{n-1} \in B]} I_{x_n} \in Af_{n-1 \, n-1}(x_{n-1}) \, \frac{f_{12}(x_{n-1}, \, x_n)}{f_{11}(x_{n-1})} \, d\mu \\ &= \int_{[x_n \in A, x_{n-1} \in B]} f_{n-1 \, n-1}(x_{n-1}) \, \frac{f_{12}(x_{n-1}, \, x_n)}{f_{11}(x_{n-1})} \, d\mu \, . \end{split}$$

Thus for any $E \in \mathscr{F}_{n-1 n}$

SHU-TEH C. MOY

(11)
$$\nu(E) = \int_{E} f_{n-1 \ n-1}(x_{n-1}) \frac{f_{1 \ 2}(x_{n-1}, x_{n})}{f_{1 \ 1}(x_{n-1})} d\mu .$$

Hence for any integer n, $\nu_{n-1\,n}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_{n-1\,n}$ and Theorem 1 is applicable. (11) also implies that

(12)
$$f_{n-1 n}(x_{n-1}, x_n) = f_{n-1 n-1}(x_{n-1}) \frac{f_{1 2}(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{f_{1 1}(x_{n-1})}$$

with μ -probability one. Hence

(13)
$$\frac{f_{n-1\,n}(x_{n-1},\,x_n)}{f_{n-1\,n-1}(x_{n-1})} = \frac{f_{1\,2}(x_{n-1},\,x_n)}{f_{1\,1}(x_{n-1})}$$

with μ -probability one on the set $[f_{n-1 n-1}(x_{n-1}) > 0]$. However, except that w belongs to a set of μ -probability $0, n > 1, f_{n-1 n-1}(x_{n-1}(w)) = 0$ imply that $f_{1 n-1}(x_1(w), \dots, x_{n-1}(w)) = 0$, hence

$$f_{1 n-1}(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}) \frac{f_{n-1 n}(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{f_{n-1 n-1}(x_{n-1})} = f_{1 n-1}(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}) \frac{f_{1 2}(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{f_{1 1}(x_{n-1})}$$

with μ -probability one. Thus by (6)

(14)
$$f_{1n}(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = f_{1n-1}(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}) \frac{f_{12}(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{f_{11}(x_{n-1})}$$

with μ -probability one. Combining (12) (13) and by induction, if n > 1

$$f_{1\,n}(x_1,\,\cdots,\,x_n)=f_{1\,1}(x_1)\,\frac{f_{1\,2}(x_1,\,x_2)}{f_{1\,1}(x_1)}\,\cdots\,\frac{f_{1\,2}(x_{n-1},\,x_n)}{f_{1\,1}(x_{n-1})}$$

with μ -probability one. Thus we have proved the theorem for the case that m = 1. For the general case the proof is similar.

THEOREM 3. If μ has stationary transition probabilities and ν is stationary and if

$$egin{aligned} &\int |\log f_{m\ m+1}(x_m,\ x_{m+1})\,|\,d
u < \infty \ then \ &\int |\log f_{m\ n}(x_m,\ \cdots,\ x_n)\,|\,d
u < \infty \ for \ n=m,\ m+1,\ m+2,\ \cdots \end{aligned}$$

and $n^{-1} \log f_{m n}(x_m, \dots, x_n)$ converges as $n \to \infty$ with ν -probability one and also in $L_1(\nu)$ to a function g with $\int g d\nu = a$ where

$$a = \int [\log f_{1\,2}(x_1, x_2) - \log f_{1\,1}(x_1)] d\nu \ge 0$$

In particular, if ν is ergodic, g = a with ν -probability one.

Proof. We shall first prove the theorem for the case that m = 1. Since for any $A \in \mathscr{S}$

$$u[x_1 \in A] = \int_{[x_1 \in A]} f_{1\,1}(x_1) d_\mu = \int_{[x_1 \in A]} f_{1\,2}(x_1, x_2) d\mu$$
,

hence

$$E_{\mu}[f_{1\,2}(x_1,\,x_2)\,|\,x_1] = f_{1\,1}(x_1)$$
 .

Since
$$\int |\log f_{1\,2}(x_1,\,x_2)\,|\,d
u<\infty$$
 hence $\int |f_{1\,2}(x_1,\,x_2)\log f_{1\,2}(x_1,\,x_2)\,|\,d\mu=\int |\log f_{1\,2}(x_1,\,x_2)\,|\,d
u<\infty$.

The real valued function $L(\xi) = \xi \log \xi$ defined for all real $\xi \ge 0[L(0)$ is taken to be 0] is convex. By Jensen's inequality for conditional expectations ([2], pp. 33)

$$E_{\mu}[L\{f_{1\,2}(x_1x_2)\} \mid x_1] \ge L\{f_{1\,1}(x_1)\} .$$

By (15) and the fact that $L(\xi)$ is a function bounded below by a constant, we have

$$\int \mid L\{f_{1\,1}(x_{1})\} \mid d\mu = \int \mid \log f_{1\,1}(x_{1}) \mid d
u < \infty$$

and

Now by Theorem 2

$$\log f_{1\,n}(x_1,\,\cdots,\,x_n) = \log f_{1\,1}(x_1) + \sum_{i=2}^n \{\log f_{1\,2}(x_{i-1},\,x_i) - \log f_{1\,1}(x_{i-1})\}$$

Since ν is stationary, $\log f_{1n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is ν -integrable. Applying the ergodic theorem $n^{-1}\log f_{1n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ converges with ν -probability one and also in $L_1(\nu)$ to a function g with

$$\int g d
u = \int [\log f_{_{1\,2}}(x_{_1},\,x_{_2}) - \log f_{_{1\,1}}(x_{_1})] d
u = a \ge 0$$
 .

For m being any integer, we only need to mentioned that by (13),

$$\log f_{m \ m+1}(x_m, \ x_{m+1}) - \log f_{m \ m}(x_m) = \log f_{1 \ 2}(x_1, \ x_2) - \log f_{1 \ 1}(x_1)$$

with ν -probability one and therefore the same conclusion follows with a similar proof.

COROLLARY 1. Suppose μ, ν satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 3 for m = 1. If ν is ergodic and if there is an $A \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

(16)
$$\nu\{P_{\nu}[x_{2} \in A \mid x_{1}] \neq P_{\mu}[x_{2} \in A \mid x_{1}]\} > 0$$

then ν is singular with respect to μ .

Proof. First we shall show that follows from (16)

(17)
$$\mu[f_{1\,1}(x_1) \neq f_{1\,2}(x_1, x_2)] > 0 .$$

For, if $f_{11}(x_1) = f_{12}(x_1, x_2)$ with μ -probability one then by Lemma 1

 $P_{\nu}[x_2 \in A \mid x_1]f_{1,1}(x_1) = P_{\mu}[x_2 \in A \mid x_1]f_{1,1}(x_1)$ with μ -probability one. Thus $P_{\nu}[x_2 \in A \mid x_1] = P_{\mu}[x_2 \in A \mid x_1]$ with ν -probability one for every $A \in \mathscr{S}$. Now the function $L(\xi) = \xi \log \xi$ is strictly convex, hence it follows from (17) that

$$a = \int [L\{f_{1\,2}(x_1, x_2)\} - L\{f_{1\,1}(x_1)\}]d_{\mu} > 0$$
.

Applying Theorem 3 $f_{1n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \to \infty$ with ν -probability one as $n \to \infty$. Hence $1/f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \to 0$ with ν -probability one as $n \to \infty$. Let \mathscr{F}' be the σ -algebra generated by $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathscr{F}_{1n}$ and μ', ν' be the contractions of μ, ν to \mathscr{F}' respectively. Since $1/f_{1n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the derivative of ν_{1n} -continuous part of μ_{1n} with respect to $\nu_{1n}, 1/f_{1n}(x, \dots, x_n)$ converges with ν -probability one as $n \to \infty$ to the derivative of ν' -continuous part of μ' with respect to ν' ([2], pp. 343). Now $1/f_{1n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ converges to 0 with ν -probability one, hence the ν' -continuous part of μ' is 0 and μ', ν' are mutually singular. Hence μ, ν are mutually singular.

3. Extension to k-Markovian measures. The results of the preceding section can be extended to k-Markovian measures immediately. We shall state the theorems only since the proofs in the preceding section with obvious modifications apply as well.

THEOREM 4. Let μ, ν be any two k-Markovian measures on \mathscr{F} . If $\nu_{n-k,n}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_{n-k,n}$ for $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$, then $\nu_{m,n}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_{m,n}$ for $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ and $m \leq n$ with

(18)
$$f_{m\ n}(x_m, \cdots, x_n) = f_{m\ m+k}(x_m, \cdots, x_{m+k}) \frac{f_{m+1,m+1+k}(x_{m+1}, \cdots, x_{m+1+k})}{f_{m+1,m+k}(x_{m+1}, \cdots, x_{m+k})} \cdots \frac{f_{n-k\ n}(x_{n-k}, \cdots, x_n)}{f_{n-k\ n-1}(x_{n-k}, \cdots, x_{n-1})}$$

with μ -probability one.

THEOREM 5. Let μ, ν be two k-Markovian measures on \mathscr{F} with stationary transition probabilities. If $\nu_{n-k+1,n}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_{n-k+1,n}$ for $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ and $\nu_{1,k+1}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_{1,k+1}$ then $\nu_{m,n}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_{m,n}$ for $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots, m \leq n$ and

(19)
$$f_{m \ n}(x_{m}, \dots, x_{n}) = f_{m \ m+k-1}(x_{m}, \dots, x_{m+k-1}) \frac{f_{1 \ k+1}(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+k+1})}{f_{1 \ k}(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+k})} \frac{f_{1 \ k+1}(x_{n-k}, \dots, x_{m+k})}{f_{1 \ k}(x_{n-k}, \dots, x_{n-1})}$$

with μ -probability one.

THEOREM 6. Let μ, ν be two k-Markovian measures such that ν is stationary and μ has stationary transition probabilities. If

$$\int |\log f_{m\ m+k}(x_m,\ \cdots,\ x_{m+k})\,|\,d
u<\infty$$

then $\int |\log f_{m,n}(x_m, \dots, x_n)| d\nu < \infty$ for $n = m, m + 1, m + 2, \dots$ and $n^{-1}\log f_{m,n}(x_m, \dots, x_n)$ converges as $n \to \infty$ with ν -probability one to a function g with $\int g d\nu = a \ge 0$ where

$$a = \int |\log f_{1\,k+1}(x_1, \, \cdots, \, x_{k+1}) - \log f_{1\,k}(x_1, \, \cdots, \, x_k) \,|\, d\nu \ge 0 \;.$$

In particular, if ν is ergodic, g = a with ν -probability one.

COROLLARY 2. Suppose μ, ν satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 6 for m = 1. If ν is ergodic and if there is a set $A \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

(20)
$$\nu \{ [P_{\nu}[x_{k+1} \in A \mid x_1, \cdots, x_k] \neq P_{\mu}[x_{k+1} \in A] \mid x_1, \cdots, x_k] \} > 0$$

Then ν is singular with respect to μ .

4. A generalization of McMillan's theorem. In the setting of this paper, McMillan's Theorem may be stated as the following. Let X be a finite set of K points and \mathscr{S} be the σ -algebra of all subsets of X. Let ν be any stationary probability measure on \mathscr{F} and μ be the measure on \mathscr{F} such that $\mu[X_m = a_0, X_{m+1} = a_1, \dots, X_n = a_{n-m}]] = K^{-(n-m+1)}$ for any intergers m, n and $a_0, a_1 \cdots a_{n-m}$ in X. μ may be described as the equally distributed independent measure on \mathscr{F} . Then $n^r f_{1n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ converges as $n \to \infty$ in $L_1(\nu)$. In particular, if ν is ergodic, the limit function is equal to $\log K - H$ with ν -probability one where H is the entropy of ν measure [4]. We shall generalize this theorem to the case that X is countable and μ is Markovian with stationary transition probabilities.

SHU-TEH C. MOY

THEOREM 7. Let the totality of elements of X be a_1, a_2, \cdots and ν be a stationary probability measure on \mathscr{F} such that $\int -\log \nu_1(x_1) d\nu < \infty$ where ν_1 is the function defined on X by $\nu_1(a_i) = \nu[x_1 = a_i]$. Let μ be a Markovian measure on \mathscr{F} with stationary transition probabilities. Let $p(a_i, a_j)$ be the value of $P_{\mu}[x_1 = a_j | x_0]$ when $x_0 = a_i$. Let ν_{1n} be absolutely continuous with respect to μ_{1n} for $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. If

$$-{
m log}\; p(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\,x_{\scriptscriptstyle 2})d
u < \infty$$

and $\int |\log f_{11}(x_1)| d\nu < \infty$ then $\int |\log f_{1n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)| d\nu < \infty$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $n^{-1} \log f_{1n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ converges as $n \to \infty$ in $L_1(\nu)$. In particular, if ν is ergodic, the limit is equal to a constant with ν -probability one.

Proof. Let

$$u_n(a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \cdots, a_{i_n}) =
u[x_1 = a_{i_1}, x_2 = a_{i_2}, \cdots, x_n = a_{i_n}]$$

and

$$\mu_n(a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \cdots, a_{i_n}) = \mu[x_1 = a_{i_1}, x_2 = a_{i_2}, \cdots, x_n = a_{i_n}]$$

Then

$$f_1, x_1, \cdots, x_n = \frac{\nu_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n)}{\mu_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n)}$$

with μ -probabes \Rightarrow and

$$= a_i | x_{n-1}, \cdots, x_1] = \frac{\nu_n(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, a_i)}{\nu_{n-1}(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1})}$$

with ν -probability one and

$$P_{\mu}[x_{n} = a_{i} | x_{n-1}] = \frac{\mu_{n}(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1}, a_{i})}{\mu_{n}(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1})}$$

with μ -probability one. Hence

$$\frac{f_{1n}(x_1, \cdots, x_n)}{f_{1n-1}(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1})} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{P_{\nu}[x_n = a_i \,|\, x_{n-1}, \cdots, x_1]}{P_{\mu}[x_n = a_i \,|\, x_{n-1}]} I_{x_n = a_i}$$

with ν -probability one and

(21)
$$\log \frac{f_{1\,n-1}(x_1,\,\cdots,\,x_n)}{f_{1\,n-1}(x_1,\,\cdots,\,x_{n-1})} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \log P_{\nu}[x_n = a_i \,|\, x_{n-1},\,\cdots,\,x_1] I_{x_n = a_i}$$
$$-\log p(x_{n-1},\,x_n)$$
$$= T^n g_n$$

with *v*-probability one where

(22)
$$g_n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \log P_{\nu}[x_0 = a_i | x_{-1}, \cdots, x_{-(n-1)}] I_{x_0 = a_i} -\log p(x_{-1}, x_0).$$

We know that $P_{\nu}[x_0 = a_i | x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-(n-1)}]$ converges with ν -probability one as $n \to \infty$ to $P_{\nu}[x_0 = a_i | x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \dots]$ by Doob's Martingale Convergence Theorem. Hence $L\{P_{\nu}[x_0 = a_i | x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-(n-1)}]\}$ converges with ν -probability one to $L\{P_{\nu}[x_0 = a_i | x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \dots]\}$. But $L(\xi)$ is a bounded function for $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$, hence $L\{P_{\nu}[x_0 = a_i | x_{-1}, x_{-(n-1)}]\}$ are uniformly bounded with ν -probability one. Hence $L\{P_{\nu}[x_0 = a_i | x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-(n-1)}]\}$ also converges in $L_1(\nu)$ to $L\{P_{\nu}[x_0 = a_i | x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \dots]\}$ as $n \to \infty$. Now by Jens_n's inequality $\int -L\{P_{\nu}[x_0 = a_i | x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-(n-1)}]\}d\nu \leq -L\{P_{\nu}[x_0 = a_i]\}$. Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} - L\{P_{
u}[x_0 = a_i]\} = \int -\log
u_1(x_0) d
u < \infty$$
 $\sum_{i=1}^{m} - L\{P_{
u}[x_0 = a_i \mid x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-(n-1)}]\}$

converges in $L_1(\nu)$, as $m \to \infty$, to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} - L\{P_{
u}[x_{0} = a_{i} \,|\, x_{-1}, \cdots, x_{-u_{i}}]\}$$

uniformly in n. Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} - L\{P_{\nu}[x_0 = a_i \,|\, x_{-1}, \, \cdots, \, x_{-(n-1)}]$$

converges in $L_1(\nu)$ to

(23)

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} &- L\{P_{\nu}[x_{0} = a_{i} \mid x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \cdots]\} \text{ as } n \to \infty. \text{ Now} \\ &\int -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \log P_{\nu}[x_{0} = a_{i} \mid x_{-1}, \cdots, x_{-(n-1)}]I_{x_{0} = a_{i}}d\nu \\ &= \int -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} L\{P_{\nu}[x_{0} = a_{i} \mid x_{-1}, \cdots, x_{-(n-1)}]\}d\nu \text{ and} \\ &\int -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \log P_{\nu}[x_{0} = a_{i} \mid x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \cdots]I_{x_{0} = a_{i}}d\nu \\ &= \int -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} L\{P_{\nu}[x_{0} = a_{i} \mid x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \cdots]\}d\nu, \text{ hence} \\ &\lim_{n \to \infty} \int -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \log P_{\nu}[x_{0} = a_{i} \mid x_{-1}, \cdots, x_{-(n-1)}]I_{x_{0} = a_{i}}d\nu \\ &= \int -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \log P_{\nu}[x_{0} = a_{i} \mid x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \cdots]I_{x_{0} = a_{i}}d\nu . \end{split}$$

(23) together with the facts that the sequence

$$\left\{-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\log P_{
u}[x_{0}=x_{i}\,|\,x_{-1},\,\cdots,\,x_{-(n-1)}]I_{x_{0}=a_{i}}
ight\}$$

is also convergent with ν -probability one and that the functions

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\log P_{
m s}[x_{_0}=x_i\,|\,x_{_{-1}},\,\cdots,\,x_{_{-(n-1)}}]I_{x_{_0}=a_i}$$

are non negative with ν -probability one imply that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_{
u}[x_0=a_i\,|\,x_{-1},\,\cdots,\,x_{-(n-1)}]I_{x_0=a_i}$$

converges as $n \to \infty$ in $L_1(\nu)$ to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_{\mathcal{V}}[x_0 = a_i \,|\, x_{-1}, \, x_{-2}, \, \cdots] I_{x_0 = a_i}$$
 .

Thus we have $\{g_n\}$ to be an $L_1(\nu)$ convergent sequence. Let the limit of the sequence be h. Let \overline{h} be the $L_1(\nu)$ limit of $1/n(h + Th + \cdots + T^nh)$ as $n \to \infty$. Now by (21)

$$\begin{split} \log f_{1\,2}(x_{1},\,\cdots,\,x_{n}) &= \log f_{1\,1}(x_{1}) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} T^{i}g_{i}. \quad \text{Thus} \\ \int \Bigl| \frac{1}{n} \log f_{1\,n}(x_{1},\,\cdots,\,x_{n}) - \bar{h} \Bigr| d\nu \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \int |\log f_{1\,1}(x_{1})| \, d\nu + \int \Bigl| \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} T^{i}g_{i} - \sum_{i=2}^{n} T^{i}h \right) \Bigr| d\nu \\ &+ \int \Bigl| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=2}^{n} T^{i}h - \bar{h} \Bigr| d\nu \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int \Bigl| \log f_{1\,1}(x_{1}) \Bigr| d\nu + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=2}^{n} \int |g_{i} - h| \, d\nu \\ &+ \int \Bigl| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=2}^{n} T^{i}h - \bar{h} \Bigr| d\nu \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty . \end{split}$$

COROLLARY 3. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 7, if ν is ergodic and not Markovian then ν is singular to μ .

Proof. If ν is ergodic then the $L_1(\nu)$ limit, \overline{h} , of $\{1/n \log f_{1n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)\}$ is equal with ν probability one to

$$\int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} L\{P_{
u}[x_{0}=a_{i}\,|\,x_{-1},\,x_{-2},\,\cdots]\}d
u - \int \log p(x_{-1},\,x_{0})d
u$$

which is greater or equal to

$$\int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} L\{P_{
u}[x_0=a_i \,|\, x_{-1},\, x_{-2}]\}d
u - \int \log p(x_{-1},\, x_0)d
u$$
 .

Hence by (21)

$$ar{h} \geq \int \sum\limits_{i=1}^\infty \log P_
u[x_0=a_i \mid x_{-1},\, x_{-2}] I_{x_0=a_i} d
u - \int \log p(x_{-1},\, x_0) d
u \ = \int \log f_{1,3}(x_1,\, x_2,\, x_2) d
u - \int \log f_{1,2}(x_1,\, x_2) d
u \;.$$

However $\int \log f_{1\,3}(x_1, x_2, x_3) d\nu - \int \log f_{1\,2}(x_1, x_2) d\nu = 0$ if and only if

(24)
$$\mu[f_{1\,2}(x_1, x_2) \neq f_{1\,3}(x_1, x_2, x_3)] = 0$$

(24) implies that

$$P_{
u}[x_3 \in A \mid x_1, x_2] = P_{\mu}[x_3 \in A \mid x_1, x_2]$$

with ν -probability one for any $A \in \mathcal{S}$. This is impossible since μ is Markovian and ν is not. Hence $\overline{h} > 0$ with ν -probability one. Hence $f_{1n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \to \infty$ with ν probability one and ν is singular to μ by the same argument used in the proof in Corollary 1.

The extensions of Theorem 7 and Corollary 3 to k-Markovian μ is obvious.

References

1. Erik Sparre Anderson and Borge Jessen, Some limit theorems in an abstract set, Danske Vid. Selsk. Nat.-Fys. Medd. 22, no. 14 (1946). 22, No. 14 (1946).

2. J. L. Doob, Stochastic Processes, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.

3. Amiel Feinstein, Foundations of Information Theory, McGraw-Hill Inc. New York, Toronto, London.

4. B. McMillan, The basic theorems of information theory, Annals of Math. Statistics, 24 (1953), 196-219.

5. C. E. Shannon, The mathematical theory of communication, Bell Syst. Techn. Journ. 27 (1948), 379-423, 623-456.

WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DAVID GILBARG

Stanford University Stanford, California

F. H. BROWNELL

University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE University of California Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH	E. HEWITT	M. OHTSUKA	E. SPANIER
T. M. CHERRY	A. HORN	H. L. ROYDEN	E. G. STRAUS
D. DERRY	L. NACHBIN	M. M. SCHIFFER	F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIASTANFOCALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGYUNIVERUNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIAUNIVERMONTANA STATE UNIVERSITYWASHINUNIVERSITY OF NEVADAUNIVERNEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY*OREGON STATE COLLEGEAMERICOUNIVERSITY OF OREGONCALIFOIOSAKA UNIVERSITYHUGHESUNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIASPACE *

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 10, No. 4 December, 1960

M. Altman, An optimum cubically convergent iterative method of in	-	
bounded operator in Hilbert space		
Nesmith Cornett Ankeny, Criterion for rth power residuacity	••••••	1115
Julius Rubin Blum and David Lee Hanson, On invariant probability	measures I	1125
Frank Featherstone Bonsall, Positive operators compact in an auxil	iary topology	1131
Billy Joe Boyer, Summability of derived conjugate series		1139
Delmar L. Boyer, A note on a problem of Fuchs		1147
Hans-Joachim Bremermann, <i>The envelopes of holomorphy of tube a</i> <i>dimensional Banach spaces</i>		1149
Andrew Michael Bruckner, Minimal superadditive extensions of sup		
functions		1155
Billy Finney Bryant, On expansive homeomorphisms		1163
Jean W. Butler, On complete and independent sets of operations in		
Lucien Le Cam, An approximation theorem for the Poisson binomic		
Paul Civin, Involutions on locally compact rings		
Earl A. Coddington, Normal extensions of formally normal operato	rs	1203
Jacob Feldman, Some classes of equivalent Gaussian processes on a		
Shaul Foguel, Weak and strong convergence for Markov processes.		
Martin Fox, Some zero sum two-person games with moves in the un	it interval	1235
Robert Pertsch Gilbert, Singularities of three-dimensional harmonic		
Branko Grünbaum, Partitions of mass-distributions and of convex b	0	
hyperplanes	•	1257
Sidney Morris Harmon, Regular covering surfaces of Riemann surf	aces	1263
	<i></i>	
Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr	oup of integers	
Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, <i>The multiplicative semigr</i> modulo m		1291
<i>modulo m</i>	or groups	1309
modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector	pr groups	1309
modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups	or groups	1309 1313
modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, <i>Relation of a direct limit group to associated vecto</i> Calvin Virgil Holmes, <i>Commutator groups of monomial groups</i> James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, <i>The non-existence of expans</i>	or groups	1309 1313 1319
 modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell	sive homeomorphisms	1309 1313 1319 1323
 modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f 	pr groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains	1309 1313 1319 1323 1327
 modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric company 	or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups	1309 1313 1319 1323 1327
 modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigrical semigroups in partially ordered semigroups in partially 	or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions roups Faussky and	1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333
 modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric co Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semig Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of f 	or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains Faussky and	1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337
 modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric co Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semig Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus 	pr groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains Faussky and	1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347
 modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semig Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stational 	or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Faussky and d fraction try measures	1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371
 modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semig Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stationed John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems 	or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions roups Faussky and d fraction rry measures	1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371 1385
 modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semig Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of T Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stational John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems Edward C. Posner, Integral closure of differential rings 	pr groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains Foups Faussky and d fraction ary measures	1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393
 modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semig Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stationed John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems 	pr groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains Foups Faussky and d fraction ary measures	1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393
 modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semig Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of T Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stational John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems Edward C. Posner, Integral closure of differential rings 	pr groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Faussky and d fraction ary measures	1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1337 1347 1347 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393 1397
 modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell	pr groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Faussky and d fraction ary measures	1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1337 1347 1347 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393 1397
 modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semig Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stationed John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems Edward C. Posner, Integral closure of differential rings Marian Reichaw-Reichbach, Some theorems on mappings onto Marvin Rosenblum and Harold Widom, Two extremal problems 	or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions roups Faussky and d fraction ary measures ntial-difference	1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1337 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393 1397 1409 1419
 modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell	pr groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Taussky and d fraction ary measures ntial-difference	1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1337 1347 1347 1347 1347 1385 1393 1397 1409 1419 1429
 modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell	pr groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Faussky and d fraction ary measures ntial-difference	1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1337 1347 1347 1347 1347 1393 1397 1409 1419 1429 1447
 modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell	pr groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Faussky and d fraction try measures ntial-difference $(1,, \partial/\partial z_n$ tegrals	1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1337 1347 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393 1397 1409 1419 1429 1447 1453