Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ASYMPTOTICS. II. LAPLACE'S METHOD FOR MULTIPLE INTEGRALS

WATSON BRYAN FULKS AND J. O. SATHER

Vol. 11, No. 1 November 1961

ASYMPTOTICS II: LAPLACE'S METHOD FOR MULTIPLE INTEGRALS

W. FULKS AND J. O. SATHER

Laplace's method is a well known and important tool for studying the rate of growth of an integral of the form

$$I(h) = \int_a^b e^{-hf} g dx$$

as $h \to \infty$, where f has a single minimum in $[a, b]$. It's extension to multiple integrals has been studied by L. C. Hsu in a series of papers starting in 1948, and by P. G. Rooney (see bibliography). These authors establish what amount to a first term of an asymptotic expansion. All but one (see [7]) of these results are under fairly heavy smoothness conditions.

In this paper we examine multiple integrals of the form

$$I(h) = \int_R e^{-hf} g dx$$

where f and g are measurable functions defined on a set R in E_p. Without making any smoothness assumptions on f and g, and using only the existence of $I(h)$ and, of course, asymptotic expansions of f and g near the minimum point of f we obtain an asymptotic expansion of I. The special features of our procedure are the lack of smoothness assumptions and the fact that we get a complete expansion.

Without loss of generality we may assume that the essential infimum of f occurs at the origin, and that this minimal value is zero. We introduce polar coordinates: $x = (\rho, \Omega)$ where

$$\rho = |x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_p^2} ,$$

and where $\Omega = x/|x|$ is a point on the surface, S_{p-1}, of the unit sphere.

Our hypothesis are the following:

(1) The origin is an interior point of R.

(2) For each $\rho_0 > 0$ there is an $A > 0$ such that $f(\rho, \Omega) \geqq A$ if $\rho \geqq \rho_0$. (This says that f can be close to zero only at the origin.)

(3) There is an $n \geqq 0$ and $n + 1$ continuous functions $f_k(\Omega)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, n$, defined on S_{p-1} with $f_0 > 0$ for which

$$f(\rho, \Omega) = \rho^\nu \sum_{k=0}^{n} f_k(\Omega)\rho^k + o(\rho^{n+\nu}) \text{ as } \rho \to 0$$

Received April 29, 1960. The work on this paper was performed under sponsorship of the Office of Naval Research, Contract Nonr 710 (16), at the University of Minnesota.

where $\nu > 0$. (This is meant in the following sense: for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\rho_0 > 0$ for which

$$|f(\rho, \Omega) - \rho^{\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{n} f_k(\Omega)\rho^k| < \varepsilon\rho^{n+\nu}$$

whenever $\rho \leqq \rho_0$. Besides giving the asymptotic behavior of f near the origin (3) implies that the infimum of f in R is indeed zero.)

(4) There are $n+1$ functions $g_k(\Omega), k = 0, 1, \cdots n$, for which

$$g = \rho^{\lambda-p} \sum_{k=0}^{n} g_k(\Omega)\rho^k + o(\rho^{n+\lambda-k}) \text{ as } \rho \to 0$$

where $\lambda > 0$. (Thus g is permitted a mild singularity at the origin. The expansion is meant in the same sense as the one in (3).)

Under these conditions we will prove that if there is a h_0 for which $I(h)$ exists then it exists for all $h \geqq h_0$ and

$$I(h) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k h^{-(k+\lambda)/\nu} + o(h^{-(n+\lambda)/\nu})$$

where the c_k's are constants depending only on the f_j's and g_j's for $j \leqq k$. Their evaluation will be described in the proof of this result. In particular

$$C_0 = \frac{\Gamma((\lambda+1)/\nu)}{\lambda} \int_{S_{p-1}} g_0(\Omega)/[f_0(\Omega)]^{\lambda/\nu} d\Omega$$

where $d\Omega$ is the element of $(p-1)$-dimensional measure on S_{p-1}.

In the course of the proof we will use the following lemmas, which are given now so as to not interrupt the main thread of the argument.

LEMMA 1. *Let f be a measurable function on a set R in E_p, and let $g \in L_1(R)$. Then the function $G(z)$ defined by*

$$G(z) = \int_{\{f \leqq z\}} g\,dx$$

has bounded variation on $\{-\infty < z < \infty\}$.

Proof. Let $g = g_1 - g_2$, where

$$g_1(x) = \begin{cases} g(x), & g(x) \geqq 0 \\ 0, & g(x) < 0 \end{cases}; \qquad g_2(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & g(x) \geqq 0 \\ -g(x), & g(x) < 0, \end{cases}$$

and define G_1 and G_2 by

$$G_1(z) = \int_{\{f \leqq z\}} g_1 dx\,, \qquad G_2(z) = \int_{\{f \leqq z\}} g_2 dx\,.$$

Clearly G_1 and G_2 are increasing and bounded on $\{-\infty < z < \infty\}$, and $G = G_1 - G_2$.

LEMMA 2. *Let $F(t)$ be a continuous function defined on a possibly infinite interval $\{a < t < b\}$, and let f be a measurable function on a set R in E_p taking values in the interval $\{a < t < b\}$. If $g \in L_1(R)$, and $F(f)g \in L_1(R)$ and G is defined as in Lemma 1, then*

$$\int_R F(f)gdx = \int_a^b F(t)dG(t) .$$

Proof. Suppose first that a and b are finite, and that $g \geqq 0$. Form a partition: $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b$, and set

$$E_j = \{x \mid t_{j-1} < f \leqq t_j\} ,$$

and let $M_j = \sup_{\{t_{j-1} \leqq t \leqq t_j\}} F(t)$ and $m_j = \inf_{\{t_{j-1} \leqq t \leqq t_j\}} F(t)$.

Then

$$\int_R F(f)gdx = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{E_j} F(f)gdx \leqq \sum_{j=1}^n M_j \int_{E_j} gdx$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^n M_j[G(t_j) - G(t_{j-1})] .$$

Similarly

$$\int_R F(f)gdx \geqq \sum_{j=1}^n m_j[G(t_j) - G(t_{j-1})] .$$

If we let $n \to \infty$ so that $\max_{1 \leqq j \leqq n}(t_j - t_{j-1}) \to 0$ then both

$$\sum_{j=1}^n M_j[G(t_j) - G(t_{j-1})] \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^n m_j[G(t_j) - G(t_{j-1})]$$

converge to $\int_a^b F(t)dG(t)$, since F is continuous and G monotone.

If g is not positive we can write $g = g_1 - g_2$ as in Lemma 1, apply the proof just completed to each of g_1 and g_2, and combine the results to complete the proof for the case where a and b are finite.

Suppose for example b is infinite. Then for any finite b',

$$\int_R F(f)gdx = \lim_{b' \to \infty} \int_{\{f \leqq b'\}} F(f)gdx = \lim_{b' \to \infty} \int_a^{b'} F(t)dG(t)$$
$$= \int_a^\infty F(t)dG(t) .$$

A similar argument applies if $a = -\infty$.

We now return to the proof of the main theorem. First we note that if $h \geqq h_0$ then $e^{-h_0 f}g$ forms a dominating function for $e^{-hf}g$, so that

$I(h)$ exists.

For each $\varepsilon > 0$ we define the two functions $f_+(\rho, \Omega)$ and $f_-(\rho, \Omega)$ by

$$f_\pm(\rho, \Omega) = \rho^\nu \sum_{k=0}^{n} f_k(\Omega)\rho^k \pm \varepsilon\rho^{n+\nu} .$$

These functions are defined in all of E_p. Now given an $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a ρ_0 so that

(i) $|f(\rho, \Omega) - \rho^\nu \sum_{k=0}^{n} f_k(\Omega)\rho^k| < \varepsilon\rho^{n+\nu}$

(ii) $|g(\rho, \Omega) - \rho^{\lambda-p} \sum_{k=0}^{n} g_k(\Omega)\rho^k| < \varepsilon\rho^{n+\lambda-p}$ for $\rho < \rho_0$,

and so that

(iii) both the functions $f_\pm(\rho, \Omega)$ are increasing in ρ for $\{0 \leqq \rho \leqq \rho_0\}$ for each $\Omega \in S_{p-1}$. This can easily be achieved since f_0 is positive (and therefore bounded away from zero) and the other f_k's are bounded.

(iv) the sphere $\{\rho \leqq \rho_0\}$ is in R.

We denote $\{\rho \leqq \rho_0\}$ by R_0 and write $I(h)$ in the form

$$I(h) = \int_{R_0} e^{-hf} g dx + \int_{R-R_0} e^{-hf} g dx \equiv I_1(h) + I_2(h)$$

respectively. We proceed to estimate I_2: by hypothesis (2) there is an $A > 0$ so that $f \geqq A$ if $\rho \geqq \rho_0$. Thus

$$|I_2(h)| \leqq \int_{R-R_0} e^{-hf} |g| dx \leqq e^{-(h-h_0)A} \int_{R-R_0} e^{-h_0 f} |g| dx$$
$$= Ce^{-hA} \text{ where } C \text{ is a constant.}$$

That is,

$$I_2(h) = O(e^{-hA}) \text{ as } h \to \infty ,$$

so it is clear that the dominant part of $I(h)$ must arise from $I_1(h)$. The remainder of the proof is largely concerned with estimating I_1.

In R_0 we define $r(\rho, \Omega)$ by

$$g(\rho, \Omega) = \rho^{\lambda-p} \sum_{0}^{n} g_k(\Omega)\rho^k + r(\rho, \Omega)\rho^{n+\lambda-p} .$$

Let

$$g_k^+(\Omega) = \begin{cases} g_k(\Omega), & g_k(\Omega) \geqq 0 \\ 0, & g_k(\Omega) < 0 \end{cases}, \qquad g_k^-(\Omega) = \begin{cases} 0, & g_k(\Omega) \geqq 0 \\ -g(\Omega), & g_k(\Omega) > 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$r^+(\rho, \Omega) = \begin{cases} r(\rho, \Omega), & r(\rho, \Omega) \geqq 0 \\ 0, & r(\rho, \Omega) < 0 \end{cases}; \quad r^-(\rho, \Omega) = \begin{cases} 0, & r(\rho, \Omega) \geqq 0 \\ -r(\rho, \Omega), & r(\rho, \Omega) < 0 . \end{cases}$$

In R_0 we now define $g^+(\rho, \Omega)$ and $g^-(\rho, \Omega)$ by

$$g^+(\rho, \Omega) = \rho^{\lambda-p} \sum_{k=0}^{n} g_k^+(\Omega)\rho^k + r^+(\rho, \Omega)\rho^{n+\lambda-p}$$

and

$$g^-(\rho, \Omega) = \rho^{\lambda-p} \sum_{k=0}^{n} g^-(\Omega)\rho^k + r^-(\rho, \Omega)\rho^{n+\lambda-p} .$$

Then $g = g^+ - g^-$ and

$$I_1 = \int_{R_0} e^{-hf} g^+ dx - \int_{R_0} e^{-hf} g^- dx .$$

Thus we may assume that $g \geqq 0$ in R_0.

We recall the definition of f_+ and f_- and define $I_+(h)$ and $I_-(h)$ by

$$I_+(h) = \int_{R_0} e^{-hf_+} g dx,\ I_-(h) = \int_{R_0} e^{-hf_-} g dx .$$

Since $g \geqq 0$ we conclude

$$I_+(h) \leqq I_1(h) \leqq I_-(h) .$$

Next we turn our attention to I_+: Let $R_t = \{x \mid f_+ \leqq t\}$ and choose a so small that $R_a \subset R_0$. Then

$$I_+(h) = \int_{R_a} e^{-hf_+} g dx + \int_{R_0 - R_a} e^{-hf_+} g dx = I'_+ + I''_+ ,$$

respectively. Now f_+ is bounded away from zero in R_0 outside any neighborhood of the origin. Thus by the same argument used on I_2 we get

$$I''_+ = O(e^{-hA'}) .$$

Furthermore e^{-hf_+} is bounded away from zero in R_a, since f_+ is bounded there. Thus $e^{-hf_+} g \in L_1(R_a)$ and by Lemma 2,

$$I'_+ = \int_0^a e^{-ht} dG(t) ,$$

where $G(t) = \int_{R_t} g dx$. Integrating by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned} I'_+ &= e^{-ha} G(a) + h \int_0^a e^{-ht} G(t) dt \\ &= h \int_0^a e^{-ht} G(t) dt + O(e^{-ha}) . \end{aligned}$$

We next do some preliminary calculations, preparatory to estimating $G(t)$. For each $t, 0 \leqq t \leqq a$, the equation $t = f_+(\rho, \Omega)$ has a unique solution for ρ which is continuous in Ω, since f_+ is increasing in ρ.

Thus the solution defines a star-shaped curve (or surface) given by $\rho = \rho(t, \Omega)$. We proceed to estimate $\rho(t, \Omega)$. Set $t = U^\nu$ then $t = f_+(\rho, \Omega)$ can be written in the form

$$U^\nu = \rho^\nu\Big[\sum_0^n f_k(\Omega)\rho^k + \varepsilon\rho^n\Big]$$

or

$$U = \rho[f_0(\Omega) + f_1(\Omega)\rho + \cdots (f_n(\Omega) + \varepsilon)\rho^n]^{1/\nu} .$$

From here on we assume $n > 0$, for if $n = 0$, we can solve directly for ρ and the estimates are considerably simpler than those which follow.

Now the right hand side of the last equation is a monotone function of ρ, $0 \leqq \rho \leqq a$, hence an inverse function exists. Since, for each fixed Ω, U is an $(n + 2)$-times differentiable (it's even analytic!) function of ρ, $0 \leqq \rho \leqq a$, then ρ is an $(n + 2)$-times differentiable function of U, and it can therefore be expanded in a Taylor series with remainder. Thus since $f_0(\Omega) > 0$ we get

$$\rho = \psi_1(\Omega)U + \psi_2(\Omega)U^2 + \cdots + \psi_{n+1}(\Omega, \varepsilon)U^{n+1} + \psi_{n+2}(\Omega, \varepsilon, U)U^{n+2}$$

where $\psi_1(\Omega) = 1/[f_0(\Omega)]^{1/\nu}$. Since the ψ_k's are expressible in terms of the f_k's it is easy to check that ψ_k depends only on f_j's for $j \leqq k$, that ψ_k is independent of ε for $k \leqq n$, that ψ_{n+1} depends only linearly on ε and finally that ψ_{n+2} is uniformly bounded for $\Omega \in S_{p-1}$, $0 \leqq \varepsilon \leqq 1$, and $0 \leqq U \leqq a^{1/\nu}$.

Since $U = t^{1/\nu}$ we express ρ in terms of t, Ω, and ε by

$$\rho(t, \Omega) = \psi_1(\Omega)t^{1/\nu} + \psi_2(\Omega)t^{2/\nu} + \cdots + \psi_{n+1}(\Omega, \varepsilon)t^{(n+1)/\nu} + \psi_{n+2}(\Omega, \varepsilon, U)t^{(n+2)/\nu}$$

By definition $G(t) = \int_{R_t} g dx$, which we can write as

$$G(t) = \int_{S_{p-1}}\int_0^{\rho(t,\Omega)} g(\rho, \Omega)\rho^{p-1}d\rho d\Omega ,$$

where $d\Omega$ represents the element of measure on the sphere $S_{p-1} : \{\rho = 1\}$. We proceed to compute:

$$\begin{aligned} G(t) &= \int_{S_{p-1}}\int_0^{\rho(t,\Omega)}\Big(\sum_0^n g_k(\Omega)\rho^{k+\lambda-1} + o(\rho^{n+\lambda-1})\Big)d\rho d\Omega \\ &= \int_{S_{p-1}}\Big[\rho^\lambda(t, \Omega)\Big(\sum_0^n \frac{g_k(\Omega)}{k + \lambda}\rho^k(t, \Omega)\Big) + o(\rho^{n+\lambda}(t, \Omega))\Big]d\Omega . \end{aligned}$$

If we substitute for $\rho(t, \Omega)$ the expression previously computed for it, the preceding integral can be written in the form

$$G(t) = \int_{S_{p-1}} \left[t^{\lambda/\nu} \sum_0^{n-1} \gamma_k(\Omega) t^{k/\nu} + \gamma_n(\Omega, \varepsilon) t^{(n+\lambda)/\nu} + o(t^{(n+\lambda)/\nu}) \right] d\Omega$$

where γ_k is independent of ε for $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$, and γ_n is linear in ε. We may also note that each of the g_j's enter the γ_k's linearly. In particular

$$\gamma_0 = g_0(\Omega)/[f_0(\Omega)]^{\lambda/\nu} .$$

Now if we write $\gamma_n(\Omega, \varepsilon) = \gamma_n(\Omega) - \varepsilon\gamma_n'(\Omega)$ we have

$$G(t) = \int_{S_{p-1}} \left(\sum_0^n \gamma_k(\Omega) t^{(k+\lambda)/\nu} - \varepsilon\gamma_n'(\Omega) t^{(n+\lambda)/\nu} \right) d\Omega + o(t^{(n+\lambda)/\nu}) ,$$
$$= \sum_0^n \eta_k t^{(k+\lambda)/\nu} - \varepsilon\eta_n' {}^{(n+\lambda)/\nu} + o(t^{(n+\lambda)/\nu})$$

where $\eta_k = \int_{S_{p-1}} \gamma_k(\Omega) d\Omega$. In particular $\eta_0 = (1/\lambda)\int_{S_{p-1}} [g_0(\Omega)/[f_0(\Omega)]^{\lambda/\nu}] d\Omega$.

Now by Watson's lemma we can multiply this asymptotic formula for G by e^{-ht} and integrate termwise to get

$$I_+' = \sum_0^n c_k h^{-(k+\lambda)/\nu} - \varepsilon c_n' h^{(n+\lambda)/\nu} + o(h^{-(n+\lambda)/\nu})$$

where $c_k = \eta_k \Gamma((k + \lambda + 1)/\nu)$. In particular $c_0 = \eta_0 \Gamma((\lambda + 1)/\nu)$. Since $I_+ = I_+' + I_+'' = I_+' + o(e^{-hA'})$, we have also

$$I_+ = \sum_0^n c_k h^{-(k+\lambda)/\nu} - \varepsilon c_n' h^{-(n+\lambda)\nu} + o(h^{-(n+\lambda)/\nu}) .$$

By the same argument, since I_- differs from I_+ only in the sign of ε, we get

$$I_- = \sum_0^n c_k h^{-(k+\lambda)/\nu} + \varepsilon c_n' h^{-(n+\lambda)/\nu} + o(h^{-(n+\lambda)/\nu}) .$$

Now as we have shown before

$$I_+(h) \leqq I_1(h) \leqq I_-(h) .$$

Thus

$$I_+ - \sum_0^n c_k h^{-(k+\lambda)/\nu} \leqq I_1(h) - \sum_0^n c_k h^{-(k+\lambda)/\nu} \leqq I_- - \sum_0^n c_k h^{-(k+\lambda)/\nu} .$$

If we multiply through by $h^{(n+\lambda)/\nu}$ and let $h \to \infty$ we get

$$-\varepsilon c_n' \leqq \overline{\underline{\lim}} \left[(I_1(h) - \sum_0^n c_k h^{-(k+\lambda)/\nu}) h^{(n+\lambda)/\nu} \right] \leqq \varepsilon c_n' .$$

But $I(h) = I_1(h) + o(e^{-hA})$ so that we have also

$$-\varepsilon c_n' \leqq \overline{\underline{\lim}} \left[\left(I(h) - \sum_0^n c_k h^{-(k+\lambda)/\nu} \right) h^{(n+\lambda)/\nu} \right] \leqq \varepsilon c_n' ,$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\varepsilon \to 0$ to complete the proof for $g \geqq 0$.

If g may change sign near the origin we can decompose g with g^+ and g^- as described earlier. The proof just completed applies to each of these. We can then subtract the results to obtain the result for g. Also since g_j''s enter into the c_k''s linearly, the same formula for the c's applies whether g is one signed or has a variable sign near the origin.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. L. C. Hsu, *Approximations to a class of double integrals of functions of large numbers*, Amer. J. of Math., **70** (1948).
2. ———, *A theorem on the asymptotic behavior of a double integral*, Duke Math. J., **15** (1948).
3. ———, *An asymptotic expression for an integral involving a parameter*, Acad. Sinica Sci. Record, **2** (1949).
4. ———, *The asymptotic behavior of an integral involving a parameter*, Sci. Rep. Nat. Tsing Hua Univ., **5** (1949).
5. ———, *On the asymptotic behavior of a class of multiple integrals involving a parameter*, Amer. J. Math., **73** (1951)
6. ———, *The asymptotic behavior of a kind of multiple integrals involving a parameter*, Quart. J. Math. Ser., **2** (1951).
7. ———, *A theorem concerning an asymptotic integration*, Chung Kuo L'o Hsueh (Chinese Science), **2** (1951).
8. ———, *One kind of asymptotic integrals having absolute maximum at boundary points*, Acta Math. Sinica, **4** (1954).
9. ———, *On an asymptotic integral*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2), **10** (1956).
10. P. G. Rooney, *Some remarks on Laplace's method*. Trans. Roy. Soc. Canada. III, **47** (1953).

OREGON STATE COLLEGE
AND
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Reprinted 1966 in the United States of America

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 11, No. 1 November, 1961