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1. Introduction. If \( G = HK \) where \( H \) is a normal subgroup of the group \( G \) and where \( K \) is a subgroup of \( G \) with the trivial intersection with \( H \), then \( G \) is said to be a semi-direct product of \( H \) by \( K \) or a splitting extension of \( H \) by \( K \). We can consider a splitting extension \( G \) as an ordered triple \((H, K; \phi)\) where \( \phi \) is a homomorphism of \( K \) into the automorphism group \( \mathfrak{A}(H) \) of \( H \). The ordered triple \((H, K; \phi)\) is the totality of all ordered pairs \((h, k)\), \( h \in H \), \( k \in K \), with the multiplication

\[
(h, k)(h', k') = (h\phi_k(h'), kk').
\]

If \( \phi \) is a monomorphism of \( K \) into \( \mathfrak{A}(H) \), then \((H, K; \phi)\) is isomorphic to \((H, \phi(K); \iota)\) where \( \iota \) is the identity mapping of \( \phi(K) \), and therefore \( G \) is the relative holomorph of \( H \) with respect to a subgroup \( \phi(K) \) of \( \mathfrak{A}(H) \). If \( \phi \) is an isomorphism of \( K \) onto \( \mathfrak{A}(H) \), then \( G \) is the holomorph of \( H \).

Let \( H \) be a group, and let \( G \) be the holomorph of \( H \). We are considering \( H \) as a subgroup of \( G \) in the usual way. Gol'fand [1] studied the group \( \mathfrak{A}_H(G) \) of automorphisms of \( G \) each of which maps \( H \) onto itself, the group \( \mathfrak{I}(G) \) of inner automorphisms of \( G \), and the factor group \( \mathfrak{A}_H(G)/\mathfrak{I}(G) \). In case \( H \) is abelian, this factor group is isomorphic to the first cohomology group of \( \mathfrak{A}(H) \) acting on \( H \), as Mills [4] mentioned. In § 2, we generalize Gol'fand's results by dealing with a relative holomorph instead of with the holomorph. The fact that \( \phi \) is a monomorphism is essential for the proof. Hence this generalization of Gol'fand’s theory is in some sense the best possible one. Gol'fand [1], Miller [3], Mills [4], Peremans [5] and Specht [6, pp. 101–102] discussed the group of automorphisms of the holomorph of some groups. In § 3 and 4, we discuss the group of automorphisms of the holomorph of some other uncomplicated groups. As applications we can describe the group of automorphisms of the holomorph of symmetric groups and the group of automorphisms of the holomorph of subgroups of the additive group of rational numbers.

We set up our basic device which determines all automorphisms of a splitting extension \( G = (H, K; \phi) \) in terms of mappings of \( H \) and \( K \). It also enables us to compute the product of two automorphisms of \( G \).
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Lemma 1.1. Let \( G = (H, K; \phi) \) and let \( \mathcal{M} \) be the set of all quadruples \[
\begin{bmatrix}
A & C \\
B & D
\end{bmatrix},
\]
where \( A \in \text{Map} (H, H), \ B \in \text{Map} (H, K), \ C \in \text{Map} (K, H) \) and \( D \in \text{Map} (K, K) \) satisfying the following conditions:

1.1.1 For all \( h, h' \in H \), \( A(hh') = A(h)\phi_{B\phi(h)} (A(h')) \).

1.1.2 For all \( h, h' \in H \), \( B(hh') = B(h)B(h') \).

1.1.3 For all \( k, k' \in K \), \( C(kk') = C(k)\phi_{D\phi(k)} (C(k')) \).

1.1.4 For all \( k, k' \in K \), \( D(kk') = D(k)D(k') \).

1.1.5 For all \( h \in H, k \in K \), \( B(\phi_{a}(h))D(k) = D(k)B(h) \).

1.1.6 For all \( h \in H, k \in K \), \( A(\phi_{a}(h))\phi_{B\phi_{a}(h)} (C(k)) = C(k)\phi_{D\phi(k)} (A(h)) \).

1.1.7 For any \( (h', k') \in G \), there exists a unique \( (h, k) \in G \) satisfying \( (A(h)\phi_{B\phi(h)} (A(h')), B(h)) = (h', k') \).

Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the automorphism group \( \mathcal{U}(G) \) of \( G \) and \( \mathcal{M} \) under the correspondence \( \alpha \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \) defined by

\[
\alpha(h, e) = (A(h), B(h)) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(e, k) = (C(k), D(k)).
\]

Further, if

\[
\alpha \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} A_{a} & C_{a} \\ B_{a} & D_{a} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} A_{\beta} & C_{\beta} \\ B_{\beta} & D_{\beta} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \alpha \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} A_{\beta a} & C_{\beta a} \\ B_{\beta a} & D_{\beta a} \end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( \beta \alpha \) denotes the automorphism, arising by first applying \( \alpha \) and then \( \beta \), then

\[
A_{\beta a}(h) = A_{a}(A_{\beta}(h))\phi_{B\phi_{a}(h)} \phi_{C\phi_{a}(h)} (C_{\beta}(B_{a}(h))) ,
\]

\[
B_{\beta a}(h) = B_{\beta}(A_{a}(h))D_{a}(B_{a}(h)) ,
\]

\[
C_{\beta a}(k) = A_{\beta}(C_{a}(k))\phi_{B\phi_{a}(k)} \phi_{C\phi_{a}(k)} (C_{\beta}(D_{a}(k)))
\]

and

\[
D_{\beta a}(k) = B_{\beta}(C_{a}(k))D_{a}(D_{a}(k)) .
\]

Because of the one-to-one correspondence described above, we identify an element of \( \mathcal{U}(G) \) with the corresponding element of \( \mathcal{M} \). As the multiplication formula in \( \mathcal{U}(G) \) we have

\[
\begin{bmatrix} A_{\beta} & C_{\beta} \\ B_{\beta} & D_{\beta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{a} & C_{a} \\ B_{a} & D_{a} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{\beta a} + \phi_{B\phi_{a}} \phi_{C\phi_{a}} A_{\beta} C_{a} + \phi_{B\phi_{a}} \phi_{C\phi_{a}} D_{a} \\ B_{\beta a} + \phi_{B\phi_{a}} \phi_{C\phi_{a}} B_{\beta} C_{a} + \phi_{B\phi_{a}} \phi_{C\phi_{a}} D_{a} \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Let \( X \) be a group and \( Y \) a subgroup of \( X \). By \( \langle x \rangle_{X} \), we denote the inner automorphism of \( X \) induced by \( x \in X \). By \( \langle x \rangle_{X} | Y \), we denote the restriction of \( \langle x \rangle_{X} \) to \( Y \). By \( Z(X) \) and \( N(Y, X) \), we denote the center of \( X \) and the normalizer of \( Y \) in \( X \), respectively.

---

1 If \( X \) and \( Y \) are two groups, by \( \text{Map} (X, Y) \) is meant the set of all mappings of \( X \) into \( Y \). The same sort of self-explanatory notations such as \( \text{Hom} (X, Y) \), \( \text{End} (X) \) will also be employed.
In the following definitions\(^2\), let \( H \) be a group and \( K \) a subgroup of \( \mathfrak{A}(H) \).

A mapping \( U \) of \( K \) into \( H \) is called a **crossed character** of \( K \) into \( H \) if and only if, for all \( k, k' \in K \),

\[
U(kk') = U(k)(U(k'))^e.
\]

Here and hereafter a symbol like \( h^e \) is used as substitute for \( k(h) \).

A crossed character \( U \) of \( K \) into \( H \) is called **regular** if and only if the correspondence

\[
k \rightarrow \langle U(k) \rangle^e h k
\]

is an automorphism of \( K \). That \( \langle U(k) \rangle^e \in K \) for every \( k \in K \) is an implicit requirement of the definition.

A mapping \( U \) of \( K \) into \( H \) is called a **principal character** of \( K \) into \( H \) if and only if there exists \( h \in H \) such that for all \( k \in K \)

\[
U(k) = h(h^{-1})^e.
\]

In this case, \( U \) is said to be defined by \( h \).

A principal character \( U \) of \( K \) into \( H \) defined by \( h \in H \) is called **regular** if and only if

\[
\langle h \rangle^e \in N(K, \mathfrak{A}(H)).
\]

A principal character \( U \) of \( K \) into \( H \) defined by \( h \in H \) is called **superregular** if and only if

\[
\langle h \rangle^e \in K.
\]

By \( \mathcal{C} \) and \( \mathcal{C}' \), we denote the set of all crossed characters of \( K \) into \( H \) and the set of all regular crossed characters of \( K \) into \( H \), respectively. \( \Psi, \Psi' \) and \( \Psi'' \), we denote the set of all principal characters of \( K \) into \( H \), the set of all regular principal characters of \( K \) into \( H \) and the set of all superregular principal characters of \( K \) into \( H \), respectively.

The following facts are readily verified.

**Proposition 1.2.** Every principal character of \( K \) into \( H \) is a crossed character of \( K \) into \( H \).

**Proposition 1.3.** Let \( U \) be a principal character of \( K \) into \( H \). Then \( U \) is a regular principal character of \( K \) into \( H \) if and only if \( U \) is a regular crossed character of \( K \) into \( H \).

2. **Gol’fand’s theory for a relative holomorph.** By \( E \) and \( 0 \), we denote the identity mapping and the trivial mapping, respectively, with

\(^2\) Cf. [1].
suitable domain and range. By specializing \( \phi = \tau, B = 0 \) and \( C = 0 \) in (1.1), we have

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( G = (H, K; \tau) \). Then \( \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{H}(G) \) if and only if

\[ A \in N(K, \mathfrak{H}(H)) \text{ and } D = \langle A \rangle_{H} | K \]

hold, where \( N \) stands for \( N(K, \mathfrak{H}(H)) \).

By \( \mathfrak{A}_{H,K}(G) \), we denote the set of all automorphisms of \( G \) each of which maps each of \( H \) and \( K \) onto themselves. It follows from (2.1) that

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \( G = (H, K; \tau) \). Then

\[ \mathfrak{A}_{H,K}(G) \cong N(K, \mathfrak{H}(H)) \]

under the mapping \( \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow A \).

On the other hand, by specializing \( \phi = \tau, A = E \) and \( B = 0 \) in (1.1), we have

**Proposition 2.3.** Let \( G = (H, K; \tau) \). Then \( \begin{bmatrix} E & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{H}(G) \) if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. (2.3.1) \( D \in \mathfrak{H}(K) \).
2. (2.3.2) \( CD^{-1} \in \mathfrak{G} \).
3. (2.3.3) \( \langle CD^{-1}(k) \rangle_{H} k = D^{-1}(k) \) for all \( k \in K \).

**Proposition 2.4.** Let \( G = (H, K; \tau) \). Then, for any \( U \in \mathfrak{G} \), there exists a unique \( \begin{bmatrix} E & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{H}(G) \) such that \( CD^{-1} = U \).

*Proof.* For any given \( U \in \mathfrak{G} \), we define an automorphism \( D^{-1} \) of \( K \) by

\[ D^{-1}(k) = \langle U(k) \rangle_{H} k \]

and define a mapping \( C \) of \( K \) into \( H \) by \( C = UD \). Then by (2.3) we see that \( \begin{bmatrix} E & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{H}(G) \), proving the existence. By (2.3.3) and by our definition we have the uniqueness.

By \( \mathfrak{H}(G) \), we denote the set of all automorphisms of \( G \) each of which is an extension of the identity mapping on \( H \).

**Proposition 2.5.** Let \( G = (H, K; \tau) \). Then \( \mathfrak{H}(G) \) is isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{G} \) with the multiplication
\[(U_1 \circ U)(k) = U(\langle U_1(k) \rangle_H)U_1(k)U(k)\]

under the correspondence

(2.5.1) \[
\begin{bmatrix}
E & C \\
0 & D
\end{bmatrix}
\rightarrow CD^{-1}.
\]

**Proof.** By (2.3) and (2.4), we see that the correspondence is a one-to-one mapping of $\mathcal{U}(G)$ onto $\mathcal{C}$. By the help of (2.3.3), we can show that it is an isomorphism.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let $G = (H, K; \iota)$. If \([A \ C] \in \mathcal{U}(G)\) and if $A \in N = N(K, \mathcal{U}(H))$, then

\[
D \in \mathcal{U}(K),
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
E & C\langle A^{-1}\rangle_{\mathcal{N}}/K \\
0 & D\langle A^{-1}\rangle_{\mathcal{N}}/K
\end{bmatrix}
\in \mathcal{U}(G),
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A & 0 \\
0 & \langle A \rangle_{\mathcal{N}}/K
\end{bmatrix}
\in \mathcal{U}(G)
\]

and

(2.6.1) \[
\begin{bmatrix}
A & C \\
0 & D
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
E & C\langle A^{-1}\rangle_{\mathcal{N}}/K \\
0 & D\langle A^{-1}\rangle_{\mathcal{N}}/K
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
A & 0 \\
0 & \langle A \rangle_{\mathcal{N}}/K
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

**Proof.** Specializing $\phi = \iota$ and $B = 0$ in (1.1), we have $D \in \mathcal{U}(K)$. By (2.1)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A & 0 \\
0 & \langle A \rangle_{\mathcal{N}}/K
\end{bmatrix}
\in \mathcal{U}(G)
\]

and the rest follows immediately.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let $G = (H, K; \iota)$. Suppose that, for every

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A & C \\
0 & D
\end{bmatrix}
\in \mathcal{U}(G),
\]

we have $A \in N = N(K, \mathcal{U}(H))$. Then

\[\mathcal{U}(G) \cong (\mathcal{C}, N; \phi)\]

with $\phi \in \text{Hom}(N, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{C}))$ defined by

\[\phi_A(U) = AU\langle A^{-1}\rangle_{\mathcal{N}}/K\]

for $A \in N$ and for $U \in \mathcal{C}$. 
Proof. By means of (2.6), we can show that \( \mathfrak{A}_H(G) \) is a splitting extension of \( \mathfrak{A}(G) \) by \( \mathfrak{A}_{H,K}(G) \). By (2.5) and (2.2), we conclude the proof.

By (2.3.1) and (2.3.3), we have

**PROPOSITION 2.8.** Let \( G = (H, K; \iota) \) and suppose \( \left[ \begin{array}{cc} E & C \\ 0 & D \end{array} \right] \in \mathfrak{A}(G) \). Then \( \left[ \begin{array}{cc} E & C \\ 0 & D \end{array} \right] \in \mathfrak{S}(G) \) if and only if \( CD^{-1} \in \Psi \).

By (1.3), (2.4) and (2.8), we have

**PROPOSITION 2.9.** Let \( G = (H, K, \iota) \). Then, for any \( U \in \Psi \), there exists a unique \( \left[ \begin{array}{cc} E & C \\ 0 & D \end{array} \right] \in \mathfrak{S}(G) \) such that \( CD^{-1} = U \).

**THEOREM 2.10.** Let \( G = (H, K; \iota) \). Then \( \Psi \) is a normal subgroup of \( \mathfrak{C}^r \)

\[ \mathfrak{A}_H(G) \cap \mathfrak{S}(G) \cong \Psi \]

and

\[ \frac{\mathfrak{C}^r}{\Psi} \cong \frac{\mathfrak{A}_H(G)\mathfrak{S}(G)}{\mathfrak{S}(G)} \]

**Proof.** In view of (2.8) and (2.9), the isomorphism (2.5.1) induces an isomorphism of \( \mathfrak{A}_H(G) \cap \mathfrak{S}(G) \) onto \( \Psi \). The rest follows from this easily.

Let \( G = (H, K; \iota) \). By \( \hat{K} \), we denote the set of all automorphisms of \( H \) each of which can be extended to an inner automorphism of \( G \) which maps \( K \) onto itself. By definition and by virtue of (2.1), we can easily verify the following fact:

**PROPOSITION 2.11.** Let \( G = (H, K; \iota) \). Then \( \hat{K} \) is a subgroup of \( \mathfrak{A}(H) \) lying between \( K \) and \( N(K, \mathfrak{A}(H)) \). Further, every \( A \in \hat{K} \) determines \( \left[ \begin{array}{cc} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{array} \right] \in \mathfrak{S}(G) \) uniquely, i.e., \( D = \langle A \rangle \cap K \).

**THEOREM 2.12.** Let \( G = (H, K; \iota) \). Suppose that, for every

\[ \left[ \begin{array}{cc} A & C \\ 0 & D \end{array} \right] \in \mathfrak{S}(G) \],

we have \( A \in \hat{K} \). Then

\( \mathfrak{S}(G) \cong (\Psi, \hat{K}; \phi) \)

where \( \phi \in \text{Hom}(\hat{K}, \mathfrak{A}(\Psi)) \) defined by \( \phi_A(U) = AU\langle A^{-1} \rangle \cap K \).
Proof. Let \( \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{F}(G) \). Then, by (2.11), \( A \in N(K, \mathfrak{A}(H)) \), and therefore the decomposition (2.6.1) is available, from which it follows that
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
E & C \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{N/K} \\
0 & D \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{N/K}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
is an inner automorphism of \( G \). Hence
\[
\mathfrak{F}(G) = (\mathfrak{H}(G) \cap \mathfrak{F}(G))(\mathfrak{H}_{H,K}(G) \cap \mathfrak{F}(G)),
\]
and it is easy to see that \( \mathfrak{F}(G) \) is a splitting extension of \( \mathfrak{H}(G) \cap \mathfrak{F}(G) \) by \( \mathfrak{H}_{H,K}(G) \cap \mathfrak{F}(G) \). By (2.10) and (2.11), we conclude the proof.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let \( G = (H, K; t) \). Suppose that, for every \( \gamma \in \mathfrak{F}(G) \), we have \( A \in \hat{K} \). Then
\[
\mathfrak{F}(G) = (\mathfrak{H}(G) \cap \mathfrak{F}(G))(\mathfrak{H}_{H,K}(G) \cap \mathfrak{F}(G))
\]
and it is easy to see that \( \mathfrak{F}(G) \) is a splitting extension of \( \mathfrak{H}(G) \cap \mathfrak{F}(G) \) by \( \mathfrak{H}_{H,K}(G) \cap \mathfrak{F}(G) \). By (2.10) and (2.11), we conclude the proof.

In case \( H \) is abelian, the factor group mentioned in the preceding theorem is isomorphic to the first cohomology group of \( K \) acting on \( H \).

3. Application to the holomorph of a complete group.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \( G = (H, K; t) \) where \( H \) is centerless, \( K \subset \mathfrak{F}(H) \) and \( \mathfrak{A}(K) = \mathfrak{F}(K) \). Then
\[
\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}.
\]

**Proof.** By definition and by (1.3), \( \mathfrak{F} \subset \mathfrak{F} \). Conversely, let \( U \in \mathfrak{F} \). Then, by (2.4), there exists \( \begin{bmatrix} E & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{A}(G) \) such that \( CD^{-1} = U \), where \( D \in \mathfrak{A}(K) \) by (2.3). By assumption,
\[
D = \langle \langle d^{-1} \rangle_H \rangle_K
\]
for some \( d \in H \) with \( \langle d^{-1} \rangle_H \in K \). By (2.3.3) and by the fact that \( H \) is centerless, we have
which shows that \( U \in \mathfrak{P}' \), and therefore \( \mathfrak{C}' \subset \mathfrak{P}' \).

From this we have

**Proposition 3.2.** Let \( G \) be the holomorph of a complete group \( H \). Then

\[ \mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{P}' . \]

This, together with (2.13), implies

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( G \) be the holomorph of a complete group \( H \). Then

\[ \mathfrak{A}(G) = \mathfrak{Z}(G) . \]

Since \( G \) has no center, we have

**Corollary 3.4.** Let \( G \) be the holomorph of a complete group \( H \). Then

\[ \mathfrak{A}(G) \cong G . \]

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \( G \) be the holomorph of a finite complete group \( H \) such that the square of the index of any non-trivial normal subgroup of \( H \) is smaller than the order of \( H \). Then \( \mathfrak{A}(G) \) is a splitting extension of \( \mathfrak{Z}(G) \) by a group of order 2.

**Proof.** Since \( H \) is a complete group and is a normal subgroup of \( G \), we have \( G = H \times K \) where \( K \) is the centralizer of \( H \) in \( G \) [2, vol. 2, p. 80]. \( K \) consists of all elements of the form \( (h^{-1}, <h>_H) \) with \( h \in H \). Obviously \( K \) is isomorphic to \( H \) under the mapping \( (h^{-1}, <h>_H) \rightarrow (h, e) \).

We shall represent every automorphism of \( G \) with reference to \( H \times K \) by means of (1.1) with \( \phi \) trivial. Suppose that we are given

\[ \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{A}(G) \]

with \( A \notin \mathfrak{A}(H) \). Since \( H \) cannot be isomorphic to any of its proper subgroups, we have \( \ker A \neq (e) \). If \( B \) were not an isomorphism of \( H \) onto \( K \), then we would have either

(a) \( B(H) \neq K \) and \( \ker B = (e) \) or (b) \( \ker B \neq (e) \).

Since \( H \) and \( K \) are isomorphic and since \( H \) cannot be isomorphic to any of its subgroups, (a) is impossible. On the other hand, (b) would imply

\[^{a}\] The referee pointed out that this theorem is an easy consequence of the Krull-Schmidt theorem.
\[
[\alpha(H): (e)] \leq [A(H): (e)][B(H): (e)]
\]
\[
= [H: \ker A][H: \ker B]
\]
\[
\leq \text{Max} \{[H: \ker A] , [H: \ker B]\}
\]
\[< [H: (e)] ,
\]
which is absurd. This shows that \( B \) is an isomorphism of \( H \) onto \( K \). Similarly, we can show by the help of the fact \( H = A(H)C(K) \), a consequence of (1.1.7), that \( C \) is an isomorphism of \( K \) onto \( H \). From the assumption that \( \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{A}(G) \) with \( D \notin \mathfrak{A}(K) \), we derive the same conclusions. Consequently, we see that if \( \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{A}(G) \) then either

(i) \( A \in \mathfrak{A}(H) \) and \( D \in \mathfrak{A}(K) \) or

(ii) \( B \) is an isomorphism of \( H \) onto \( K \), and \( C \) is an isomorphism of \( K \) onto \( H \).

Suppose \( \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{A}(G) \) with (i). Then \( \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{A}(G) \), and therefore

\[
\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} E & CD^{-1} \\ BA^{-1} & E \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{A}(G).
\]

Applying (1.1.5) to \( \begin{bmatrix} E & CD^{-1} \\ BA^{-1} & E \end{bmatrix} \), we can show that \( B = 0 \). On the other hand, we have \( C = 0 \) by (1.1.6). Similarly, we have \( A = 0 \) and \( D = 0 \) in case (ii). Consequently, \( \mathfrak{A}(G) \) is the totality of all quadruples of the following two types:

(I) \( \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \) where \( A \in \mathfrak{A}(H) \) and \( D \in \mathfrak{A}(K) \).

(II) \( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & C \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \) where \( B \) is an isomorphism of \( H \) onto \( K \) and \( C \) is an isomorphism of \( K \) onto \( H \).

Let \( \mathcal{I}_i \) be the subgroup of \( \mathfrak{A}(G) \) generated by \( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & C_i \\ B_i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \) where \( B_i \) and \( C_i \) are defined by \( B_i(h, e) = (h^{-1}, \langle h \rangle_n) \) and \( C_i = B_i^{-1} \). \( \mathfrak{A}(G) \) is a splitting extension of \( \mathfrak{J}(G) \) by \( \mathcal{I}_2 \). Using (3.3), we conclude the proof.

As an application we mention

**THEOREM 3.6.** Let \( G \) be the holomorph of the symmetric group \( S_n \) of degree \( n \) where \( n \geq 3, \; n \neq 4 \) and \( n \neq 6 \). Then, \( G \) is centerless, every automorphism of \( G \) which maps \( S_n \subset G \) onto itself is inner, and \( \mathfrak{A}(G) \) is a splitting extension of \( \mathfrak{J}(G) \) by a group of order 2.

4. Application to the holomorph \((H, K; \iota)\) of an abelian group \( H \) with respect to an abelian subgroup \( K \) of \( \mathfrak{A}(H) \). In this section, we use the additive notation for the composition in the abelian group \( H \) and the usual notation for each of the compositions in the ring of endomorphisms of \( H \). Thus, e.g., by \( 2 \) is meant the mapping which carries \( h \)
into $2h$. In case $H$ is abelian, $\mathcal{C}r = \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{P}^r = \mathcal{P}^r = \mathcal{P}$. In the first seven propositions, we do not assume that $K$ is abelian. Very quickly we can verify

**Proposition 4.1.** Let $G = (H, K; \iota)$ where $H$ is abelian. Suppose that there exists $k_0 \in Z(K)$ such that $k_0$ has no non-trivial fixed point. Let $U \in \mathcal{C}$. Then $U \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if $U(k_0) \in (1 - k_0)H$.

By (4.1) and (1.2), we have

**Proposition 4.2.** Let $G = (H, K; \iota)$ where $H$ is abelian. Suppose that there exists $k_0 \in Z(K)$ such that $1 - k_0$ is an automorphism of $H$. Then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}$.

By (4.2), (2.11) and (2.13), we have a well-known result:

**Proposition 4.3.** Let $G$ be the holomorph of an abelian group $H$, and let the mapping $\iota$ be an automorphism of $H$. Then $\mathfrak{A}_n(G) = \mathfrak{A}(G)$.

By (1.1.6), we have

**Proposition 4.4.** Let $G = (H, K; \iota)$ where $H$ is abelian. Then $\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{A}_n(G)$ implies that $A \in N(K, \mathfrak{A}(H))$.

It is easy to verify

**Proposition 4.5.** Let $G = (H, K; \iota)$ where $H$ is abelian. Suppose that there exists $k_0 \in Z(K)$ such that $k_0$ has no non-trivial fixed point. Let $h_0$ be any element of $H$. Then there exists at most one $U \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $U(k_0) = h_0$.

By $(1 - K)H$, we denote the set of all elements of the form $(1 - k)h$ for some $k \in K$ and some $h \in H$.

**Proposition 4.6.** Let $G = (H, K; \iota)$ where $H$ is abelian and $(1 - K)H$ is a subgroup of $H$. Suppose that there exists $k_0 \in Z(K)$ such that $1 - k_0$ is an isomorphism of $H$ onto $(1 - K)H$. Let $h_0$ be any fixed element of $H$. Then there exists exactly one $U \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $U(k_0) = h_0$.

**Proof.** Define $U(k) = (1 - k_0)^{-1}(1 - k)(h_0)$ to show the existence. The uniqueness follows from (4.5).

**Theorem 4.7.** Let $G = (H, K; \iota)$ where $H$ is abelian and $(1 - K)H$ is a subgroup of $H$. Suppose that there exists $k_0 \in Z(K)$ such that $1 - k_0$ is an isomorphism of $H$ onto $(1 - K)H$. Then $\mathfrak{A}_n(G)$ is isomorphic to a splitting extension of $H$ by $N(K, \mathfrak{A}(H))$. If further we assume $K \subset Z(N(K, \mathfrak{A}(H)))$, then

---

4 See [5, p. 617].
(4.7.1) \( \mathfrak{A}_n(G) \cong (H, N(K, \mathfrak{A}(H)); \iota) \).

Proof. By (4.4) and (2.7), we see that
\[ \mathfrak{A}_n(G) \cong (\mathfrak{C}, N; \theta) \]
with \( \theta \in \text{Hom}(N, \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{C})) \) defined by \( \theta_a(U) = AU\langle A^{-1}\rangle / K \) for \( A \in N \) and \( U \in \mathfrak{C} \). By (4.6), the mapping \( U \mapsto U(k_0) \) is an isomorphism of \( \mathfrak{C} \) onto \( H \). Hence the former statement. To prove the latter part, we observe that \( \langle A^{-1}\rangle / K = E \) and therefore \( \theta_a(U) = AU \). The mapping \( (U, A) \mapsto (U(k_0), A) \) of \( (\mathfrak{C}, N; \theta) \) into \( (H, N; \iota) \) establishes (4.7.1).

As an immediate consequence we have

**Corollary 4.8.** Let \( G = (H, K; \iota) \) where \( H \) and \( K \) are abelian and \( (1 - K)H \) is a subgroup of \( H \). Suppose that there exists \( k_0 \in K \) such that \( 1 - k_0 \) is an isomorphism of \( H \) onto \( (1 - K)H \). Suppose also that \( N(K, \mathfrak{A}(H)) = K \). Then

\[ \mathfrak{A}_n(G) \cong G. \]

**Theorem 4.9.** Let \( G = (H, K; \iota) \) where \( H \) and \( K \) are abelian and \( (1 - K)H \) is a subgroup of \( H \). Suppose that there exists \( k_0 \in K \) such that \( 1 - k_0 \) is an isomorphism of \( H \) onto \( (1 - K)H \). Then

\[ \mathfrak{Z}(G) \cong G. \]

Proof. Let \( \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{Z}(G) \). Then \( A \in K \), and therefore \( D = E \) by (1.1.6). \( A \in K \) also implies that \( \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{Z}(G) \). Hence
\[ \begin{bmatrix} E & C \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \in \mathfrak{Z}(G). \]

Therefore by (2.8) \( C \in \mathfrak{P} \). Conversely, suppose we are given \( A \in K \) and \( C \in \mathfrak{P} \). By (2.9), there exists a unique \( \begin{bmatrix} E & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{Z}(G) \) such that \( C, D^{-1} = C \). By (2.3.3) \( D_1 = E \) and therefore \( C_1 = C \). Hence
\[ \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E & C \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{Z}(G). \]

Thus we have shown that \( \mathfrak{Z}(G) \) is the totality of all \( \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix} \) with \( A \in K \) and \( C \in \mathfrak{P} \). By (4.1), \( (1 - k_0)^{-1}C(k_0) \) can be defined for each \( C \in \mathfrak{P} \). Define a mapping
\[ \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix} \mapsto ((1 - k_0)^{-1}C(k_0), A) \]
of \( \mathfrak{N}(G) \) into \( G \). By (4.1), (4.5) and (4.6), we see that the mapping is an isomorphism of \( \mathfrak{N}(G) \) onto \( G \).

**Theorem 4.10.** Let \( G = (H, K; \iota) \) where \( H \) and \( K \) are abelian and \( (1 - K)H \) is a subgroup of \( H \). Suppose that there exists \( k_0 \in K \) such that \( 1 - k_0 \) is an isomorphism of \( H \) onto \( (1 - K)H \). Suppose also that \( N(K, \mathfrak{N}(H)) = K \). Then

\[
\frac{\mathfrak{N}_f(G)}{\mathfrak{N}(G)} \cong \frac{G}{((1 - k_0)H, K; \iota')}
\]

where \( \iota' \), \( k \in K \), is the automorphism of \( (1 - k_0)H \) obtained by restricting \( k \) to \( (1 - k_0)H \).

**Proof.** By (1.1.6), (2.1), (2.3) and (2.6), we see \( \mathfrak{N}_f(G) \) is the totality of all \( \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix} \) with \( A \in K \) and \( C \in \mathfrak{N} \), while \( \mathfrak{N}(G) \) is the totality of all \( \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix} \) with \( A \in K \) and \( C \in \mathfrak{N}(H) \) as we saw in the proof of (4.9). Under the mapping

\[
\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow (C(k_0), A)
\]

which establishes \( \mathfrak{N}_f(G) \equiv (H, K; \iota) \), a particular case of (4.7.1), the image of \( \mathfrak{N}(G) \) is \( ((1 - k_0)H, K; \iota') \) by (4.1).

**Theorem 4.11.** Let \( G = (H, K; \iota) \) where \( H \) and \( K \) are abelian and \( (1 - K)H \) is a subgroup of \( H \). Suppose that \(-1 \in K \) and that \( 2 \) is an isomorphism of \( H \) onto \( (1 - K)H \). Suppose also that \( N(K, \mathfrak{N}(H)) = K \). If \(|H: 2H| = 2\), then \( \mathfrak{N}_f(G) \) is a splitting extension of \( \mathfrak{N}(G) \) by a group of order 2.

**Proof.** Take \( h_0 \in H, h_0 \notin 2H \). By (4.6) there exists \( C_0 \in \mathfrak{N} \) such that \( C_0(-1) = h_0 \). By the proof of the previous theorem, \( \begin{bmatrix} -E & C_0 \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix} \notin \mathfrak{N}(G) \). It is easy to see that \( \mathfrak{N}_f(G) \) is a splitting extension of \( \mathfrak{N}(G) \) by the subgroup \( \mathfrak{I}_z \) generated by \( \begin{bmatrix} -E & C_0 \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix} \).

As an application of the discussion in this section we prove

**Theorem 4.12.** Let \( G \) be the holomorph of a non-zero subgroup \( H \) of the additive group \( R \) of rational numbers. Then

\[
\mathfrak{A}(G) = \mathfrak{A}_f(G) \cong \mathfrak{N}(G) \cong G.
\]

In case 2 is an automorphism of \( H, \mathfrak{A}(G) = \mathfrak{N}(G) \). In case 2 is not an
automorphism of $H$, $\mathcal{U}(G)$ is a splitting extension of $\mathcal{Y}(G)$ by a group of order 2.

**Proof.** The mapping $2$ is an isomorphism of $H$ onto

$$2H = (1 - \mathcal{U}(H))H.$$ 

Hence, by (4.8) and (4.9), we have

$$\mathcal{U}_n(G) \cong G \cong \mathcal{Y}(G).$$

If $2 \in \mathcal{U}(H)$, then $\mathcal{U}_n(G) = \mathcal{Y}(G)$ by (4.3). On the other hand, if $2 \notin \mathcal{U}(H)$, then $[H:2H] = 2$ and therefore $\mathcal{U}(G)$ is a splitting extension of $\mathcal{Y}(G)$ by a group of order 2 by (4.11). It remains to show that $\mathcal{U}(G) = \mathcal{U}_n(G)$.

Let $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{U}(G)$. Then by (1.1.2) $B \in \text{Hom}(H, \mathcal{U}(H))$. Therefore $H/\ker B \cong B(H) \subset \mathcal{U}(H)$. Since $H$ cannot be embedded isomorphically into $\mathcal{U}(H)$, we have $\ker B \neq (0)$. Hence $B(H)$ is a periodic subgroup of $\mathcal{U}(H)$, and therefore $B(H) = (1)$ or $B(H) = (1, -1)$. In case $2 \in \mathcal{U}(H)$, $H$ has no subgroup of index 2, and therefore $B(H) = (1)$. Suppose that $2 \notin \mathcal{U}(H)$. In order to prove that $B(H) = (1)$, let us suppose on the contrary that $B(H) = (1, -1)$. Let $s$ be the smallest positive integer in $H$. Suppose that $2$ is not a prime factor of $s$. Let $\lambda$ be the non-negative integer such that $s/2^\lambda \in H$ and that $s/2^{\lambda+1} \notin H$. Every element of $H$ can be written in the form $sn_1/2^{\lambda}n_2$ with $(n_1, 2) = 1$, and $sn_1/2^{\lambda}n_2 \in H$ is an element of $\ker B$ if and only if $n_1$ is even. Write $n_1 = 2^{\mu}n_3$ with $(n_3, 2) = 1$. By induction on $\mu$ we can show, by means of (1.1.1), that $A(h) = 0$ for every $h \in \ker B$. By (1.1.1) we also have $A(h) = A(s/2^\lambda)$ for every $h \notin \ker B$. Consequently $\alpha(h) = (A(h), B(h))$ assumes at most four different values as $h$ ranges over $H$, which is absurd. In case 2 is a prime factor of $s$, let $-\lambda$ be the number of the factor 2 in the prime factorization of $s$. The same argument goes through and we have $B(H) = (1)$ in any case. This proves that $\mathcal{U}(G) = \mathcal{U}_n(G)$.

As an immediate consequence of (4.12) we have

**Corollary 4.13.** Let $G$ be the holomorph of a non-zero subgroup of the additive group $R$ of rational numbers. Then $G$ is complete if and only if 2 is an automorphism of $H$.
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