

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

DECOMPOSITION OF HOLOMORPHS

WILLIAM H. MILLS

DECOMPOSITION OF HOLOMORPHS

W. H. MILLS

Let G be a group, and let H be its holomorph. There are two situations in which H is known to be decomposable into the direct product of two proper subgroups. If G is the direct product of two of its proper characteristic subgroups, say G_1 and G_2 , then H is the direct product of the holomorphs of G_1 and G_2 . If G is a complete group, then H is the direct product of G and G^* , where G^* is the centralizer of G in H . In this paper we will show that if G is not the direct product of two proper characteristic subgroups, and if G is not complete, then H is indecomposable. Thus we have a complete characterization of those groups whose holomorphs are indecomposable.

A decomposition of H into the direct product of indecomposable factors is known for the case where G is a finite abelian group [1]. Our present results enable us to generalize this and give a decomposition of H into the direct product of indecomposable factors, whenever G is the direct product of a finite number of characteristically indecomposable characteristic subgroups. In particular this gives a complete decomposition of H whenever G is a finite group.

Peremans [2] has shown that a necessary and sufficient condition for G to be a direct factor of H is that G be either complete or the direct product of a group of order two and a complete group that has no subgroups of index two. This result is related to the present paper. In fact Peremans' result can be deduced from Lemma 1*.

1. Preliminaries. Let G be a group, and let A be the group of all automorphisms of G . Let e and I denote the identities of G and A respectively. The holomorph H of G can be regarded as the semi-direct product of G and A , i.e., the set of all pairs (g, σ) , $g \in G$, $\sigma \in A$, with multiplication defined by

$$(g, \sigma)(h, \tau) = (g(\sigma h), \sigma\tau).$$

We identify g in G with (g, I) in H . Then H is a group that contains G as an invariant subgroup, and every automorphism of G can be extended to an inner automorphism of H .

For all a in G we let λ_a denote the inner automorphism of G corresponding to the element a . Thus $\lambda_a g = aga^{-1}$.

All the results of this paper depend on the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. *Let $H = H_1 \times H_2$. Then $G \cap H_1$ and $G \cap H_2$ are characteristic subgroups of G and*

Received November 1, 1960.

$$G = (G \cap H_1) \times (G \cap H_2) .$$

Proof. We note first that $G \cap H_1$ and $G \cap H_2$ are normal subgroups of H , and hence they are characteristic subgroups of G .

For $i = 1$ or 2 , let ε_i denote the projection of H onto H_i corresponding to the decomposition $H = H_1 \times H_2$. Thus if $\alpha \in H_1$ and $\beta \in H_2$, then $\varepsilon_1(\alpha\beta) = \alpha$ and $\varepsilon_2(\alpha\beta) = \beta$. Put $J_i = \varepsilon_i G$. Clearly $J_i \subseteq H_i$ and J_i is a normal subgroup of H . Let F_i and S_i denote the set of all first and second components respectively of elements of J_i . Thus $F_i \subseteq G$ and $S_i \subseteq A$.

Let (a, σ) be an element of J_1 . Then for some g in G we have $\varepsilon_1 g = (a, \sigma)$. Put $\varepsilon_2 g = (b, \tau)$. Then $g = (a, \sigma)(b, \tau)$. Therefore $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$ and $(\sigma b^{-1}, \sigma) = (b, \tau)^{-1} \in J_2$. Hence $\sigma \in S_2$. It follows that $S_1 \subseteq S_2$. By symmetry $S_2 \subseteq S_1$, and hence $S_1 = S_2$.

Let σ be an element of S_1 and let ξ be an element of A . Put $\varepsilon_i(e, \xi) = (g_i, \xi_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. For some a and c in G we have $(a, \sigma) \in J_1$ and $(c, \sigma) \in J_2$. Now

$$(a, \sigma)(g_2, \xi_2) = (g_2, \xi_2)(a, \sigma)$$

and

$$(c, \sigma)(g_1, \xi_1) = (g_1, \xi_1)(c, \sigma) .$$

Comparing second components we see that σ commutes with both ξ_1 and ξ_2 . Since $\xi = \xi_1 \xi_2$, we have $\sigma \xi = \xi \sigma$. It follows that S_1 is contained in the center of A .

Let (a, σ) be an element of J_1 and let (d, μ) be an element of J_2 . Since σ is contained in the center of A and since $(a, \sigma)^{-1} = (\sigma^{-1} a^{-1}, \sigma^{-1})$, it follows that

$$d(a, \sigma)d^{-1}(e, \lambda_{\sigma a})(a, \sigma)^{-1}(e, \lambda_{\sigma a})^{-1} = d(\sigma d)^{-1} .$$

Therefore $d(\sigma d)^{-1} \in H_1$. Moreover

$$d(\sigma d)^{-1} = (d, \mu)(e, \sigma)(d, \mu)^{-1}(e, \sigma)^{-1} \in H_2 .$$

Hence $d(\sigma d)^{-1} \in H_1 \cap H_2$. This gives us $d(\sigma d)^{-1} = e$ and $\sigma d = d$. Thus σ leaves every element of F_2 fixed. By symmetry, since $\sigma \in S_1 = S_2$, it follows that σ leaves every element of F_1 fixed. Now let g be an arbitrary element of G . Then $g = (f, \nu)(h, \zeta)$ with $(f, \nu) \in J_1$ and $(h, \zeta) \in J_2$. Since $g = f(\nu h)$, $\sigma f = f$, and $\sigma \nu h = \nu \sigma h = \nu h$, it follows that $\sigma g = g$. Hence $\sigma = I$. Therefore S_1 and S_2 consist of the identity alone. It follows that $J_1 \subseteq G \cap H_1$, $J_2 \subseteq G \cap H_2$, and

$$G \subseteq J_1 \times J_2 \subseteq (G \cap H_1) \times (G \cap H_2) \subseteq G .$$

Therefore $G = (G \cap H_1) \times (G \cap H_2)$ and the proof is complete.

2. **Some known results.** Suppose $G = G_1 \times G_2 \times \dots \times G_n$, where the G_i are characteristic subgroups of G . Let A_i denote the group of all automorphisms of G_i . We identify σ_i in A_i with the element σ'_i in A such that

$$\sigma'_i g = \begin{cases} g & \text{if } g \in G_j, j \neq i, \\ \sigma_i g & \text{if } g \in G_i. \end{cases}$$

Then $A = A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$. Moreover H_i , the holomorph of G_i , becomes a subgroup of H , and $H = H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots \times H_n$.

The centralizer of a group in its holomorph is called its conjoint. The conjoint G^* of G consists of the elements (g^{-1}, λ_g) , $g \in G$. The mapping η defined by

$$\eta(g, \sigma) = (g^{-1}, \lambda_g \sigma)$$

is an automorphism of H that maps G onto G^* and maps G^* onto G . Therefore G and G^* are isomorphic, and G is the centralizer of G^* in H . Furthermore Lemma 1 is equivalent to the following:

LEMMA 1*. *Let $H = H_1 \times H_2$. Then $G^* \cap H_1$ and $G^* \cap H_2$ are characteristic subgroups of G^* and*

$$G^* = (G^* \cap H_1) \times (G^* \cap H_2).$$

If G is complete, i.e., if G is a centerless group with only inner automorphisms, then $H = G \times G^*$.

3. **Decomposable and indecomposable holomorphs.** If G is the direct product of two proper characteristic subgroups, then G is said to be characteristically decomposable. If not, then G is said to be characteristically indecomposable.

THEOREM 1. *Let G be a group, and let H be its holomorph. If G is either characteristically decomposable or complete, then H is decomposable. If G is characteristically indecomposable and not complete, then H is indecomposable.*

Proof. We have seen in § 2 that H is decomposable if G is either characteristically decomposable or complete. Suppose that G is characteristically indecomposable and that $H = H_1 \times H_2$. It follows from Lemma 1 that either $G \cap H_1 = G$ or $G \cap H_2 = G$. Thus either $G \subseteq H_1$ or $G \subseteq H_2$. Similarly it follows from Lemma 1* that either $G^* \subseteq H_1$ or $G^* \subseteq H_2$. Without loss of generality suppose that $G \subseteq H_1$. Then H_2 is contained in the centralizer of G , that is $H_2 \subseteq G^*$. If $G^* \subseteq H_1$ we have $H_2 \subseteq H_1$ and $H = H_1$. Thus we need only consider the case $G^* \subseteq H_2$.

Here $G^* = H_2$ and H_1 is contained in the centralizer of G^* . Thus $H_1 \subseteq G$, and hence $H_1 = G$. Now $G \cap G^*$ is the center of G , and $G \cap G^* = H_1 \cap H_2$. Hence G is centerless. Since $H = H_1 \times H_2 = G \times G^*$, it follows that G has only inner automorphisms. Therefore G is complete. This completes the proof of the theorem.

4. Decomposition of the holomorph into indecomposable subgroups.
To complete our discussion we need the following result:

LEMMA 2. *If a group is complete and characteristically indecomposable, then it is indecomposable.*

Proof. Let G be a complete group and suppose $G = G_1 \times G_2$. Since every automorphism of G is inner, it follows that every automorphism of G maps G_1 and G_2 onto themselves. Hence G_1 and G_2 are characteristic subgroups of G . This establishes the lemma.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose G is the direct product of a finite number of characteristically indecomposable characteristic subgroups: $G = G_1 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_n$. Suppose that G_i is complete for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and that G_j is not complete for $r + 1 \leq j \leq n$. Then a decomposition of H into indecomposable subgroups is given by*

$$(1) \quad H = \prod_{i=1}^r G_i \times \prod_{i=1}^r G_i^* \times \prod_{i=r+1}^n H_i,$$

where G_i^* and H_i are the conjoint and holomorph respectively of G_i , and where Π denotes a direct product.

Proof. It follows from § 2 that (1) is a decomposition of H . By Lemma 2 the groups G_i and G_i^* are indecomposable for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and by Theorem 1 the groups H_i are indecomposable for $r + 1 \leq i \leq n$.

Since a characteristic subgroup of a characteristic subgroup of G is itself a characteristic subgroup of G it follows that G satisfies the condition of Theorem 2 whenever the characteristic subgroups of G satisfy the descending chain condition. In particular Theorem 2 gives us a decomposition of H into indecomposable subgroups whenever G is a finite group.

If G is the direct product of an infinite number of characteristic subgroups, then H is not the direct product of their holomorphs. Thus Theorem 2 does not hold in this case.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. W. H. Mills, *On the non-isomorphism of certain holomorphs*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **74** (1953), 428-443.
2. W. Permans, *Completeness of holomorphs*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A **60** (1957), 608-619.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS

Stanford University
Stanford, California

F. H. BROWNELL

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH
T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY
M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN
E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS
F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Reprinted 1966 in the United States of America

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 11, No. 4

, 1961

A. V. Balakrishnan, <i>Prediction theory for Markoff processes</i>	1171
Dallas O. Banks, <i>Upper bounds for the eigenvalues of some vibrating systems</i>	1183
A. Białynicki-Birula, <i>On the field of rational functions of algebraic groups</i>	1205
Thomas Andrew Brown, <i>Simple paths on convex polyhedra</i>	1211
L. Carlitz, <i>Some congruences for the Bell polynomials</i>	1215
Paul Civin, <i>Extensions of homomorphisms</i>	1223
Paul Joseph Cohen and Milton Lees, <i>Asymptotic decay of solutions of differential inequalities</i>	1235
István Fáry, <i>Self-intersection of a sphere on a complex quadric</i>	1251
Walter Feit and John Griggs Thompson, <i>Groups which have a faithful representation of degree less than $(p - 1/2)$</i>	1257
William James Firey, <i>Mean cross-section measures of harmonic means of convex bodies</i>	1263
Avner Friedman, <i>The wave equation for differential forms</i>	1267
Bernard Russel Gelbaum and Jesus Gil De Lamadrid, <i>Bases of tensor products of Banach spaces</i>	1281
Ronald Kay Gettoor, <i>Infinitely divisible probabilities on the hyperbolic plane</i>	1287
Basil Gordon, <i>Sequences in groups with distinct partial products</i>	1309
Magnus R. Hestenes, <i>Relative self-adjoint operators in Hilbert space</i>	1315
Fu Cheng Hsiang, <i>On a theorem of Fejér</i>	1359
John McCormick Irwin and Elbert A. Walker, <i>On N-high subgroups of Abelian groups</i>	1363
John McCormick Irwin, <i>High subgroups of Abelian torsion groups</i>	1375
R. E. Johnson, <i>Quotient rings of rings with zero singular ideal</i>	1385
David G. Kendall and John Leonard Mott, <i>The asymptotic distribution of the time-to-escape for comets strongly bound to the solar system</i>	1393
Kurt Kreith, <i>The spectrum of singular self-adjoint elliptic operators</i>	1401
Lionello Lombardi, <i>The semicontinuity of the most general integral of the calculus of variations in non-parametric form</i>	1407
Albert W. Marshall and Ingram Olkin, <i>Game theoretic proof that Chebyshev inequalities are sharp</i>	1421
Wallace Smith Martindale, III, <i>Primitive algebras with involution</i>	1431
William H. Mills, <i>Decomposition of holomorphs</i>	1443
James Donald Monk, <i>On the representation theory for cylindric algebras</i>	1447
Shu-Teh Chen Moy, <i>A note on generalizations of Shannon-McMillan theorem</i>	1459
Donald Earl Myers, <i>An imbedding space for Schwartz distributions</i>	1467
John R. Myhill, <i>Category methods in recursion theory</i>	1479
Paul Adrian Nickel, <i>On extremal properties for annular radial and circular slit mappings of bordered Riemann surfaces</i>	1487
Edward Scott O'Keefe, <i>Primal clusters of two-element algebras</i>	1505
Nelson Onuchic, <i>Applications of the topological method of Ważewski to certain problems of asymptotic behavior in ordinary differential equations</i>	1511
Peter Perkins, <i>A theorem on regular matrices</i>	1529
Clinton M. Petty, <i>Centroid surfaces</i>	1535
Charles Andrew Swanson, <i>Asymptotic estimates for limit circle problems</i>	1549
Robert James Thompson, <i>On essential absolute continuity</i>	1561
Harold H. Johnson, <i>Correction to "Terminating prolongation procedures"</i>	1571