

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON AXIOMATIC HOMOLOGY THEORY

JOHN W. MILNOR

ON AXIOMATIC HOMOLOGY THEORY

J. MILNOR

A homology theory will be called *additive* if the homology group of any topological sum of spaces is equal to the direct sum of the homology groups of the individual spaces.

To be more precise let H_* be a homology theory which satisfies the seven axioms of Eilenberg and Steenrod [1]. Let \mathcal{A} be the admissible category on which H_* is defined. Then we require the following.

Additivity Axiom. If X is the disjoint union of open subsets X_α with inclusion maps $i_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow X$, all belonging to the category \mathcal{A} , then the homomorphisms

$$i_{\alpha*}: H_n(X_\alpha) \rightarrow H_n(X)$$

must provide an injective representation of $H_n(X)$ as a direct sum.¹

Similarly a cohomology theory H^* will be called *additive* if the homomorphisms

$$i_\alpha^*: H^n(X) \rightarrow H^n(X_\alpha)$$

provide a projective representation of $H^n(X)$ as a direct product.

It is easily verified that the singular homology and cohomology theories are additive. Also the Čech theories based on infinite coverings are additive. On the other hand James and Whitehead [4] have given examples of homology theories which are not additive.

Let \mathcal{W} denote the category consisting of all pairs (X, A) such that both X and A have the homotopy type of a CW -complex; and all continuous maps between such pairs. (Compare [5].) The main object of this note is to show that there is essentially only one additive homology theory and one additive cohomology theory, with given coefficient group, on the category \mathcal{W} .

First consider a sequence $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset K_3 \subset \dots$ of CW -complexes with union K . Each K_i should be a subcomplex of K . Let H_* be an additive homology theory on the category \mathcal{W} .

LEMMA 1. *The homology group $H_q(K)$ is canonically isomorphic to the direct limit of the sequence*

$$H_q(K_1) \rightarrow H_q(K_2) \rightarrow H_q(K_3) \rightarrow \dots$$

Received February 6, 1961.

¹ This axiom has force only if there are infinitely many X_α . (Compare pg. 33 of Eilenberg-Steenrod.) The corresponding assertion for pairs (X_α, A_α) can easily be proved, making use of the given axiom, together with the "five lemma."

The corresponding lemma for cohomology is not so easy to state. It is first necessary to define the “first derived functor” of the inverse limit functor.² The following construction was communicated to the author by Steenrod.

Let $A_1 \xleftarrow{p} A_2 \xleftarrow{p} A_3 \xleftarrow{\quad} \cdots$ be an inverse sequence of abelian groups, briefly denoted by $\{A_i\}$. Let Π denote the direct product of the groups A_i , and define $d: \Pi \rightarrow \Pi$ by

$$d(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_1 - pa_2, a_2 - pa_3, a_3 - pa_4, \dots).$$

The kernel of d is called the inverse limit of the sequence $\{A_i\}$ and will be denoted by $\mathfrak{L}\{A_i\}$.

DEFINITION. The cokernel $\Pi/d\Pi$ of d will be denoted by $\mathfrak{L}'\{A_i\}$; and \mathfrak{L}' will be called the derived functor of \mathfrak{L} .

Now let $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots$ be CW -complexes with union K , and let H_*^* be an additive cohomology theory on the category \mathscr{W} .

LEMMA 2. *The natural homomorphism $H^n(K) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}\{H^n(K_i)\}$ is onto, and has kernel isomorphic to $\mathfrak{L}'\{H^{n-1}(K_i)\}$.*

REMARK. The proofs of Lemmas 1 and 2 will make no use of the dimension axiom [1 pg. 12]. This is of interest since Atiyah and others have studied “generalized cohomology theories” in which the dimension axiom is not satisfied.

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $[0, \infty)$ denote the CW -complex consisting of the nonnegative real numbers, with the integer points as vertices. Let L denote the CW -complex

$$L = K_1 \times [0, 1] \cup K_2 \times [1, 2] \cup K_3 \times [2, 3] \cup \cdots ;$$

contained in $K \times [0, \infty)$. The projection map $L \rightarrow K$ induces isomorphisms of homotopy groups in all dimension, and therefore is a homotopy equivalence. (See Whitehead [6, Theorem 1]. Alternatively one could show directly that L is a deformation retract of $K \times [0, \infty)$.)

Let $L_1 \subset L$ denote the union of all of the $K_i \times [i-1, i]$ with i odd. Similarly let L_2 be the union of all $K_i \times [i-1, i]$ with i even. The additivity axiom, together with the homotopy axiom, clearly implies that

$$H_*(L_1) \approx H_*(K_1) \oplus H_*(K_3) \oplus H_*(K_5) \oplus \cdots$$

with a similar assertion for L_2 , and similar assertions for cohomology. On the other hand $L_1 \cap L_2$ is the disjoint union of the $K_i \times [i]$, and

² This derived functor has been studied in the thesis of Z-Z. Yeh, Princeton University 1959; and by Jan-Eric Roos [8].

therefore

$$H_*(L_1 \cup L_2) \approx H_*(K_1) \oplus H_*(K_2) \oplus H_*(K_3) \oplus \dots$$

Note that the triad $(L; L_1, L_2)$ is proper. In fact each set $K_i \times [i - 1, i]$ used in the construction can be thickened, by adding on $K_{i-1} \times [i - 3/2, i - 1]$, without altering its homotopy type. Hence this triad $(L; L_1, L_2)$ has a Mayer-Vietoris sequence. The homomorphism

$$\psi: H_*(L_1 \cap L_2) \rightarrow H_*(L_1) \oplus H_*(L_2)$$

in this sequence is readily computed, and turns out to be:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(h_1, h_2, \dots, 0, 0, \dots) \\ = (h_1, ph_2 + h_3, ph_4 + h_5, \dots) \oplus (-ph_1 - h_2, -ph_3 - h_4, \dots); \end{aligned}$$

where h_i denotes a generic element of $H_*(K_i)$, and $p: H_*(K_i) \rightarrow H_*(K_{i+1})$ denotes the inclusion homomorphism.

It will be convenient to precede ψ by the automorphism α of $H_*(L_1 \cap L_2)$ which multiplies each h_i by $(-1)^{i+1}$. After shuffling the terms on the right hand side of the formula above, we obtain

$$\psi\alpha(h_1, h_2, \dots) = (h_1, h_2 - ph_1, h_3 - ph_2, h_4 - ph_3, \dots).$$

From this expression it becomes clear that ψ has kernel zero, and has cokernel isomorphic to the direct limit of the sequence $\{H_*(K_i)\}$. Now the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_*(L_1 \cap L_2) \xrightarrow{\psi} H_*(L_1) \oplus H_*(L_2) \longrightarrow H_*(L) \longrightarrow 0$$

completes the proof of Lemma 1.

The proof of Lemma 2 is completely analogous. The only essential difference is that the dual homomorphism

$$H^*(L_1 \cap L_2) \xleftarrow{\psi} H^*(L_1) \oplus H^*(L_2)$$

is not onto, in general. Its cokernel gives rise to the term $\mathcal{S}'\{H^{n-1}(K_i)\}$ in Lemma 2.

Now let K be a possibly infinite formal simplicial complex with sub-complex L , and let $|K|$ denote the underlying topological space in the weak (=fine) topology. (Compare [1 pg. 75]) Let H_* denote an additive homology theory with coefficient group $H_0(\text{Point}) = G$.

LEMMA 3. *There exists a natural isomorphism between $H_q(|K|, |L|)$ and the formally defined homology group $H_q(K, L; G)$ of the simplicial pair.*

Proof. If K is a finite dimensional complex then the proof given

on pages 76–100 of Eilenberg-Steenrod applies without essential change. Now let K be infinite dimensional with n -skeleton K^n . It follows from this remark that the inclusion homomorphism

$$H_q(|K^n|) \rightarrow H_q(|K^{n+1}|)$$

is an isomorphism for $n > q$. Applying Lemma 1, it follows that the inclusion

$$H_q(|K^n|) \rightarrow H_q(|K|)$$

is also an isomorphism. Therefore the inclusion

$$H_q(|K^n|, |L^n|) \rightarrow H_q(|K|, |L|)$$

is an isomorphism for $n > q$. Together with the first remark this completes the proof of Lemma 3.

The corresponding lemma for cohomology groups can be proved in the same way. The extra term in Lemma 2 does not complicate the proof since $\mathcal{S}' = 0$ for an inverse sequence of isomorphisms.

Uniqueness Theorem. *Let H_* be an additive homology theory on the category \mathcal{W} (see introduction) with coefficient group G . Then for each (X, A) in \mathcal{W} there is a natural isomorphism between $H_q(X, A)$ and the q th singular homology group of (X, A) with coefficients in G .*

Proof. Let $|SX|$ denote the geometric realization of the total singular complex of X , as defined by Giever, Hu, or Whitehead. (References [2, 3, 7].) Recall that the second barycentric subdivision $S''X$ is a simplicial complex. Since X has the homotopy type of a CW -complex, the natural projection

$$|SX| = |S''X| \rightarrow X$$

is a homotopy equivalence. (Compare [7, Theorem 23]). Using the five lemma it follows that the induced homomorphism

$$H_*(|S''X|, |S''A|) \rightarrow H_*(X, A)$$

is an isomorphism. But the first group, by Lemma 3, is isomorphic to

$$H_*(S''X, S''A; G) \approx H_*(SX, SA; G),$$

which by definition is the singular homology group of the pair X, A .

It is easily verified that the resulting isomorphism

$$H_*(SX, SA; G) \rightarrow H_*(X, A)$$

commutes with mappings and boundary homomorphisms. (Compare pp. 100–101 of [1] for precise statements.) This completes the proof of the

Uniqueness Theorem.

The corresponding theorem for cohomology groups can be proved in the same way.

REFERENCES

1. S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, *Foundations of algebraic topology*, Princeton 1952.
2. J. B. Giever, *On the equivalence of two singular homology theories*, *Annals of Math.*, **51** (1950), 178-191.
3. S. T. Hu, *On the realizability of homotopy groups and their operations*, *Pacific J. Math.*, **1** (1951), 583-602.
4. I. James and J. H. C. Whitehead, *Homology with zero coefficients*, *Quarterly J. Math.*, Oxford (1958), 317-320.
5. J. Milnor, *On spaces having the homotopy type of a CW-complex*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **90** (1959), 272-280.
6. J. H. C. Whitehead, *Combinatorial homotopy I*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **55** (1949), 213-245.
7. J. H. C. Whitehead, *A certain exact sequence*, *Annals of Math.*, **52** (1950), 51-110.
8. J. E. Roos, *Sur les foncteurs dérivés de \lim* , *Comptes Rendus Acad. des Science (Paris)*, **252** (1961), 3702-3704.

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS

Stanford University
Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY

M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN

E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS

F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 12, No. 1

January, 1962

Jonathan L. Alperin, <i>Groups with finitely many automorphisms</i>	1
Martin Arthur Arkowitz, <i>The generalized Whitehead product</i>	7
John D. Baum, <i>Instability and asymptoticity in topological dynamics</i>	25
William Aaron Beyer, <i>Hausdorff dimension of level sets of some Rademacher series</i>	35
Frank Herbert Brownell, III, <i>A note on Cook's wave-matrix theorem</i>	47
Gulbank D. Chakerian, <i>An inequality for closed space curves</i>	53
Inge Futtrup Christensen, <i>Some further extensions of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz</i>	59
Charles Vernon Coffman, <i>Linear differential equations on cones in Banach spaces</i>	69
Eckford Cohen, <i>Arithmetical notes. III. Certain equally distributed sets of integers</i>	77
John Irving Derr and Angus E. Taylor, <i>Operators of meromorphic type with multiple poles of the resolvent</i>	85
Jacob Feldman, <i>On measurability of stochastic processes in products space</i>	113
Robert S. Freeman, <i>Closed extensions of the Laplace operator determined by a general class of boundary conditions, for unbounded regions</i>	121
Robert E. Fullerton, <i>Geometric structure of absolute basis systems in a linear topological space</i>	137
Dieter Gaier, <i>On conformal mapping of nearly circular regions</i>	149
Andrew Mattei Gleason and Hassler Whitney, <i>The extension of linear functionals defined on H^∞</i>	163
Seymour Goldberg, <i>Closed linear operators and associated continuous linear operators</i>	183
Basil Gordon, Aviezri Siegmund Fraenkel and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>On the determination of sets by the sets of sums of a certain order</i>	187
Branko Grünbaum, <i>The dimension of intersections of convex sets</i>	197
Paul Daniel Hill, <i>On the number of pure subgroups</i>	203
Robert Peter Holten, <i>Generalized Goursat problem</i>	207
Alfred Horn, <i>Eigenvalues of sums of Hermitian matrices</i>	225
Henry C. Howard, <i>Oscillation and nonoscillation criteria for $y''(x) + f(y(x))p(x) = 0$</i>	243
Taqdir Husain, <i>S-spaces and the open mapping theorem</i>	253
Richard Eugene Isaac, <i>Markov processes and unique stationary probability measures</i>	273
John Rolfe Isbell, <i>Supercomplete spaces</i>	287
John Rolfe Isbell, <i>On finite-dimensional uniform spaces. II</i>	291
N. Jacobson, <i>A note on automorphisms of Lie algebras</i>	303
Antoni A. Kosinski, <i>A theorem on families of acyclic sets and its applications</i>	317
Marvin David Marcus and H. Minc, <i>The invariance of symmetric functions of singular values</i>	327
Ralph David McWilliams, <i>A note on weak sequential convergence</i>	333
John W. Milnor, <i>On axiomatic homology theory</i>	337
Victor Julius Mizel and Malempati Madhusudana Rao, <i>Nonsymmetric projections in Hilbert space</i>	343
Calvin Cooper Moore, <i>On the Frobenius reciprocity theorem for locally compact groups</i>	359
Donald J. Newman, <i>The Gibbs phenomenon for Hausdorff means</i>	367
Jack Segal, <i>Convergence of inverse systems</i>	371
Józef Siciak, <i>On function families with boundary</i>	375
Hyman Joseph Zimmerberg, <i>Two-point boundary conditions linear in a parameter</i>	385