

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE GIBBS PHENOMENON FOR HAUSDORFF MEANS

DONALD J. NEWMAN

THE GIBBS PHENOMENON FOR HAUSDORFF MEANS

D. J. NEWMAN

The existence of a Gibbs phenomenon for the Hausdorff summability method given by $dg(x)$ [any measure on $[0, 1]$ with total mass 1 and no mass point at 0] is equivalent to the statement

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^{Ax} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt dg(x) > \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ for some } A > 0. \quad (\text{See [3]})$$

Recently A. Livingston [1] has treated the case of a dg composed of finitely many mass points and has shown that the Gibbs phenomenon holds under certain additional restrictions. Our result, which follows, contains his and does not require these additional restrictions.

THEOREM 1. *Let dg have at least 1 mass point and satisfy $\int_0^1 (|dg(x)|/x^2) < \infty$ then the Gibbs phenomenon occurs for dg .*

[In particular if dg consists of finitely many mass points, then we have the Gibbs phenomenon].

It seems peculiar that any condition at 0 is necessary, and L. Lorch had even made the conjecture that the Gibbs phenomenon persists for any dg with unbounded Lebesgue constants [and so certainly for any dg with at least one mass point]. Nevertheless we show that some condition at 0 is necessary.

THEOREM 2. *There exists a positive dg composed solely of mass points for which the Gibbs phenomenon does not hold.*

Thus Lorch's conjecture is definitely false and although our Theorem 1 is by no means best possible it is qualitatively the correct one.

Proof of Theorem 1. We are required to prove that, for a dg satisfying the hypotheses, there is an $A > 0$ for which

$$F(A) = \int_0^1 \int_{Ax}^{\infty} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt dg(x) \text{ becomes negative.}$$

This we accomplish by showing that

1. $\int_1^y F(A) dA$ remains bounded as $y \rightarrow \infty$
2. $F(A) \notin L^1(1, \infty)$.

LEMMA. $F(A) = 1/A \int_0^1 ((\cos Ax)/x) dg(x) + 0(1/A^2)$, [assuming, of course, that $\int_0^1 (|dg(x)|/x^2 < \infty)$].

Proof. By two integrations by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Ax}^{\infty} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt &= \frac{\cos Ax}{Ax} + \frac{\sin Ax}{A^2 x^2} - 2 \int_{Ax}^{\infty} \frac{\sin t}{t^3} dt \\ &= \frac{\cos Ax}{Ax} + \frac{1}{A^2 x^2} + 0\left(\int_{Ax}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^3} dt\right) \\ &= \frac{\cos Ax}{Ax} + 0 \frac{1}{A^2 x^2} \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$F(A) = \int_0^1 \frac{\cos Ax}{Ax} dg(x) + \frac{1}{A^2} 0 \int_0^1 \frac{|dg(x)|}{x^2}$$

and the latter integral is finite, by hypothesis.

Proof of 1.

$$\int_1^y F(A) dA = \int_1^y \int_0^1 \frac{\cos Ax}{Ax} dg(x) + 0(1)$$

by the lemma. Invert the order of integration (this is valid by hypothesis) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^y F(A) dA &= \int_0^1 \int_1^y \frac{\cos Ax}{A} dA \frac{dg(x)}{x} + 0(1) \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_x^{xy} \frac{\cos u}{u} du \frac{dg(x)}{x} + 0(1). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\int_x^{xy} \frac{\cos u}{u} du = \int_x^1 \frac{\cos u}{u} du + \int_1^{xy} \frac{\cos u}{u} du$$

$0 \log 1/x + 0(1)$ since $\int_1^{\infty} ((\cos u)/u) du$ converges. Finally then

$$\int_1^y F(A) dA = \int_0^1 \log \frac{1}{x} \frac{|dg(x)|}{x} + 0(1) = 0(1).$$

Proof of 2. Again by the lemma,

$$F(A) = \frac{1}{A} \int_0^1 \cos Ax \frac{dg(x)}{x} + 0 \frac{1}{A^2}.$$

Now call

$$\int_0^1 \cos Ax \frac{dg(x)}{x} = G(A) .$$

If we split the measure $(dg(x))/x$ into its continuous part and its mass points, then we split $G(A)$ into $h(A) + j(A)$ where $j(A)$ is almost periodic. It is shown by Lorch and Newman [2] that

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |h(A)| dA \rightarrow 0$$

while, of course,

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |j(A)| dA \rightarrow M > 0 ,$$

M denoting the mean value of the *positive* almost periodic function $|j(A)|$. Hence $1/T \int_1^T |G(A)| dA \rightarrow M$. Integration by parts gives that $1/(\log T) \int_1^T (|G(A)|/A) dA \rightarrow M$ and so, since

$$\int_1^T |F(A)| dA \sim \int_1^T \frac{|G(A)|}{A} dA + o(1) ,$$

we find that

$$\int_1^T |F(A)| dA \sim M \log T$$

and $F(A) \notin L_1(1, \infty)$. The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2. Call $\phi(x) = \int_x^\infty ((\sin t)/t) dt$. We will find a sequence of α_n in $(0, 1)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty (1/2^n) \phi(\alpha_n A) \geq 0$ for all $A \geq 0$. This being so, the choice of dg with the masses $1/2^n$ at the points α_n will satisfy our requirements.

We will choose the α_n inductively so that $\sum_{n=1}^N (1/2^n) \phi(\alpha_n A) \geq -1/2^N$ for all A . Clearly the choice $\alpha_1 = 1$ satisfies this requirement when $N = 1$. Suppose that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N$ have been chosen so that this requirement is satisfied. Since $\phi(\infty) = 0$ we can determine A_0 such that $A \geq A_0$ insures $\sum_{n=1}^N (1/2^n) \phi(\alpha_n A) \geq -1/2^{N+2}$. Also since $\phi(0) = \pi/2$ we can determine an $\alpha > 0$ so small that $\phi(\alpha A) \geq 1$ for $A \leq A_0$. The claim is that this serves as our α_{N+1} .

We have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N+1} \frac{1}{2^n} \phi(\alpha_n A) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{2^n} \phi(\alpha_n A) + \frac{\phi(\alpha A)}{2^{N+1}}$$

$$\geq -\frac{1}{2^N} + \frac{1}{2^{N+1}} + -\frac{1}{2^{N+1}} \quad \text{for } A \leq A_0$$

while, since $\phi(x) \geq -1/2$ always, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{2^n} \phi(\alpha_n A) + \frac{\phi(\alpha A)}{2^{N+1}} \geq -\frac{1}{2^{N+2}} + \frac{-1/2}{2^{N+1}} = -\frac{1}{2^{N+1}}$$

for $A \geq A_0$. The construction of the α_n completes the proof.

It is interesting to note that the above construction, when carried out carefully, leads to a dg satisfying $\int |dg(x)|/x^{1/2} < \infty$, so that our Theorem 1 is false when the exponent 2 is replaced by 1/2. It would be interesting to find the correct exponent.

We wish to thank L. Lorch and A. Shields for their useful suggestions in regard to the above results.

REFERENCES

1. A. Livingston, *Some Hausdorff means which exhibit the Gibbs' Phenomenon*, Pacific J. Math., **3** (1953), 407-415.
2. L. Lorch and D. J. Newman, *The Lebesgue constants for regular Hausdorff methods*, Canadian J. Math., **13** (1961), 288.
3. O. Szasz, *Gibbs' phenomenon for Hausdorff means*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **69** (1950), 440-456.

YESHIVA UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS

Stanford University
Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY

M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN

E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS

F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Jonathan L. Alperin, <i>Groups with finitely many automorphisms</i>	1
Martin Arthur Arkowitz, <i>The generalized Whitehead product</i>	7
John D. Baum, <i>Instability and asymptoticity in topological dynamics</i>	25
William Aaron Beyer, <i>Hausdorff dimension of level sets of some Rademacher series</i>	35
Frank Herbert Brownell, III, <i>A note on Cook's wave-matrix theorem</i>	47
Gulbank D. Chakerian, <i>An inequality for closed space curves</i>	53
Inge Futtrup Christensen, <i>Some further extensions of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz</i>	59
Charles Vernon Coffman, <i>Linear differential equations on cones in Banach spaces</i>	69
Eckford Cohen, <i>Arithmetical notes. III. Certain equally distributed sets of integers</i>	77
John Irving Derr and Angus E. Taylor, <i>Operators of meromorphic type with multiple poles of the resolvent</i>	85
Jacob Feldman, <i>On measurability of stochastic processes in products space</i>	113
Robert S. Freeman, <i>Closed extensions of the Laplace operator determined by a general class of boundary conditions, for unbounded regions</i>	121
Robert E. Fullerton, <i>Geometric structure of absolute basis systems in a linear topological space</i>	137
Dieter Gaijer, <i>On conformal mapping of nearly circular regions</i>	149
Andrew Mattei Gleason and Hassler Whitney, <i>The extension of linear functionals defined on H^∞</i>	163
Seymour Goldberg, <i>Closed linear operators and associated continuous linear operators</i>	183
Basil Gordon, Aviezri Siegmund Fraenkel and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>On the determination of sets by the sets of sums of a certain order</i>	187
Branko Grünbaum, <i>The dimension of intersections of convex sets</i>	197
Paul Daniel Hill, <i>On the number of pure subgroups</i>	203
Robert Peter Holten, <i>Generalized Goursat problem</i>	207
Alfred Horn, <i>Eigenvalues of sums of Hermitian matrices</i>	225
Henry C. Howard, <i>Oscillation and nonoscillation criteria for $y''(x) + f(y(x))p(x) = 0$</i>	243
Taqdir Husain, <i>S-spaces and the open mapping theorem</i>	253
Richard Eugene Isaac, <i>Markov processes and unique stationary probability measures</i>	273
John Rolfe Isbell, <i>Supercomplete spaces</i>	287
John Rolfe Isbell, <i>On finite-dimensional uniform spaces. II</i>	291
N. Jacobson, <i>A note on automorphisms of Lie algebras</i>	303
Antoni A. Kosinski, <i>A theorem on families of acyclic sets and its applications</i>	317
Marvin David Marcus and H. Minc, <i>The invariance of symmetric functions of singular values</i>	327
Ralph David McWilliams, <i>A note on weak sequential convergence</i>	333
John W. Milnor, <i>On axiomatic homology theory</i>	337
Victor Julius Mizel and Malempati Madhusudana Rao, <i>Nonsymmetric projections in Hilbert space</i>	343
Calvin Cooper Moore, <i>On the Frobenius reciprocity theorem for locally compact groups</i>	359
Donald J. Newman, <i>The Gibbs phenomenon for Hausdorff means</i>	367
Jack Segal, <i>Convergence of inverse systems</i>	371
Józef Siciak, <i>On function families with boundary</i>	375
Hyman Joseph Zimmerberg, <i>Two-point boundary conditions linear in a parameter</i>	385