

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ITERATIONS OF GENERALIZED EULER FUNCTIONS

G. K. WHITE

ITERATIONS OF GENERALIZED EULER FUNCTIONS

G. K. WHITE

1. Introduction. In this paper p and q will denote primes. We recall that a function $f(n)$ of an integral variable $n \geq 1$ is said to be multiplicative, if

$$(1) \quad f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$$

whenever $(m, n) = 1$, and additive, if

$$(2) \quad f(mn) = f(m) + f(n)$$

whenever $(m, n) = 1$. If however $f(n)$ satisfies (2) for all integers $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$ we shall say that $f(n)$ is *completely additive*. Consider a multiplicative integral-valued function $\psi(n) > 0$ and put

$$(3) \quad \psi_0(n) = n, \psi_1(n) = \psi(n), \dots, \psi_r(n) = \psi[\psi_{r-1}(n)], \dots$$

We shall say that $\psi(n)$ is of finite index if, to each $n > 1$, there is an integer $C = C(n)$ such that

$$(4) \quad \psi_r(n) \begin{cases} > 1 & \text{for } r \leq C \\ = 1 & \text{for } r > C, \end{cases}$$

in which case we put $C(1) = 0$.

The familiar Euler function

$$(5) \quad \varphi(n) = \sum_{\substack{m \leq n \\ (m, n) = 1}} 1 = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

is an example of such a function, since $\varphi(n) < n$. For this case ($\psi = \varphi$), properties of the corresponding function $C(n)$ were investigated by Pillai [1], who attributes the problem to Vaidyanathaswami. Later, Shapiro [2, 3, 4] observed that this particular $C(n)$ satisfied the condition

$$(6) \quad C(mn) = C(m) + C(n) + \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } m, n \text{ both even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and went on to obtain, inter alia, a certain class (S) of multiplicative functions $\psi(n)$ of finite index satisfying (6). In a restricted sense, (S) consists of functions similar in form to $\varphi(n)$; for example they satisfy

$$\psi(x^n)[\psi(x)]^{n-2} = [\psi(x^2)]^{n-1}$$

for all positive integers x, n .

Received June 15, 1961.

Our first purpose is to impose mild conditions on $\psi(n)$ to ensure that it has a finite index, the characterization of all such functions being an unsolved problem.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\psi(n)$ be any multiplicative integral-valued function satisfying*

$$(7) \quad (i) \quad q/\psi(p^t) \Rightarrow q \leq p \quad \text{for all } p, q \\ \text{and all } t \geq 1,$$

$$(8) \quad (ii) \quad p^t \nmid \psi(p^t) \quad \text{for any } p \text{ or any } t \geq 1.$$

Then $\psi(n)$ is of finite index.

We shall refer to the class of functions $\psi(n)$ admitted by (7) and (8) by the letter (*W*) if, by analogy with the Euler function, they also satisfy¹

$$(9) \quad \psi(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \quad \text{for } n > 2.$$

It is evident that not all members of (*W*) satisfy (6); for example

$$(10) \quad \psi(n) = 2^{\delta(n)}$$

where $\delta(n)$ is the number of different odd prime factors of n , and $C(3) = C(5) = C(15) = 1$. Our main purpose is to isolate the members of (*W*) which do satisfy (6), thereby enlarging the class (*S*) obtained by Shapiro (*loc. cit.* 3). Theorem 2 does, in fact, prescribe necessary and sufficient conditions, but before stating it we need some further notation. Our calculations are a little simpler if we introduce the function $c(n)$, where

$$(11) \quad c(n) = \begin{cases} C(n) + 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ C(n) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

for then, by (6), $c(n)$ is completely additive.² By (7) and the multiplicative property of ψ , we have

$$(12) \quad \psi(n) = \prod_{p \leq n} p^{\lambda(p, n)}$$

for some $\lambda(p, n) \geq 0$ defined for all $n \geq 2$ and all $p \leq n$. Then, (7), (8) and (9) may be expressed alternatively as

$$(13) \quad \lambda(q, p^t) = 0 \quad \text{for all } q > p,$$

$$(14) \quad \lambda(p, p^t) < t,$$

$$(15) \quad \lambda(2, n) > 0 \quad \text{for } n > 2.$$

Assigning arbitrary values to $\psi(p)$, subject only to conditions (7), (8)

¹ We remark that condition (9) may be generalized, if (6) and (11) are reformulated.

² Note that $C(n)$ is additive, but not completely. Note also that $c(1) = C(1) = 0$, while (9) and (11) imply that $c(n) > 0$ for $n > 1$.

and (9), the $\lambda(q, p)$ are then determined uniquely by (12), for all $q < p$ and p . We define inductively a new function $\Gamma(p)$ over the primes, by

$$(16) \quad \Gamma(p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p = 2, \\ \sum_{q < p} \lambda(q, p) \Gamma(q) & \text{if } p > 2. \end{cases}$$

For $n \geq 1$ and odd p , we introduce the linear relations

$$(17) \quad \lambda(2, p^n) + \sum_{3 \leq q \leq p} \Gamma(q) \lambda(q, p^n) = n \Gamma(p)$$

which represents, for each $n > 1$, a restriction on the values of $\lambda(2, p^n)$, $\lambda(3, p^n), \dots, \lambda(p, p^n)$. Note that (17) is an identity for $n = 1$, while for $n > 1$ it possesses at least one solution, namely

$$(18) \quad \lambda(q, p^n) = \begin{cases} n \Gamma(p) & \text{if } q = 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } q > 2. \end{cases}$$

For $p = 2$, we set

$$(19) \quad \psi(2^n) = 2^{n-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

We are now in a position to state our main theorem:

THEOREM 2. *Then let $\psi(n)$ be any multiplicative function satisfying (7), (8) and (9).*

(i) *If $c(n)$ is completely additive, $c(p) = \Gamma(p)$.*

(ii) *$c(n)$ is completely additive if, and only if, $\psi(n)$ satisfies (17) and (19).*

I should like to thank Dr. J. H. H. Chalk for his help in the preparation of this paper, and to thank also Dr. A. H. Stone for valuable comments on an earlier draft.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose $n > 1$. If we express $n = \prod_i p_i^{i_i}$ then $\psi(n) = \prod_i [\psi(p_i^{i_i})]$, by the multiplicative property. Let p_{n_0} denote the greatest prime factor of n . Then no prime $p > p_{n_0}$ can divide $\psi(n)$ and $p_{n_0}^{i_{n_0}} \nmid \psi(n)$. Hence no prime $p > p_{n_0}$ can divide any $\psi_r(n) [r = 0, 1, \dots]$ and the greatest power of p_{n_0} dividing $\psi_r(n)$, if not zero, exceeds by at least one the greatest power of p_{n_0} dividing $\psi_{r+1}(n)$. Hence there is an integer r_0 such that $p_{n_0} \nmid \psi_{r_0}(n)$. Then either $\psi_{r_0}(n) = 1$ or the greatest prime factor of $\psi_{r_0}(n)$ is $p_{n_1} < p_{n_0}$. If $\psi_{r_0}(n) \neq 1$, we can repeat the process and determine an integer r_1 , such that $p_{n_1} \nmid \psi_{r_1}(n)$. Hence either $\psi_{r_1}(n) = 1$ or the greatest prime factor of $\psi_{r_1}(n)$ is $p_{n_2} < p_{n_1}$. In this way, we obtain a decreasing sequence of primes $p_{n_0} > p_{n_1} > p_{n_2} > \dots$ which clearly terminates at, say p_{n_s} , when $\psi_{r_s}(n) = 1$. Since $\psi(1) = 1$, $C = r_s - 1$ has the desired property.

3. **The main lemma.** We use the following property of the function $c(n)$:

$$(20) \quad c[\psi(n)] = \begin{cases} c(n) - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ c(n) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

which follows immediately from (4), (9) and (11). For any p , let

$$(21) \quad S(p) = \{n : q/n \Rightarrow q < p\}, \quad (n > 0).$$

Then $S(p)$, being the set of all positive integers whose prime factors are $< p$, is closed under multiplication. Moreover, if $c(mn) = c(m) + c(n)$ for all m, n in $S(p)$, then

$$(22) \quad c(1) = 0$$

and

$$(23) \quad c[\prod_{q < p} q^{\nu}] = \sum_{q < p} \nu c(p).$$

The lemma which follows will provide an important step in the induction proof of Theorem 2.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that $\psi(n)$ satisfies (17) for all odd p and all $n \geq 1$. Let $p_1 < p_2 < \dots$ denote the odd primes. Suppose also that, for some $k \geq 1$,*

$$(24) \quad c(p) = \Gamma(p) \text{ for all } p \in S(p_k).$$

and

$$(25) \quad c(mn) = c(m) + c(n) \text{ for all } m, n \text{ in } S(p_k)$$

Then

$$(26) \quad \text{(i) } c(p) = \Gamma(p) \text{ for all } p \in S(p_{k+1})$$

$$(27) \quad \text{(ii) } c(p^t n) = c(p^t) + c(n) \text{ if } p = p_k, t \geq 0, n \in S(p)$$

$$(28) \quad \text{(iii) } c(p^t) = tc(p) \text{ if } p = p_k, t \geq 0$$

$$(29) \quad \text{(iv) } c(mn) = c(m) + c(n) \text{ for all } m, n \text{ in } S(p_{k+1})$$

Proof. (i) By (24), it suffices to prove that $c(p_k) = \Gamma(p_k)$. But, with $p = p_k$, we have

$$c(p) = c[\psi(p)] = c[\prod_{q \leq p} q^{\lambda(q,p)}] = \sum_{q < p} \lambda(q,p)c(q)$$

by (20), (12), (14), (23) and noting that $\psi(p) \in S(p)$. By (24), $c(q) = \Gamma(q)$ for all $q < p$ and so $c(p) = \Gamma(p)$, by (16).

(ii) The case $t = 0$ is obvious. Proceeding by induction on t , assume that

$$c(p^s n) = c(p^s) + c(n) \quad \text{for all } s < t \\ \text{and all } n \in S(p) .$$

Since $\psi(p^t) = mp^r$ for some $m \in S(p)$ and some $r < t$, by (13) and (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} c[\psi(p^t n)] &= c[\psi(p^t)\psi(n)] \\ &= c[mp^r\psi(n)] \\ &= c(p^r) + c[m\psi(n)] , && \text{by our induction} \\ & && \text{hypothesis} \\ &= c(p^r) + c(m) + c[\psi(n)] , && \text{by (25)} \\ &= c(p^r m) + c[\psi(n)] , && \text{(on using the} \\ & && \text{hypothesis again!)} \\ &= c[\psi(p^t)] + c[\psi(n)] . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (20), $c(p^t n) = c(p^t) + c(n)$, and (ii) follows directly.

(iii) The cases $t = 0, 1$ are obvious. By induction on t , we assume that

$$c(p^s) = sc(p) \quad \text{for all } s < t .$$

Then, by (20) and (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} c(p^t) &= c[\psi(p^t)] \\ &= c[p^{\lambda(p, p^t)} \prod_{q < p} q^{\lambda(q, p^t)}] \\ &= c[p^{\lambda(p, p^t)}] + c[\prod_{q < p} q^{\lambda(q, p^t)}] . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda(p, p^t) < t$ by (14), we can apply our inductive hypothesis to the first term. Hence

$$c(p^t) = \lambda(p, p^t)c(p) + \sum_{q < p} \lambda(q, p^t)c(q) ,$$

on using (25) on the second term. By (i), $c(q) = \Gamma(q)$ for $q \leq p$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} c(p^t) &= \sum_{q \leq p} \lambda(q, p^t)\Gamma(q) , \\ &= t\Gamma(p) \\ &= tc(p) \end{aligned}$$

by (17), and (iii) is immediate.

(iv) Let $m = p^\mu m_1$, $n = p^\nu n_1$, where $p = p_k$ and m_1, n_1 are in $S(p)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
c(mn) &= c[p^{\mu+\nu}m_1n_1] = c(p^{\mu+\nu}) + c(m_1n_1), && \text{by (ii)} \\
&= (\mu + \nu)c(p) + c(m_1) + c(n_1), && \text{by (iii)} \\
&&& \text{and (25)} \\
&= \{\mu c(p) + c(m_1)\} + \{\nu c(p) + c(n_1)\}, \\
&= \{c(p^\mu) + c(m_1)\} + \{c(p^\nu) + c(n_1)\}, && \text{by (iii)} \\
&= c(m) + c(n), && \text{by (ii)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (iv), and so of Lemma 1.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that $\psi(n)$ satisfies (7), (8), (9), (17) and (19); we will deduce that $c(n)$ is completely additive (and incidentally that $c(p) = \Gamma(p)$). Consider the hypotheses of Lemma 1 in the case $k = 1$, when $S(3)$ consists of all powers of 2. Since $\psi(2^t) = 2^{t-1}$ for $t \geq 1$, we have

$$(30) \quad c(2^t) = 1 + C(2^t) = t,$$

whence

$$(31) \quad c(2) = 1 = \Gamma(2),$$

by (16). By definition $c(1) = 0$, so that for any integers $s \geq 0, t \geq 0$, we have

$$(32) \quad c(2^s \cdot 2^t) = c(2^{s+t}) = s + t = c(2^s) + c(2^t).$$

Thus the hypotheses (24) and (25) of Lemma 1 are valid for the particular case $k = 1$ and we conclude that

$$(33) \quad c(p) = \Gamma(p), c(mn) = c(m) + c(n)$$

hold for all p, m, n in $S(5)$; which permits us to repeat the argument. Proceeding by induction on k we deduce, finally, that (33) holds for all primes p and all positive integers m, n .

Conversely, we suppose now that $c(n)$ is completely additive, and $\psi(n)$ satisfies (7), (8) and (9). We prove now that $\psi(n)$ satisfies (17) and (19) and that $c(p) = \Gamma(p)$. By (20) and the completely additive property of $c(n)$ we have

$$(34) \quad c(p) = c[\psi(p)] = \sum_{q < p} \lambda(q, p)c(q) = \Gamma(p),$$

$$(35) \quad c[\psi(p^t)] = c(p^t) = tc(p) = t\Gamma(p),$$

$$(36) \quad c[\psi(p^t)] = \sum_{q \leq p} \lambda(q, p^t)c(q)$$

for all odd p and all $t \geq 1$. By (7) and (8), $\psi(2) = 1$, and so from (11) and (16),

$$c(2) = 1 = \Gamma(2) .$$

We may combine this result with (34) to replace $c(q)$ by $\Gamma(q)$ in (36). Then (35) and (36) together imply (17). By (7), with $p = 2$,

$$\psi(2^t) = 2^u , \quad \text{for some integer } u \geq 0 .$$

Hence, using $c(2) = 1$ and (20), we have

$$u = c(2^u) = c[\psi(2^t)] = c(2^t) - 1 = t - 1 ,$$

which implies (19). Thus, Theorem 2 is established.

5. Remarks. (1) We remark that our subclass of W (whose $c(n)$ is completely additive) admits functions $\psi(n)$ of the type

$$\psi(p^t) = \begin{cases} 2^{t-1} & \text{if } p = 2 , \\ p^{t-l}[\psi(p)]^l & \text{if } p > 2 , \end{cases}$$

where $t \geq 1$ and $l = l(p^t)$ is any integer between 1 and t . Note, in particular, that the special case $l(p^t) = 1$ includes the Euler function.

(2) In passing, it is worthy of notice that a converse problem, (where given any completely additive $c(n)$ with $c(n) > 0$ for $n > 1$ we seek the set of all multiplicative functions $\psi(n)$ satisfying (7), (8) and (9) and having this $c(n)$ as their counting function), is a direct consequence of Theorem 2. The solution may be expressed in the form

$$\psi(p^t) = \begin{cases} 2^{t-1} & \text{if } p = 2 \\ 2^{tc(p)} \prod_{3 \leq q \leq p} [q2^{-c(q)}]^{\lambda(q, p^t)} & \text{if } p > 2 , \end{cases}$$

provided that $\psi(p^t) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for $p > 2$. Inspection of relations (17) and (18) shows that our set is never empty.

(3) Given any multiplicative $\psi(n)$ satisfying (7), (8) and (9) and having a completely additive $c(n)$, it is evident that the relation $c(p) = \Gamma(p)$ provides an alternative method for evaluating $c(n)$, for each n .

REFERENCES

1. S. S. Pillai, *On a function connected with $\varphi(n)$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **35** (1929), 837-841.
2. H. N. Shapiro, *On an arithmetic function arising from the φ -function*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **50** (1943), 18-30.
3. ———, *On the iterates of a certain class of arithmetic functions*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, **3** (1950), 259-272.
4. ———, *Iterates of arithmetic functions and a property of the sequence of primes*, Pacific J. Math., **3** (1953), 647-655.

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO,
CANADA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS

Stanford University
Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY

M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN

E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS

F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insa'susha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 12, No. 2

February, 1962

William George Bade and Robert S. Freeman, <i>Closed extensions of the Laplace operator determined by a general class of boundary conditions</i>	395
William Browder and Edwin Spanier, <i>H-spaces and duality</i>	411
Stewart S. Cairns, <i>On permutations induced by linear value functions</i>	415
Frank Sydney Cater, <i>On Hilbert space operators and operator roots of polynomials</i>	429
Stephen Urban Chase, <i>Torsion-free modules over $K[x, y]$</i>	437
Heron S. Collins, <i>Remarks on affine semigroups</i>	449
Peter Crawley, <i>Direct decompositions with finite dimensional factors</i>	457
Richard Brian Darst, <i>A continuity property for vector valued measurable functions</i>	469
R. P. Dilworth, <i>Abstract commutative ideal theory</i>	481
P. H. Doyle, III and John Gilbert Hocking, <i>Continuously invertible spaces</i>	499
Shaul Foguel, <i>Markov processes with stationary measure</i>	505
Andrew Mattei Gleason, <i>The abstract theorem of Cauchy-Weil</i>	511
Allan Brasted Gray, Jr., <i>Normal subgroups of monomial groups</i>	527
Melvin Henriksen and John Rolfe Isbell, <i>Lattice-ordered rings and function rings</i>	533
Amnon Jakimovski, <i>Tauberian constants for the $[J, f(x)]$ transformations</i>	567
Hubert Collings Kennedy, <i>Group membership in semigroups</i>	577
Eleanor Killam, <i>The spectrum and the radical in locally m-convex algebras</i>	581
Arthur H. Kruse, <i>Completion of mathematical systems</i>	589
Magnus Lindberg, <i>On two Tauberian remainder theorems</i>	607
Lionello A. Lombardi, <i>A general solution of Tonelli's problem of the calculus of variations</i>	617
Marvin David Marcus and Morris Newman, <i>The sum of the elements of the powers of a matrix</i>	627
Michael Bahir Maschler, <i>Derivatives of the harmonic measures in multiply-connected domains</i>	637
Deane Montgomery and Hans Samelson, <i>On the action of $SO(3)$ on S^n</i>	649
J. Barros-Neto, <i>Analytic composition kernels on Lie groups</i>	661
Mario Petrich, <i>Semicharacters of the Cartesian product of two semigroups</i>	679
John Sydney Pym, <i>Idempotent measures on semigroups</i>	685
K. Rogers and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>A special class of matrices</i>	699
U. Shukla, <i>On the projective cover of a module and related results</i>	709
Don Harrell Tucker, <i>An existence theorem for a Goursat problem</i>	719
George Gustave Weill, <i>Reproducing kernels and orthogonal kernels for analytic differentials on Riemann surfaces</i>	729
George Gustave Weill, <i>Capacity differentials on open Riemann surfaces</i>	769
G. K. White, <i>Iterations of generalized Euler functions</i>	777
Adil Mohamed Yaqub, <i>On certain finite rings and ring-logics</i>	785