

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON LOCALLY MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH
SINGLE-VALUED MODULI**

LEO SARIO

ON LOCALLY MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH SINGLE-VALUED MODULI

LEO SARIO

1. A meromorphic function of bounded characteristic in a disk is the quotient of two bounded analytic functions. This classical theorem can be extended to open Riemann surfaces W as follows. Consider the class MB of meromorphic functions w of bounded characteristic on W , defined in terms of capacity functions on subregions. Let L be the class of harmonic functions on W , regular except for logarithmic singularities with integral coefficients. Then $w \in MB$ if and only if $\log |w|$ is the difference of two positive functions in L . We shall construct these functions directly on W , without making use of uniformization.

The proof offers no essential difficulties. If $\log |w|$ is regular at the singularity of the capacity functions, then the classical reasoning carries over almost verbatim. In the general case we introduce the extended class M_e of locally meromorphic functions e^{u+iu^*} , $u \in L$, with single-valued moduli. This class seems to offer some interest in its own right.

2. The class $O_{M_e B}$ of Riemann surfaces not admitting nonconstant $M_e B$ -functions coincides with the class O_g of parabolic surfaces. Regarding the subclass $MB \subset M_e B$ and the strict inclusion relations $O_{HB} < O_{MB} < O_{AB}$, we refer to the pioneering work on Lindelöfian maps by M. Heins [2, 3] and M. Parreau [4], and the doctoral dissertation of K. V. R. Rao [5].

§ 1. Definitions.

3. Let W be an arbitrary open Riemann surface. Given $\zeta \in W$ let $\Omega, \zeta \in \Omega$, be a relatively compact subregion of W whose boundary β_Ω consists of a finite number of analytic Jordan curves. The Green's function on Ω with pole at ζ is denoted by $g_\Omega(z, \zeta)$. For $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ we have $g_{\Omega_0} \leq g_\Omega$ in Ω_0 and $\lim_{\Omega_0 \rightarrow W} g_\Omega(z, \zeta)$ either $\equiv \infty$ or else = the Green's function $g(z, \zeta)$ of W . By definition, the class O_g of parabolic Riemann surfaces consists of those W on which no $g(z, \zeta)$ exists. An equivalent definition of O_g is that there are no nonconstant nonnegative superharmonic functions on W .

Received December 6, 1962. Sponsored by the U. S. Army Research Office (Durham), Grant DA-ARO(D)-31-124-G40, University of California, Los Angeles.

4. The capacity function $p_\Omega(z, \zeta)$ on Ω with pole at ζ is defined as the harmonic function with singularity

$$p_\Omega(z, \zeta) - \log |z - \zeta| \rightarrow 0$$

as $z \rightarrow \zeta$ and such that

$$p_\Omega(z, \zeta) = k_\Omega = \text{const. on } \beta_\Omega.$$

It is known [1] that $k_{\Omega_0} \leq k_\Omega$ and the limit $k_\beta = \lim k_\Omega$ is thus well-defined. A necessary and sufficient condition for $W \in O_\sigma$ is $k_\beta = \infty$.

5. Let M be the class of meromorphic functions w on W . The proximity function of w is defined [7] as

$$(1) \quad m(\Omega, w) = m(\Omega, \infty) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\beta_\Omega}^+ \log |w| dp_\Omega^*.$$

If β_h is the level line $p_\Omega = h$, $-\infty \leq h \leq k_\Omega$, and $n(h, \infty)$ signifies the number of poles of w in $\bar{\Omega}_h$: $p_\Omega \leq h$, counted with multiplicities, then the counting function is defined as

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} N(\Omega, w) &= N(\Omega, \infty) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{k_\Omega} (n(h, \infty) - n(-\infty, \infty)) dh + n(-\infty, \infty) k_\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

The characteristic function is, by definition,

$$T(\Omega) = T(\Omega, w) = m(\Omega, w) + N(\Omega, w).$$

The function w has at ζ the Laurent expansion

$$(3) \quad w(z) = c_\lambda (z - \zeta)^\lambda + c_{\lambda+1} (z - \zeta)^{\lambda+1} + \dots,$$

$c_\lambda \neq 0$, and the Jensen formula reads [7, 8]

$$(4) \quad T(\Omega, w) = T(\Omega, w^{-1}) + \log |c_\lambda|.$$

6. We shall need a class M_e more comprehensive than M . We introduce:

DEFINITIONS. *The class L consists of functions u on W , harmonic except for logarithmic singularities $\lambda_i \log |z - z_i|$ at z_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, with integral coefficients λ_i . The subclass of nonnegative functions in L will be denoted by LP .*

The class M_e is defined to consist of (multiple-valued) functions of the form

$$(5) \quad w = e^{u+iu^*}, \quad u \in L.$$

The conjugate function u^* has periods around z_i and along some cycles in W . Every branch of w is locally meromorphic, the branches differing by multiplicative constants c with $|c| = 1$. The modulus $|w|$ is single-valued throughout W .

The quantities $m(\Omega, w)$, $N(\Omega, w)$, $T(\Omega, w)$, and the Jensen formula carry over to M_e without modifications [7]. We further introduce:

DEFINITION. *The class MB (or $M_e B$) consists of functions w in M (or M_e) with bounded characteristics,*

$$(6) \quad T(\Omega) = O(1) .$$

Explicitly, one requires the existence of a bound $C < \infty$ independent of Ω such that $T(\Omega) < C$ for all $\Omega \subset W$. That (6) is independent of ζ will be a consequence of a decomposition theorem which we proceed to establish.

§ 2. The decomposition theorem.

7. We continue considering arbitrary open Riemann surfaces W .

THEOREM. *A necessary and sufficient condition for $w \in M_e B$ on W is that*

$$(7) \quad \log |w| = u - v ,$$

where $u, v \in LP$.

The proof will be given in nos. 8-18. As a corollary we observe that $w \in MB$ on W if and only if (7) holds.

8. First we shall discuss in nos. 8-11 the case $w(\zeta) = 0$ or ∞ .

Suppose $w \in M_e B$. We begin by showing that $W \notin O_a$. If $w(\zeta) = \infty$, then

$$T(\Omega) \geq N(\Omega, w) \geq n(-\infty, \infty)k_a \geq k_a .$$

From $W \in O_a$ it would follow that $k_a \rightarrow \infty$ as $\Omega \rightarrow W$ and consequently $T(\Omega) \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction. We conclude that $W \notin O_a$. If $w(\zeta) = 0$, then in Jensen's formula

$$T(\Omega, w) = T\left(\Omega, \frac{1}{w}\right) + O(1)$$

we have

$$T\left(\Omega, \frac{1}{w}\right) \geq N\left(\Omega, \frac{1}{w}\right) \geq n(-\infty, 0)k_a \geq k_a$$

and arrive at the same conclusion $W \notin O_g$.

On the other hand, if condition (7) is true, the existence of nonnegative superharmonic functions u, v implies $W \notin O_g$. Thus either condition of the theorem gives the hyperbolicity of W , and we may henceforth assume the existence of $g(z, \zeta)$ on W if $w(\zeta) = 0$ or ∞ .

9. The functions

$$(8) \quad \varphi(z) = e^{\lambda(g(z, \zeta) + i g^*(z, \zeta))},$$

$$(9) \quad w_1(z) = w(z)\varphi(z)$$

belong to M_e . We shall show:

LEMMA. *A necessary and sufficient condition for $w \in M_e B$ is that $w_1 \in M_e B$.*

Proof. By definition,

$$(10) \quad T(\Omega, \varphi) = N(\Omega, \varphi) + m(\Omega, \varphi).$$

For $\lambda > 0$ we have trivially $N(\Omega, \varphi^{-1}) \equiv 0, m(\Omega, \varphi^{-1}) \equiv 0$, hence $T(\Omega, \varphi^{-1}) \equiv 0$, and it follows from Jensen's formula that $T(\Omega, \varphi) = O(1)$. If $\lambda < 0$, then $N(\Omega, \varphi) \equiv m(\Omega, \varphi) \equiv 0$, and $T(\Omega, \varphi) \equiv 0$, hence $T(\Omega, \varphi^{-1}) = O(1)$. In both cases

$$(11) \quad T(\Omega, \varphi) = O(1), T(\Omega, \varphi^{-1}) = O(1).$$

The inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} T(\Omega, w) &\leq T(\Omega, w_1) + T(\Omega, \varphi^{-1}) = T(\Omega, w_1) + O(1), \\ T(\Omega, w_1) &\leq T(\Omega, w) + T(\Omega, \varphi) = T(\Omega, w) + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

yield

$$(12) \quad T(\Omega, w) = T(\Omega, w_1) + O(1)$$

and the lemma follows.

10. The following intermediate result can now be established:

LEMMA. *A necessary and sufficient condition for*

$$(13) \quad \log |w| = u - v$$

with $u, v \in LP$ is that

$$(14) \quad \log |w_1| = u_1 - v_1$$

with $u_1, v_1 \in LP$.

Proof. We know that

$$(15) \quad \log |w_1| = \log |w| + \lambda g = \log |w| + (n_0 - n_\infty)g ,$$

where n_0, n_∞ are the multiplicities of the zero or pole of $w(z)$ at ζ . If (13) is true, then

$$(16) \quad \log |w_1| = (u + n_0g) - (v + n_\infty g)$$

and (14) follows. Conversely, (14) implies

$$(17) \quad \log |w| = (u_1 + n_\infty g) - (v_1 + n_0g) .$$

This proves the lemma.

11. We conclude that Theorem 7 will be proved for w with $w(\zeta) = 0$ or ∞ if we establish it for w_1 . Since $w_1(\zeta) \neq 0, \infty$, the proof for w_1 will also apply to w with this property. Explicitly, we are to show that $w_1 \in M_\epsilon B$ if and only if $\log |w_1| = u_1 - v_1$, $u_1, v_1 \in LP$.

12. Let $p_{\zeta z}$ be the capacity function in Ω with pole at z . For a harmonic function h on $\bar{\Omega}$ it is known [7] that

$$(18) \quad h(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\beta_\Omega} h dp_{\zeta z}^* .$$

Denote by a_μ, b_ν the zeros and poles of w in W . Those in $W - \zeta$ are the zeros and poles of w_1 in W . Suppose first there is no a_μ, b_ν on β_Ω . Then the function

$$(19) \quad h(z) = \log |w_1(z)| + \sum_{a_\mu \in \Omega - \zeta} g_\Omega(z, a_\mu) - \sum_{b_\nu \in \Omega - \zeta} g_\Omega(z, b_\nu)$$

is harmonic on $\bar{\Omega}$. Throughout this paper the zeros and poles are counted with their multiplicities. We set

$$(20) \quad x_\Omega(z, w_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\beta_\Omega} \log^+ |w_1| dp_{\zeta z}^* ,$$

$$(21) \quad y_\Omega(z, w_1) = \sum_{b_\nu \in \Omega - \zeta} g_\Omega(z, b_\nu) ,$$

and

$$(22) \quad u_\Omega(z, w_1) = x_\Omega(z, w_1) + y_\Omega(z, w_1) .$$

Then

$$(23) \quad \log |w_1(z)| = u_\Omega(z, w_1) - u_\Omega(z, w_1^{-1}) .$$

Since all terms are continuous in a_μ, b_ν , the equation remains valid if there are zeros or poles of w on β_Ω .

We observe that

$$(24) \quad x_{\Omega}(\zeta, w_1) = m(\Omega, w_1) ,$$

$$(25) \quad y_{\Omega}(\zeta, w_1) = N(\Omega, w_1) .$$

Here we shall only make use of the consequence

$$(26) \quad u_{\Omega}(\zeta, w_1) = T(\Omega, w_1) .$$

13. We next show:

LEMMA. For $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$,

$$(27) \quad u_{\Omega_0}(z, w_1) \leq u_{\Omega}(z, w_1) ,$$

$$(27)' \quad u_{\Omega_0}(z, w_1^{-1}) \leq u_{\Omega}(z, w_1^{-1}) .$$

Proof. By (23),

$$(28) \quad \log^+ |w_1(z)| \leq u_{\Omega}(z, w_1)$$

for every Ω . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\Omega_0}(z, w_1) &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\beta_{\Omega_0}} u_{\Omega}(t, w_1) dp_{\Omega_0 z}^* \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\beta_{\Omega_0}} (u_{\Omega}(t, w_1) - y_{\Omega_0}(t, w_1)) dp_{\Omega_0 z}^* \\ &= u_{\Omega}(z, w_1) - y_{\Omega_0}(z, w_1) , \end{aligned}$$

because this difference is regular harmonic in Ω_0 . We have reached statement (27),

$$x_{\Omega_0}(z, w_1) + y_{\Omega_0}(z, w_1) \leq u_{\Omega}(z, w_1) ,$$

and inequality (27)' follows in the same fashion.

14. From (26) and (27) we infer that $T(\Omega, w_1)$ increases with Ω . We can set

$$(29) \quad T(W, w_1) = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} T(\Omega, w_1)$$

and use alternatively the notations $T(\Omega) = 0(1)$ and $T(W) < \infty$.

15. The convergence of u_{Ω} can now be established:

LEMMA. If $T(W, w_1) < \infty$, then the functions

$$(30) \quad u(z, w_1) = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} u_{\Omega}(z, w_1) ,$$

$$(30) \quad u(z, w_1^{-1}) = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} u_\Omega(z, w_1^{-1})$$

are positive harmonic on W except for logarithmic poles of $u(z, w_1)$ at the $b_\nu \in W - \zeta$ and those of $u(z, w_1^{-1})$ at the $a_\mu \in W - \zeta$.

Proof. By Harnack's principle the limit in (30) is either identically infinite or else harmonic on $W - \{b_\nu\}$. That the latter alternative occurs is a consequence of

$$\lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} u_\Omega(\zeta, w_1) = T(W, w_1) .$$

The statement for $u_\Omega(z, w_1^{-1})$ follows similarly from $u_\Omega(\zeta, w_1^{-1}) = T(\Omega, w_1^{-1}) = T(\Omega, w_1) + O(1)$.

16. On combining the lemma with (23) we see that $w_1 \in M_e B$ has the asserted representation

$$(31) \quad \log |w_1(z)| = u(z, w_1) - u(z, w_1^{-1})$$

with the u -functions in LP . It remains to establish the converse.

17. Suppose

$$(32) \quad \log |w_1(z)| = u_1(z) - v_1(z)$$

where $u_1, v_1 \in LP$. The positive logarithmic poles of $u_\Omega(z, w_1)$ are those of $\log |w_1(z)|$ in Ω , hence among those of $u_1(z)$. Consequently $u_1(z) - u_\Omega(z, w_1)$ is superharmonic in Ω and its minimum on $\bar{\Omega}$ is reached on β_Ω , where $u_1(z) - u_\Omega(z, w_1) = u_1(z) - \log |w_1(z)| \geq 0$. One infers that $u_1(z) \geq u_\Omega(z, w_1)$ in $\bar{\Omega}$. At ζ this means

$$(33) \quad T(\Omega, w_1) = u_\Omega(\zeta, w_1) \leq u_1(\zeta) .$$

If $u_1(\zeta) < \infty$, the proof is complete.

18. If $u_1(\zeta) = \infty$, then

$$(34) \quad u_1(z) + \lambda_1 \log |z - \zeta|$$

is harmonic at ζ for some positive integer λ_1 . We set

$$(35) \quad w_2 = w_1 \cdot e^{-\lambda_1(g + i g^*)} \in M_e ,$$

where $g = g(z, \zeta)$, and obtain

$$(36) \quad \log |w_2| = \log |w_1| - \lambda_1 g = (u_1 - \lambda_1 g) - v_1 .$$

The function $u_1 - \lambda_1 g_\Omega$ with $g_\Omega = g_\Omega(z, \zeta)$ is superharmonic on Ω , hence its minimum on $\bar{\Omega}$ is taken on β_Ω , where

$$(37) \quad u_1 - \lambda_1 g_\Omega = u_1 \geq 0 .$$

From $u_1 \geq \lambda_1 g_\Omega$ on Ω it follows that

$$(38) \quad u_1 - \lambda_1 g = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} (u_1 - \lambda_1 g_\Omega) \geq 0$$

on W . On setting

$$(39) \quad u_2 = u_1 - \lambda_1 g, \quad v_2 = v_1$$

one gets

$$(40) \quad \log |w_2| = u_2 - v_2$$

with $u_2, v_2 \in LP$.

The positive logarithmic poles of $u_\Omega(z, w_2)$ are those of $\log |w_2|$ on Ω , hence among those of u_2 . The minimum of the superharmonic function $u_2(z) - u_\Omega(z, w_2)$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ is taken on β_Ω , where it is

$$\min_{\beta_\Omega} (u_2 - \log^+ |w_2|) \geq 0 .$$

One infers that

$$(41) \quad T(\Omega, w_2) = u_\Omega(\zeta, w_2) \leq u_2(\zeta) < \infty ,$$

that is, $T(\Omega, w_2) = O(1)$. The reasoning leading to (12) yields

$$(42) \quad T(\Omega, w_1) = T(\Omega, w_2) + O(1) ,$$

and consequently $T(\Omega, w_1) = O(1)$.

We have shown that (32) implies $T(W, w_1) < \infty$. The proof of Theorem 7 is complete.

19. As an immediate consequence we see that the property $T(\Omega, w) = O(1)$ and thus the class $M_e B$ is independent of ζ .

§ 3. Extremal decompositions.

20. Consider an arbitrary $w \in M_e$. In contrast with no. 12 we now make no restrictive assumptions on $w(\zeta)$ and form

$$(43) \quad x_\Omega(z, w) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\beta_\Omega}^+ \log |w| \, dp_{\Omega z}^* ,$$

$$(44) \quad y_\Omega(z, w) = \sum_{b_\nu \in \Omega} g_\Omega(z, b_\nu) ,$$

$$(45) \quad u_\Omega(z, w) = x_\Omega(z, w) + y_\Omega(z, w) .$$

It is seen as in no. 13 that u_Ω increases with Ω and that

$$(46) \quad u(z, w) = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} u_{\Omega}(z, w)$$

is either identically infinite or else positive harmonic on W except for logarithmic poles b_{ν} . The same is true of

$$(47) \quad u(z, w^{-1}) = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} u_{\Omega}(z, w^{-1})$$

with singularities a_{μ} .

The functions (46) and (47) will now be shown to be extremal in all decompositions (7):

THEOREM. *If there is a decomposition*

$$(48) \quad \log |w(z)| = u_1(z) - u_2(z)$$

with $u_1, u_2 \in LP$, then also

$$(49) \quad \log |w(z)| = u(z, w) - u(z, w^{-1})$$

and

$$(50) \quad \begin{aligned} u(z, w) &\leq u_1(z) \\ u(z, w^{-1}) &\leq u_2(z) . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. One observes that the positive logarithmic poles of $u_{\Omega}(z, w)$ are those of $\log |w(z)|$ in Ω , hence among those of $u_1(z)$ in Ω . The superharmonic function $u_1(z) - u_{\Omega}(z, w)$ in Ω dominates

$$\min_{\beta_{\Omega}} (u_1(z) - \log^+ |w(z)|) \geq 0$$

and we find that $u_1(z) - u(z, w) = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} (u_1(z) - u_{\Omega}(z, w)) \geq 0$ in W . Similarly, the superharmonic function $u_2(z) - u_{\Omega}(z, w^{-1}) \geq 0$ on Ω , and $u_2(z) \geq u(z, w^{-1})$ on W . By virtue of Harnack's principle, equality (49) then follows on letting $\Omega \rightarrow W$ in

$$(51) \quad \log |w(z)| = u_{\Omega}(z, w) - u_{\Omega}(z, w^{-1}) .$$

21. The extremal functions $u(z, w), u(z, w^{-1})$ can in turn be decomposed:

THEOREM. *A function w on W belongs to $M_e B$ if and only if*

$$(52) \quad \log |w| = (x(z, w) + y(z, w)) - (x(z, w^{-1}) + y(z, w^{-1})) ,$$

where the functions $x \geq 0$ are regular harmonic and the functions $y \geq 0$ have the representations

$$(53) \quad \begin{aligned} y(z, w) &= \Sigma g(z, b_v) \\ y(z, w^{-1}) &= \Sigma g(z, a_\mu) . \end{aligned}$$

Here the sums are extended over all poles b_v and all zeros a_μ of w on W respectively, each counted with its multiplicity.

22. Suppose indeed that $w \in M_e B$. It is evident from the maximum principle that

$$(54) \quad y_{\Omega_0}(z, w) \leq y_\Omega(z, w)$$

for $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$. We know that

$$(55) \quad \log |w| = u_1 - u_2 ,$$

$u_1, u_2 \in LP$, and the superharmonic function $u_1(z) - y_\Omega(z, w)$ on Ω cannot exceed $\min_{\partial\Omega} u_1 \geq 0$. Hence $y_\Omega(z, w) \leq u_1(z)$ on Ω and, by Harnack's principle,

$$(56) \quad y(z, w) = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} y_\Omega(z, w)$$

is positive harmonic on W except for logarithmic poles b_v . Analogous reasoning shows that

$$(57) \quad y(z, w^{-1}) = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} y_\Omega(z, w^{-1})$$

is positive harmonic on $W - \{a_\mu\}$.

23. To prove (53) we must show that

$$(58) \quad \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} \sum_{b_v \in \Omega} g_\Omega(z, b_v) = \sum_{b_v \in W} g(z, b_v)$$

and similarly for $\Sigma g(z, a_\mu)$. First,

$$(59) \quad \sum_{b_v \in \Omega} g_\Omega(z, b_v) \leq \sum_{b_v \in \Omega} g(z, b_v) \leq \sum_{b_v \in W} g(z, b_v) ,$$

and we have

$$(60) \quad \overline{\lim}_{\Omega \rightarrow W} \sum_{b_v \in \Omega} g_\Omega(z, b_v) \leq \sum_{b_v \in W} g(z, b_v) .$$

Second, for $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$,

$$(61) \quad \sum_{b_v \in \Omega_0} g(z, b_v) = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} \sum_{b_v \in \Omega_0} g_\Omega(z, b_v) \leq \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} \sum_{b_v \in \Omega} g_\Omega(z, b_v)$$

and a fortiori

$$(62) \quad \sum_{b_v \in W} g(z, b_v) = \lim_{\Omega_0 \rightarrow W} \sum_{b_v \in \Omega_0} g(z, b_v) \leq \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} \sum_{b_v \in \Omega} g_\Omega(z, b_v) .$$

Statement (58) follows.

24. The convergence of $x_\alpha(z, w)$ is obtained at once from

$$(63) \quad x_\alpha(z, w) = u_\alpha(z, w) - y_\alpha(z, w),$$

and the limiting function is

$$(64) \quad x(z, w) = u(z, w) - y(z, w).$$

The limit $x(z, w^{-1})$ of $x_\alpha(z, w^{-1})$ is obtained in the same way. Both limits are obviously positive and regular harmonic on W .

Necessity of (52) for $w \in M_e B$ has thus been established. Sufficiency is a corollary of the main Theorem 7.

§ 4. Consequences.

25. If only the x -terms in (52) are considered, the following corollary of Theorem 21 is obtained:

THEOREM. *If $w \in M_e B$ on W , then*

$$(65) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow W} \int_{\beta_\alpha} |\log |w|| dp_\alpha^* < \infty$$

for any ζ .

Here p_α signifies, as before, the capacity function on Ω with pole at ζ . For the proof we have

$$(66) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\beta_\alpha} |\log |w|| dp_\alpha^* &= \int_{\beta_\alpha} \log^+ |w| dp_\alpha^* + \int_{\beta_\alpha} \log^+ \left| \frac{1}{w} \right| dp_\alpha^* \\ &= 2\pi(x_\alpha(\zeta, w) + x_\alpha(\zeta, w^{-1})), \end{aligned}$$

and this quantity tends to

$$(67) \quad 2\pi(x(\zeta, w) + x(\zeta, w^{-1})) < \infty.$$

The limit (65) thus exists.

26. A consideration of the y -terms in (52) gives:

THEOREM. *Suppose $w \in M_e B$. Then the sum $\sum g(z, z_i)$, with z_i ranging over all poles and zeros of w , is harmonic on $W - \{a_\mu\} - \{b_\nu\}$.*

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (68) \quad \sum_{z_i \in W} g(z, z_i) &= \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} \sum_{z_i \in \Omega} g(z, z_i) \\
 &= \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} \left(\sum_{a_\mu \in \Omega} g(z, a_\mu) + \sum_{b_\nu \in \Omega} g(z, b_\nu) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{a_\mu \in W} g(z, a_\mu) + \sum_{b_\nu \in W} g(z, b_\nu) .
 \end{aligned}$$

27. For a sufficient condition the first terms of both x - and y -parts in (52) must be taken into account:

THEOREM. *If for some $\zeta \in W$*

$$(69) \quad \int_{\beta_\Omega}^+ \log |w| dp_\Omega^* = O(1)$$

and

$$(70) \quad \sum_{b_\nu \in W} g(z, b_\nu) < \infty \text{ in } W - \{b_\nu\} ,$$

then $w \in M_e B$ and hence

$$(71) \quad \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} \int_{\beta_\Omega} |\log |w|| dp_\Omega^* < \infty$$

and

$$(72) \quad \sum_{a_\mu \in W} g(z, a_\mu) < \infty \text{ on } W - \{a_\mu\}$$

as well.

Indeed, the characteristic

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(\Omega) &= u_\Omega(\zeta, w) = x_\Omega(\zeta, w) + y_\Omega(\zeta, w) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\beta_\Omega}^+ \log |w| dp_\Omega^* + \sum_{b_\nu \in \Omega} g_\Omega(\zeta, b_\nu)
 \end{aligned}$$

is $O(1)$ if (69), (70) hold. Properties (71), (72) then follow from $w \in M_e B$.

Another sufficient condition for $w \in M_e B$ is, of course, that $\int_{\beta_\Omega}^+ \log |w^{-1}| dp_\Omega$ is bounded and $\sum g(\zeta, a_\mu) < \infty$ in $W - \{a_\mu\}$.

28. For “entire” functions in $M_e B$ the conditions simplify. Let $E_e B$ be the class of such functions, characterized by $w(z) \neq \infty$ on W .

THEOREM. *A necessary and sufficient condition for $w \in E_e B$ on W is that*

$$(73) \quad \int_{\beta_\Omega}^+ \log |w| dp_\Omega = O(1) .$$

The proof is evident.

29. Consider the class H of regular harmonic functions h on W and let HP be the subclass of nonnegative functions. Set $h^+ = \max(0, h)$.

THEOREM. *A harmonic function h on W has a decomposition*

$$(74) \quad h = u_1 - u_2, \quad u_1, u_2 \in HP$$

if and only if, for some ζ ,

$$(75) \quad \int_{\beta_\Omega} h^+ dp_\Omega^* = O(1),$$

or, equivalently,

$$(76) \quad \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} \int_{\beta_\Omega} |h| dp_\Omega^* < \infty.$$

Proof. The multiple-valued function $w = e^{h+ih^*}$ is in M_e , and $w \neq 0, \infty$ on W . If (74) is given, then $\log |w| = u_1 - u_2$ and $w \in M_e B$. This implies

$$\lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} \int_{\beta_\Omega} |\log |w|| dp_\Omega^* = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} \int_{\beta_\Omega} |h| dp_\Omega^* < \infty$$

and consequently $\int_{\beta_\Omega} h^+ dp_\Omega^* = O(1)$. Conversely, suppose the latter condition holds,

$$\int_{\beta_\Omega} \log^+ |w| dp_\Omega^* = O(1).$$

Then $w \in M_e B$ and

$$h = \log |w| = x(z, w) - x(z, w^{-1}),$$

the y -terms vanishing because of the absence of zeros and poles of w .

It is known that functions u harmonic in the interior W of a compact bordered Riemann surface and with property (76) have a Poisson-Stieltjes representation (e.g., Rodin [6]). For further interesting results see Rao [5].

30. It is clear that theorems on $\log |w|$ can also be expressed directly in terms of $|w|$. Theorem 7, e.g., takes the following form:

THEOREM. *$w \in M_e B$ if and only if*

$$(77) \quad |w| = \left| \frac{\eta(z, w)}{\eta(z, w^{-1})} \right|,$$

where $\eta \in M_e B$ and $|\eta| < 1$ on W .

Proof. Suppose $w \in M_e B$, hence

$$(78) \quad \log |w| = u(z, w) - u(z, w^{-1}),$$

$u \in LP$. Set

$$(79) \quad \eta(z, w) = \exp[-u(z, w^{-1}) - iu(z, w^{-1})^*],$$

and (77) follows. Conversely, if (77) is given, then

$$(80) \quad \log |w| = \log |\eta(z, w)| - \log |\eta(z, w^{-1})|$$

is a difference of two functions in LP , and we have $w \in M_e B$.

31. The counterpart of Theorem 21 is as follows:

THEOREM. $w \in M_e B$ if and only if

$$(81) \quad |w| = \left| \frac{\varphi(z, w)\psi(z, w)}{\varphi(z, w^{-1})\psi(z, w^{-1})} \right|,$$

where $\varphi, \psi \in M_e B$ and $\varphi \neq 0$ on W , $|\varphi| < 1$, $|\psi| < 1$.

If $w \in M_e B$, choose

$$(82) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(z, w) &= \exp[-x(z, w^{-1}) - ix(z, w^{-1})^*], \\ \psi(z, w) &= \exp[-y(z, w^{-1}) - iy(z, w^{-1})^*], \end{aligned}$$

and we have (81). Conversely, (81) gives $\log |w| = u_1 - u_2$ with $u_1, u_2 \in LP$, hence $w \in M_e B$.

32. We introduce the classes O_{MB} and $O_{M_e B}$ of Riemann surfaces on which there are no nonconstant functions in MB and $M_e B$ respectively. Similarly, let O_{EB} and $O_{E_e B}$ be the subclasses determined by entire functions $w(z) \neq \infty$ on W in MB and $M_e B$. The problem here is to arrange these four classes in the general classification scheme of Riemann surfaces [1].

The inclusion relations

$$(83) \quad \begin{aligned} O_{M_e B} &\subset O_{MB} \subset O_{EB}, \\ O_{M_e B} &\subset O_{E_e B} \subset O_{EB} \end{aligned}$$

are immediately verified.

33. The smallest class in (83) is easily identified:

THEOREM. *All functions in $M_e B$ on W reduce to constants if and only if W is parabolic,*

$$(84) \quad O_G = O_{M_e B}.$$

Proof. If $W \notin O_G$, there is a Green's function $g(z, \zeta)$, and

$$(85) \quad w = e^{-g - ig^*} \in M_e B.$$

In fact, g is bounded above in any $W - \Omega$, hence $m(\Omega, w) = O(1)$, and $N(\Omega, w) = 0$ gives $T(\Omega) = O(1)$. Conversely, if there is a non-constant $w \in M_e B$ on W , then $\log |w| = u_1 - u_2$ where at least one $u_i \in LP$ is nonconstant superharmonic. This means that $W \notin O_G$. The same proof gives $O_G = O_{E_e B}$.

34. By the preceding theorem, every M_e -function on a parabolic W has unbounded characteristic. Even more can be said of M -functions on the larger class O_{MB} by comparing $T(\Omega)$ with k_Ω (no. 4):

THEOREM. *On $W \in O_{MB}$, the characteristic $T(\Omega)$ of any $w \in M$ tends so rapidly to infinity that*

$$(86) \quad \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow W} \frac{T(\Omega)}{k_\Omega} \geq 1.$$

Proof. Let $w(\zeta) = a$. The counting function of w for a is, by definition,

$$N(\Omega, a) = \int_{-\infty}^{k_\Omega} (n(h, a) - n(-\infty, a)) dh + n(-\infty, a) k_\Omega,$$

where $n(h, a)$ is the number of a -points of w in the set $\bar{\Omega}_h: p_\Omega \leq h \leq k_\Omega$. We obtain from the first fundamental theorem [7] that

$$(87) \quad T(\Omega) + O(1) \geq N(\Omega, a) \geq n(-\infty, a) k_\Omega,$$

and (86) follows.

Thus (86) is obviously a property of every $w \in M$, $w \notin MB$, on every W .

35. We also observe:

THEOREM. *A function $w \in M$ on $W \in O_{MB}$ cannot omit a set of values of positive capacity.*

More accurately, the counting function $N(\Omega, a)$ of $w \in M$ on O_{MB} is unbounded on any set E of positive capacity. To see this we distribute mass $d\mu(a) > 0$ at $a \in E$, with $\int_E d\mu = 1$, and integrate Jensen's formula

$$(88) \quad \log |w(\zeta) - a| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\beta_\Omega} \log |w - a| dp_\Omega^* + N(\Omega, \infty) - N(\Omega, a)$$

($w(\zeta) \neq \infty$) over E with respect to $d\mu(a)$. We obtain Frostman's formula on W :

$$(89) \quad N(\Omega, \infty) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\beta_\Omega} u(w) dp_\Omega^* = \int_E N(\Omega, a) d\mu(a) - u(w(\zeta)),$$

where $u(w) = \int_E \log |w - a|^{-1} d\mu(a)$. For equilibrium distribution $d\mu$ it is known from the classical theory that $u(w) = -\log^+ |w| + O(1)$, and a fortiori $\int_{\beta_\Omega} u(w) dp_\Omega^* = -2\pi m(\Omega, \infty) + O(1)$, where $O(1)$ depends on E only. Substitution into (89) gives

$$(90) \quad T(\Omega) = \int_E N(\Omega, a) d\mu(a) + O(1).$$

This proves our assertion.

36. A comprehensive study of the role played by O_{MB} in the classification theory of Riemann surfaces is contained in the doctoral dissertation of K. V. R. Rao [5].

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. L. Ahlfors and L. Sario, *Riemann surfaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 382 pp.
2. M. Heins, *Lindelöfian maps*, Ann. of Math. (2) **61** (1956), 440-473.
3. ———, *Functions of bounded characteristic and Lindelöfian maps*, Proc. Internat. Congress Math. 1958, 376-388, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1960.
4. M. Parreau, *Sur les moyennes des fonctions harmoniques et analytiques et la classification des surfaces de Riemann*, Ann. Inst. Grenoble **3** (1951), 103-197.
5. K. V. R. Rao, *Lindelöfian maps and positive harmonic functions*, Doctoral Dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles, April, 1962, 48 pp.
6. B. Rodin, *Reproducing formulas on Riemann surfaces*, Doctoral Dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles, February, 1961, 71 pp.
7. L. Sario, *Second main theorem without exceptional intervals on arbitrary Riemann surfaces*, Mich. Math. J. (to appear).
8. ———, *Meromorphic functions with bounded characteristics on open Riemann surfaces*, Contract DA-04-495-ORD-1959, Tech. Rep. 24, December, 1960, 22 pp.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS

Stanford University
Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY

M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN

E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS

F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 13, No. 2

April, 1963

Rafael Artzy, <i>Solution of loop equations by adjunction</i>	361
Earl Robert Berkson, <i>A characterization of scalar type operators on reflexive Banach spaces</i>	365
Mario Borelli, <i>Divisorial varieties</i>	375
Raj Chandra Bose, <i>Strongly regular graphs, partial geometries and partially balanced designs</i>	389
R. H. Bruck, <i>Finite nets. II. Uniqueness and imbedding</i>	421
L. Carlitz, <i>The inverse of the error function</i>	459
Robert Wayne Carroll, <i>Some degenerate Cauchy problems with operator coefficients</i>	471
Michael P. Drazin and Emilie Virginia Haynsworth, <i>A theorem on matrices of 0's and 1's</i>	487
Lawrence Carl Eggen and Eugene A. Maier, <i>On complex approximation</i>	497
James Michael Gardner Fell, <i>Weak containment and Kronecker products of group representations</i>	503
Paul Chase Fife, <i>Schauder estimates under incomplete Hölder continuity assumptions</i>	511
Shaul Foguel, <i>Powers of a contraction in Hilbert space</i>	551
Neal Eugene Foland, <i>The structure of the orbits and their limit sets in continuous flows</i>	563
Frank John Forelli, Jr., <i>Analytic measures</i>	571
Robert William Gilmer, Jr., <i>On a classical theorem of Noether in ideal theory</i>	579
P. R. Halmos and Jack E. McLaughlin, <i>Partial isometries</i>	585
Albert Emerson Hurd, <i>Maximum modulus algebras and local approximation in C^n</i>	597
James Patrick Jans, <i>Module classes of finite type</i>	603
Betty Kvarda, <i>On densities of sets of lattice points</i>	611
H. Larcher, <i>A geometric characterization for a class of discontinuous groups of linear fractional transformations</i>	617
John W. Moon and Leo Moser, <i>Simple paths on polyhedra</i>	629
T. S. Motzkin and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>Representation of a point of a set as sum of transforms of boundary points</i>	633
Rajakularaman Ponnuswami Pakshirajan, <i>An analogue of Kolmogorov's three-series theorem for abstract random variables</i>	639
Robert Ralph Phelps, <i>Čebyšev subspaces of finite codimension in $C(X)$</i>	647
James Dolan Reid, <i>On subgroups of an Abelian group maximal disjoint from a given subgroup</i>	657
William T. Reid, <i>Riccati matrix differential equations and non-oscillation criteria for associated linear differential systems</i>	665
Georg Johann Rieger, <i>Some theorems on prime ideals in algebraic number fields</i>	687
Gene Fuerst Rose and Joseph Silbert Ullian, <i>Approximations of functions on the integers</i>	693
F. J. Sansone, <i>Combinatorial functions and regressive isols</i>	703
Leo Sario, <i>On locally meromorphic functions with single-valued moduli</i>	709
Takayuki Tamura, <i>Semigroups and their subsemigroup lattices</i>	725
Pui-kei Wong, <i>Existence and asymptotic behavior of proper solutions of a class of second-order nonlinear differential equations</i>	737
Fawzi Mohamad Yaqub, <i>Free extensions of Boolean algebras</i>	761