

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**$B^*$  ALGEBRA UNIT BALL EXTREMAL POINTS**

PHILIP MILES

# $B^*$ ALGEBRA UNIT BALL EXTREMAL POINTS

PHILIP MILES

Results of Kadison [3] and Jacobson [2] are combined to show that the points described by the title are unitaries, left shifts, right shifts, or sums of these. The extremality property is preserved by homomorphisms; conversely, when range and domain are  $AW^*$  algebras, every extremal point of the range has an extremal point in its pre-image. Exact formulations of these results and of a few simple consequences are given in section one; proofs follow in section two.

In what follows,  $A$  will be a self-adjoint subalgebra of some  $B^*$  algebra; " $x$  is extremal ( $A$ )" will mean that  $x$  is an extremal point of the unit ball of  $A$  with respect to the  $B^*$  norm indicated by the context; "weak topology" will mean the weak operator topology with respect to the representation of  $A$  by bounded operators on a Hilbert space which is indicated by the context.

**1. Theorems.** Our starting point is a formula due to Kadison ([3], Theorem 1). In a mildly generalized form, his result is:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A$  be a self-adjoint subalgebra of some  $B^*$  algebra  $B$ . Then  $x$  is extremal ( $A$ ) if and only if*

$$(1 - x^*x)A(1 - xx^*) = \{0\} .$$

Here "1" stands for the identity of  $A$  if there is one; otherwise the meaning of the equation is to be found by performing the indicated multiplications for each  $y \in A$ . It turns out (Theorem 2) that the existence of any element extremal ( $A$ ) implies that  $A$  has an identity.<sup>1</sup>

An obvious consequence of this formula is the perseverance of extremality. Calling "reasonable" any linear topology making involution continuous, and multiplication continuous in each variable separately, we have:

**COROLLARY (i)** *If  $\bar{A}$  is the closure of  $A$  in  $B$  with respect to a reasonable topology, and if  $x$  is in  $A$ , then  $x$  is extremal ( $A$ ) if and only if  $x$  is extremal ( $\bar{A}$ ).*

**(ii)** *If  $\phi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism of  $A$  into a  $B^*$  algebra  $B_1$ , then  $x$  extremal ( $A$ ) implies that  $\phi x$  is extremal ( $\phi A$ ).*

Using the methods of [2], one can draw substantial information about the form of an individual extremal element from Theorem 1.

---

Received February 14, 1963. Supported by NSF Grants G-14362 and G 19050.

<sup>1</sup> This has already been proved by Sakai [5, p. 1.3]

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A$  be a self-adjoint subalgebra of the algebra  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  of all bounded operators on the Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $x$  be extremal ( $A$ ). Then*

(i)  *$A$  has an identity<sup>1</sup>, which we now take to be the identity operator on  $H$ —thus possibly changing the meaning of  $H$ .*

(ii)  *$x$  satisfies one of the following*

(a)  *$x$  is unitary*

(b)  *$x$  is semi-unitary—i.e., exactly one of  $xx^*$ ,  $x^*x$  is the identity*

(c) *There is a projection  $p$  such that  $px$  and  $(1-p)x$  are semi-unitary on  $pH$  and  $(1-p)H$  respectively. Further,  $p$  can be taken from the center of the weak closure of  $A$  or, if  $A$  is  $AW^*$ , from the center of  $A$ .*

(iii) *If  $x$  is a semi-unitary with  $xx^* = 1$ , then*

(a)  *$H = \sum_0^\infty \oplus H_i$  where  $x$  is an isometry of  $H_0$  onto  $H_1$  and of  $H_{i+1}$  onto  $H_i$  ( $i \geq 1$ ), and maps  $H_1$  onto zero.*

(b) *Let  $X$  be the left shift on unilateral  $l_2$ . The map taking a polynomial in  $x$  and  $x^*$  into the same polynomial in  $X$  and  $X^*$  induces a  $*$ -isomorphism from the uniformly closed subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  generated by  $x$  and  $x^*$  onto the uniformly closed subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(l_2)$  generated by  $X$  and  $X^*$ .*

(c) *The weakly closed subalgebra  $W$  of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  generated by  $x$  and  $x^*$  is naturally  $*$ -isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}(l_2) \oplus Z$ , where  $Z$  is the weakly closed subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  generated by  $x$  and  $x^*$  restricted to  $H_0$ .  $Z \dagger 1$  is the center of  $W$ .*

Clearly there is a restatement of (iii) applying to semi-unitary operators with  $x^*x = 1$ ; in it  $X$  is the right shift on  $l_2$ , and  $x$  maps  $H_i$  onto  $H_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . It is also clear that (iii) can be applied separately to the components  $px$  and  $(1-p)x$  of an element satisfying (iic). For example, the uniformly closed algebra generated by such an element is  $*$ -isomorphic with the uniformly closed subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(l_2 \oplus l_2)$  generated by  $u_1 + u_2^*$ , where  $u_1$  is the left shift on the first  $l_2$ , zero on the second, and  $u_2$  is zero on the first  $l_2$ , the left shift on the second.

Part (iii) gives us three ways of looking at a semi-unitary element. With regard to the uniformly closed, self-adjoint subalgebras they generate, all semi-unitaries are the same. From the standpoint of weakly closed algebras, semi-unitaries differ only in their unitary parts. Viewed spatially—i.e., as representing a similarity class—a semi-unitary is determined by its unitary part and the dimension of its (or its adjoint's) null space. In the light of (iiia), Putnam's result that similar normal operators are unitarily equivalent is easily seen to imply that similar semi-unitary operators are unitarily equiva-

lent. Another method of classifying extremal elements is considered in [3].

Calling a projection  $p$  infinite ( $A$ ) if there is a partial isometry  $u$  in  $A$  with  $uu^* = p$ ,  $u^*u \neq p$ ,  $u^*u \leq p$ , we see that each projection  $p$  which is infinite ( $A$ ) gives rise to at least one semi-unitary, viz.  $u + (1 - p)$ . Conversely, the existence of a semi-unitary implies the existence of a projection infinite ( $A$ ), viz 1. Elements of type (iic) are similarly related to projections  $p$  in the weak closure of  $A$  having the property that  $p$  and  $1 - p$  are both infinite (weak closure  $A$ ).

Clearly the study of extremal points will be most rewarding when they exist in substantial number. We have seen that when an identity is lacking, there are no extremal elements. It is well known that if  $A$  is a  $B^*$  algebra with identity, there are enough unitaries so that every element of  $A$  is a linear combination of four of them. But much more can be asked—namely, that the unit ball be the (somehow) closed convex hull of its extremal points. This fails to happen for the general  $B^*$ -algebra. An exercise in Bourbaki shows that if  $A$  is a weakly closed subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , then  $A$  is the weakly closed convex hull of its extremal points; the proof may be written “Alaoglu: Krein-Milman.”

The weakly-closed, or similar, situation has another useful feature; restating an argument of Calkin ([1], proofs of Theorems 2.4 and 2.5) we obtain:

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $A$  is an  $AW^*$  algebra,  $\phi$  a  $*$ -homomorphism of  $A$  into a  $B^*$  algebra, and  $y$  a point extremal ( $\phi A$ ), then there exists an  $x$  extremal ( $A$ ) with  $\phi x = y$ .*

As an application of this theorem, we consider how the type (in the sense of [4]) of an  $AW^*$  algebra determines the type of an  $AW^*$  homomorphic image.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $A, B$  be  $AW^*$  algebras, with  $B$  the image of  $A$  under some non-trivial  $*$ -homomorphism. Then*

- (i)  *$A$  of type  $I_n$  implies  $B$  of type  $I_n$*
- (ii)  *$A$  of type  $II_1$  implies  $B$  of type  $II_1$*
- (iii)  *$A$  of type  $II_\infty$  implies  $B$  of type  $II_\infty$  or III*
- (iv)  *$A$  of type III implies  $B$  of type III*
- (v)  *$A$  of type  $I_\infty$  implies  $B$  of type  $I_\infty, II_\infty$ , or III.*

It is likely that another attack would produce a substantially improved theorem in this direction.

## 2. Proofs.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** The proof of [3] may be modified to apply

in the case where  $A$  is not closed, nor known to have identity. In fact, let  $x$  be extremal ( $A$ ). Letting  $h = x^*x$ , we observe that  $0 \leq \sigma(h) \leq 1$ , where  $\sigma(h)$  is the spectrum of  $h$  in the closure of  $A$ . Let  $C$  be the intersection with  $A$  of the uniformly closed subalgebra generated by  $h$ . Then  $C$  is isometrically\*-isomorphic with an algebra of continuous, complex valued functions on  $\sigma(h)$ . Further,  $C$  contains  $s = h(1 - h)^2$ .

We desire to show the inequality

$$\|(1 \pm s)h(1 \pm s)\| \leq 1 .$$

In view of the identification of  $C$  with a function algebra, this reduces to showing that for real  $t$  between zero and one,

$$0 \leq t[1 \pm t(1 - t)^2]^2 \leq 1 .$$

This is obvious when the ambiguous sign is minus; when it is plus, the expression in  $t$  may be expanded as a convex combination of points obviously in  $[0, 1]$ .

We thus have  $\|x(1 \pm s)\| \leq 1$ . Writing  $x = (1/2)[(x + xs) + (x - xs)]$  and using the extremality of  $x$ , we have  $xs = 0$  and so  $sx^*xs = 0$ —i.e.,  $h^4(1 - h)^4 = 0$ . Again viewing  $C$  as a function algebra, we conclude from the last equation that the function  $h$  assumes only the values zero and one, so  $h$  is a projection and  $x$  a partial isometry.

Thus if  $y \in (1 - x^*x)A(1 - xx^*)$ , then  $y = (1 - x^*x)y(1 - xx^*)$  and so  $xy = yx = 0$ . It follows that  $\|x \pm y^*\|^2 = \|x^*x + yy^*\| = \max(\|x^*x\|, \|yy^*\|)$ . Assuming that  $\|y\| \leq 1$ , we have  $\|x \pm y^*\| = 1$  and so, by the extremality of  $x$ ,  $y^* = 0$ .

The converse, that an  $x$  giving  $(1 - x^*x)A(1 - xx^*) = 0$  is extremal ( $A$ ), is proved in [3]; it also follows from Theorem 2, which is based entirely on the equation  $(1 - x^*x)A(1 - xx^*) = 0$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Suppose  $x$  satisfies

$$(1) \quad (1 - x^*x)A(1 - xx^*) = 0 ;$$

then, since  $A$  is self-adjoint,  $x$  also satisfies

$$(2) \quad (1 - xx^*)A(1 - x^*x) = 0 .$$

For each  $y$  in  $A$  we have, by (1),

$$(1 - x^*x)(1 - xx^*)yy^*(1 - xx^*)(1 - x^*x) = 0 ,$$

and so  $(1 - x^*x)(1 - xx^*)y = 0$ . Performing the indicated multiplications, we obtain

$$(x^*x + xx^* - x^*x^2x^*)y = y .$$

The same argument may be made with  $x$  permuted with  $x^*$  and  $y$  permuted with  $y^*$ ; the result is that  $x^*x + xx^* - x^*x^2x^*$  is also a right identity for  $A$ . As previously agreed, we consider this element to be the identity operator on  $H$ , and denote it by "1".

We must now show that (1) implies part (ii) of the theorem. Observe first that (1) implies

$$0 = (1 - x^*x)x^*(1 - xx^*)x = (x^* - x^*xx^*)(x - xx^*x),$$

and so, that  $x$  is a partial isometry.

We next show:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} x^kx^{*m}(1 - x^*x) &= x^{*m-k}(1 - x^*x) \\ x^{*k}x^m(1 - xx^*) &= x^{m-k}(1 - xx^*) \end{aligned} \quad 0 \leq k \leq m.$$

The first line of (3) may be rewritten as

$$(1 - x^kx^{*k})x^{*n}(1 - x^*x) = 0 \quad k \geq 0, n \geq 0$$

and this equation established by induction on  $k$ . It clearly holds for  $k=0$  and, by (2) for  $k=1$ . Writing  $1 - x^{k+1}x^{*k+1}$  as  $(1 - x^kx^{*k}) + x^k(1 - xx^*)x^{*k}$ , we see that the induction hypothesis reduces the previous equation to:

$$x^k(1 - xx^*)x^{*k+n}(1 - x^*x) = 0;$$

but this is already true by (2). The second line of (3) is proved in the same way, using (1) in place of (2).

That  $x$  is a partial isometry, together with (3), gives

$$(4) \quad x^kx^{*m}(1 - x^*x) = x^{*k}x^m(1 - xx^*) = 0 \quad 0 \leq m \leq k.$$

We can now copy the argument of [2]. Define  $e_i, f_i$  by

$$\begin{aligned} e_i &= x^{*i-1}x^{i-1} - x^{*i}x^i \\ f_i &= x^{i-1}x^{*i-1} - x^i x^{*i} \end{aligned} \quad i \geq 1.$$

It follows that, for all  $i, j \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} e_i e_j &= \delta_{ij} e_j, & f_i f_j &= \delta_{ij} f_j \\ e_i &= 0 \text{ if and only if } e_1 = 0, & f_i &= 0 \text{ if and only if } f_1 = 0 \\ e_i f_j &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

These relations are immediate consequences of (2), (3), (4), and the fact that  $x$  is a partial isometry. We suppose for a moment that  $A$  is an  $AW^*$  algebra. Let

$$q = \bigvee_1^\infty e_i, \quad r = \bigvee_1^\infty f_i,$$

the supremum being that given by the  $AW^*$  character of  $A$ . Then for any  $y$  in  $A$ ,  $ye_i = 0$  for all  $i$  implies  $yq = 0$ , and similarly for  $yf_i$  and  $yp$ . For any  $i$  and  $j$ ,

$$f_i A e_j = x^{i-1}(1 - xx^*)x^{*i-1}Ax^{*j-1}(1 - x^*x)x^{j-1} = 0$$

by (2). From what we have just said, this implies  $rAq = 0$ .

Now consider the left annihilator of  $Aq$ . Since  $A$  is  $AW^*$ , the annihilator can be written as  $Ap$  for some projection  $p$  in  $A$ . It is easily shown that, since  $Aq$  is a left ideal,  $p$  is central in  $A$ . We thus have

$$(1 - p)q = q(1 - p) = q$$

and, since  $rAq = 0$ ,  $r$  is in  $Ap$ —i.e.,

$$pr = rp = r.$$

By definition,  $rf_1 = f_1r = f_1$ ; thus,  $pf_1 = p(rf_1) = (pr)f_1 = f_1$ , and so,

$$p(1 - xx^*) = 1 - xx^* = (1 - xx^*)p.$$

Rearranging terms,

$$xx^*(1 - p) = (1 - p)xx^* = 1 - p.$$

On the other hand,  $e_1 = qe_1$ , so  $(1 - p)e_1 = (1 - p)qe_1 = e_1$ , and

$$(1 - p)x^*x = (1 - p) - e_1.$$

Thus  $(1 - p)x$  is semi-unitary in  $(1 - p)A$ , and unitary just in case  $e_1 = 0$ .

In the same way, we show

$$\begin{aligned} x^*xp &= px^*x = p \\ p - xx^*p &= f_1, \end{aligned}$$

so  $px$  is semi-unitary in  $pA$ , unitary just in case  $f_1 = 0$ .

This proves (ii) when  $A$  is  $AW^*$ ; the statements about the case where  $A$  is a self-adjoint subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  follow on observing that the weak closure of  $A$  is  $AW^*$ .

To obtain (iii), observe that if  $x$  is semi-unitary with  $xx^* = 1$ , then  $x$  satisfies (1), and we may define elements  $e_i$  in terms of  $x$  as above (the  $f_i$  are of course all zero in this case). Define the spaces  $H_i$  of (a) by

$$H_i = \begin{cases} (1 - q)H & i = 0 \\ e_i H & i > 0. \end{cases}$$

We observe from (3) that  $xe_1 = 0$ ,  $xe_i = e_{i-1}x$  for  $i > 1$ —so  $x^*xe_i = e_i$  for  $i > 1$ . Consequently for any  $\xi, \eta \in H$ ,  $i > 1$

$$(xe_i\xi, xe_i\eta) = (x^*xe_i\xi, e_i\eta) = (e_i\xi, e_i\eta).$$

Since  $H = xx^*H \subseteq xH$ ,  $x(e_iH) = e_{i-1}(xH) = e_{i-1}H$ .

Further,

$$(x(1 - q)\xi, x(1 - q)\eta) = ((1 - e_1)(1 - q)\xi, (1 - q)\eta) = ((1 - q)\xi, (1 - q)\eta)$$

and

$$(x(1 - q)\xi, e_i\eta) = (x^*(1 - q)\xi, e_i\eta) = 0,$$

so  $x$  and  $x^*$  take  $H_0$  into itself—and so, since  $x^*$  is never zero,  $x$  takes  $H_0$  onto itself. Part (a) is now established.

To show (b), identify  $l_2$  with a subspace of  $H$  by picking some  $\xi$  in  $H_1$  with  $\|\xi\| = 1$  and identifying the sequence  $\{\eta_i\}$  in  $l_2$  with  $\Sigma \eta_i x^{*i} \xi$ . The restriction map is now clearly a  $*$ -isomorphism, from the algebra of polynomials in  $x$  and  $x^*$  onto the algebra of polynomials in  $X$  and  $X^*$ ; it remains only to prove that this map is an isometry. We know from [7] §2, that certain algebras with involution have a unique  $B^*$  norm: a sufficient condition is that the algebra have a faithful  $*$ -representation on some Hilbert space, and that for each  $z$  in the algebra there is a real  $k$  such that  $f(z^*z) \leq kf(1)$  for each functional  $f$  on the algebra which is positive on all  $y^*y$ .

The algebra of polynomials in  $X$  and  $X^*$  has been defined as being represented on  $l_2$ , and so satisfies the first part of this condition. Further,  $X^{*k}X^k - X^{*(k+1)}X^{k+1}$  is a projection for each  $k \geq 0$ . Thus if  $f$  is any positive functional,

$$f(1) \geq f(X^*X) \geq f(X^{*2}X^2) \geq \dots$$

It is readily shown that any  $Y^*Y$  in the polynomial algebra can be written as  $\Sigma a_k X^{*k} X^k$ —so

$$f(Y^*Y) = \Sigma a_k f(X^{*k} X^k) \leq (\Sigma |a_k|) f(1)$$

for any positive functional  $f$ , and the second part of the condition is also satisfied. Thus there is only one  $B^*$  norm on the algebra generated by  $X$  and  $X^*$ , and the norm this algebra inherits from  $\mathcal{B}(l_2)$  is the same it gets from  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  via the restriction map.

The isomorphism between the polynomial algebras can be obtained without considering  $x$  to be represented on any space; this is done in [2]. The isomorphism can be shown isometric by showing that a polynomial in  $X$  and  $X^*$  has the same norm as the same polynomial in  $U$  and  $U^*$ ,  $U$  being the (unitary) left shift on bi-lateral  $l_2$ .



To prove (c), let  $\{\xi_\alpha^1\}$  be an ortho-normal basis for  $H_1$ , and let  $\xi_\alpha^k = x^{*k}\xi_\alpha^1$ ; then  $\{\xi_\alpha^k\}$  is an ortho-normal basis for  $H_k$ . Let  $p_\alpha$  be the projection on the closed linear span of  $\{\xi_\alpha^k: k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ , and  $\tau_{\alpha,\beta}$  the isometry of  $p_\alpha H$  onto  $p_\beta H$  which, for each  $k$  takes  $\xi_\alpha^k$  onto  $\xi_\beta^k$ . Observe that  $p_\alpha$  and  $\tau_{\alpha,\beta}$  commute with  $x$  and  $x^*$ . Consequently, if  $w \in W$ , then  $w$  commutes with all  $\tau_{\alpha,\beta}$ . It follows that there exist scalars  $\nu_{i,j}$  such that, for any  $\alpha$ ,

$$(5) \quad w\xi_\alpha^i = \sum_j \nu_{ij}\xi_\alpha^j .$$

Suppose  $z$  commutes with  $x$  and  $x^*$ . The equations

$$(z\xi_\alpha^i, \xi_\beta^j) = (zx^{*i-1}\xi_\alpha^1, x^{*j-1}\xi_\beta^1) = (zx^{j-1}x^{*i-1}\xi_\alpha^1, \xi_\beta^1) = (z\xi_\alpha^1, x^{i-1}x^{*j-1}\xi_\beta^1)$$

show that

$$(z\xi_\alpha^i, \xi_\beta^j) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ (z\xi_\alpha^1, \xi_\beta^1) & i = j . \end{cases}$$

In other words, there exist scalars  $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}$  such that for each  $i$ ,

$$(6) \quad z\xi_\alpha^i = \sum_\beta \lambda_{\alpha,\beta}\xi_\beta^i .$$

Further, if  $p_0$  is the projection on  $H_0$ , then  $z$  commutes with  $p_0$ .

Now, given any  $w$  commuting with  $p_0$  and satisfying (5), it follows from (6) and the fact that the  $\xi_\alpha^i$  span  $H_0^\perp$  that  $(1 - p_0)w$  commutes with every  $z$  which commutes with  $x$  and  $x^*$ . Since every element of  $W$  commutes with  $p_0$ , we have  $(1 - p_0)W$  isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}(l_2)$  under the correspondence obtained naturally via (5). Clearly  $p_0W$  is isomorphic to  $Z$ , and the proof of (c) complete.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** The first step is to show that, under the conditions of the hypothesis, the pre-image of a partial isometry contains a partial isometry. The proof follows an argument of Calkin [1, Theorems 2.4 and 2.5].

Let  $y$  be a partial isometry of  $B$ , and let  $v$  be any element of  $\phi^{-1}(y)$ . Since  $A$  is  $AW^*$ ,  $v$  has a polar decomposition in  $A$ —i.e., there are elements  $u$  and  $h$  in  $A$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u &\text{ is a partial isometry} \\ h &= (v^*v)^{1/2} = u^*uh = hu^*u \\ v &= uh \end{aligned}$$

(see [6], Lemma 2.1).

Since  $\phi(h^2)$  is a projection, zero and one are in the spectrum of  $\phi(h^2)$ . Since  $\phi$  is a homomorphism, the spectrum of  $\phi(h^2)$  is contained in the spectrum of  $h^2$ . Since  $h \geq 0$ , this implies that zero and one

are in the spectrum of  $h$ . Let  $p_\lambda$  be the resolution of the identity for  $h$  given by the spectral theorem, let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and let  $q = 1 - p_\alpha$ . Then  $0 \neq q \neq 1$ .

Let  $C$  be some maximal commutative, self-adjoint subalgebra of  $A$  containing  $1$  and  $h$ —and so  $q$  as well. Let  $x \rightarrow \hat{x}$  be the Gelfand representation of  $C$  on  $C(\Omega)$ , the algebra of all continuous, complex-valued functions on  $\Omega$ , the (compact, Hausdorff) maximal ideal space of  $C$ . By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{q}(\omega) = 0 &\text{ implies } (1 - \hat{h})(\omega) \geq 1 - \alpha \\ \hat{q}(\omega) = 1 &\text{ implies } (1 - \hat{h})(\omega) \leq 1 - \alpha . \end{aligned}$$

We now assert that there exist self-adjoint  $r, s$ , and  $t$  in  $C$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} hrq = q , \quad h(1 + h)sq = q \\ (1 - h^2)t(1 - q) = 1 - q . \end{aligned}$$

Since the Gelfand representation is a \*-isomorphism, this is equivalent to asserting that there are real valued functions  $\hat{r}, \hat{s}$ , and  $\hat{t}$  in  $C(\Omega)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{r}(\omega) &= \hat{h}^{-1}(\omega) \text{ when } \hat{q}(\omega) \neq 0 , \\ \hat{s}(\omega) &= [\hat{h}(1 + \hat{h})]^{-1} \text{ when } \hat{q}(\omega) \neq 0 , \\ \hat{t}(\omega) &= [1 - \hat{h}^2]^{-1}(\omega) \text{ when } (1 - \hat{q})(\omega) \neq 0 . \end{aligned}$$

But  $\hat{h}^{-1}$  and  $[\hat{h}(1 + \hat{h})]^{-1}$  are bounded and continuous on the closed set  $\{\omega : \hat{h}(\omega) \geq \alpha\}$ , which contains the set  $\{\omega : \hat{q}(\omega) \neq 0\}$ , and  $[1 - \hat{h}^2]^{-1}$  is bounded and continuous on  $\{\omega : (1 - \hat{h})(\omega) \geq 1 - \alpha\}$ , which contains the set  $\{\omega : (1 - \hat{q})(\omega) \neq 0\}$ . The existence of  $\hat{r}, \hat{s}$ , and  $\hat{t}$  is therefore guaranteed by the Tietze extension theorem.

$$qu^*uq = qru^*uhrq = qrh^2rq = q ,$$

so  $uq$  is a partial isometry. Since  $\phi v$  is a partial isometry,  $uh - uh^3$  is in kernel  $\phi$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} uh(1 - q) &= u(1 - h)h(1 + h)t(1 - q) \\ &= u(h - h^3)t(1 - q) , \end{aligned}$$

which is in kernel  $\phi$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} u(1 - h)q &= u(1 - h)h(1 + h)sq \\ &= u(h - h^3)sq \end{aligned}$$

which is in kernel  $\phi$ . Therefore  $uh - uq = uh(1 - q) - u(1 - h)q$  is

in kernel  $\phi$ , which gives the desired result.

We can now show that if  $y$  is extremal ( $B$ ), then  $\phi^{-1}(y)$  contains an element extremal ( $A$ ). For let  $v$  be any partial isometry in  $\phi^{-1}(y)$ . The fundamental comparability theorem for  $AW^*$  algebras—e.g., [4], Theorem 5.6—says that there exist central projections  $e_1, e_2$  in  $A$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(1 - v^*v) &\leq e_1(1 - vv^*) \\ e_2(1 - v^*v) &\geq e_2(1 - vv^*) \\ e_1 + e_2 &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, there are partial isometries  $w$  and  $z$  in  $A$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} w^*w &= e_1(1 - v^*v), & ww^* &\leq e_1(1 - vv^*) \\ z^*z &= e_2(1 - vv^*), & zz^* &\leq e_2(1 - v^*v). \end{aligned}$$

The first equation implies  $0 = vv^*w$ , and so  $vw^* = wv^* = 0$ ; it also gives  $w^*w(1 - e_1) = 0$ , and so  $we_1 = e_1w = w$ . The first inequality gives  $0 = e_1v^*(1 - vv^*)ww^* = v^*ww^*$ , and so  $v^*w = w^*v = 0$ . Similarly,  $vz = zv = 0$  and  $e_2z = ze_2 = z$ . Define  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  by

$$u_1 = e_1v + w, \quad u_2 = e_2v + z^*.$$

We have at once from the preceding equations that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1^*u_1 &= e_1, & u_1e_1 &= e_1u_1 = e_1 \\ u_2u_2^* &= e_2, & u_2e_2 &= e_2u_2 = e_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi(v)$  is extremal ( $\phi(A)$ ),  $(1 - v^*v)A(1 - vv^*)$  is contained in kernel  $\phi$ ; in particular,  $(1 - v^*v)w(1 - vv^*)$  is in kernel  $\phi$ , but  $(1 - v^*v)w(1 - vv^*) = w = u_1 - e_1v$ . Thus  $u_1 - e_1v$  is in kernel  $\phi$ . Similarly  $u_2 - e_2v$  is in kernel  $\phi$ . Consequently  $(u_1 + u_2) - v$  is in kernel  $\phi$ . We have already seen that  $u_1 + u_2$  is an extremal point of  $A$ .

**Proof of Theorem 4.** All algebras mentioned are assumed to be  $AW^*$ . The terminology is taken from [4].

The case where  $A$  is of type  $I_n$  follows at once from the definitions—i.e.,  $A$  is of type  $I_n$  if and only if it has matrix units  $e_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , with all  $e_{ii}$  being Abelian projections. But the properties of being a set of matrix units, or an Abelian projection are both preserved by homomorphisms.

Note that if  $p$  is a projection infinite ( $A$ ), and  $\varphi$  a  $*$  homomorphism of  $A$  into a  $B^*$  algebra, then  $\varphi(p)$  is either zero or infinite  $\varphi(A)$ . For if  $p = p_1 + p_2$  with  $p \smile p_1 \sim p_2$  and  $\varphi(p) \neq 0$ , we have one of  $\varphi(p_1), \varphi(p_2) \neq 0$ ; say  $\varphi(p_1)$ . Then  $\varphi(p_2) < \varphi(p)$ ,  $\varphi(p_2) \sim \varphi(p)$ , and so

$\varphi(p)$  is infinite. (We thank the referee for supplying this argument to replace one which was somewhat grandiose.) In consequence, the homomorphic image of an algebra of infinite type is again of infinite type or else zero. An easy consequence of the first part of the proof of Theorem 3 is that each projection in the image algebra comes from a projection in the pre-image. This, with the previous remark, shows that the image of an algebra of Type III is again of Type III.

Conversely, the image of an algebra of finite type is again of finite type; for an  $AW^*$  algebra is of finite type if and only if all its extremal elements are unitary. By Theorem 3, the latter property must be inherited by any homomorphic image.

**LEMMA.** *If  $q$  is an abelian projection of  $B$ , there is an abelian projection  $p$  of  $A$  with  $\phi p = q$ .*

*Proof.* As we have noted, the proof of Theorem 3 can be used to find a projection  $p_0$  of  $A$  with  $\phi p_0 = q$ . Consider the  $AW^*$  algebra  $p_0 A p_0$ . We know from [4], that any  $AW^*$  algebra can be written as a direct sum of two ideals, the first of which is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix algebra, and the second of which is commutative. Thus we have

$$p_0 A p_0 = A_1 \oplus A_2$$

$A_1$  a  $2 \times 2$  matrix algebra,  $A_2$  commutative. Thus  $p_0 = p_1 + p_2$ ,  $p_i \in A_i$ . Since  $p_2 A p_2$  is contained in  $A_2$ , it is commutative—i.e.,  $p_2$  is an abelian projection.

We observe that a homomorphism of a  $n \times n$  matrix algebra ( $n \geq 2$ ) into a commutative ring must be zero; for if  $e_{ij}$  are matrix units,  $\phi e_{ii} = \phi e_{ii} e_{ij} e_{ji} = \phi e_{ij} \phi e_{ii} \phi e_{ji} = 0$ . Since  $\phi$  is a homomorphism from  $p_0 A p_0$  to  $q B q$ , this means that  $\phi p_0 = \phi p_2$ , as desired.

With these observations, the implications of Theorem 4 may be read on at once.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. Calkin, *Two-sided ideals and congruences in the ring of bounded operators in Hilbert space*, Annals of Math., (2) **42** (1941), 839-873.
2. N. Jacobson, *Some remarks on one-sided inverses*, Proceedings of the A.M.S., **1** (1950), 352-55.
3. R. V. Kadison, *Isometries of operator algebras*, Annals of Math., (2) **54** (1951), 325-38.
4. I. Kaplansky, *Projections in Banach algebras*, Annals of Math., (2) **53** (1951), 235-49.
5. S. Sakai, *The theory of  $W^*$  algebras*, Mimeographed lecture notes, Yale University, 1962.
6. T. Yen, *Trace on finite  $AW^*$  algebras*, Duke Math. Journal, **22** (1955), 207-22.
7. P. Miles, *Order isomorphisms of  $B^*$  algebras*, Transactions of the A.M.S., **107** (1962), 217-236.



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

ROBERT OSSERMAN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles 7, California

M. G. ARSOVE  
University of Washington  
Seattle 5, Washington

LOWELL J. PAIGE  
University of California  
Los Angeles 24, California

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION  
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES  
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and on submission, must be accompanied by a separate author's résumé. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 14, No. 2

June, 1964

Tom M. (Mike) Apostol and Herbert S. Zuckerman, <i>On the functional equation</i> $F(mn)F((m, n)) = F(m)F(n)f((m, n))$ . . . . .	377
Reinhold Baer, <i>Irreducible groups of automorphisms of abelian groups</i> . . . . .	385
Herbert Stanley Bear, Jr., <i>An abstract potential theory with continuous kernel</i> . . . . .	407
E. F. Beckenbach, <i>Superadditivity inequalities</i> . . . . .	421
R. H. Bing, <i>The simple connectivity of the sum of two disks</i> . . . . .	439
Herbert Busemann, <i>Length-preserving maps</i> . . . . .	457
Heron S. Collins, <i>Characterizations of convolution semigroups of measures</i> . . . . .	479
Paul F. Conrad, <i>The relationship between the radical of a lattice-ordered group and complete distributivity</i> . . . . .	493
P. H. Doyle, III, <i>A sufficient condition that an arc in <math>S^n</math> be cellular</i> . . . . .	501
Carl Clifton Faith and Yuzo Utumi, <i>Intrinsic extensions of rings</i> . . . . .	505
Watson Bryan Fulks, <i>An approximate Gauss mean value theorem</i> . . . . .	513
Arshag Berge Hajian, <i>Strongly recurrent transformations</i> . . . . .	517
Morisuke Hasumi and T. P. Srinivasan, <i>Doubly invariant subspaces. II</i> . . . . .	525
Lowell A. Hinrichs, Ivan Niven and Charles L. Vanden Eynden, <i>Fields defined by polynomials</i> . . . . .	537
Walter Ball Laffer, I and Henry B. Mann, <i>Decomposition of sets of group elements</i> . . . . .	547
John Albert Lindberg, Jr., <i>Algebraic extensions of commutative Banach algebras</i> . . . . .	559
W. Ljunggren, <i>On the Diophantine equation <math>Cx^2 + D = y^n</math></i> . . . . .	585
M. Donald MacLaren, <i>Atomic orthocomplemented lattices</i> . . . . .	597
Moshe Marcus, <i>Transformations of domains in the plane and applications in the theory of functions</i> . . . . .	613
Philip Miles, <i><math>B^*</math> algebra unit ball extremal points</i> . . . . .	627
W. F. Newns, <i>On the difference and sum of a basic set of polynomials</i> . . . . .	639
Barbara Osofsky, <i>Rings all of whose finitely generated modules are injective</i> . . . . .	645
Calvin R. Putnam, <i>Toeplitz matrices and invertibility of Hankel matrices</i> . . . . .	651
Shoichiro Sakai, <i>Weakly compact operators on operator algebras</i> . . . . .	659
James E. Simpson, <i>Nilpotency and spectral operators</i> . . . . .	665
Walter Laws Smith, <i>On the elementary renewal theorem for non-identically distributed variables</i> . . . . .	673
T. P. Srinivasan, <i>Doubly invariant subspaces</i> . . . . .	701
J. Roger Teller, <i>On the extensions of lattice-ordered groups</i> . . . . .	709
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>Unimodular group matrices with rational integers as elements</i> . . . . .	719
J. L. Walsh and Ambikeshwar Sharma, <i>Least squares and interpolation in roots of unity</i> . . . . .	727
Charles Edward Watts, <i>A Jordan-Hölder theorem</i> . . . . .	731
Kung-Wei Yang, <i>On some finite groups and their cohomology</i> . . . . .	735
Adil Mohamed Yaqub, <i>On the ring-logic character of certain rings</i> . . . . .	741
Paul Ruel Young, <i>A note on pseudo-creative sets and cylinders</i> . . . . .	749