

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**RINGS ALL OF WHOSE FINITELY GENERATED MODULES
ARE INJECTIVE**

BARBARA OSOFSKY

RINGS ALL OF WHOSE FINITELY GENERATED MODULES ARE INJECTIVE

B. L. OSOFSKY

The main purpose of this paper is to prove that a ring all of whose finitely generated modules are injective must be semi-simple Artin.¹

We begin with the following information about the class of rings under consideration:

LEMMA 1. *Let R be a ring with identity, and assume each cyclic right R -module is injective. Then R is regular in the sense of von Neumann and R is right self injective.*

Proof. For any ring R with identity, it is easy to see that a right ideal I of R is generated by an idempotent if and only if I is a direct summand of the right R -module R_R . If I is an injective right ideal of R , then I is a direct summand of R_R , and therefore is generated by an idempotent. Thus if every cyclic right R -module is injective, each principal right ideal aR generated by $a \in R$ is generated by an idempotent, that is $aR = eR$ for some $e = e^2 \in R$. Then there exist $x, y \in R$ such that $e = ax$, and $a = ey$. It follows that $ea = e(ey) = ey = a$ and $a = ea = axa$. Thus R is a regular ring, and since R_R is generated by the identity, R_R is injective.

Let M_R denote a right module over a ring R . If P, N are submodules of M , let $P \supseteq N$ signify that P is an essential extension of N . (See Eckmann and Schopf [2].) Then N is an essential submodule of P .

For each $x \in M$, let $x^R = \{r \in R \mid xr = 0\}$. The singular submodule $Z(M)$ is defined by:

$$Z(M) = \{x \in M \mid R_R \supseteq x^R\}$$

$Z(R_R)$ is actually a two sided ideal of R .

If $e = e^2 \in R$, and if $x \in e^R \cap eR$, then $x = ex = 0$ and so $e^R \cap eR = 0$. Thus $Z(R_R)$ contains no idempotents $\neq 0$. In particular, if R is a

Received July 18, 1963. This result forms part of the author's doctoral dissertation written at Rutgers University under the direction of Professor Carl Faith. The author gratefully acknowledges partial support from the National Science Foundation under grant G-19863.

¹ After the author obtained this characterization of rings whose cyclic modules are injective, a translation of a recent paper [5] by L. A. Skornjakov was published and brought to the author's attention. Although Skornjakov states the major portion of the author's main theorem, his proof is incorrect. In the proof of his Lemma 9, which is crucial to his proof of the theorem, Skornjakov assumes that the injective hull of a submodule in an injective module must be a unique submodule, whereas in general it is unique only up to isomorphism.

Added in proof April 13, 1964. This lemma is actually false. See the author's dissertation for a counter-example.

regular ring, $Z(R_R) = 0$. (Cf. R. E. Johnson [4]).

We need the following important (and known [3]) lemma:

LEMMA 2. *Let M_R be a module such that $Z(M) = 0$. Then each submodule N of M has a unique maximal essential extension N^* in M .*

Proof. Let $\{M_i \mid i \in I\}$ be the set of all submodules of M such that $M_i \supseteq N$. Set $N^* = \sum_{i \in I} M_i$. Then if $N^* \supsetneq N$, N^* must be the unique maximal essential extension of N in M since it contains every essential extension of N in M .

For each $y \in M$, let

$$(N : y) = \{r \in R \mid yr \in N\}.$$

If $M \supseteq Q' \supseteq N$, then $R_R' \supseteq (N : y)$ for all $y \in Q$. (This follows, since any non-zero right ideal I of R which satisfies $I \cap (N : y) = 0$, also satisfies $yI \neq 0$ and $yI \cap N = 0$, a contradiction.)

Now let $0 \neq x = x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_n}$, $0 \neq x_{i_j} \in M_{i_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, be any element of N^* . Then

$$(N : x) \supseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^n (N : x_{i_j}).$$

Now $M_{i_j} \supseteq N$, so $R_R' \supseteq (N : x_{i_j})$, and therefore $R_R' \supseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^n (N : x_{i_j})$, hence $R_R' \supseteq (N : x)$. Since $Z(M) = 0$, $x(N : x) \neq 0$, and so $x(N : x)$ is a nonzero submodule of $xR \cap N$. This proves $N^* \supseteq N$ as asserted.

We next consider certain properties of idempotents in a right self injective regular ring. Let $N \sim A$ denote the set theoretic complement of a set A in a set N .

LEMMA 3. *Let $\{e_n \mid n \in N\}$ be a set of orthogonal idempotents in a right self injective regular ring. Then for every subset A of N , there exists an idempotent $E_A \in R$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} E_A e_n &= e_n && \text{for all } n \in A \\ e_n E_A &= E_A e_{n'} = 0 && \text{for all } n' \in N \sim A \\ E_A + E_{N \sim A} &= E_N. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since R is regular, $Z(R_R) = 0$. Then, by Lemma 2, each right ideal I of R has a unique maximal essential extension I^* in R . Since R_R is injective, by [2] I has an injective hull in R_R which is a maximal essential extension of I in R_R . Thus each right ideal I has a unique injective hull I^* in R_R . Then as remarked in the proof of Lemma 1, there exists $e = e^2 \in R$ such that $I^* = eR$.

Hence for any subset A of N , there exists an idempotent $e_A \in R$ such that

$$e_A R = \left(\sum_{n \in A} e_n R \right)^* .$$

Since $\{e_n \mid n \in N\}$ are orthogonal,

$$\left(\sum_{n \in A} e_n R \right) \cap \left(\sum_{n' \in N \sim A} e_{n'} R \right) = 0 .$$

Then $e_A R \cap e_{N \sim A} R = 0$ (for $x \neq 0 \in e_A R \cap e_{N \sim A} R$ implies $xR \cap \sum_{n \in A} e_n R \cap \sum_{n' \in N \sim A} e_{n'} R \neq 0$, a contradiction.) Thus the sum $e_A R + e_{N \sim A} R$ is direct, and since each summand is injective, $e_A R \oplus e_{N \sim A} R$ is injective. Since injective hulls of right ideals of R are unique,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{n \in N} e_n R \right)^* &\supseteq \left(\sum_{n \in A} e_n R \right)^* , \\ \left(\sum_{n \in N} e_n R \right)^* &\supseteq \left(\sum_{n' \in N \sim A} e_{n'} R \right)^* \end{aligned}$$

so $(\sum_{n \in N} e_n R)^* \supseteq e_A R \oplus e_{N \sim A} R \supseteq \sum_{n \in N} e_n R$. Then it follows $(\sum_{n \in N} e_n R)^* = e_A R \oplus e_{N \sim A} R$. Set $E_N = e_N$, where $e_N R = (\sum_{n \in N} e_n R)^*$. Let E_A (respectively $E_{N \sim A}$) be the projection of the idempotent E_N on $e_A R$ (respectively $e_{N \sim A} R$). We note that $E_A + E_{N \sim A} = E_N$ by definition, and E_A is simply the projection of the identity element of R on $e_A R$ with respect to the direct decomposition $R = (1 - E_N)R \oplus e_A R \oplus e_{N \sim A} R$. Thus E_A and $E_{N \sim A}$ are orthogonal. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} E_A e_n &= e_n & \forall n \in A \\ E_A e_{n'} &= E_A E_{N \sim A} e_{n'} = 0 & \forall n' \in N \sim A . \end{aligned}$$

Since $e_A R \supseteq \sum_{n \in A} e_n R$, $(\sum_{n \in A} e_n R : E_A)$ is an essential right ideal of R . But

$$e_{n'} E_A \left(\sum_{n \in A} e_n R : E_A \right) \subseteq e_{n'} \left(\sum_{n \in A} e_n R \right) = 0 \quad \forall n' \in N \sim A .$$

Thus $e_{n'} E_A \in Z(R_R) = 0$, and we conclude $e_{n'} E_A = 0 \forall n' \in N \sim A$.

LEMMA 4. *Let R be a right self injective regular ring which contains an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents $\{e_n \mid n \in N\}$. Let $I = \sum \oplus e_n R$. For $A \subseteq N$, let E_A be defined as in Lemma 3. Then a set $S_{\mathfrak{A}} = \{E_A \mid A \in \mathfrak{A}\}$, where each A is infinite, is independent modulo I , that is, $\sum_{A \in \mathfrak{A}} (E_A R + I)$ is direct in $R - I$, if and only if for any finite set $\{A_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$, $A_i \cap \bigcup_{j \neq i} A_j$ is a finite subset of N , $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. Assume $S_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is independent modulo I , and let $\{A_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$. Set $C = C_{i,j} = A_i \cap A_j$. For all i and $j \neq i$, $E_{A_i} R \supseteq \sum_{n \in C} e_n R$ and $E_{A_j} R \supseteq \sum_{n \in C} e_n R$. Thus $E_{A_i} R \supseteq (\sum_{n \in C} e_n R)^* = E_C R$ and

$E_{A_j}R \cong (\sum_{n \in C} e_n R)^* = E_C R$. Since $0 = E_C - E_C = E_{A_i} E_C - E_{A_j} E_C$, $E_C \in I$. Then for all but a finite number of $n \in C$, $e_n E_C = 0$. Since this implies $e_n = e_n E_C e_n = 0$ which is true for no n , C must be finite. Then $A_i \cap \bigcup_{j \neq i} A_j$ is a finite union of finite sets, and thus finite.

Now assume $A_i \cap \bigcup_{j \neq i} A_j$ is finite, and let $\sum_{j=1}^n E_{A_j} r_j \in I$. If $m \notin A_i$, $e_m E_{A_i} r_i = 0$ by Lemma 3. If $m \in A_i \sim \bigcup_{j \neq i} A_j$, $e_m \sum_{j=1}^n E_{A_j} r_j = e_m E_{A_i} r_i$. Since $\sum_{j=1}^n E_{A_j} r_j \in I$, there are at most a finite number of $m \in A_i \sim \bigcup_{j \neq i} A_j$ such that $e_m E_{A_i} r_i \neq 0$. Since $A_i \cap \bigcup_{j \neq i} A_j$ is also finite, the set

$$B = \{m \in N \mid e_m E_{A_i} r_i \neq 0\}$$

must be finite.

Now for all $n' \in N \sim B$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= e_{n'} E_{A_i} r_i = e_{n'} ([1 - E_N] + E_{N \sim B} + E_B) E_{A_i} r_i \\ &= e_{n'} E_{N \sim B} E_{A_i} r_i. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $E_{N \sim B} E_{A_i} r_i \neq 0$. Then, since $E_{N \sim B} R' \cong \sum_{n' \in N \sim B} e_{n'} R$, there is an $s \in R$ such that $E_{N \sim B} E_{A_i} r_i s \neq 0 \in \sum_{n' \in N \sim B} e_{n'} R$, so for some $n' \in N \sim B$, $e_{n'} E_{N \sim B} E_{A_i} r_i s \neq 0$, a contradiction.

Then

$$E_{A_i} r_i = ([1 - E_N] + E_{N \sim B} + E_B) E_{A_i} r_i = E_B E_{A_i} r_i.$$

Since a finite direct sum of injective modules is injective, $\sum_{i \in B} e_i R = (\sum_{i \in B} e_i R)^* = E_B R$ and $E_B R \subseteq I$. It follows that $E_{A_i} r_i \in I$.

LEMMA 5. *Let R be a right self injective regular ring which contains an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents $\{e_n \mid n \in N\}$. If $I = \sum_{n \in N} e_n R$, then $R - I$ is not an injective R -module.*

Proof. Let $\{A_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a countable family of subsets $A_i \subseteq N$ such that $\{E_{A_i} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ are independent in $R - I$. For example, the A_i may be disjoint countable subsets of N .

Let \mathcal{S} denote the family of sets $S_{\mathfrak{A}} = \{E_{B_\alpha} \mid B_\alpha \subseteq N, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ where \mathfrak{A} is some index set, such that $S_{\mathfrak{A}} \cong \{E_{A_i} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $S_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is independent modulo I . Partially order \mathcal{S} by inclusion. Since independence modulo I depends only on finite sets of idempotents, \mathcal{S} is inductive. By Zorn's lemma, select a maximal element $S \in \mathcal{S}$.

Let $J = \sum_{E_B \in S} E_B R$. Define $\varphi: J \rightarrow R - I$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(E_{A_i}) &= E_{A_i} + I & \forall i = 1, 2, \dots \\ \varphi(E_B) &= 0 + I & \forall E_B \in S \sim \{E_{A_i}\} \\ \varphi\left(\sum_{k=1}^n E_{B_k} r_k\right) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(E_{B_k}) r_k & E_{B_k} \in S, r_k \in R. \end{aligned}$$

$\sum_{k=1}^n E_{B_k} r_k = 0$ implies $E_{B_k} r_k \in I$ since the idempotents of S are independent

modulo I . Hence $\varphi(E_{B_k})r_k = 0 + I$, and $\varphi(\sum_{k=1}^n E_{B_k}r_k) = 0 + I$. Thus φ is a map which is clearly an R homomorphism.

Assume φ is induced by left multiplication by $m + I$ in $R - I$, $m \in R$. Then

$$(1) \quad mE_{A_i} - E_{A_i} \in I \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$(2) \quad mE_B \in I \quad \forall E_B \in S \sim \{E_{A_i}\}.$$

From (1) we conclude that for all but a finite number of $n \in A_i$, $e_n(mE_{A_i} - E_{A_i}) = 0$ and $e_n m E_{A_i} e_n = e_n E_{A_i} e_n$. Thus $e_n m e_n = e_n$ by Lemma 3.

From (2) we conclude that for all but a finite number of $n' \in B$, $e_{n'} m E_B = 0$, and $e_{n'} m E_B e_{n'} = e_{n'} m e_{n'} = 0$.

Let $j_1 \in A_1$, $e_{j_1} m e_{j_1} = e_{j_1}$. Select $j_{n+1} \in A_{n+1}$ such that

$$e_{j_{n+1}} m e_{j_{n+1}} = e_{j_{n+1}}$$

and

$$j_{n+1} \notin A_k \quad \text{for all } k < n + 1.$$

This is possible since $\{j \in A_{n+1} \mid e_j m e_j = e_j\}$ is infinite and Lemma 4 implies $A_{n+1} \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k$ is finite.

Since S is maximal in \mathcal{S} , by Lemma 4 $\{j_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ thus defined must have an infinite number of elements in common with some $B \subseteq N$ such that $E_B \in S$. $B \neq A_i$ $i = 1, 2, \dots$ since $j_n \notin A_i$ for all $n > i$. Therefore $\varphi(E_B) = 0$, and $e_{j'} = e_{j'} m e_{j'} = 0$ for all but a finite number of $j' \in B \cap \{j_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$. This contradicts the assumption that $B \cap \{j_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is an infinite set. Thus φ is not induced by left multiplication by $m + I$ in $R - I$. Hence $R - I$ is not injective. (See [1], p. 8.)

THEOREM. *Let R be a ring with 1. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *R is semi-simple Artin.*
- (b) *Every finitely generated right R -module is injective.*
- (c) *Every cyclic right R -module is injective.*

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b). By ([1], p. 11, Theorem 4.2), every right module over a semi-simple Artin ring R is injective, and so every finitely generated right R -module is injective.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). Since every cyclic R -module is finitely generated by one element, (c) is a special case of (b).

(c) \Rightarrow (a). If every cyclic R -module is injective, by Lemma 1, R

is right self injective and regular. By Lemma 5, R cannot contain an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents. It is well known that this condition in any regular ring R implies that R satisfies the minimum condition and hence is semi-simple Artin.

COROLLARY. *Let R be a right self injective, hereditary ring with identity. Then R is semi-simple Artin.*

Proof. R hereditary is equivalent to the condition that every quotient of an injective R -module is injective. (See [1], p. 14.) Since every cyclic module is isomorphic to a quotient of the injective module R_R , every cyclic R -module is injective. Therefore by the theorem R is semi-simple Artin.

REFERENCES

1. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, 1956.
2. B. Eckmann and A. Schopf, *Über injektive Moduln*, Archiv der Mathematik, **4** (1953), 75-78.
3. Carl Faith, *Theory of Rings*, Lecture Notes (dittoed), Rutgers University, 1963.
4. R. E. Johnson, *The extended centralizer of a ring over a module*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **2** (1951), 891-895.
5. L. A. Skornjakov, *Rings with injective cyclic modules*, Soviet Mathematics, **4** (1963), 36-38.

RUTGERS, THE STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

ROBERT OSSERMAN
Stanford University
Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE
University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

J. DUGUNDJI
University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE
University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and on submission, must be accompanied by a separate author's résumé. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 14, No. 2

June, 1964

Tom M. (Mike) Apostol and Herbert S. Zuckerman, <i>On the functional equation</i> $F(mn)F((m, n)) = F(m)F(n)f((m, n))$	377
Reinhold Baer, <i>Irreducible groups of automorphisms of abelian groups</i>	385
Herbert Stanley Bear, Jr., <i>An abstract potential theory with continuous kernel</i>	407
E. F. Beckenbach, <i>Superadditivity inequalities</i>	421
R. H. Bing, <i>The simple connectivity of the sum of two disks</i>	439
Herbert Busemann, <i>Length-preserving maps</i>	457
Heron S. Collins, <i>Characterizations of convolution semigroups of measures</i>	479
Paul F. Conrad, <i>The relationship between the radical of a lattice-ordered group and complete distributivity</i>	493
P. H. Doyle, III, <i>A sufficient condition that an arc in S^n be cellular</i>	501
Carl Clifton Faith and Yuzo Utumi, <i>Intrinsic extensions of rings</i>	505
Watson Bryan Fulks, <i>An approximate Gauss mean value theorem</i>	513
Arshag Berge Hajian, <i>Strongly recurrent transformations</i>	517
Morisuke Hasumi and T. P. Srinivasan, <i>Doubly invariant subspaces. II</i>	525
Lowell A. Hinrichs, Ivan Niven and Charles L. Vanden Eynden, <i>Fields defined by polynomials</i>	537
Walter Ball Laffer, I and Henry B. Mann, <i>Decomposition of sets of group elements</i>	547
John Albert Lindberg, Jr., <i>Algebraic extensions of commutative Banach algebras</i>	559
W. Ljunggren, <i>On the Diophantine equation $Cx^2 + D = y^n$</i>	585
M. Donald MacLaren, <i>Atomic orthocomplemented lattices</i>	597
Moshe Marcus, <i>Transformations of domains in the plane and applications in the theory of functions</i>	613
Philip Miles, <i>B^* algebra unit ball extremal points</i>	627
W. F. Newns, <i>On the difference and sum of a basic set of polynomials</i>	639
Barbara Osofsky, <i>Rings all of whose finitely generated modules are injective</i>	645
Calvin R. Putnam, <i>Toeplitz matrices and invertibility of Hankel matrices</i>	651
Shoichiro Sakai, <i>Weakly compact operators on operator algebras</i>	659
James E. Simpson, <i>Nilpotency and spectral operators</i>	665
Walter Laws Smith, <i>On the elementary renewal theorem for non-identically distributed variables</i>	673
T. P. Srinivasan, <i>Doubly invariant subspaces</i>	701
J. Roger Teller, <i>On the extensions of lattice-ordered groups</i>	709
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>Unimodular group matrices with rational integers as elements</i>	719
J. L. Walsh and Ambikeshwar Sharma, <i>Least squares and interpolation in roots of unity</i>	727
Charles Edward Watts, <i>A Jordan-Hölder theorem</i>	731
Kung-Wei Yang, <i>On some finite groups and their cohomology</i>	735
Adil Mohamed Yaqub, <i>On the ring-logic character of certain rings</i>	741
Paul Ruel Young, <i>A note on pseudo-creative sets and cylinders</i>	749