

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE EXTENSIONS OF LATTICE-ORDERED GROUPS

J. ROGER TELLER

ON THE EXTENSIONS OF LATTICE-ORDERED GROUPS

J. ROGER TELLER

1. **Introduction.** Throughout this paper $A = 0, a, b, \dots, \Delta = \theta, \alpha, \beta, \dots$ and G will be abelian partially ordered groups (p.o. groups). G is a *p.o. extension* of A by Δ if there is an order preserving homomorphism (o-homomorphism) π of G onto Δ with kernel A such that π induces an o-isomorphism of G/A with Δ , (i.e. $\pi(g) > \theta$ implies $g + A$ contains a positive element). If A and Δ are lattice ordered groups (l-groups) then G is an *l-extension* if G is an l-group, π is an l-homomorphism and π induces an l-isomorphism between G/A and Δ . In this case A is an l-ideal of G .

If G is a p.o. extension of A by Δ then for each $\alpha \in \Delta$ choose $r(\alpha) \in G$ such that $\pi(r(\alpha)) = \alpha$ and $r(\theta) = 0$. Define

$$f(\alpha, \beta) = -r(\alpha + \beta) + r(\alpha) + r(\beta) \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in \Delta$$

and

$$Q_\alpha = \{a \in A \mid r(\alpha) + a \geq 0\} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Delta^+ = \{\delta \in \Delta \mid \delta \geq \theta\}.$$

Then the following conditions are satisfied for all α, β, γ in Δ .

- (i) $f(\alpha, \beta) = f(\beta, \alpha)$
- (ii) $f(\alpha, \theta) = f(\theta, \alpha) = 0$
- (iii) $f(\alpha, \beta) + f(\alpha + \beta, \gamma) = f(\alpha, \beta + \gamma) + f(\beta, \gamma)$.

Moreover, for $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$ we have

- (iv) $Q_\alpha \neq \phi$
- (v) $Q_\alpha + Q_\beta + f(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq Q_{\alpha+\beta}$
- (vi) $Q_\theta = A^+$.

Conditions (iv)–(vi) are due to L. Fuchs and can be derived from the results in [5].

Now if $\bar{G} = A \times \Delta$ and we define $(a, \alpha) + (b, \beta) = (a + b + f(\alpha, \beta), \alpha + \beta)$ and (a, α) positive if $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ and $a \in Q_\alpha$, then the mapping $(a, \alpha) \rightarrow r(\alpha) + a$ is an o-isomorphism of \bar{G} onto G . In what follows we usually identify G and \bar{G} .

Conversely, if we are given $A, \Delta, f: \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow A$ and $Q: \Delta^+ \rightarrow \{\text{subsets of } A\}$ such that f and Q satisfy (i)–(vi) then \bar{G} is a p.o. extension of A by Δ and the mapping $(a, \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$ is the corresponding o-homomorphism.

Two p.o. extensions $G = (A, \Delta, f, Q)$ and $G' = (A, \Delta, f', Q')$ are *o-equivalent* if there is a function $t: \Delta \rightarrow A$ such that

Received July 25, 1963. This research was supported in part by grant No. 21447 from the National Science Foundation and represents a portion of the author's dissertation. The author wishes to express his appreciation to Professor L. Fuchs, who suggested the problem, and Professor P. F. Conrad for their help in preparing this paper.

$$f'(\alpha, \beta) = f(\alpha, \beta) - t(\alpha + \beta) + t(\alpha) + t(\beta)$$

and

$$Q'_\alpha = -t(\alpha) + Q_\alpha.$$

This is equivalent to the fact that there exists an o-isomorphism of G onto G' that induces the identity on A and $G/A = \mathcal{A}$.

In Theorem 1 we give necessary and sufficient conditions that a p.o. extension $G = (A, \mathcal{A}, f, Q)$ be an l-extension. If G is an l-extension such that for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^+$, Q_α is a principal dual ideal, that is, generated by a single element, then Lemma 2.2 shows G is o-equivalent to the cardinal sum $A \boxplus \mathcal{A}$. We show in Lemma 2.3, if A is a lexicographic extension of an l-ideal B (notation: $A = \langle B \rangle$) then for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^+$, $Q_\alpha = A$ or Q_α is a principal dual ideal. Theorem 2 shows that if G is an l-extension of $A = \langle B \rangle$ then G contains an l-ideal $H \cong A \boxplus J$, $J \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and G is an l-extension of H by the ordered group (o-group) \mathcal{A}/J . In addition if \mathcal{A} is an o-group then $G = \langle A \boxplus J \rangle$.

Theorem 3 gives a method of constructing l-extensions from an abelian extension $G = (A, \mathcal{A}, f)$ that depends only on the cardinal summands of A .

In § 4 we use the above to investigate those l-extensions of an l-group A with a finite basis. We show that to an o-equivalence every l-extension of such an l-group A by an l-group \mathcal{A} is determined by a meet-preserving homomorphism of the semigroup \mathcal{A}^+ to the semigroup of all cardinal summands of A such that $f(\alpha, \beta) \in H_{\alpha+\beta}$.

2. Extensions of l-groups. A subset Q of A is a *dual ideal* if $a \in Q$ and $b \geq a$ implies $b \in Q$.

LEMMA 2.1. *If A is an l-group and $Q \subseteq A$ is a dual ideal that satisfies*

(*) $Q \cap (b + A^+)$ *has a smallest element for all $b \in A$, then Q is a sublattice of A . Thus Q is a lattice dual ideal.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in Q$, then $a \vee b \in Q$ since Q is a dual ideal. Also, $a, b \in Q \cap [(a \wedge b) + A^+]$ so by (*) there is an element $x \in Q \cap [(a \wedge b) + A^+]$ such that $x \leq a$ and $x \leq b$. Hence, $x \leq a \wedge b$ so $a \wedge b \in Q$ and Q is a sublattice of A as desired.

If E is a subset of A then the dual ideal generated by E (notation: $DI(E)$) is $\{x \in A \mid x \geq y \text{ for some } y \in E\}$. If a dual ideal is generated by a single element we say the dual ideal is *principal*.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose A and \mathcal{A} are l-groups and $G = (A, \mathcal{A}, f, Q)$ is a p.o.-extension of A by \mathcal{A} . Then G is an l-extension if and only if*

- (1) if $\alpha \wedge \beta = \theta$ then $Q_\alpha \cap [Q_\beta + b + f(\alpha - \beta, \beta)]$ has a smallest element for all $b \in A$,

and

- (2) $Q_\alpha + Q_\beta + f(\alpha, \beta) = Q_{\alpha+\beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}^+$.

Proof. Let G be an l-extension. Suppose $b \in A$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}^+$ are such that $\alpha \wedge \beta = \theta$. Let $\gamma = \alpha - \beta$. For $a \in A$, the mapping of $(a, \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$ is an l-homomorphism so $(b, \gamma) \vee (0, \theta) = (d, \alpha)$ where $d \in A$. Now $(d, \alpha) \geq (0, \theta)$ implies $d \in Q_\alpha$ and $(d, \alpha) \geq (b, \gamma)$ implies $(0, \theta) \leq (d, \alpha) - (b, \gamma) = [d - b - f(\gamma, \beta), \beta]$ so $d - b - f(\gamma, \beta) \in Q_\beta$. Hence, $d \in Q_\alpha \cap [Q_\beta + b + f(\alpha - \beta, \beta)]$. If $c \in Q_\alpha \cap [Q_\beta + b + f(\alpha - \beta, \beta)]$ then a similar argument shows $(c, \alpha) \geq (b, \gamma)$ and $(c, \alpha) \geq (0, \theta)$. Hence, $(c, \alpha) \geq (d, \alpha)$ and $c \geq d$. Therefore, d is the smallest element in $Q_\alpha \cap [Q_\beta + b + f(\alpha - \beta, \beta)]$ and (1) holds.

To show (2) let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}^+$. If either $\alpha = \theta$ or $\beta = \theta$ then (2) is trivial, so suppose $\alpha > \theta$ and $\beta > \theta$. Since G is a p.o.-extension we have $Q_\alpha + Q_\beta + f(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq Q_{\alpha+\beta}$. For the reverse containment, let $x \in Q_{\alpha+\beta}$, $y \in Q_\alpha$, $b = x - y - f(\alpha, \beta)$ and $(a, \beta) = (b, \beta) \vee (0, \theta)$. Now $(c, \alpha + \beta) \geq (0, \theta)$ if and only if $c \in Q_{\alpha+\beta}$; $(c, \alpha + \beta) \geq (b, \beta)$ if and only if $c \in Q_\alpha + b + f(\alpha, \beta)$. On the other hand, since $(a, \beta) = (b, \beta) \vee (0, \theta)$, $c \in Q_{\alpha+\beta} \cap [Q_\alpha + b + f(\alpha, \beta)]$ if and only if $c \in Q_\alpha + a + f(\alpha, \beta)$. Hence $Q_{\alpha+\beta} \cap [Q_\alpha + b + f(\alpha, \beta)] = Q_\alpha + a + f(\alpha, \beta)$ and by (1) a is the smallest element in $Q_\beta \cap (Q_\theta + b)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} [Q_\alpha + b + f(\alpha, \beta)] \cap Q_{\alpha+\beta} \\ = Q_\alpha + f(\alpha, \beta) + [Q_\beta \cap (Q_\theta + b)] \subseteq Q_\alpha + f(\alpha, \beta) + Q_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

By the choice of b , $x \in [Q_\alpha + b + f(\alpha, \beta)] \cap Q_{\alpha+\beta}$ and $Q_\alpha + Q_\beta + f(\alpha, \beta) = Q_{\alpha+\beta}$.

For the sufficiency assume (1) and (2) hold and suppose $(b, \beta) \in G$ and that (b, β) is not comparable with $(0, \theta)$. Let c be the smallest element in $Q_{\beta \vee \theta} \cap [Q_{-(\beta \wedge \theta)} + b + f(\beta, -(\beta \wedge \theta))]$. Then $(c, \beta \vee \theta) \geq (0, \theta)$ and (b, β) . If $(\alpha, \alpha) \geq (b, \beta)$, $(0, \theta)$ then $a \in Q_\alpha \cap [Q_{\alpha-\beta} + b + f(\alpha - \beta, \beta)]$. Condition (1) implies (*) so $Q_{\alpha-(\beta \vee \theta)}$ is a sublattice of A and from (2) we can derive the equality,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\alpha \cap [Q_{\alpha-\beta} + b + f(\alpha - \beta, \beta)] &= [Q_{\alpha-(\beta \vee \theta)} + f(\alpha - (\beta \vee \theta), \beta \vee \theta)] \\ &+ \{Q_{\beta \vee \theta} \cap [Q_{-(\beta \wedge \theta)} + b + f(\beta, -(\beta \wedge \theta))]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since c was chosen as the smallest element we have $a \in Q_{\alpha-(\beta \vee \theta)} + f(\alpha - (\beta \vee \theta), \beta \vee \theta) + c$ and therefore $(a, \alpha) \geq (c, \beta \vee \theta)$. Hence, $(c, \beta \vee \theta) = (b, \beta) \vee (0, \theta)$ and G is an l-extension of A by \mathcal{A} . It can be shown that conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent to those given by L. Fuchs [5]. The entire proof was given so that this paper will be

more self-contained.

An l-group G is a *cardinal sum* of l-ideals A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n (notation: $G = A_1 \boxplus \dots \boxplus A_n$) if G is the direct sum (notation: $G = A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$) of the A_i and if for $a_i \in A_i$, $a_1 + \dots + a_n \geq 0$ if and only if $a_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. It can be shown that a direct sum of l-ideals of an l-group is actually the cardinal sum. G is a *lexico-extension* of an l-group A (notation: $G = \langle A \rangle$) if A is an l-ideal of G , G/A is an o-group, and every positive element in G but not in A exceeds every element in A . In this case we note that if $a + A < b + A$ in G/A then each element of $b + A$ exceeds every element of $a + A$.

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose G is an l-extension of A by Δ .

(a) If $Q_\alpha = A$ for all $\theta \neq \alpha \in \Delta^+$ then $G = \langle A \rangle$.

(b) If Q_α is a principal dual ideal for each $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ then G is o-equivalent to the cardinal sum, $A \boxplus \Delta$, of A and Δ .

Proof. Let G be an l-extension of A by Δ .

(a) If $Q_\alpha = A$ for all $\theta \neq \alpha \in \Delta^+$, then every positive element of $G \setminus A$ exceeds every element of A . From (1) it follows that Δ is an o-group and therefore $G = \langle A \rangle$.

(b) If Q_α is a principal dual ideal for each $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, let x_α be the generator of Q_α . By (2) we have $x_\alpha + x_\beta + f(\alpha, \beta) = x_{\alpha+\beta}$. Let $H = A \boxplus \Delta$, then $H = (A, \Delta, f' \equiv 0, Q' \equiv A^+)$ is an l-extension of A by Δ . Define $t': \Delta^+ \rightarrow A$ as $t'(\alpha) = x_\alpha$. Then t' induces a function $t: \Delta \rightarrow A$ and it follows that for $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$

$$0 = f'(\alpha, \beta) = f(\alpha, \beta) - t(\alpha + \beta) + t(\alpha) + t(\beta)$$

and

$$A^+ = Q'_\alpha = -t(\alpha) + Q_\alpha \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Delta^+.$$

Hence G and H are o-equivalent l-extensions.

LEMMA 2.3. Let $A = \langle B \rangle$, $A \neq B$ and $G = (A, \Delta, f, Q)$ be an l-extension. Then for $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ either $Q_\alpha = A$ or Q_α is a principal dual ideal.

Proof. If A is an o-group, $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ and $Q_\alpha \neq A$ then there is $b \in A$ such that $b < a$ for all $a \in Q_\alpha$. Hence, $(b, \alpha) \vee (0, \theta) = (c, \alpha)$ implies c is the smallest element in Q_α and therefore Q_α is a principal dual ideal.

If A is not an o-group then $B \subset A$ and A/B is an o-group. Suppose $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ and $Q_\alpha \neq A$, then there is $0 > b \in A \setminus B$ such that $b + B \neq x + B$ for all $x \in Q_\alpha$. For suppose for each $0 > b \in A \setminus B$ there is an $x \in Q_\alpha$ such that $b + B = x + B$, then $b + h \in Q_\alpha$ for some $h \in B$. Now for

any $c \in A$ there is $0 > a \in A \setminus B$ such that $a + B < c + B$ so $c > a + h$ which implies $c \in Q_\omega$. Thus $Q_\omega = A$, a contradiction.

Now $Q_\alpha \cap (b + Q_\theta)$ must have a smallest element so it suffices to show $Q_\alpha \subseteq b + Q_\theta$. To this end let $x \in Q_\alpha$. If $x + B \leq b + B$ then either $x + B < b + B$ which implies $x < b$ and $b \in Q_\alpha$ or $x + B = b + B$. Both cases lead to contradictions so $x + B > b + B$ which implies $x > b$ and $x \in b + Q_\theta$. The proof is complete.

COROLLARY 2.1. *If $A = \langle B \rangle$ then (1) may be replaced by*

(1') *If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}^+$ and $\alpha \wedge \beta = \theta$ then either Q_α and Q_β are principal dual ideals or Q_α is principal and $Q_\beta = A$.*

Proof. If G is an l-extension and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}^+$ such that $\alpha \wedge \beta = \theta$ then (1) implies $Q_\alpha \cap Q_\beta$ must have a smallest element and (1') follows from Lemma 2.3. Conversely, if x is the smallest element in Q_α , y the smallest in Q_β and $b \in A$ then $x \vee (y + b + f(\alpha - \beta, \beta))$ is the smallest in $Q_\alpha \cap [Q_\beta + b + f(\alpha - \beta, \beta)]$. If $Q_\beta = A$ then x is the smallest and if $Q_\alpha = A$, $y + b + f(\alpha - \beta, \beta)$ is the smallest.

From the above it follows that if $A = \langle B \rangle$ and \mathcal{A} is an o-group then (1) may be replaced by

(1'') *For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^+$, $Q_\alpha = A$ or Q_α is a principal dual ideal.*

From (2) of Theorem 1 we have: The only l-extensions of $A = \langle B \rangle$ by an Archimedean o-group \mathcal{A} are o-isomorphic to the cardinal extension or the lexico-extension.

THEOREM 2. *Let $A = \langle B \rangle$ and \mathcal{A} be l-groups and $G = (A, \mathcal{A}, f, Q)$ be an l-extension. Then G contains an l-ideal H which is o-isomorphic to $A \boxplus J$, $J \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, and G is an l-extension of H by the o-group \mathcal{A}/J .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 either $Q_\alpha = A$ or Q_α is principal for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^+$. Let $J^+ = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^+ \mid Q_\alpha \neq A\}$. Then by (2) of Theorem 1, J^+ is a convex subsemigroup of \mathcal{A}^+ . Let J be the l-ideal of \mathcal{A} generated by J^+ and let $H = (A, J, f', Q')$ where $f' = f \mid (J \times J)$ and $Q'_\alpha = Q_\alpha$, $\alpha \in J^+$. Then H is an l-ideal of G and Q'_α is a principal dual ideal for all $\alpha \in J^+$. Therefore by Lemma 2.2, we have H o-isomorphic to $A \boxplus J$.

By way of contradiction, if \mathcal{A}/J is not an o-group then there are $X, Y \in (\mathcal{A}/J)^+$ such that $X \wedge Y = J$. Let $X = \alpha + J$, $Y = \beta + J$ then $X \wedge Y = (\alpha + J) \wedge (\beta + J) = (\alpha \wedge \beta) + J = J$ so $\alpha \wedge \beta \in J$. Now $\alpha = (\alpha \wedge \beta) + \gamma$, $\beta = (\alpha \wedge \beta) + \delta$ where $\gamma \wedge \delta = \theta$ and $\gamma, \delta \notin J$, hence $Q_\gamma = A = Q_\delta$. This contradicts Corollary 2.1. Thus \mathcal{A}/J is an o-group.

Finally, the natural mappings induce an o-isomorphism of G/H onto \mathcal{A}/J . Hence, G is an l-extension of H by the o-group \mathcal{A}/J .

We note that if $\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus J^+$ then $Q_\alpha = A$ so if $0 < g \in G \setminus H$ then $g > a$ for all $a \in A$.

COROLLARY 2.2. *If Δ is an o-group and $G = (A, \Delta, f, Q)$ is an l-extension then $G = \langle A \boxplus J \rangle$.*

Proof. If Δ is an o-group then $\Delta = \langle J \rangle$. The corollary follows from the results of Conrad [3, p 235] since $A \boxplus J$ contains all the nonunits of G .

We note that if G is an l-group with two disjoint elements but not three then G is an l-extension of an o-group by an o-group and hence we have the structure theorem of Conrad and Clifford [4] for the abelian case.

3. l-extensions with each Q_α generated by a coset of an l-ideal. Throughout this section we will consider those l-extensions $G = (A, \Delta, f, Q)$ where, for each $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, $Q_\alpha = DI(x_\alpha + H_\alpha)$, H_α an l-ideal of A .

LEMMA 3.1. *Suppose $G = (A, \Delta, f, Q)$ is an l-extension of the above type. Then there is an l-extension $G' = (A, \Delta, f', Q')$ o-equivalent to G with $Q'_\alpha = DI(H_\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta^+$.*

Proof. If G is an l-extension and $Q_\alpha = DI(x_\alpha + H_\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, then there is a mapping $t: \Delta^+ \rightarrow A$ defined as $t'(\alpha) = x_\alpha$. Since each $\alpha \in \Delta$ has a unique representation $\alpha = \alpha^+ - \alpha^-$ where $\alpha^+ = \alpha \vee \theta$, $\alpha^- = -(\alpha \wedge \theta)$, we can extend t' to a mapping $t: \Delta \rightarrow A$ by defining $t(\alpha) = t'(\alpha^+) - t'(\alpha^-)$.

Let $f'(\alpha, \beta) = f(\alpha, \beta) - t(\alpha + \beta) + t(\alpha) + t(\beta)$ and $Q'_\alpha = -t(\alpha) + Q_\alpha$. It is easily verified that f' and Q' satisfy conditions (i)–(vi) so $G' = (A, \Delta, f', Q')$ is a p.o. extension of A by Δ . From Theorem 1 it follows that G' is an l-extension. Clearly, G' is o-equivalent to G and $Q' = DI(H_\alpha)$.

For those l-extensions G of A by Δ with Q_α as above the question of o-equivalence leads to an investigation of the l-ideals of A . To show this we need the following.

LEMMA 3.2. *If A is an l-group, H and K l-ideals of A and $DI(y + H) = DI(z + K)$ then $y + H = z + K$ and $H = K$.*

Proof. Suppose $DI(y + H) = DI(z + K)$ where H and K are l-ideals of A . If $x = z - y$ then $DI(H) = DI(x + K)$. Since $H \subseteq DI(x + K)$, $0 \in DI(x + K)$. If $0 \notin x + K$ then $0 > x + k$, $k \in K$ so $x + K$ contains a negative element. Since $DI(H)$ is a semigroup, $2(x + k) \in DI(x + K)$

so $2x + 2k \geq x + 1, 1 \in K$. Hence, $x + (2k - 1) \geq 0$. This is a contradiction since $x + K$ can contain no positive elements. Thus $0 \in x + K$ and $x \in K$. Moreover, we have $DI(H) = DI(K)$ which implies $H = K$. For if $H \neq K$ then, without loss of generality, there is $0 > h \in H \setminus K$. But $h \in DI(K)$ so $h > k \in K$. Hence, $0 > h > k$, and by convexity $h \in K$, a contradiction. Thus, $H = x + K = z - y + K$ and $y + H = z + K$.

Now if $G = (A, \Delta, f, Q)$ and $G' = (A, \Delta, f', Q')$ are two l-extensions with Q_α and Q'_α generated by l-ideals H_α and H'_α of A , then G and G' are o-equivalent if and only if there is a function $t: \Delta \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f'(\alpha, \beta) &= f(\alpha, \beta) - t(\alpha + \beta) + t(\alpha) + t(\beta) \\ H'_\alpha &= H_\alpha \text{ and } t(\alpha) \in H'_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The question at this point is which l-extensions will have Q_α generated by a coset of an l-ideal. We give a partial answer to this question in the next section.

We complete this section by giving a method for the construction of l-extensions of l-groups.

THEOREM 3. Suppose A and Δ are l-groups and $G = (A, \Delta, f)$ is an abelian extension of A by Δ . For each $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, let H_α be a cardinal summand of A such that

$$(1^*) \text{ if } \alpha \wedge \beta = \theta \text{ then } H_\alpha \cap H_\beta = 0$$

$$(2^*) \text{ } H_\alpha + H_\beta = H_{\alpha+\beta} \text{ and } f(\alpha, \beta) \in H_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

If $Q_\alpha = DI(H_\alpha)$ then $G = (A, \Delta, f, Q)$ is an l-extension of A by Δ .

Proof. Clearly (iv) is satisfied and for any $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, (2*) implies $H_\theta \subseteq H_\alpha$. From (1*) it follows that $H_\theta = 0$. Thus $Q_\theta = A^+$ and (vi) is satisfied. Moreover, from (2*) we have $DI(H_\alpha + H_\beta + f(\alpha, \beta)) = DI(H_{\alpha+\beta})$ so $DI(H_\alpha) + DI(H_\beta) + f(\alpha, \beta) = DI(H_{\alpha+\beta})$ and (2) of Theorem 1 holds.

If $\alpha \wedge \beta = \theta$ then $H_\alpha \cap H_\beta = 0$ so $H_{\alpha+\beta} = H_\alpha \oplus H_\beta$ and since H_α and H_β are l-ideals we have $H_{\alpha+\beta} = H_\alpha \boxplus H_\beta$. Since $H_{\alpha+\beta}$ is a cardinal summand we conclude $A = H_{\alpha+\beta} \boxplus D = H_\alpha \boxplus H_\beta \boxplus D$ where D is an l-ideal of A . Suppose $b \in A$ and $b + f(\alpha - \beta, \beta) = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ where $a_1 \in H_\alpha, a_2 \in H_\beta$ and $a_3 \in D$. We show $(a_1, 0, a_3 \vee 0)$ is the smallest element in

$$Q_\alpha \cap (b + f(\alpha - \beta, \beta) + Q_\beta) = DI(H_\alpha) \cap DI(b + f(\alpha - \beta, \beta) + H_\beta).$$

Now $(a_1, 0, a_3 \vee 0) \geq (a_1, 0, 0)$ so $(a_1, 0, a_3 \vee 0) \in DI(H_\alpha)$. Also $(a_1, 0, a_3) = (a_1, 0, a_3) = (a_1, a_2, a_3) - (0, a_2, 0)$ so $(a_1, 0, a_3) \in b + f(\alpha - \beta, \beta) + H_\beta$ and $(a_1, 0, a_3 \vee 0) \in DI(b + f(\alpha - \beta, \beta) + H_\beta)$. If

$$(u, v, w) \in DI(H_\alpha) \cap DI(b + f(\alpha - \beta, \beta) + H_\beta)$$

then $u \geq h_\alpha \in H_\alpha, v \geq 0$ and $w \geq 0$. Also $u \geq a_1, v \geq a_2 + h_\beta$ where

$h_\beta \in H_\beta$ and $w \geq a_3$. Hence, $(u, v, w) \geq (a_1, 0, a_3 \vee 0)$ and $(a_1, 0, a_3 \vee 0)$ is the smallest element in $Q_\alpha \cap (b + f(\alpha - \beta, \beta) + Q_\beta)$. Thus G is an \mathcal{A} -extension of A by \mathcal{A} .

We note that, since any two representations of an \mathcal{A} -group as a cardinal sum have a common refinement, the cardinal summands of an \mathcal{A} -group form an additive semigroup closed with respect to intersection. That is, if $H = A \boxplus A'$ and $H = B \boxplus B'$ then $A = (A \cap B) \boxplus (A \cap B')$, $A' = (A' \cap B) \boxplus (A' \cap B')$ and $B = (A \cap B) \boxplus (A' \cap B)$. Thus $H = A \boxplus A' = (A + B) \boxplus (A' \cap B')$. Hence, $A + B$ is a cardinal summand of G .

4. Extensions of \mathcal{A} -groups with a finite basis. An element g of an \mathcal{A} -group G is *basic* if $0 < g$ and $\{x \in G \mid 0 < x \leq g\}$ is ordered. A subset S of G is a *basis* for G if S is a maximum set of disjoint elements and each $g \in S$ is basic. Conrad [2] has shown that an \mathcal{A} -group A with a finite basis of n elements is a lexico-sum of n ordered subgroups. In particular, A is the cardinal sum of two \mathcal{A} -groups each with a basis of fewer than n elements, or A is a lexico-extension of such an \mathcal{A} -group. In this section we are concerned with \mathcal{A} -extensions of \mathcal{A} -groups with finite bases.

LEMMA 4.1. *Suppose A has a finite basis and $G = (A, \mathcal{A}, f, Q)$ is an \mathcal{A} -extension of A . Then for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^+$, $Q_\alpha = DI(x_\alpha + H_\alpha)$ where H_α is an \mathcal{A} -ideal of A .*

Proof. Let A have a basis of n elements. The proof is by induction on n .

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that we need only consider $A = B \boxplus C$ and if $n = 1$ then $H_\alpha = A$ or $H_\alpha = 0$.

So suppose the theorem is true for all \mathcal{A} -groups with a basis of fewer than n elements. Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ and $\psi: A \rightarrow C$ be the projections. Now B has a basis of fewer than n elements and $G' = (B, \mathcal{A}, \varphi f, \varphi Q)$ is an \mathcal{A} -extension of B so by induction $\varphi Q_\alpha = DI(x + M)$ where $x \in B$ and M is an \mathcal{A} -ideal of B . Similarly, $\psi Q_\alpha = DI(y + N)$ where $y \in C$ and N is an \mathcal{A} -ideal of C . Since Q_α is a sublattice of A , a straight forward argument shows $Q_\alpha = DI((x + y) + (M + N))$ and $M + N$ is an \mathcal{A} -ideal of A . The proof is complete.

The following theorem shows that for an \mathcal{A} -group A with a finite basis every \mathcal{A} -extension G of A by an \mathcal{A} -group \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{O} -equivalent to an \mathcal{A} -extension constructed by the method described in Theorem 3. That is, to an \mathcal{O} -equivalence, every such \mathcal{A} -extension is determined by a meet-preserving homomorphism from the semigroup \mathcal{A}^+ to the semigroup of all cardinal summands of A such that $f(\alpha, \beta) \in H_{\alpha+\beta}$.

In what follows we may, by Lemmas 3.1 and 4.1, assume for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^+$ that $Q_\alpha = DI(H_\alpha)$.

THEOREM 4. *If A has a finite basis and $G = (A, \Delta, f, Q)$ is an l -extension of A by an l -group Δ then, for $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$*

- (a) *if $\alpha \wedge \beta = \theta$ then $H_\alpha \cap H_\beta = 0$*
- (b) *$H_\alpha + H_\beta = H_{\alpha+\beta}$ and $f(\alpha, \beta) \in H_{\alpha+\beta}$*
- (c) *H_α is a cardinal summand of A .*

Proof. Let A have a finite basis of n elements and G be an l -extension. By (1) if $\alpha \wedge \beta = \theta$ then $Q_\alpha \cap Q_\beta$ must have a smallest element w . Since $0 \in Q_\alpha \cap Q_\beta$, $w \leq 0$ and therefore $w \in H_\alpha \cap H_\beta$. If $H_\alpha \cap H_\beta \neq 0$ then there is $h \in H_\alpha \cap H_\beta$ such that $h < w$ and $h \in Q_\alpha \cap Q_\beta$, a contradiction. Thus (a) holds.

From (2) we have

$$DI(H_\alpha) + DI(H_\beta) + f(\alpha, \beta) = DI(H_{\alpha+\beta})$$

so

$$DI(H_\alpha + H_\beta + f(\alpha, \beta)) = DI(H_{\alpha+\beta}).$$

Thus by Lemma 2.3, $H_\alpha + H_\beta = H_{\alpha+\beta}$ and $f(\alpha, \beta) \in H_{\alpha+\beta}$ and (b) holds.

Now if $A = \langle B \rangle$ then for each $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, $H_\alpha = 0$ or $H_\alpha = A$ and (c) follows in a trivial way. So suppose $A = B \boxplus C$ and (c) is true for all l -groups with a basis of fewer than n elements. If $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ and $\psi: A \rightarrow C$ are the projections then $G' = (B, \Delta, \varphi f, \varphi Q)$ and $G'' = (C, \Delta, \psi f, \psi Q)$ are l -extensions where $\varphi Q_\alpha = DI(\varphi H_\alpha)$ and $\psi Q_\alpha = DI(\psi H_\alpha)$. Hence, by induction, φH_α is a cardinal summand of B and ψH_α is a cardinal summand of C and we have $A = B \boxplus C = \varphi H_\alpha \boxplus M \boxplus \psi H_\alpha \boxplus N = \varphi H_\alpha \boxplus \psi H_\alpha \boxplus M \boxplus N = H_{\alpha+\beta} \boxplus M \boxplus N$ where M is an l -ideal of B and N is an l -ideal of C .

Using the results of Conrad [3, p. 223] we conclude that the minimal cardinal summands of an l -group A with a finite basis are those l -ideals of A that are lexico-extensions and are not bounded in A .

Added in Proof. The results of this paper have been extended by the author to include central extensions G of an abelian l -group A by an arbitrary l -group Δ . For central extensions, Theorem 1 (1) reads: if $\alpha \wedge \beta = \theta$ then $Q_\alpha \cap [Q_\beta + b + f(\beta, \alpha - \beta)]$ has a smallest element for all $b \in A$. In Theorem 2, G/H is still o -isomorphic to the o -group Δ/J but G need not be a central extension of H by Δ/J . The remaining results are unchanged for central extensions.

REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory*, Revised Edition, Amer. Math. Soc., Colloquium Publications **25** (1948).
2. P. Conrad, *The structure of a lattice-ordered group with a finite number of disjoint elements*, Michigan Math. J., **7** (1960), 171-180.
3. ———, *Some structure theorems for lattice-ordered groups*, Trans. Amer. Math.

Soc., **99** (1961), 212-240.

4. P. F. Conrad and A. H. Clifford, *Lattice-ordered groups having at most two disjoint elements*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assn., **4** (1960), 111-113.

5. L. Fuchs, *The extensions of partially ordered groups*, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., **1** (1950), 118-124.

THE TULANE UNIVERSITY OF LOUISIANA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

ROBERT OSSERMAN
Stanford University
Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE
University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

J. DUGUNDJI
University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE
University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and on submission, must be accompanied by a separate author's résumé. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 14, No. 2

June, 1964

Tom M. (Mike) Apostol and Herbert S. Zuckerman, <i>On the functional equation</i> $F(mn)F((m, n)) = F(m)F(n)f((m, n))$	377
Reinhold Baer, <i>Irreducible groups of automorphisms of abelian groups</i>	385
Herbert Stanley Bear, Jr., <i>An abstract potential theory with continuous kernel</i>	407
E. F. Beckenbach, <i>Superadditivity inequalities</i>	421
R. H. Bing, <i>The simple connectivity of the sum of two disks</i>	439
Herbert Busemann, <i>Length-preserving maps</i>	457
Heron S. Collins, <i>Characterizations of convolution semigroups of measures</i>	479
Paul F. Conrad, <i>The relationship between the radical of a lattice-ordered group and complete distributivity</i>	493
P. H. Doyle, III, <i>A sufficient condition that an arc in S^n be cellular</i>	501
Carl Clifton Faith and Yuzo Utumi, <i>Intrinsic extensions of rings</i>	505
Watson Bryan Fuls, <i>An approximate Gauss mean value theorem</i>	513
Arshag Berge Hajian, <i>Strongly recurrent transformations</i>	517
Morisuke Hasumi and T. P. Srinivasan, <i>Doubly invariant subspaces. II</i>	525
Lowell A. Hinrichs, Ivan Niven and Charles L. Vanden Eynden, <i>Fields defined by polynomials</i>	537
Walter Ball Laffer, I and Henry B. Mann, <i>Decomposition of sets of group elements</i>	547
John Albert Lindberg, Jr., <i>Algebraic extensions of commutative Banach algebras</i>	559
W. Ljunggren, <i>On the Diophantine equation $Cx^2 + D = y^n$</i>	585
M. Donald MacLaren, <i>Atomic orthocomplemented lattices</i>	597
Moshe Marcus, <i>Transformations of domains in the plane and applications in the theory of functions</i>	613
Philip Miles, <i>B^* algebra unit ball extremal points</i>	627
W. F. Newns, <i>On the difference and sum of a basic set of polynomials</i>	639
Barbara Osofsky, <i>Rings all of whose finitely generated modules are injective</i>	645
Calvin R. Putnam, <i>Toeplitz matrices and invertibility of Hankel matrices</i>	651
Shoichiro Sakai, <i>Weakly compact operators on operator algebras</i>	659
James E. Simpson, <i>Nilpotency and spectral operators</i>	665
Walter Laws Smith, <i>On the elementary renewal theorem for non-identically distributed variables</i>	673
T. P. Srinivasan, <i>Doubly invariant subspaces</i>	701
J. Roger Teller, <i>On the extensions of lattice-ordered groups</i>	709
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>Unimodular group matrices with rational integers as elements</i>	719
J. L. Walsh and Ambikeshwar Sharma, <i>Least squares and interpolation in roots of unity</i>	727
Charles Edward Watts, <i>A Jordan-Hölder theorem</i>	731
Kung-Wei Yang, <i>On some finite groups and their cohomology</i>	735
Adil Mohamed Yaqub, <i>On the ring-logic character of certain rings</i>	741
Paul Ruel Young, <i>A note on pseudo-creative sets and cylinders</i>	749