

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**UNIMODULAR GROUP MATRICES WITH RATIONAL
INTEGERS AS ELEMENTS**

ROBERT CHARLES THOMPSON

UNIMODULAR GROUP MATRICES WITH RATIONAL INTEGERS AS ELEMENTS

R. C. THOMPSON

1. **Introduction.** Let G be a finite group of order n with elements g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n . Let

$$(1) \quad x_{g_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

be variables in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of G . The $n \times n$ matrix

$$(2) \quad X = (x_{g_i g_j^{-1}})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

is called the group matrix for G . If numerical values are substituted for the variables (1) in X , we say X is a group matrix for G . In this paper we study group matrices which have rational integers as elements. Let A' denote the transpose of the matrix A . A generalized permutation matrix is a square matrix with only 0, 1, -1 as elements and having exactly one nonzero element in each row and in each column. A square matrix A is said to be unimodular if the determinant of A is ± 1 . The result obtained in this paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM. *Let G be a finite solvable group. Let A be a unimodular matrix of rational integers such that $B = AA'$ is a group matrix for G . Then $A = A_1 T$ where A_1 is a unimodular group matrix of rational integers for G and T is a generalized permutation matrix.*

This theorem has already been proved for cyclic groups in [1] and for abelian groups in [2]. The present proof is a modification of the proof in [2].

2. **Proof of the theorem.** Let

$$(3) \quad 1 = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset H_2 \subset \dots \subset H_{m-1} \subset H_m = G$$

be an ascending chain of subgroups of G , where each H_{i-1} is normal in H_i with cyclic factor group H_i/H_{i-1} of order n_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$. We let $n_0 = 1$, so that H_i has order $n_0 n_1 \dots n_i$. In order to simplify the proof we take the elements of G in a particular order. This will not affect the theorem as a reordering of the elements of G changes the group matrix X to PXP' for P a permutation matrix. Thus let

H_i be generated by the elements of H_{i-1} and an element a_i such that the coset $a_i H_{i-1}$ has order n_i . By induction we define column vectors V_i of the elements of H_i . We let

$$(4) \quad V_0 = (1)$$

be the one row column vector whose only element is the identity of G . Suppose

$$(5) \quad V_{i-1} = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_t)'$$

with

$$(6) \quad t = n_0 n_1 \dots n_{i-1},$$

has been defined, where h_1, h_2, \dots, h_t are the ordered elements of H_{i-1} . For any $g \in G$ let

$$\begin{aligned} g V_{i-1} &= (gh_1, gh_2, \dots, gh_t)', \\ V_{i-1} g &= (h_1 g, h_2 g, \dots, h_t g)'. \end{aligned}$$

Then define V_i to be the column vector

$$(7) \quad V_i = \begin{bmatrix} V_{i-1} \\ a_i V_{i-1} \\ a_i^2 V_{i-1} \\ \dots \\ a_i^{n_i-1} V_{i-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

For an arbitrary finite group G with ordered elements g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n we define the *left regular representation* of G by the matrix equations

$$(gg_1, gg_2, \dots, gg_n) = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) P^L(g), \quad g \in G.$$

Here $P^L(g)$ is a permutation matrix depending on the element $g \in G$. It is straightforward to check that the matrix X of (2) is given by

$$X = \sum_{g \in G} x_g P^L(g).$$

The set of all $P^L(g)$ for $g \in G$ is denoted by $L(G)$.

We define the *right regular representation* of G by

$$(g_1 g, g_2 g, \dots, g_n g)' = P(g) (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)', \quad g \in G.$$

The set of all permutation matrices $P(g)$ for $g \in G$ is denoted by $R(G)$.

The group ring of the left (right) regular representation is the set of all linear combinations of the $P^L(g)$ ($P(g)$) for $g \in G$, and is denoted by $L^*(G)$ ($R^*(G)$). Thus the matrix (2) is the typical member

of $L^*(G)$. The following two known facts are vital for the proof of our theorem:

- (i) any matrix in $L^*(G)$ commutes with any matrix in $R^*(G)$;
- (ii) any matrix that commutes with all the matrices in $R(G)$ is a member of $L^*(G)$.

NOTATION. We let $\text{diag } (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k)_k$ denote the direct sum of the square matrices X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k :

$$\text{diag } (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k)_k = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & X_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & X_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

We set $[X_1]_1 = X_1$. If $k > 1$ and X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k are square matrices of the same size, we set

$$[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k]_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & X_{k-1} \\ X_k & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We construct certain of the matrices in $R(G)$, where now the elements of G are ordered according to (4), (5), (6), (7). Let i be fixed, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since H_{i-1} is normal in H_i , $V_{i-1}a_i = a_i P_{i-1}(a_i) V_{i-1}$ where $P_{i-1}(a_i)$ is a $t \times t$ permutation matrix (t as in (6)). Then, since

$$(8) \quad a_i^{n_i} \in H_{i-1},$$

and because of (7), $V_i a_i = P_i(a_i) V_i$, where $P_i(a_i)$ is permutation matrix with the structure

$$(9) \quad P_i(a_i) = [P_{i-1}(a_i), P_{i-1}(a_i), \dots, P_{i-1}(a_i), \bar{P}_{i-1}(a_i)]_{n_i}.$$

In (9), $\bar{P}_{i-1}(a_i)$ is another $t \times t$ permutation matrix.

Because of (7), we also have for any $g \in H_{i-1}$, that $V_i g = P_i(g) V_i$, where the permutation matrix $P_i(g)$ has the structure

$$(10) \quad P_i(g) = \text{diag } (P_{i-1}(g), P_{i-1}(g), \dots, P_{i-1}(g))_{n_i}, \quad g \in H_{i-1}.$$

In (10), $P_i(g)$ is a block scalar matrix. The diagonal blocks $P_{i-1}(g)$ have dimensions $t \times t$. Furthermore, as g runs over the elements of H_{i-1} , $P_{i-1}(g)$ runs over all the matrices of $R(H_{i-1})$. Since H_i is generated by H_{i-1} and a_i , the matrices $P_i(g)$ for $g \in H_{i-1}$ and $P_i(a_i)$ generate $R(H_i)$.

Because of the ordering of the elements of G , the following block scalar matrices:

$$(11) \quad Q(g) = \text{diag} (P_i(g), \dots, P_i(g))_u, \quad g \in H_{i-1} \text{ or } g = a_i,$$

$$(12) \quad u = n/tn_i,$$

are the matrices in $R(G)$ determined by the $g \in H_{i-1}$ and by $g = a_i$. Here $Q(g)$ is $n \times n$.

We now prove our theorem by the following induction argument. Suppose for a fixed i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, that $B = AA'$ and that

$$(13) \quad AQ(g) = Q(g)A, \quad \text{for any } g \in H_{i-1}.$$

(In particular this is satisfied if $i = 1$ since then the only such $Q(g)$ is I_n , the $n \times n$ identity matrix.) We shall then show that a generalized permutation matrix T exists such that $B = (AT)(AT)'$ and such that $ATQ(g) = Q(g)AT$ for any $g \in H_{i-1}$ and for $g = a_i$, and so, in consequence, for any $g \in H_i$. Thus the induction will eventually yield a generalized permutation matrix T_1 such that $B = (AT_1)(AT_1)'$ and such that $AT_1Q(g) = Q(g)AT_1$ for any $g \in G$. It will now follow from (ii) that $AT_1 \in L^*(G)$, and the proof will be complete.

Hence assume $B = AA'$ where A satisfies (13). Partition

$$(14) \quad A = (A_{\alpha,\beta}), \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq v = n_i u,$$

into blocks of dimensions $t \times t$. As $Q(g)$ for $g \in H_{i-1}$ is a block scalar matrix with the blocks $P_{i-1}(g)$ of $R(H_{i-1})$ on the main block diagonal, it follows from (ii) and (13) that each

$$(15) \quad A_{\alpha,\beta} \in L^*(H_{i-1}), \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq v.$$

Since $B \in L^*(G)$, $BQ(a_i) = Q(a_i)B$ so that if

$$(16) \quad M = A^{-1}Q(a_i)A,$$

then,

$$(17) \quad MM' = I_n.$$

As A is unimodular the elements of M are integers. Hence (17) implies that M is a generalized permutation matrix. Partition A , A^{-1} , $Q(a_i)$, and M into $t \times t$ blocks. As each block of A lies in $L^*(H_{i-1})$ and as A^{-1} is a polynomial in A , each of the $t \times t$ blocks of A , of A^{-1} , and of $Q(a_i)$ is a linear combination of a finite number of $t \times t$ permutation matrices. Therefore each $t \times t$ block of M is a linear combination of a finite number of $t \times t$ permutation matrices. A permutation matrix is *doubly stochastic* in the sense that the sums across each row and down each column all have a common value.

As linear combinations of matrices doubly stochastic in this sense remain doubly stochastic, each $t \times t$ block of M is doubly stochastic. Let M_1 be a typical $t \times t$ block in M . Since M is a generalized permutation matrix, M_1 contains at most one nonzero element in each of its rows and columns. As M_1 is doubly stochastic, it now follows that M_1 , if it is not the zero matrix, is either a permutation matrix or the negative of a permutation matrix. Since M is a generalized permutation matrix, it follows that, after partitioning into $t \times t$ blocks, M is a "generalized permutation matrix" in that it has exactly one nonzero block in each of its block rows and in each of its block columns. Each nonzero block is \pm a permutation matrix.

There exists a permutation matrix R consisting of $t \times t$ blocks which are either the $t \times t$ zero matrix or I_t such that $R'MR$ is a direct sum of cycles. That is, $R'MR = \text{diag}(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_r)_r$ where

$$(18) \quad E_\delta = [E_{\delta,1}, E_{\delta,2}, \dots, E_{\delta,e_\delta}]_{e_\delta}, \quad 1 \leq \delta \leq r.$$

Here each $E_{\delta,\omega}$ is \pm a $t \times t$ permutation matrix.

Note that $RQ(g) = Q(g)R$ for any $g \in H_{i-1}$ since each such $Q(g)$ is block scalar when partitioned into $t \times t$ blocks. Thus

$$ARQ(g) = Q(g)AR, \quad \text{for any } g \in H_{i-1},$$

and

$$(AR)^{-1}Q(a_i)AR = R'MR$$

is a direct sum of E_1, E_2, \dots, E_r . Thus if we change notation and replace AR with A and $R'MR$ with M , we have (13), (14), (15), (16), (18) and

$$M = \text{diag}(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_r).$$

Our immediate goal is to prove that each e_δ is n_i and that $r = u$. Because of (8)

$$\begin{aligned} M^{n_i} &= A^{-1}Q(a_i^{n_i})A \\ &= A^{-1}Q(g)A && \text{for some } g \in H_{i-1}, \\ &= Q(g) && \text{by (13)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence each cycle E_δ of M has the property that

$$E_\delta^{n_i}$$

is block scalar. This is not possible if $e_\delta > n_i$. Hence each $e_\delta \leq n_i$.

Counting rows in M we get $t(e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_r) = n$. If any $e_\delta < n_i$ we would have

$$(19) \quad r > u .$$

Let $A_\alpha = (A_{\alpha,1}, A_{\alpha,2}, \dots, A_{\alpha,v})$, $1 \leq \alpha \leq v$, be the block rows of A . For each fixed d such that $0 \leq d < u$ it follows from (9), (11), and $Q(a_i)A = AM$ that

$$(20) \quad P_{i-1}(a_i)A_{dn_i+k} = A_{\bar{d}n_i+k-1}M, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n_i .$$

Let $w_0 = 0$ and let $w_\delta = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_\delta$ for $1 \leq \delta \leq r$. Then (20) implies that for $2 \leq k \leq n_i$ and $0 \leq \delta \leq r - 1$,

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} & (A_{dn_i+k, w_\delta+1}, \dots, A_{dn_i+k, w_\delta+1}) \\ & = P_{i-1}(a_i)^{1-k} (A_{dn_i+1, w_\delta+1}, \dots, A_{dn_i+1, w_\delta+1}) E_{\delta+1}^{k-1} . \end{aligned}$$

For each fixed d , δ such that $0 \leq d < u$, $0 \leq \delta < r$, let $F_{d,\delta}$ be the submatrix of A containing the blocks $A_{\alpha,\beta}$ with $dn_i + 1 \leq \alpha \leq (d + 1)n_i$ and $w_\delta + 1 \leq \beta \leq w_{\delta+1}$. Since each $A_{\alpha,\beta} \in L^*(H_{i-1})$, each row of a given $A_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a permutation of the first row of this $A_{\alpha,\beta}$. Since $P_{i-1}(a_i)$ and $E_{\delta+1}$ are generalized permutation matrices, this fact and (21) imply that each row of $F_{d,\delta}$ is a generalized permutation of the first row of $F_{d,\delta}$. Thus if we add all the columns of $F_{d,\delta}$ after the first to the first column of $F_{d,\delta}$ we produce a new matrix $\bar{F}_{d,\delta}$ in which the integers in the first column of $\bar{F}_{d,\delta}$ are all equal, modulo 2. Next add the first row of $\bar{F}_{d,\delta}$ to all the other rows of $\bar{F}_{d,\delta}$ to get a new matrix $\tilde{F}_{d,\delta}$. Then all the integers in the first column of $\tilde{F}_{d,\delta}$ below the top element are zero, modulo 2.

Now partition $A = (F_{d,\delta})$ into its blocks $F_{d,\delta}$. For each fixed δ , $0 \leq \delta < r$, add to that column of A that intersects $F_{0,\delta}$ at the extreme left of $F_{0,\delta}$, all the other columns of A that intersect $F_{0,\delta}$. This produces a new matrix $\bar{A} = (\bar{F}_{d,\delta})$. For each fixed d , $0 \leq d < u$, add the topmost row of \bar{A} that intersects $\bar{F}_{d,0}$ to all the other rows of \bar{A} that intersect $\bar{F}_{d,0}$. We get a new matrix $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{F}_{d,\delta})$. The r columns of \tilde{A} that intersect $\tilde{F}_{0,\delta}$ at the extreme left of $\tilde{F}_{0,\delta}$, $0 \leq \delta < r$, may now be regarded as vectors in a u dimensional vector space over the field of two elements. As $r > u$, these vectors are dependent and so \tilde{A} (and hence A) is singular, modulo 2. This is a contradiction since the determinant of A is ± 1 .

Consequently each $e_\delta = n_i$, $1 \leq \delta \leq r$, and $r = u$.

Now let $E_{p,q} = \varphi_{p,q} \bar{E}_{p,q}$ where $\varphi_{p,q} = \pm 1$ and $\bar{E}_{p,q}$ is a permutation matrix. Let δ be fixed, $1 \leq \delta \leq u$. Suppose that $P_{i-1}(a_i)$ has a one at position $(1, \omega)$ and let $\bar{E}_{\delta,1}$ have a one at position $(1, \mu)$. Let $K_{\delta,1}$ be the permutation matrix in $L(H_{i-1})$ with a one at position (μ, ω) . ($K_{\delta,1}$ is the matrix in $L(H_{i-1})$ representing $h_\mu h_\omega^{-1}$; see (2) and (5).) Then $\tilde{E}_{\delta,1} = \bar{E}_{\delta,1} K_{\delta,1}$ has the same first row as $P_{i-1}(a_i)$. Similarly, by induction, we determine $K_{\delta,s}$ in $L(H_{i-1})$, $1 < s < n_i$, such that the

permutation matrices

$$\tilde{E}_{\delta,s} = K'_{\delta,s-1} \bar{E}_{\delta,s} K_{\delta,s}, \quad 1 < s < n_i,$$

each have the same first row as $P_{i-1}(a_i)$. Then let

$$S_\delta = \text{diag} \left(I_i, \varphi_{\delta,1} K_{\delta,1}, \varphi_{\delta,1} \varphi_{\delta,2} K_{\delta,2}, \dots, \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n_i-1} \varphi_{\delta,j} \right) K_{\delta,n_i-1} \right)_{n_i},$$

and let $S = \text{diag}(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_u)$. Then

$$S'MS = \text{diag}(\tilde{E}_1, \tilde{E}_2, \dots, \tilde{E}_u)_u$$

where

$$(22) \quad \tilde{E}_\delta = [\tilde{E}_{\delta,1}, \tilde{E}_{\delta,2}, \dots, \tilde{E}_{\delta,n_i-1}, \pm \tilde{E}_{\delta,n_i}]_{n_i}, \quad 1 \leq \delta \leq u.$$

In (22) each $\tilde{E}_{\delta,j}$, $1 \leq j < n_i$, $1 \leq \delta \leq u$, is a permutation matrix with the same first row as $P_{i-1}(a_i)$ and each

$$\tilde{E}_{\delta,n_i}, \quad 1 \leq \delta \leq u,$$

is some unknown permutation matrix.

Now $SQ(g) = Q(g)S$ if $g \in H_{i-1}$ since S is block diagonal with its blocks in $L^*(H_{i-1})$ whereas $Q(g)$ for $g \in H_{i-1}$ is block scalar with its blocks in $R(H_{i-1})$. Thus if we change notation again and replace AS with A and $S'MS$ with M we retain the validity of (13) and (16) and now

$$(23) \quad M = \text{diag}(\tilde{E}_1, \tilde{E}_2, \dots, \tilde{E}_u)_u.$$

Since for any $g \in H_{i-1}$, $a_i^{-1}ga_i = \bar{g} \in H_{i-1}$, it follows that for any $g \in H_{i-1}$ there exists a $\bar{g} \in H_{i-1}$ such that $Q(g)Q(a_i) = Q(a_i)Q(\bar{g})$. Hence, using (9), (10), and (11), we find

$$(24) \quad P_{i-1}(g)P_{i-1}(a_i) = P_{i-1}(a_i)P_{i-1}(\bar{g}), \quad g, \bar{g} \in H_{i-1}.$$

If we let $g \in H_{i-1}$ be such that $P_{i-1}(g)$ has a one at position $(1, \omega)$ then (24) says: row ω of $P_{i-1}(a_i)$ is determined in terms of row one of $P_{i-1}(a_i)$.

Now for $g \in H_{i-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(g)M &= Q(g)A^{-1}Q(a_i)A \\ &= A^{-1}Q(g)Q(a_i)A && \text{by (13),} \\ &= A^{-1}Q(a_i)Q(\bar{g})A && \text{since } ga_i = a_i\bar{g}, \\ &= A^{-1}Q(a_i)AQ(\bar{g}) && \text{by (13),} \\ &= MQ(\bar{g}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for fixed δ and j , $1 \leq \delta \leq u$, $1 \leq j < n_i$, it now follows

(using (10), (11), (22), and (23)) that

$$(25) \quad P_{i-1}(g)\tilde{E}_{\delta,j} = \tilde{E}_{\delta,j}P_{i-1}(\bar{g}), \quad g, \bar{g} \in H_{i-1}.$$

As with (24), (25) determines each row of $\tilde{E}_{\delta,j}$ in terms of the first row of $\tilde{E}_{\delta,j}$. Consequently

$$(26) \quad \tilde{E}_{\delta,j} = P_{i-1}(a_i), \quad 1 \leq \delta \leq u, \quad 1 \leq j < n_i.$$

We also have (8), hence

$$M^{n_i} = A^{-1}Q(a_i^{n_i})A = Q(a_i)^{n_i}$$

by (13). Hence, for each δ , $1 \leq \delta \leq u$,

$$(27) \quad \tilde{E}_{\delta}^{n_i} = P_i(a_i)^{n_i}.$$

Each side of (27) is a block diagonal matrix. Equating the topmost diagonal blocks we get

$$\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n_i-1} \tilde{E}_{\delta,j} \right] [\pm \tilde{E}_{\delta, n_i}] = P_{i-1}(a_i)^{n_i-1} \bar{P}_{i-1}(a_i).$$

Hence, by (26),

$$\pm \tilde{E}_{\delta, n_i} = \bar{P}_{i-1}(a_i), \quad 1 \leq \delta \leq u.$$

We have now proved that $M = Q(a_i)$. Hence $Q(a_i)A = AQ(a_i)$. As indicated earlier, this is enough to complete the proof.

REFERENCES

1. M. Newman and Olga Taussky, *On a generalization of the normal basis in abelian algebraic number fields*, Comm. Pure App. Math., **9** (1956), 85-91.
2. R. C. Thompson, *Normal matrices and the normal basis in abelian number fields*, Pacific J. Math., **12** (1962), 1115-1124.

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA,
VANCOUVER, CANADA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

ROBERT OSSERMAN

Stanford University
Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and on submission, must be accompanied by a separate author's résumé. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Tom M. (Mike) Apostol and Herbert S. Zuckerman, <i>On the functional equation</i> $F(mn)F((m, n)) = F(m)F(n)f((m, n))$	377
Reinhold Baer, <i>Irreducible groups of automorphisms of abelian groups</i>	385
Herbert Stanley Bear, Jr., <i>An abstract potential theory with continuous kernel</i>	407
E. F. Beckenbach, <i>Superadditivity inequalities</i>	421
R. H. Bing, <i>The simple connectivity of the sum of two disks</i>	439
Herbert Busemann, <i>Length-preserving maps</i>	457
Heron S. Collins, <i>Characterizations of convolution semigroups of measures</i>	479
Paul F. Conrad, <i>The relationship between the radical of a lattice-ordered group and complete distributivity</i>	493
P. H. Doyle, III, <i>A sufficient condition that an arc in S^n be cellular</i>	501
Carl Clifton Faith and Yuzo Utumi, <i>Intrinsic extensions of rings</i>	505
Watson Bryan Fulks, <i>An approximate Gauss mean value theorem</i>	513
Arshag Berge Hajian, <i>Strongly recurrent transformations</i>	517
Morisuke Hasumi and T. P. Srinivasan, <i>Doubly invariant subspaces. II</i>	525
Lowell A. Hinrichs, Ivan Niven and Charles L. Vanden Eynden, <i>Fields defined by polynomials</i>	537
Walter Ball Laffer, I and Henry B. Mann, <i>Decomposition of sets of group elements</i>	547
John Albert Lindberg, Jr., <i>Algebraic extensions of commutative Banach algebras</i>	559
W. Ljunggren, <i>On the Diophantine equation $Cx^2 + D = y^n$</i>	585
M. Donald MacLaren, <i>Atomic orthocomplemented lattices</i>	597
Moshe Marcus, <i>Transformations of domains in the plane and applications in the theory of functions</i>	613
Philip Miles, <i>B^* algebra unit ball extremal points</i>	627
W. F. News, <i>On the difference and sum of a basic set of polynomials</i>	639
Barbara Osofsky, <i>Rings all of whose finitely generated modules are injective</i>	645
Calvin R. Putnam, <i>Toeplitz matrices and invertibility of Hankel matrices</i>	651
Shoichiro Sakai, <i>Weakly compact operators on operator algebras</i>	659
James E. Simpson, <i>Nilpotency and spectral operators</i>	665
Walter Laws Smith, <i>On the elementary renewal theorem for non-identically distributed variables</i>	673
T. P. Srinivasan, <i>Doubly invariant subspaces</i>	701
J. Roger Teller, <i>On the extensions of lattice-ordered groups</i>	709
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>Unimodular group matrices with rational integers as elements</i>	719
J. L. Walsh and Ambikeshwar Sharma, <i>Least squares and interpolation in roots of unity</i>	727
Charles Edward Watts, <i>A Jordan-Hölder theorem</i>	731
Kung-Wei Yang, <i>On some finite groups and their cohomology</i>	735
Adil Mohamed Yaqub, <i>On the ring-logic character of certain rings</i>	741
Paul Ruel Young, <i>A note on pseudo-creative sets and cylinders</i>	749