

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**MOSAICS OF METRIC CONTINUA AND OF QUASI-PEANO
SPACES**

LORRAINE DORIS LAVALLEE

MOSAICS OF METRIC CONTINUA AND OF QUASI-PEANS SPACES

LORRAINE D. LAVALLEE

1. **Introduction.** A collection $\{(X_a, \mathcal{T}_a) : a \in A\}$ is a *mosaic of topological spaces on a set X* if and only if each (X_a, \mathcal{T}_a) is a topological space; $X = \cup\{X_a : a \in A\}$; and the following compatibility condition is satisfied: for all $a, b \in A$ and all subsets M of X_a , if M is \mathcal{T}_a -closed then $M \cap X_b$ is \mathcal{T}_b -closed. For a mosaic of topological spaces on X , the *mosaic topology* \mathcal{T} is defined as follows: for all $M \subseteq X$, M is \mathcal{T} -closed if and only if $M \cap X_a$ is \mathcal{T}_a -closed for all $a \in A$. Clearly, each (X_a, \mathcal{T}_a) is then a closed subspace of (X, \mathcal{T}) . If each (X_a, \mathcal{T}_a) is a compact metric space, a Peano space, or an arc then (X, \mathcal{T}) is called a *mosaic space*, a *curve space*, or an *arc space*, respectively.

Davison [1] introduced the theory of mosaics of topological spaces, concentrating on the theory of mosaic spaces and establishing some properties of curve spaces and arc spaces. Our purpose is to study mosaics of spaces which are between being compact metric and Peano; namely, compact, connected, metric spaces and compact, locally connected, metric spaces, which we shall call *metric continua* and *quasi-Peano spaces*, respectively. Mosaics of these spaces with the mosaic topology will be called *m-continuum spaces* and *quasi-curve spaces*, respectively.

It might seem quite natural to consider, also, mosaics of compact metric spaces each of which has only finitely many components. Doing so, however, yields nothing more than is obtained by studying mosaics of metric continua, as Theorem 3.3 will show.

In this paper, we give a characterization of *m-continuum spaces* and sufficient conditions for a mosaic spaces to be an *m-continuum space*. The property of *m-strong connectedness* is studied in connection with *m-continuum spaces* and a sufficient condition for an *m-continuum space* to be locally *m-strongly connected* is given. Finally, the equivalence of curve spaces and quasi-curve spaces is established.

For ease of reference we now list results of Davison to be used in this paper.

1.1. *Notation.* If S is a sequence of points, S_r denotes the point set associated with S .

1.2. **THEOREM.** ([2], Lemma 1.5) *Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a mosaic space*

Received January 29, 1963, and in revised form October 20, 1963. This material is based on a portion of a dissertation submitted to the Graduate School of the University of Michigan.

determined by a mosaic $\{(X_a, \mathcal{T}_a) : a \in A\}$ of compact metric spaces. If a sequence S in X \mathcal{T} -converges to $x \in X$, then there exists a subsequence S' of S and an $a \in A$ such that $S'_j \cup \{x\} \subseteq X_a$.

1.3. LEMMA. ([2], p. 527) A mosaic space is a T_1 -space.

1.4. THEOREM. ([2], Corollary 1.9) If (X, \mathcal{T}) is a mosaic space, every \mathcal{T} -compact subset is \mathcal{T} -closed.

1.5. DEFINITION. ([2], p. 526) A topology \mathcal{T} on a set X is full if and only if the following statement holds: for all $M \subseteq X$, if M is not \mathcal{T} -closed then there exists a sequence S and a point $x \in X$ such that $S_j \subseteq M$, $x \notin M$ and S \mathcal{T} -converges to x .

1.6. THEOREM. ([2], Theorems 1.3. and 1.6, Corollary 2.3.) A space (X, \mathcal{T}) is a mosaic space if and only if \mathcal{T} is full and limits of \mathcal{T} -convergent sequences are unique.

1.7. THEOREM. ([2], Theorem 4.1.) Every open or closed subspace of a mosaic space is a mosaic space.

2. A characterization of m -continuum spaces.

2.1. THEOREM. A space (X, \mathcal{T}) is an m -continuum space if and only if the following three conditions hold:

- (i) \mathcal{T} is full;
- (ii) limits of \mathcal{T} -convergent sequences are unique;
- (iii) for every sequence S and every point x in X , if S \mathcal{T} -converges to x then there exists a subsequence S' of S contained in some metric continuum in X .

Proof. If (X, \mathcal{T}) is an m -continuum space, then properties (i), (ii) and (iii) follow from Theorems 1.6 and 1.2.

Conversely, suppose (X, \mathcal{T}) is a space satisfying conditions (i), (ii) and (iii). Let $\{(X_a, \mathcal{T}_a) : a \in A\}$ be the collection of all metric continua in (X, \mathcal{T}) . (X, \mathcal{T}) is a mosaic space by Theorem 1.6. Theorem 1.4 then implies that $\{(X_a, \mathcal{T}_a) : a \in A\}$ is a mosaic of metric continua. Let \mathcal{T}' be the m -continuum topology for this mosaic of metric continua on X . If F is a \mathcal{T} -closed subset of X then $F \cap X_a$ is \mathcal{T} -closed and so \mathcal{T}_a -closed for all $a \in A$. Hence F is \mathcal{T}' -closed and it follows that $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}'$. Conversely, if F is \mathcal{T}' -closed then $F \cap X_a$ is \mathcal{T}_a -closed for all $a \in A$. Now let S be a sequence such that S \mathcal{T} -converges to $x \in X$ and $S_j \subseteq F$. By (iii) there exists a subsequence S' of S and an $a \in A$ such that $S'_j \cup \{x\} \subseteq X_a$. Furthermore, S' \mathcal{T}_a -converges to x .

Since $S'_j \subseteq F \cap X_a$ and $F \cap X_a$ is \mathcal{T}_a -closed, then $x \in F \cap X_a \subseteq F$. Since \mathcal{T} is full and F contains all its sequential limit points then F is \mathcal{T} -closed and it follows that $\mathcal{T}' \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. Thus $\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T}$ and (X, \mathcal{T}) is an m -continuum space.

As the proof of Theorem 2.1 shows, any space (X, \mathcal{T}) which satisfies the three conditions of this theorem is that m -continuum space which is determined by the mosaic of all its metric subcontinua.

2.2. COROLLARY. *Every locally compact, locally connected, mosaic space (X, \mathcal{T}) is an m -continuum space.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.6, conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Let S be any sequence in X which \mathcal{T} -converges to $x \in X$. If U is any \mathcal{T} -open set containing x , the local compactness of (X, \mathcal{T}) implies the existence of a \mathcal{T} -open set V such that $x \in V \subseteq \bar{V} \subseteq U$ and \bar{V} is compact. Let C be the component of x in V . Since (X, \mathcal{T}) is locally connected, C is \mathcal{T} -open. Thus there exists a subsequence S' of S such that $S'_j \cup \{x\} \subseteq C$. Since $C \subseteq \bar{C}$ and \bar{C} can easily be seen to be a metric continuum, condition (iii) of Theorem 2.1 is now satisfied. Hence (X, \mathcal{T}) is an m -continuum space.

3. A sufficient condition for a mosaic space to be an m -continuum space.

3.1. THEOREM. *Every component of an m -continuum space is open.*

Proof. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be an m -continuum space determined by a mosaic $\{(X_a, \mathcal{T}_a) : a \in A\}$ of metric continua and let C be a component of (X, \mathcal{T}) . For each $a \in A$ either $C \cap X_a = \phi$ or $C \cap X_a = X_a$. Hence $C \cap X_a$ is \mathcal{T}_a -open for all $a \in A$ and so C is \mathcal{T} -open.

Of course, an m -continuum space need not be locally connected; in fact, every metric continuum is trivially an m -continuum space.

3.2. LEMMA. *If (X, \mathcal{T}) is a compact space in which components are open, then the number of components in (X, \mathcal{T}) is finite.*

3.3. THEOREM. *Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a mosaic space described by a mosaic $\{(X_a, \mathcal{T}_a) : a \in A\}$ of compact metric spaces. If, for each $a \in A$, the components of (X_a, \mathcal{T}_a) are \mathcal{T}_a -open, then (X, \mathcal{T}) is an m -continuum space. [In fact, (X, \mathcal{T}) is that m -continuum space which is described by the mosaic of all components of all the (X_a, \mathcal{T}_a) , $a \in A$].*

Proof. For each $a \in A$, let $\{(X_{ap}, \mathcal{T}_{ap}) : p \in P_a\}$ be the collection of all components of (X_a, \mathcal{T}_a) . Each $(X_{ap}, \mathcal{T}_{ap})$ is clearly a metric continuum. By the hypothesis and by Lemma 3.2, P_a is finite for all $a \in A$. It is easy to see that $\{(X_{ap}, \mathcal{T}_{ap}) : a \in A, p \in P_a\}$ is a mosaic of metric continua; let \mathcal{T}' be the topology it defines on X . We show that $\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T}$. Suppose F is any \mathcal{T} -closed subset of X . Then $F \cap X_a$ is \mathcal{T}_a -closed for all $a \in A$ and so $F \cap X_{ap} = (F \cap X_a) \cap X_{ap}$ is \mathcal{T}_{ap} -closed for all $a \in A$ and $p \in P_a$. Thus F is \mathcal{T}' -closed and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}'$. Now suppose F is a \mathcal{T}' -closed subset of X . Then $F \cap X_{ap}$ is \mathcal{T}_{ap} -closed for all $a \in A$ and $p \in P_a$. Consequently the finiteness of each indexing set P_a implies that $F \cap X_a = \cup \{F \cap X_{ap} : p \in P_a\}$ is \mathcal{T}_a -closed for each $a \in A$. Thus F is \mathcal{T} -closed and $\mathcal{T}' \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. Since $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}'$, (X, \mathcal{T}) is an m -continuum space.

The converse of Theorem 3.3 is clearly false. For instance, the plane with its usual topology is an m -continuum space by Theorem 2.1, but it can also be described as the mosaic of all its compact metric subspaces and these include spaces in which not all components are open.

The next example shows that Theorem 3.3 need not hold if we require only that the components of the mosaic space (X, \mathcal{T}) be open.

3.4. EXAMPLE. ([6], Example 2, p. 84) Let X be the subset of the plane defined by $X = \{(x, y) : -\infty < x < +\infty, y = 1\} \cup \{(x, y) : -\infty < x < +\infty, y = 0\} \cup \{(x, y) : -\infty < x < +\infty, y = -1\} \cup \{R_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$, where R_n is a rectangle with center at $(0, 0)$ and sides of length $2n$ and $2 - 1/n$ parallel to the x -axis and y -axis, respectively; let \mathcal{T} be the usual metric topology on X . (X, \mathcal{T}) is a connected mosaic space. However, (X, \mathcal{T}) is not an m -continuum space since the sequence S , for which $S_j = \{(0, (2n - 1)/2n) : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$, \mathcal{T} -converges to $(0, 1)$ and there exists no subsequence S' of S such that $S'_j \cup \{(0, 1)\}$ is contained in a metric continuum in (X, \mathcal{T}) .

4. The equivalence of m -constituents and components in an m -continuum space.

4.1. DEFINITION. We shall say that the points x and y of a space (X, \mathcal{T}) are m -strongly connected in (X, \mathcal{T}) if and only if there exists a metric continuum in (X, \mathcal{T}) which contains x and y . (X, \mathcal{T}) will be said to be m -strongly connected if and only if every two points of (X, \mathcal{T}) are m -strongly connected.

Being m -strongly connected is an equivalence relation and the equivalence sets into which the elements of the space are divided will be called m -constituents; m -constituents need be neither open nor closed.

4.2. THEOREM. *Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a mosaic space determined by a mosaic $\{(X_a, \mathcal{T}_a) : a \in A\}$ of compact metric spaces. If, for each $a \in A$, the m -constituents of (X_a, \mathcal{T}_a) are \mathcal{T}_a -open then (X, \mathcal{T}) is an m -continuum space.*

Proof. For each $a \in A$, the components of (X_a, \mathcal{T}_a) are \mathcal{T}_a -open since they are unions of \mathcal{T}_a -open m -constituents. The result now follows from Theorem 3.3.

Since the converse of Theorem 3.3 fails, so does the converse of Theorem 4.2. Also, one can easily show that Theorem 4.2 fails if we require only that the m -constituents of (X, \mathcal{T}) be open; (see, for example, [4], figure 3-17, p. 124).

4.3. THEOREM. *The components of an m -continuum space are m -strongly connected.*

Proof. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be an m -continuum space determined by a mosaic $\{(X_a, \mathcal{T}_a) : a \in A\}$ of metric continua, and let C be a component of (X, \mathcal{T}) . From the given mosaic define a relation R on C as follows: for all $x, y \in C$, xRy if and only if there exists a finite number of metric continua $X_{a_1}, X_{a_2}, \dots, X_{a_n}$ in C such that $x \in X_{a_1}, y \in X_{a_n}$ and, for all $m = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1, X_{a_m} \cap X_{a_{m+1}} \neq \phi$. R is an equivalence relation which partitions C into disjoint subsets. Suppose there is more than one equivalence class, so that there is a set $C_y = \{x : x \in C \text{ and } xRy\}$ such that $C_y \neq C$. Then $C_y \cap X_a = \phi$ or $C_y \cap X_a = X_a$ for all $a \in A$, and so C_y is both \mathcal{T} -open and \mathcal{T} -closed, contradicting the connectedness of C . Thus there is only one equivalence class and for all $x, y \in C$ there exists a finite chain of metric continua X_{a_1}, \dots, X_{a_n} in C such that $x \in X_{a_1}, y \in X_{a_n}$ and, for all $m = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1, X_{a_m} \cap X_{a_{m+1}} \neq \phi$. Now $Y = \cup \{X_{a_m} : m = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is clearly a compact, connected subset of C which contains x and y . By Theorem 1.4, Y is \mathcal{T} -closed. Thus the subspace $(Y, r - \mathcal{T})$, where $r - \mathcal{T}$ is the topology \mathcal{T} relativized to y , is a mosaic space by Theorem 1.7 and it is a T_1 -space by Lemma 1.3. That $(Y, r - \mathcal{T})$ is a metric space now follows from Theorem 1.4 and from Theorem 2 in [5] that a T_1 -space which is the union of a (locally) finite system of closed, metrizable subspaces is metrizable. Hence $Y = \cup \{X_{a_m} : m = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a metric continuum in C which contains x and y , and so C is m -strongly connected.

4.4. COROLLARY. *In an m -continuum space, m -constituents and components are identical.*

We remark at this point that, as the proof shows, "component" could be replaced by " m -constituent" in Theorem 3.1. However, this

apparent sharpening of the result says no more in view of Corollary 4.4.

4.5. COROLLARY. *In an m -continuum space, m -constituents are both open and closed.*

5. A sufficient condition for an m -continuum space to be locally m -strongly connected.

5.1. DEFINITION. A space (X, \mathcal{F}) is *locally m -strongly connected* at a point $x \in X$ if and only if every open set containing x contains an open, m -strongly connected set containing x . (X, \mathcal{F}) is locally m -strongly connected if and only if it is locally m -strongly connected at each of its points.

It is easy to give an example of a plane continuum X which has an open subspace Y such that both Y and \bar{Y} violate Theorem 2.1.

5.2. THEOREM. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be an m -continuum space. If every open subspace of (X, \mathcal{F}) is an m -continuum space, then (X, \mathcal{F}) is locally m -strongly connected.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and let M be any open subset of (X, \mathcal{F}) which contains x . By hypothesis, $(M, r - \mathcal{F})$ is an m -continuum space. Hence the component C of M which contains x is both $(r - \mathcal{F})$ -open and $(r - \mathcal{F})$ - m -strongly connected by Theorems 3.1 and 4.3. Since M is \mathcal{F} -open, C is \mathcal{F} -open. Moreover, C is \mathcal{F} - m -strongly connected. Hence (X, \mathcal{F}) is locally m -strongly connected.

6. The equivalence of quasi-curve spaces and curve spaces. The main result to be obtained in this section is that quasi-curve spaces and curve spaces are equivalent. This will, of course, allow us to place less stringent conditions on the mosaic of spaces which determines a curve space. However, since Peano spaces have "nicer" properties than quasi-Peano spaces, it is more convenient to continue to work with curve spaces than with quasi-curve spaces.

6.1. THEOREM. *The space (X, \mathcal{F}) is a quasi-curve space if and only if it is a curve space.*

Proof. Every curve space is clearly a quasi-curve space. Conversely, suppose (X, \mathcal{F}) is a quasi-curve space and that $\{(X_a, \mathcal{F}_a) : a \in A\}$ is a mosaic of quasi-Peano spaces determining (X, \mathcal{F}) . For each $a \in A$ let $\{(X_{ap}, \mathcal{F}_{ap}) : p \in P_a\}$ be the collection of all components of (X_a, \mathcal{F}_a) . For all $a \in A$ and $p \in P_a$, $(X_{ap}, \mathcal{F}_{ap})$ is a metric continuum. Since each (X_a, \mathcal{F}_a) is locally connected, the components of (X_a, \mathcal{F}_a)

are \mathcal{S}_a -open and so, by Theorem 3.3, (X, \mathcal{S}) is determined by the mosaic $\{(X_{ap}, \mathcal{S}_{ap}) : a \in A, p \in P_a\}$ of metric continua. Since each $(X_{ap}, \mathcal{S}_{ap})$, $p \in P_a$ is \mathcal{S}_a -open and since (X_a, \mathcal{S}_a) is locally connected for each $a \in A$, then each $(X_{ap}, \mathcal{S}_{ap})$ is locally connected and is therefore a Peano space. Consequently (X, \mathcal{S}) is a curve space.

6.2. COROLLARY. *Every quasi-curve space is an m -continuum space.*

Not every m -continuum space is a quasi-curve space since quasi-curve spaces are locally arcwise connected ([1], Theorem 4.4) whereas m -continuum spaces need not be even locally connected.

It would be of interest to know whether or not a locally (m -strongly) connected m -continuum space is a quasi-curve space and whether or not the converse of Theorem 5.2 holds. These questions seem to be analogous to the as yet unanswered question posed to the author by Davison concerning whether or not a locally arcwise connected mosaic space is a quasi-curve space.

The author wishes to thank the referee for many helpful suggestions.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. W. F. Davison, *Mosaics*, thesis submitted to the University of Virginia, (1956).
2. ———, *Mosaics of compact metric spaces*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., **91** (1959), 525-546.
3. D. W. Hall and C. L. Spencer, *Elementary Topology*, Wiley, 1955.
4. J. G. Hocking and G. S. Young, *Topology*, Addison-Wesley, 1961.
5. J. Nagata, *On a necessary and sufficient condition of metrizability*, J. Inst. Polytech. Osaka City Univ., **1** (1950), 93-100.
6. M. H. A. Newman, *Elements of the Topology of Plane Sets of Points*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1951.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN
AND
UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

ROBERT OSSERMAN
Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI
University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

M. G. ARSOVE
University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

LOWELL J. PAIGE
University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and on submission, must be accompanied by a separate author's résumé. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$3.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Homer Franklin Bechtell, Jr., <i>Pseudo-Frattini subgroups</i>	1129
Thomas Kelman Boehme and Andrew Michael Bruckner, <i>Functions with convex means</i>	1137
Lutz Bungart, <i>Boundary kernel functions for domains on complex manifolds</i>	1151
L. Carlitz, <i>Rings of arithmetic functions</i>	1165
D. S. Carter, <i>Uniqueness of a class of steady plane gravity flows</i>	1173
Richard Albert Dean and Robert Harvey Oehmke, <i>Idempotent semigroups with distributive right congruence lattices</i>	1187
Lester Eli Dubins and David Amiel Freedman, <i>Measurable sets of measures</i>	1211
Robert Pertsch Gilbert, <i>On class of elliptic partial differential equations in four variables</i>	1223
Harry Gonshor, <i>On abstract affine near-rings</i>	1237
Edward Everett Grace, <i>Cut points in totally non-semi-locally-connected continua</i>	1241
Edward Everett Grace, <i>On local properties and G_δ sets</i>	1245
Keith A. Hardie, <i>A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula</i>	1249
Lowell A. Hinrichs, <i>Open ideals in $C(X)$</i>	1255
John Rolfe Isbell, <i>Natural sums and abelianizing</i>	1265
G. W. Kimble, <i>A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems</i>	1283
Nand Kishore, <i>A representation of the Bernoulli number B_n</i>	1297
Melven Robert Krom, <i>A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order predicate calculus</i>	1305
Peter A. Lappan, <i>Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions</i>	1321
Lorraine Doris Lavallee, <i>Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces</i>	1327
Mark Mahowald, <i>On the normal bundle of a manifold</i>	1335
J. D. McKnight, <i>Kleene quotient theorems</i>	1343
Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, <i>On high subgroups</i>	1353
Philip Miles, <i>Derivations on B^* algebras</i>	1359
J. Marshall Osborn, <i>A generalization of power-associativity</i>	1367
Theodore G. Ostrom, <i>Nets with critical deficiency</i>	1381
Elvira Rapaport Strasser, <i>On the defining relations of a free product</i>	1389
K. Rogers, <i>A note on orthogonal Latin squares</i>	1395
P. P. Saworotnow, <i>On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra</i>	1399
Johan Schoneheim, <i>On coverings</i>	1405
Victor Lenard Shapiro, <i>Bounded generalized analytic functions on the torus</i>	1413
James D. Stafney, <i>Arens multiplication and convolution</i>	1423
Daniel Sterling, <i>Coverings of algebraic groups and Lie algebras of classical type</i>	1449
Alfred B. Willcox, <i>Šilov type C algebras over a connected locally compact abelian group. II</i>	1463
Bertram Yood, <i>Faithful $*$-representations of normed algebras. II</i>	1475
Alexander Zabrodsky, <i>Covering spaces of paracompact spaces</i>	1489