

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**SOME INEQUALITIES FOR SYMMETRIC MEANS**

PETER SOUTHCOTT BULLEN

## SOME INEQUALITIES FOR SYMMETRIC MEANS

P. S. BULLEN

**This paper was received before the synoptic introduction became a requirement.**

1. In two recent papers, [3, 4], Everitt has generalised certain known inequalities, by replacing the known monotonicity of certain set (or sequence) functions by super-additivity; the sequence functions are zero if all the terms of the sequence are equal.

Included in the inequalities generalised is one due to Rado, [5, p. 61]. Bullen and Marcus, [1], recently proved a multiplicative analogue of this inequality and a generalisation to symmetric means. It is one of the intentions of this note to show that the corresponding sequence function, which is 1 when all the terms of the sequence are equal is logarithmically super-additive, (Corollary 5, below). Further properties of these sequence functions are then investigated.

2.  $(a) = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$  will denote an  $m$ -tuple of positive numbers.  $E_r(a)$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq m$ , is the  $r$ th elementary symmetric function of  $(a)$ ,

$$(1) \quad E_r = E_r(a) = \sum \prod_{j=1}^r a_{i_j}, \quad E_0 = 1,$$

the sum being over all  $r$ -tuples,  $i_1, \dots, i_r$ , such that  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq m$ .  $P_r(a)$  is the mean of  $E_r(a)$ ,

$$(2) \quad P_r = P_r(a) = \binom{m}{r}^{-1} E_r.$$

If  $m = n + q$ ,  $(\bar{a}) = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $(\tilde{a}) = (a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n+q})$  and correspondingly  $\bar{E}_r = E_r(\bar{a})$ ,  $\tilde{E}_r = E_r(\tilde{a})$ , etc., if  $r$  has suitable values. When  $r = 1$  the symmetric means are arithmetic means and will be written  $P_1 = A_{n+q}$ ,  $\bar{P}_1 = \bar{A}_n$ ,  $\tilde{P}_1 = \tilde{A}_q$ . Similarly,  $P_{n+q}$ ,  $\bar{P}_n$ ,  $\tilde{P}_q$  are powers of geometric means and will be written  $G_{n+q}^{n+q}$ ,  $\bar{G}_n^n$  and  $\tilde{G}_q^q$  respectively.

3. It is known, [5, p. 52] that

$$(3) \quad s < t \text{ implies } P_s^t \geq P_t^s, \text{ with equality if and only if } a_1 = \dots = a_m.$$

It is easily seen from (1) that

$$(i) \quad \text{if } s \leq \min(n, q) \text{ then } E_s = \sum_{t=0}^s \bar{E}_{s-t} \tilde{E}_t,$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{if } s > \max(n, q) \text{ then } E_s = \sum_{t=0}^{n+q-s} \bar{E}_{n-t} \tilde{E}_{s-n+t},$$

---

Received June 3, 1963. This research was supported by the U. S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

(iii) if  $q < s \leq n$  then  $E_s = \sum_{t=0}^q \bar{E}_{s-t} \tilde{E}_t$ .

Using these identities and (2) we have

LEMMA 1. (i) If  $1 \leq s \leq n + q$

$$(4) \quad P_s = \sum_{t=u}^v \lambda_t^{(s)} \bar{P}_{s-t} \tilde{P}_t,$$

where  $u = \max(s - n, 0)$ ,  $v = \min(s, q)$ ,  $\lambda_0^{(s)} = \lambda_s^{(s)} = 1$  and  $t \neq 0, s$ ,

$$\lambda_t^{(s)} = \left[ \binom{n}{s-u-t} \binom{q}{u+t} \right] / \binom{n+q}{s}.$$

(ii) In particular if  $a_{n+1} = \dots = a_{n+q} = \beta$  then

$$(5) \quad P_s = \sum_{t=u}^v \lambda_t^{(s)} \bar{P}_{s-t} \beta^t,$$

and if in addition  $a_1 = \dots = a_n = \alpha$ ,

$$(6) \quad P_s = \sum_{t=u}^v \lambda_t^{(s)} \alpha^{s-t} \beta^t.$$

When  $q = 1$  this reduces to formulae (2) and (4) of [1].

4. We are now in a position to state and prove

THEOREM 2. Let  $1 \leq r \leq k \leq n + q$  and  $u = \max(r - n, 0)$ ,  $v = \min(r, q)$ ,  $w = \max(k - n, 0)$ ,  $x = \min(k, q)$ . Then

(i) if  $v \leq w$  and  $r - u \leq k - x$

$$(7) \quad \frac{P_r^{k/r}}{P_r} \geq \frac{\bar{P}_{r-u}^{(k-x)/(r-u)}}{\bar{P}_{k-x}} \frac{\tilde{P}_v^{w/v}}{\tilde{P}_w},$$

(ii) if  $v \leq w$

$$(8) \quad \frac{P_r^{k/r}}{P_k} \geq \frac{\tilde{P}_v^{w/v}}{\tilde{P}_w},$$

with equality in each case if and only if either  $r = k$  or  $a_1 = \dots = a_{n+q}$ .

Before proceeding with the proof it should be noted that the condition  $v \leq w$  becomes  $r - u \leq k - x$  if  $n$  and  $q$  are interchanged. So if  $r - u \leq k - x$  inequality (8) holds, with the role of  $n$  and  $q$  interchanged; or equivalently  $P_r^{k/r}/P_k \geq \bar{P}_{r-u}^{(k-x)/(r-u)}/\bar{P}_{k-x}$ . If neither  $v \leq w$  nor  $r - u \leq k - x$  then, from (3), nothing is true. The condition  $v \leq w$  is equivalent to  $\min(r, q) \leq \max(k - r, 0)$  and for this either  $r < q$  and  $k \geq n + r$  or  $r \geq q$  and  $k = n + q$ ; that is  $k \geq n + v$ . For both  $v \leq w$  and  $r - n \leq k - x$  either  $r < \min(n, q)$  and  $k \geq r + \max(n, q)$  or  $r \geq \min(n, q)$  and  $k = n + q$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* If  $r = k$  the results are trivial so assume  $r < k$ . Rewrite (7) as

$$L = \frac{P_k^r}{\bar{P}_{k-x}^r \tilde{P}_w^r} \leq \frac{P_r^k}{\bar{P}_{r-u}^{[(k-x)/(r-u)]r} \tilde{P}_v^{tr/v}} = R .$$

By (4) with  $s = r$

$$(9) \quad P_r^k = \left( \sum_{t=u}^v \lambda_t^{(r)} \bar{P}_{r-t} \tilde{P}_t \right)^k .$$

Using (3) on each term of this sum

$$P_r^k \geq \left( \sum_{t=u}^v \lambda_t^{(r)} \bar{P}_{r-u}^{(r-t)/(r-u)} \tilde{P}_v^{t/v} \right)^k .$$

By (6) the right hand side of this inequality is the  $k$ th power of the  $r$ th symmetric mean of  $b_1, \dots, b_{n+q}$  where  $b_1 = \dots = b_n = P_{r-u}^{1/(r-u)}$  and  $b_{n+1} = \dots = b_{n+q} = P_v^{1/v}$ . Using (3), (6) and  $r < k$  this gives

$$\begin{aligned} P_r^k &\geq \left( \sum_{t=w}^x \lambda_t^{(k)} \bar{P}_{r-u}^{(k-t)/(r-u)} \tilde{P}_v^{t/v} \right)^r \\ &= \bar{P}_{r-u}^{[(k-x)/(r-u)]r} \tilde{P}_v^{wr/v} \left( \sum_{t=w}^x \lambda_t^{(k)} \bar{P}_{r-u}^{(x-t)/(r-u)} \tilde{P}_v^{(t-w)/v} \right)^r . \end{aligned}$$

On rewriting we get,

$$R \geq \left( \sum_{t=w}^x \lambda_t^{(k)} \bar{P}_{r-u}^{(x-t)/(r-u)} \tilde{P}_v^{(t-w)/v} \right)^r = S , \quad \text{say .}$$

Similarly by (4)

$$(10) \quad P_k^r = \left( \sum_{t=w}^x \lambda_t^{(k)} \bar{P}_{k-t} \tilde{P}_t \right)^r .$$

Using (3) on each term of this sum gives

$$\begin{aligned} P_k^r &\leq \left( \sum_{t=w}^x \lambda_t^{(k)} \bar{P}_{k-x}^{(k-t)/(k-x)} \tilde{P}_w^{t/w} \right)^r \\ &= \bar{P}_{k-x}^r P_w^r \left( \sum_{t=w}^x \lambda_t^{(k)} \bar{P}_{k-x}^{(x-t)/(r-x)} \tilde{P}_w^{(t-w)/w} \right)^r . \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting we have that

$$L \leq \left( \sum_{t=w}^x \lambda_t^{(k)} \bar{P}_{k-x}^{(x-t)/(k-x)} \tilde{P}_w^{(t-w)/w} \right)^r = T , \quad \text{say .}$$

By the condition in (i) and (3),  $T \leq S$ , which proves (7). Some terms in the above proof become undefined in certain limiting cases. If they are defined to be 1 the proof is then correct. Finally, since  $r < k$ , the inequality is clearly strict when (3) is. This completes the proof of (i).

To prove (ii) the procedure is similar except that when (3) is applied to the right hand sides of (9) and (10) it is applied to the

second part of each term only, that is to  $\tilde{P}_t$ . The analysis is then the same with (5) being used instead of (6).

COROLLARY 3.

$$(11) \quad \left(\frac{A_{n+q}}{G_{n+q}}\right)^{n+q} \geq \left(\frac{\bar{A}_n}{\bar{G}_n}\right)^n \left(\frac{\tilde{A}_q}{\tilde{G}_q}\right)^q$$

with equality if and only if  $a_1 = \dots = a_{n+q}$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 2(i) with  $r = 1$ ,  $k = n + q$ .

COROLLARY 4. If  $1 \leq r \leq s \leq n$  then

$$(12) \quad \frac{P_r^{s+1}}{P_{s+1}^r} \geq \frac{\bar{P}_r^s}{\bar{P}_s^r},$$

and in particular

$$(13) \quad \left(\frac{A_{n+1}}{G_{n+1}}\right)^{n+1} \geq \left(\frac{\bar{A}_n}{\bar{G}_n}\right)^n,$$

with equality if and only if  $a_1 = \dots = a_{n+1}$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 2(ii) with  $k = s + 1$ ,  $n = 1$ . These results are those in [1].

Finally if  $r\{a\} = r(a) = (A_m/G_m)^m$  then we have

COROLLARY 5.  $\log r\{\bar{a}\} \cup \{\tilde{a}\} \geq \log r(\bar{a}) + \log r(\tilde{a})$ .

5. The above inequalities (11), (13) and that due to Rado, [5, p. 61] can be further generalised by the use of weighted means. Let  $(w) = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be an  $m$ -tuple of nonnegative numbers, not all zero. Define

$$W_r = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i, \quad W_r > 0,$$

$$A_r = A_r^{(w)} = \frac{1}{W_r} \sum_{i=1}^r w_i a_i, \quad G_r = G_r^{(w)} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^r a_i^{w_i}\right)^{1/W_r}.$$

It is known that

$$(14) \quad G_r \leq A_r, \quad \text{with equality only when } a_1 = \dots = a_n.$$

A generalisation of Rado's inequality and (13) is given by

THEOREM 6.

$$(15) \quad W_n(A_n - G_r) \leq W_{n+1}(A_{n+1} - G_{n+1}),$$

$$(16) \quad \left(\frac{A_n}{G_n}\right)^{w_n} \leq \left(\frac{A_{n+1}}{G_{n+1}}\right)^{w_{n+1}},$$

with equality if and only if  $a_1 = \dots = a_{n+1}$ .

*Proof.* The proofs are exactly those of the special cases. As direct proofs were not given in [1] they will be given here. In particular the proof of (15) is simpler than that suggested in [5].

(15) is equivalent to  $G_{n+1} \leq (W_n/W_{n+1})G_n + (w_{n+1}/W_{n+1})a_{n+1} = U$ , say.

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{n+1} &= G_n^{(W_n/W_{n+1})} a_{n+1}^{(w_{n+1})/(W_{n+1})} \\ &\leq U \end{aligned}$$

by an application of (14).

Similarly (16) is equivalent to

$$A_{n+1} \geq A_n^{(W_n/W_{n+1})} a_{n+1}^{(w_{n+1})/(W_{n+1})} = V, \quad \text{say}$$

but

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{n+1} &= \frac{W_n}{W_{n+1}} A_n + \frac{w_{n+1}}{W_{n+1}} a_{n+1} \\ &\geq V, \end{aligned}$$

by an application of (14).

In a similar way we can prove

**THEOREM 7.**

$$\begin{aligned} W_{n+p}(A_{n+q} - G_{n+q}) &\geq \bar{W}_n(\bar{A}_n - \bar{G}_n) + \tilde{W}_q(\tilde{A}_q - \tilde{G}_q), \\ \left(\frac{A_{n+q}}{G_{n+q}}\right)^{w_{n+q}} &\geq \left(\frac{\bar{A}_n}{\bar{G}_n}\right)^{\bar{w}_n} \left(\frac{\tilde{A}_q}{\tilde{G}_q}\right)^{\tilde{w}_q}, \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if  $a_1 = \dots = a_{n+q}$ .

Generalisations along the same lines are possible for the inequalities (7), (8) and (12). Suppose  $(wa) = (w_1 a_1, \dots, w_m a_m)$ ; then define

$$F_r(a) = \frac{E_r(wa)}{E_r(w)},$$

a generalisation of  $P_r(a)$ , to which it reduces if  $w_1 = \dots = w_m \neq 0$ . The two  $m$ -tuples  $(a)$ ,  $(w)$  will be said to be similarly ordered if for all  $i, j$ ,  $a_i \leq a_j$  ( $a_i \geq a_j$ ) implies  $w_i \leq w_j$  ( $w_i \geq w_j$ ).

**THEOREM 8.** *If  $(a)$  and  $(w)$  are similarly ordered then*

- (i)  $s < t$  implies  $F_s^t > F_t^s$ , with equality if and only if  $a_1 = \dots = a_m$ .
- (ii) inequalities (7), (8) and (12) hold, subject to the relevant conditions, with  $P$  replaced by  $F$ .

*Proof.* The proof of (i) is exactly that of (3), [5, p. 53]. Then the inequalities follow as before.

The requirement that  $(a)$  and  $(w)$  be similarly ordered is essential as the following example shows. If  $(a) = (1, 1, 2)$  and  $(w) = (2, 1, 1)$  then  $F_1 < F_2^{1/2}$  but  $F_2^{1/2} > F_3^{1/3}$ . The extreme case  $s = 1, t = m$  of (i) is a weaker form of (14) since  $F_m^{1/m}$  is the unweighted geometric mean whereas  $F_1$  is the weighted arithmetic mean with the larger numbers having the larger weights.

6. In recent papers Diananda, [2] and Kober [6], have investigated further properties of  $A_n - G_n$ . We will now prove multiplicative analogues of their results. Let  $(w) = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ ,  $w_i > 0$ ,  $W_n = 1$  and define

$$R_n = R_n^{(w)} = \frac{A_n^{(w)}}{G_n^{(w)}} = \frac{A_n}{G_n}$$

$$L_n = \prod_{i,j=1}^n \left( \frac{a_i^{1/2} a_j^{-1/2} + a_i^{-1/2} a_j^{1/2}}{2} \right),$$

$$A_n = \prod_{i,j=1}^n \left( \frac{a_i^{1/2} a_j^{-1/2} + a_i^{-1/2} a_j^{1/2}}{2} \right)^{w_i w_j},$$

$$w = \min(w_1, \dots, w_n), \quad W = \max(w_1, \dots, w_n).$$

THEOREM 9.

$$(19) \quad L_n^{w/(n-1)} \leq R_n \leq E_n^W,$$

$$(20) \quad A_n^{1/(1-w)} \leq R_n \leq A_n^{1/w},$$

with equality if and only if  $a_1 = \dots = a_n$ .

*Proof.* The proofs of (19) and (20) are similar so only that of (20) will be given. Writing  $\alpha = 1/(1-w)$  the left hand inequality in (20) can be rewritten as

$$(21) \quad G_n^{1-\alpha} H_n^\alpha \leq A_n,$$

where

$$H_n = \prod_{i,j=1}^n \left( \frac{a_i + a_j}{2} \right)^{w_i w_j}.$$

The left hand side of (21) is equal to

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( \frac{a_i + a_j}{2} \right)^{2\alpha w_i w_j} \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\{\alpha w_i^2 + (1-\alpha)w_i\}}.$$

Since  $\alpha w_i^2 + (1-\alpha)w_i \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} 2\alpha w_i w_j + \sum_{i=1}^n \{\alpha w_i^2 + (1-\alpha)w_i\} =$

1, an application of (14) gives (21).

The proof of the right hand inequality in (20) is slightly longer. The proof is by induction on  $n$  and the result is trivial when  $n = 1$ . By rewriting, the inequality is equivalent to

$$(22) \quad \beta_n(a) = \frac{A_n^w G_n^{1-w}}{H_n} \leq 1.$$

Using (17) and (18) it is easy to show that

$$\beta_n(a) = \frac{\{(1-w_n)A_{n-1} + w_n a_n\}^w G_{n-1}^{(1-w_n)(1-w)} a_n^{w_n(1-w_n-w)}}{H_{n-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{a_i + a_n}{2} \right)^{2w_i w_n}}.$$

In particular therefore, if  $a_1 = \dots = a_{n-1} = \alpha$ ,

$$(23) \quad \beta_n(a) = \frac{\{(1-w_n)\alpha + w_n a_n\}^w \alpha^{(1-w_n)(w_n-w)} a_n^{w_n(1-w_n-w)}}{\left( \frac{a_n + \alpha}{2} \right)^{2w_n(1-w_n)}}.$$

Further if  $v = \min(w_1, \dots, w_{n-1})$  then  $v \geq w$  and

$$\beta_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = \frac{A_{n-1}^v G_{n-1}^{1-v}}{H_{n-1}^{1/(1-w_n)^2}}.$$

Now, since  $1-w_n-w \geq 0$  and  $w + (1-w_n)(1-w) + w_n(1-w_n-w) = 2w_n(1-w_n)$ , an application of (14) to (23) demonstrates (22) in this special case.

If we now assume  $\beta_{n-1} \leq 1$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n &\leq \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{n-1}^{(1-w_n)^2}} = \frac{\{(1-w_n)A_{n-1} + w_n a_n\}^w G_{n-1}^{(1-w_n)(1-w)-(1-w_n)^2(1-v)} a_n^{w_n(1-w_n-w)}}{A_{n-1}^{v(1-w_n)^2} \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{a_i + a_n}{2} \right)^{2w_i w_n}} \\ &\leq \frac{\{(1-w_n)A_{n-1} + w_n a_n\}^w A_{n-1}^{(1-w_n)(w_n-w)} a_n^{w_n(1-w_n-w)}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{a_i + a_n}{2} \right)^{2w_i w_n}} \end{aligned}$$

using (14). Without any loss of generality we can assume that  $a_n = \max(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , when in particular  $a_n \geq A_{n-1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n(a) &\leq \frac{\{(1-w_n)A_{n-1} + w_n a_n\}^w A_{n-1}^{(1-w_n)(w_n-w)} a_n^{w_n(1-w_n-w)}}{\left( \frac{a_n + A_{n-1}}{2} \right)^{2w_n(1-w_n)}} \\ &\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

by the particular case (23) with  $\alpha = A_{n-1}$ .

The cases of equality are immediate.

It might be remarked that if  $W'$  is second largest and  $w'$  the



second smallest of  $(w)$  then

$$1 \leq L_n^{ww'} \leq A_n \leq L_n^{ww'}$$

It is possible to generalise Hölder's inequality using Theorem 9.

**THEOREM 10.** *Let  $a_{ij} \geq 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n$ ) and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} = s_j > 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n).$$

*Then*

$$D \prod_{j=1}^n s_j^{w_j} \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{w_j} \geq d \prod_{j=1}^n s_j^{w_j}$$

*where*

$$D = \min(1, L, A)$$

$$d = \max(l, \lambda)$$

*and*

$$L = \max_i L_n^{-(w/n-1)}(a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}) = \max_i L_n^{-(w/n-1)}$$

$$= \max_i A_n^{-(1/1-w)}(a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}) = \max_i A_n^{-(1/1-w)}$$

$$l = \min_i L_{n,i}^{-w},$$

$$\lambda = \min_i A_{n,i}^{-1/w}.$$

*Proof.* A simple modification of the usual proof [5, p. 23] using Theorem 9 instead of (14).

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Bullen and M. Marcus, *Symmetric means and matrix inequalities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1961), 286-290.
2. P. H. Diananda, *On some inequalities of H. Kober*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **59** (1963), 341-346.
3. W. N. Everitt, *On the Hölder inequality*, J. London Math. Soc. **36** (1961), 145-148.
4. ———, *On an inequality for the generalised arithmetic and geometric means*, Amer. Math. Monthly **70** (1963), 251-253.
5. Hardy, Littlewood and Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge, 1934.
6. H. Kober, *On the arithmetic and geometric means and on Hölder's inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 452-459.

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University  
Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL

University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

\*RICHARD ARENS

University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION  
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES  
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 15, No. 1

September, 1965

Donald Charles Benson, <i>Unimodular solutions of infinite systems of linear equations</i> .....	1
Richard Earl Block, <i>Transitive groups of collineations on certain designs</i> .....	13
Barry William Boehm, <i>Existence of best rational Tchebycheff approximations</i> .....	19
Joseph Patrick Brannen, <i>A note on Hausdorff's summation methods</i> .....	29
Dennison Robert Brown, <i>Topological semilattices on the two-cell</i> .....	35
Peter Southcott Bullen, <i>Some inequalities for symmetric means</i> .....	47
David Geoffrey Cantor, <i>On arithmetic properties of coefficients of rational functions</i> .....	55
Luther Elic Claborn, <i>Dedekind domains and rings of quotients</i> .....	59
Allan Clark, <i>Homotopy commutativity and the Moore spectral sequence</i> .....	65
Allen Devinatz, <i>The asymptotic nature of the solutions of certain linear systems of differential equations</i> .....	75
Robert E. Edwards, <i>Approximation by convolutions</i> .....	85
Theodore William Gamelin, <i>Decomposition theorems for Fredholm operators</i> .....	97
Edmond E. Granirer, <i>On the invariant mean on topological semigroups and on topological groups</i> .....	107
Noel Justin Hicks, <i>Closed vector fields</i> .....	141
Charles Ray Hobby and Ronald Pyke, <i>Doubly stochastic operators obtained from positive operators</i> .....	153
Robert Franklin Jolly, <i>Concerning periodic subadditive functions</i> .....	159
Tosio Kato, <i>Wave operators and unitary equivalence</i> .....	171
Paul Katz and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>Infinite sums in algebraic structures</i> .....	181
Herbert Frederick Kreimer, Jr., <i>On an extension of the Picard-Vessiot theory</i> .....	191
Radha Govinda Laha and Eugene Lukacs, <i>On a linear form whose distribution is identical with that of a monomial</i> .....	207
Donald A. Ludwig, <i>Singularities of superpositions of distributions</i> .....	215
Albert W. Marshall and Ingram Olkin, <i>Norms and inequalities for condition numbers</i> .....	241
Horace Yomishi Mochizuki, <i>Finitistic global dimension for rings</i> .....	249
Robert Harvey Oehmke and Reuben Sandler, <i>The collineation groups of division ring planes. II. Jordan division rings</i> .....	259
George H. Orland, <i>On non-convex polyhedral surfaces in <math>E^3</math></i> .....	267
Theodore G. Ostrom, <i>Collineation groups of semi-translation planes</i> .....	273
Arthur Argyle Sagle, <i>On anti-commutative algebras and general Lie triple systems</i> .....	281
Laurent Siebenmann, <i>A characterization of free projective planes</i> .....	293
Edward Silverman, <i>Simple areas</i> .....	299
James McLean Sloss, <i>Chebyshev approximation to zero</i> .....	305
Robert S. Strichartz, <i>Isometric isomorphisms of measure algebras</i> .....	315
Richard Joseph Turyn, <i>Character sums and difference sets</i> .....	319
L. E. Ward, <i>Concerning Koch's theorem on the existence of arcs</i> .....	347
Israel Zuckerman, <i>A new measure of a partial differential field extension</i> .....	357