

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SIMPLE AREAS

EDWARD SILVERMAN

SIMPLE AREAS

EDWARD SILVERMAN

Let $\lambda \geq 1$, $E = E^N$ and g be continuous on $E \times E \times E$ with $g(a, \cdot, \cdot)$ convex, $g(a, kb, kc) = k^2g(a, b, c)$ for all real k and $(b^2 + c^2)/\lambda \leq g(a, b, c) \leq \lambda(b^2 + c^2)$ for all $a, b, c \in E$ where $b^2 = \|b\|^2$. If $f(a, d \wedge e) = \min_{b \wedge c = d \wedge e} g(a, b, c)$ then f is a permissible integrand for the two-dimensional parametric variational problem.

Let γ be a simple closed curve in E , B be the closed unit circle in the plane, C be the collection of functions x continuous on B into E for which $x|_{\partial B} \in \gamma$ and $D = \{x \in C \mid x \text{ is a } D\text{-map}\}$. Suppose that D is not empty. It was shown in 'A problem of least area', [7], that the problem of minimizing $I(f)$ over D is equivalent to minimizing $I(g)$ over D where $I(f, x) = \iint f(x, p \wedge q)$, $I(g, x) = \iint g(x, p, q)$, $p = x_u$, $q = x_v$ and both integrals are taken over B . The minimizing solution of $I(g)$ is known to have differentiability properties corresponding to g , and this solution also minimizes $I(f)$.

The function f is simple, that is, for each $a \in E$, each supporting linear functional to $f(a, \cdot)$ is simple. If $N = 3$, then, of course, each parametric integrand is simple. In this paper we show that for each simple parametric integrand F there exists G , satisfying the conditions imposed upon g , such that F is obtained from G as f was obtained from g .

In [7] we showed that the two-dimensional parametric problem in the calculus of variations considered by [1, 2, 4, 5, 6] could be reduced to a nonparametric problem provided the parametric integrand f was properly related to a suitable nonparametric integrand g , $f = Ag$. When this occurred, not only the existence of the minimizing solution x was given by the nonparametric theory [3] but also its smoothness, if g was smooth. Furthermore, we saw that Ag was simple for each g , that is, each supporting linear functional of Ag was simple. We shall show here that whenever f is simple then there exists g such that $f = Ag$.

Let $E = E^N$. If $a \in E$ or $a \in E^*$ let $a^2 = \|a\|^2$. Let $T_1 = E \wedge E$ with norm N_1 , thus $N_1(a \wedge b)$ is the area of the parallelogram spanned by a and b , and let $T_2 = E \times E$. We define N_2 on T_2 by $N_2(a, b) = (a^2 + b^2)/2$. Let T^* be the set of all simple linear functionals over T_1 which have norm one. Hence, if $\zeta \in T^*$, there exist ξ and η in E^* such that $\zeta = \xi \wedge \eta$ with $\xi^2 = \eta^2 = 1$ and $\xi \cdot \eta = 0$. We frequently

Received February 13, 1964. (This research was supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant No. G.P. 634).

write ξa for $\xi(a)$.

If φ is defined on $P \times Q$ then φ_p is defined on Q by $\varphi_p(q) = \varphi(p, q)$ for all $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$.

Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all continuous real-valued functions f on $E \times T_1$ for which there exists $\lambda = \lambda(f) \geq 1$ with $N_1/\lambda \leq f_a \leq \lambda N_1$ and such that f_a is convex and positively homogeneous of degree one for each $a \in E$. Let \mathcal{D}_0 be the set of all continuous real-valued functions g on $E \times T_2$ for which there exists $\lambda \geq 1$ with $N_2/\lambda \leq g_a \leq \lambda N_2$ and such that g_a is convex and homogeneous of degree two for each $a \in E$. For our purposes, \mathcal{D}_0 gives nothing more than $\mathcal{D} = \{h \in \mathcal{D}_0 \mid \text{there exists } g \in \mathcal{D}_0 \text{ such that } h(a, b, c) = \max_{\theta} g(a, b \cos \theta - c \sin \theta, b \sin \theta + c \cos \theta)\}$.

If $g \in \mathcal{D}$ then let $Ag(a, b \wedge c) = \min_{d \wedge e = b \wedge c} g(a, b, c)$ and

$$Ag(a, \alpha) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k Ag(a, b_i \wedge c_i) \mid \sum_{i=1}^k b_i \wedge c_i = \alpha \right\}$$

for all $\alpha \in T_1$. We saw in [7] that $Ag \in \mathcal{A}$ and that Ag is simple. Evidently $Ag(a, b \wedge c) = \min_{r \neq 0} g(a, rb, sb + r^{-1}c)$.

If $g \in \mathcal{D}$ then $2g_a^{1/2}$ is convex and positively homogeneous of degree one. Suppose that $\xi, \eta \in E^*$, and so $(\xi, \eta) \in T_2^*$. We say that (ξ, η) supports $2g_a^{1/2}$ at (b, c) if $\xi b + \eta c = 2[g(a, b, c)]^{1/2}$ and if $\xi d + \eta e \leq 2[g(a, d, e)]^{1/2}$ for all (d, e) . Furthermore, (ξ, η) supports $2g_a^{1/2}$ properly at (b, c) if (ξ, η) supports $2g_a^{1/2}$ at (b, c) and if $\xi b = \eta c, \xi c = \eta b = 0$.

The following lemma appears in [7]

LEMMA 1. *If (ξ, η) supports $2g_a^{1/2}$ properly at (b, c) then $g(a, b, c) = Ag(a, b \wedge c) = [b \wedge c, \xi \wedge \eta]$ where $[d \wedge e, \rho \wedge \sigma] = \rho(d)\sigma(e) - \rho(e)\sigma(d)$.*

Proof. If $r \neq 0$ then $4g(a, rb, sb + r^{-1}c) \geq (r\xi(b) + r^{-1}\eta(c))^2 = (r + r^{-1})^2(\xi b + \eta c)^2/4 \geq (\xi b + \eta c)^2 = 4g(a, b, c)$ and $g(a, b, c) = [b \wedge c, \xi \wedge \eta]$.

Now suppose that $\xi, \eta \in E^*, \xi^2 = \eta^2 = 1$ and $\xi \cdot \eta = 0$. Let $H_{\xi, \eta}(b, c) = [(\xi b + \eta c)^2 + (\xi c - \eta b)^2]/4$. It is easy to see that $H_{\xi, \eta} = H_{\rho, \sigma}$ if $\xi \wedge \eta = \rho \wedge \sigma, \rho^2 = \sigma^2 = 1$ and $\rho \cdot \sigma = 0$. Hence we can define $h_{\xi \wedge \eta} = H_{\xi, \eta}$. It quickly follows that $h_{\zeta}(b \cos \theta - c \sin \theta, b \sin \theta + c \cos \theta) = h_{\zeta}(b, c)$ for all $\zeta \in T^*$ and all real θ . As the sum of squares of linear functionals, h is continuous, convex and homogeneous of degree two. An easy computation shows that $\rho \wedge \sigma = \zeta$ if (ρ, σ) supports $2h_{\zeta}^{1/2}$ at (b, c) where $h_{\zeta}(b, c) \neq 0$.

We define $Ah_{\zeta}(b \wedge c) = \inf_{d \wedge e = b \wedge c} h_{\zeta}(d, e)$.

If ϕ is a real number let $\phi^+ = \max\{\phi, 0\}$.

LEMMA 2. $Ah_{\zeta}(b \wedge c) = [b \wedge c, \zeta]^+$.

Proof. Suppose that $\zeta = \xi \wedge \eta$ where $\xi^2 = \eta^2 = 1$ and $\xi \cdot \eta = 0$. If $[b \wedge c, \xi \wedge \eta] = 1$ then (ξ, η) supports $2h^{1/2} = 2h_\xi^{1/2}$ properly at $(\eta(c)b - \eta(b)c, -\xi(c)b + \xi(b)c)$. If $[b \wedge c, \xi \wedge \eta] = -1$ then $\xi^2(b) + \eta^2(b) = \delta^2$ for some $\delta > 0$. If $\eta(b) = 0$ let $b' = b/\xi(b)$ and $c' = -\xi(c)b + \xi(b)c$; if $\eta(b) \neq 0$ let $b' = b/\delta$ and $c' = -[\xi(b) + \delta^2\eta(c)]b/[\delta\eta(b)] + \delta c$. In both cases $h(b', c') = 0$ and $b' \wedge c' = b \wedge c$. If $[b \wedge c, \xi \wedge \eta] = 0$ let $\varepsilon > 0$. If $\eta(b) \neq 0$ let $b' = \varepsilon b$ and $c' = [-\eta(c)b + \eta(b)c]/[\varepsilon\eta(b)]$. Then $h(b', c') = \varepsilon^2\delta^2/4$. If $\eta(b) = 0$ and $\xi(b) = 0$ let $b' = b/\varepsilon$ and $c' = \varepsilon c$; now $h(b', c') = \varepsilon^2[\xi^2(c) + \eta^2(c)]/4$. If $\eta(b) = 0$ and $\xi(b) \neq 0$ then let $b' = \varepsilon b$ and $c' = -[\xi(c)b]/[\varepsilon\xi(b)] + c/\varepsilon$ to obtain $h(b', c') = \varepsilon^2\xi^2(b)/4$. The lemma follows by positive homogeneity.

LEMMA 3. Let $\lambda \geq 1$, k be continuous on E into $[\lambda^{-1}, \lambda]$, $g \in \mathcal{D}$ and $f(a, b, c) = \max\{g(a, b, c), k(a)h_\zeta(b, c)\}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $Af(a, b \wedge c) = \max\{Ag(a, b \wedge c), k(a)Ah_\zeta(b \wedge c)\}$ for all $a, b, c \in E$.

Proof. That $f \in \mathcal{D}$ is evident as is the fact that $Af \geq \max\{Ag, kAh_\zeta\}$. Choose a, b, c with $b \wedge c \neq 0$. Then there exist d and e with $d \wedge e = b \wedge c$ and $Af(a, d \wedge e) = f(a, d, e)$, and there exist (ρ, σ) which supports $2f_a^{1/2}$ properly at (d, e) , [7]. Assume, at first, that $f(a, d, e) = g(a, d, e) > k(a)h_\zeta(d, e)$. If (ρ, σ) did not support $2g_a^{1/2}$ at (d, e) , then there would exist $(d_n, e_n) \rightarrow (d, e)$ such that $k(a)h_\zeta(d_n, e_n) > g(a, d_n, e_n)$ and this is impossible for large n . Hence (ρ, σ) supports $2g_a^{1/2}$ properly at (d, e) and $Ag(a, d \wedge e) = g(a, d, e) = f(a, d, e) = Af(a, d \wedge e)$. If $f(a, d, e) = k(a)h_\zeta(d, e) > g(a, d, e)$, a similar argument, together with the fact that $\rho \wedge \sigma = k(a)(\xi \wedge \eta)$, gives $k(a)Ah_\zeta(d \wedge e) = Af(a, d \wedge e)$. If $g(a, d, e) = k(a)h_\zeta(d, e)$, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\phi = \max\{(1 + \varepsilon)^2g, k \cdot h_\zeta\}$. Obviously $((1 + \varepsilon)\rho, (1 + \varepsilon)\sigma)$ supports $2\phi_a^{1/2}$ properly at (d, e) and $(1 + \varepsilon)^2g(a, d, e) > k(a)h_\zeta(d, e)$. Hence $Af(a, d \wedge e) \leq A\phi(a, d \wedge e) = (1 + \varepsilon)^2Ag(a, d \wedge e)$ and the lemma follows.

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda = \lambda(f)$. We define k on $E \times [T_1^* - \{0\}]$ by $1/k(a, \zeta) = \sup_{\alpha \neq 0} [a, \zeta]/f(a, \alpha)$. Then k is continuous, range $k \subset [(\lambda \|\zeta\|)^{-1}, \lambda \|\zeta\|^{-1}]$, k_a^{-1} is convex and

$$f(a, \alpha) = \max_{\zeta \in T_1^*} k(a, \zeta)[\alpha, \zeta].$$

If $f(a, \alpha) = \max_{\zeta \in T^*} k(a, \zeta)[\alpha, \zeta]$ then f is simple.

THEOREM. Let k be as above and $f(a, \alpha) = \max_{\zeta \in T^*} k(a, \zeta)[\alpha, \zeta]$. Then $g(a, b, c) = \max_{\zeta \in T^*} k(a, \zeta)h_\zeta(b, c)$ is in \mathcal{D} and $f = Ag$.

Proof. Let $\{\zeta_p\}$ be dense in T^* and λ be as above. Let

$$g_1(a, b, c) = \max\{N_2(b, c)/\lambda, k(a, \zeta_1)h_1(b, c)\}$$

and

$$g_{p+1}(a, b, c) = \max \{g_p(a, b, c), k(a, \zeta_{p+1})h_{p+1}(b, c)\}$$

where $h_p = h_{\zeta_p}$.

By the last lemma,

$$Ag_p(a, b \wedge c) = \max \left\{ \frac{N_1(b \wedge c)}{\lambda}, \max_{1 \leq m \leq p} k(a, \zeta_m)[b \wedge c, \zeta_m] \right\} \leq f(a, b \wedge c)$$

for each p . Hence $\lim Ag_p \leq f$. On the other hand, for fixed a, b, c and arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists r such that $f(a, b \wedge c) < k(a, \zeta_r)[b \wedge c, \zeta_r] + \varepsilon$ and so $f = \lim Ag_p$.

A little arithmetic shows that

$$|h_p^{1/2}(r, s) - h_p^{1/2}(u, v)| \leq \|(r, s) - (u, v)\|.$$

Hence $\{g_p^{1/2}\}$ is equicontinuous and $g_0 = \lim g_p$ is continuous. It is clear that $g_0 = g$ and that $g \in \mathcal{D}$. Furthermore, if K and L are compact subsets of E^N and T_p , respectively, then, by a theorem of Dini, g_p converges uniformly to g on $K \times L$.

It remains to show that $Ag = \lim Ag_p$. Choose $a, b, c \in E$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. There exist (b_p, c_p) with $N_2(b_p, c_p) \leq \lambda Ag(a, b \wedge c)$ such that $Ag_p(a, b_p \wedge c_p) = g_p(a, b_p, c_p)$ and $b_p \wedge c_p = b \wedge c$. By passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we can suppose that there exists (b_0, c_0) such that $(b_p, c_p) \rightarrow (b_0, c_0)$. Let p be so large that $g_p(a, r, s) > g(a, r, s) - \varepsilon$ for $N_2(r, s) \leq \lambda Ag(a, b \wedge c)$ and so large that $\|(b_p, c_p) - (b_0, c_0)\| < \varepsilon$. Then $Ag(a, b \wedge c) = Ag(a, b_0 \wedge c_0) \leq g(a, b_0, c_0) < g_p(a, b_0, c_0) + \varepsilon < [g_p^{1/2}(a, b_p, c_p) + \lambda^{1/2}\varepsilon]^2 + \varepsilon = [Ag_p^{1/2}(a, b_p \wedge c_p) + \lambda^{1/2}\varepsilon]^2 + \varepsilon$. Hence $Ag \leq \lim Ag_p$, and the opposite inequality is evident.

If π is a projection of E onto a plane $P \subset E$, then there exist ξ and η in E^* such that $\xi(\pi e) = \xi(e)$, $\eta(\pi e) = \eta(e)$ and $[b \wedge c, \xi \wedge \eta] \neq 0$ whenever b and c are linearly independent points of P . A computation gives $[b \wedge c, \xi \wedge \eta](\pi e) = [e \wedge c, \xi \wedge \eta]b + [b \wedge e, \xi \wedge \eta]c$ and we can identify π with $\xi \wedge \eta$. Since we can also suppose that $\xi^2 = \eta^2 = 1$, $\xi \cdot \eta = 0$, we can identify the set of projections with the elements of T^* .

THEOREM 2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and suppose that for each $a \in E$ and each $b \wedge c \neq 0$ there exists a projection ζ_0 (in T^*) onto the plane determined by b and c such that $[b \wedge c, \zeta_0] > 0$ and such that $f(a, \zeta_0(d) \wedge \zeta_0(e)) \leq f(a, d \wedge e)$ whenever $[\zeta_0(d) \wedge \zeta_0(e), \zeta_0] > 0$. Then f is simple and $f(a, b \wedge c) = k(a, \zeta_0)[b \wedge c, \zeta_0]$.*

Proof. There exist d and e such that $1/k(a, \zeta_0) = [d \wedge e, \zeta_0]/f(a, d, e)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k(a, \zeta_0)} &= \frac{[\zeta_0(d) \wedge \zeta_0(e), \zeta_0]}{f(a, d \wedge e)} \\ &\leq \frac{[\zeta_0(d) \wedge \zeta_0(e), \zeta_0]}{f(a, \zeta_0(d) \wedge \zeta_0(e))} = \frac{[b \wedge c, \zeta_0]}{f(a, b \wedge c)} \leq \frac{1}{k(a, \zeta_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that the converse of this theorem holds.

REFERENCES

1. Lamberto Cesari, *An existence theorem of calculus of variations for integrals on parametric surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **74** (1952), 265-295.
2. J. M. Danskin, *On the existence of minimizing surfaces in parametric double integral problems of the calculus of variations*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma, **3** (1952), 43-63.
3. C. B. Morrey, Jr., *Multiple integral problems in the calculus of variations and related topics*, University of California, 1943.
4. ———, *The parametric variational problem for double integrals*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **14** (1961), 569-575.
5. Ju. G. Rešetnjak, *A new proof of the theorem of existence of an absolute minimum for two-dimensional problems of the calculus of variations in parametric form*, Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. **3** (1962), 744-768.
6. A. G. Sigalov, *Two-dimensional problems of the calculus of variations*, Uspehi Matem. Nauk (N.S.) **6**, **42** (1951), 16-101.
7. E. Silverman, *A problem of least area*, Pacific J. Math., **14** (1964), 309-331.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University
Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

*RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 15, No. 1

September, 1965

Donald Charles Benson, <i>Unimodular solutions of infinite systems of linear equations</i>	1
Richard Earl Block, <i>Transitive groups of collineations on certain designs</i>	13
Barry William Boehm, <i>Existence of best rational Tchebycheff approximations</i>	19
Joseph Patrick Brannen, <i>A note on Hausdorff's summation methods</i>	29
Dennison Robert Brown, <i>Topological semilattices on the two-cell</i>	35
Peter Southcott Bullen, <i>Some inequalities for symmetric means</i>	47
David Geoffrey Cantor, <i>On arithmetic properties of coefficients of rational functions</i>	55
Luther Elic Claborn, <i>Dedekind domains and rings of quotients</i>	59
Allan Clark, <i>Homotopy commutativity and the Moore spectral sequence</i>	65
Allen Devinatz, <i>The asymptotic nature of the solutions of certain linear systems of differential equations</i>	75
Robert E. Edwards, <i>Approximation by convolutions</i>	85
Theodore William Gamelin, <i>Decomposition theorems for Fredholm operators</i>	97
Edmond E. Granirer, <i>On the invariant mean on topological semigroups and on topological groups</i>	107
Noel Justin Hicks, <i>Closed vector fields</i>	141
Charles Ray Hobby and Ronald Pyke, <i>Doubly stochastic operators obtained from positive operators</i>	153
Robert Franklin Jolly, <i>Concerning periodic subadditive functions</i>	159
Tosio Kato, <i>Wave operators and unitary equivalence</i>	171
Paul Katz and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>Infinite sums in algebraic structures</i>	181
Herbert Frederick Kreimer, Jr., <i>On an extension of the Picard-Vessiot theory</i>	191
Radha Govinda Laha and Eugene Lukacs, <i>On a linear form whose distribution is identical with that of a monomial</i>	207
Donald A. Ludwig, <i>Singularities of superpositions of distributions</i>	215
Albert W. Marshall and Ingram Olkin, <i>Norms and inequalities for condition numbers</i>	241
Horace Yomishi Mochizuki, <i>Finitistic global dimension for rings</i>	249
Robert Harvey Oehmke and Reuben Sandler, <i>The collineation groups of division ring planes. II. Jordan division rings</i>	259
George H. Orland, <i>On non-convex polyhedral surfaces in E^3</i>	267
Theodore G. Ostrom, <i>Collineation groups of semi-translation planes</i>	273
Arthur Argyle Sagle, <i>On anti-commutative algebras and general Lie triple systems</i>	281
Laurent Siebenmann, <i>A characterization of free projective planes</i>	293
Edward Silverman, <i>Simple areas</i>	299
James McLean Sloss, <i>Chebyshev approximation to zero</i>	305
Robert S. Strichartz, <i>Isometric isomorphisms of measure algebras</i>	315
Richard Joseph Turyn, <i>Character sums and difference sets</i>	319
L. E. Ward, <i>Concerning Koch's theorem on the existence of arcs</i>	347
Israel Zuckerman, <i>A new measure of a partial differential field extension</i>	357