Pacific Journal of Mathematics

REPRESENTATIONS OF LATTICE-ORDERED GROUPS HAVING A BASIS

JUSTIN THOMAS LLOYD

Vol. 15, No. 4

December 1965

REPRESENTATIONS OF LATTICE-ORDERED GROUPS HAVING A BASIS

JUSTIN T. LLOYD

A convex *l*-subgroup C of a lattice-ordered group G is said to be a prime subgroup provided the collection L(C) of left cosets of G by C is totally-ordered by the relation: $xC \leq yC$ if and only if there exists $c \in C$ such that $xc \leq y$. A collection \overline{C} of prime subgroups of G is called a representation for G if $\bigcap \overline{C}$ contains no proper *l*-ideal of G. A representation \overline{C} is said to be irreducible if the intersection of any proper subcollection of \overline{C} does contain a proper *l*-ideal of G. \overline{C} is a minimal representation if each element of \overline{C} is a minimal prime subgroup. A representation \overline{C} is *-irreducible if $\bigcap \overline{C} =$ {1} while $\bigcap (\overline{C} - \{C\}) \neq \{1\}$ for every $C \in \overline{C}$. In this paper it is shown that an *l*-group with a basis admits a minimal irreducible representation and that such a representation can be chosen in essentially only one way. In particular, an *l*-group with a normal basis has a unique minimal irreducible representation. In addition, two properties equivalent to the existence of a basis are derived; namely the existence of a representation \overline{C} such that each element of \overline{C} has a nontrivial polar and the existence of a *-irreducible representation.

For a linearly-ordered set L, let P(L) denote the collection of all order-preserving permutations of L. P(L) is a group under the operation of composition of functions, and is an *l*-group if $f \in P(L)$ is defined to be positive provided $f(x) \ge x$ for all $x \in L$. C. Holland [2] has related an arbitrary l-group G to l-groups of the form P(L) in the following way: Letting C be a prime subgroup of G, the collection L(C) of left cosets of G by C is totally-ordered (by the relation mentioned above) and the map $g \rightarrow \overline{g}$ where $\overline{g}(xC) = gxC$ for all $xC \in L(C)$ is an *l*-homomorphism from G into P(L(C)). This map is called the *natural l*-homomorphism. If $C = \{C_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a represention for G and if δ_i denotes the natural *l*-homomorphism of G into $P(L(C_i))$, then the large cardinal product \prod of the $\delta_i(G)$ contains an *l*-isomorphic copy of G as an l-subgroup and subdirect product. (This l-isomorphism is defined by $g \to (\dots, \delta_i(g), \dots)$.) It is for this reason that \overline{C} is called a representation. The main result of [2] is that every *l*-group has a representation. If $\overline{C} = \{C_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a representation for G and if each

Received July 27, 1964. This research was partially supported by National Science Foundation grants GP-41 and GP-1791, and represents a portion of the author's doctoral dissertation. The author wishes to thank Professor P. F. Conrad for his help in preparing this paper. Several suggestions by the referee have led to improvements in this paper.

 C_i is an *l*-ideal of *G*, then \overline{C} is called a *realization* of *G*. In this case, each $\delta_i(G)$ is a totally-ordered group and *G* is *l*-isomorphic to an *l*-subgroup and subdirect product of a cardinal product of o-groups. If \overline{C} is an irreducible representation consisting of *l*-ideals, then \overline{C} is called an *irreducible realization*.

2. Minimal irreducible representations of *l*-groups with basis. An element s of an *l*-group G is basic provided s > 1 and $\{x \in G \mid 1 \leq x \leq s\}$ is totally-ordered by the order relation in G. A basic element s of G is normal if s and $g^{-1}sg$ are comparable $(g^{-1}sg \geq s \text{ or } s > g^{-1}sg)$ for all $g \in G$. For $x \in G$, the absolute value of x is defined by $|x| = x \lor x^{-1}$. Two elements x and y of G are said to be disjoint if $|x| \land |y| = 1$. A subset S of G is a basis for G if S is a maximal set of pairwise disjoint elements and each element of S is basic. A basis S is normal if each element of S is normal.

P. Lorenzen [4] has shown that an l-group G has a realization if and only if no positive element of G is disjoint from one of its conjugates. P. Jaffard [3] has proven that an abelian l-group has an irreducible realization if and only if it has a basis. F. Sik [5] generalized this result by showing that for an l-group G, the possession of a normal basis is equivalent to the existence of an irreducible realization of G. Using this result along with Lorenzen's, it is easily seen that an l-group G with a basis has a realization if and only if it has a normal basis.

It will now be shown that an l-group G with a basis has a minimal irreducible representation which can be chosen in essentially only one way. The construction depends upon those prime subgroups of G having nontrivial polars and not upon the choice of a basis. It will be shown further that the concept of a minimal irreducible representation is a direct generalization of the concept of an irreducible realization.

LEMMA 2.1. (P. Conrad, unpublished) A convex l-subgroup C of an l-group G is prime if and only if the conditions $a, b \in G$ and $a \wedge b = 1$ imply $a \in C$ or $b \in C$.

For an element x of an *l*-group G, let $D(x) = \{y \in G \mid |x| \land |y| = 1\}$. For a subset B of G, let $D(B) = \bigcap D(x)$ $(x \in B)$. Since each D(x) is a convex *l*-subgroup of G, D(B) is also a convex *l*-subgroup of G.

LEMMA 2.2. Let C be a prime subgroup of the l-group G where $D(C) \neq \{1\}$. Let $s \in D(C), s > 1$. Then s is basic, C = D(s) and C is minimal prime. Conversely, if s is basic, then D(s) is a prime subgroup of G and $s \in DD(s)$.

Proof. Let $s \ge x \ge 1$ and $s \ge y \ge 1$. Then $x(x \land y)^{-1}$, $y(x \land y)^{-1} \in D(C)$ and $x(x \land y)^{-1} \land y(x \land y)^{-1} = 1$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $x(x \land y)^{-1} \in C \cap D(C)$ or $y(x \land y)^{-1} \in C \cap D(C)$ and so $x(x \land y)^{-1} = 1$ or $y(x \land y)^{-1} = 1$. Thus $y \ge x$ or $x \ge y$ and so s is basic. Since $s \notin C$, Lemma 2.1 implies that $D(s) \subseteq C$ and since $s \in D(C)$ it is immediate that $C \subseteq D(s)$. Thus C = D(s). Since D(s) is contained in any prime subgroup which does not contain s, it is clear that C is minimal.

Suppose s is basic and let $a, b \in G$ be such that $a \wedge b = 1$. If $a, b \notin D(s)$, then $s \ge a \wedge s > 1$ and $s \ge b \wedge s > 1$. Since s is basic, $a \wedge s \ge b \wedge s$ or $b \wedge s > a \wedge s$. In either case it follows that $a \wedge b \wedge s > 1$, contradicting the assumption that $a \wedge b = 1$. Thus $a \wedge b = 1$ implies that $a \in D(s)$ or $b \in D(s)$ and so D(s) is prime by Lemma 2.1. It is clear that $s \in DD(s)$.

LEMMA 2.3. Let C_1 and C_2 be distinct prime subgroups of the l-group G. Then $D(C_1) \cap D(C_2) = \{1\}.$

Proof. If $D(C_1) \cap D(C_2) \neq \{1\}$, let $s \in D(C_1) \cap D(C_2)$ where s > 1. By Lemma 2.2., $C_1 = D(s) = C_2$; and this contradicts the supposition that $C_1 \neq C_2$.

THEOREM 2.1. Let C' be the collection of all prime subgroups C of the l-group G such that $D(C) \neq \{1\}$. For each $C \in C'$, let $s(C) \in D(C)$ where s(C) > 1. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) $\{s(C) \mid C \in C'\}$ is a basis for G.
- (b) $\bigcap C' = \{1\}.$
- (c) C' is a representation for G.

In case any of these conditions hold, a subset \overline{C} of C' is an irreducible representation if and only if \overline{C} contains exactly one group from each conjugate class in C'.

Proof. Suppose that (a) holds and let $x \in G$ where x > 1. Then there exists $C \in C'$ such that $x \wedge s(C) > 1$. By Lemma 2.2., D(s(C)) = Cand so $x \notin C$. Thus $\bigcap C' = \{1\}$. (b) implies (c) by definition. If (c) holds and if $1 < x \in G$, then there exists $g \in G$ and $C \in C'$ such that $g^{-1}xg \notin C$. Thus $x \notin gCg^{-1}$ while $gCg^{-1} \in C'$. It follows from Lemma 2.1. that $x \wedge s(gCg^{-1}) > 1$. Therefore $\{s(C) \mid C \in C'\}$ is a basis for G.

It is clear that an irreducible representation cannot contain distinct conjugate subgroups. Suppose then that \overline{C} contains exactly one group from each conjugate class in C'. Let $1 < x \in G$ and let $C \in C'$ be such that $x \wedge s(C) > 1$. There exists $g \in G$ such that $g^{-1}Cg \in \overline{C}$ and since

 $x \notin C$ it follows that $g^{-1}xg \notin \bigcap \overline{C}$. Thus $\bigcap \overline{C}$ contains no proper *l*-ideal of G and so \overline{C} is a representation of G. If E is a proper subcollection of \overline{C} , let $C \in C'$ be such that no conjugate of C is in E. If there exists $C_1 \in E$ and $g \in G$ such that $g^{-1}s(C)g \notin C_1$, then $s(C) \notin gC_1g^{-1}$ while $gC_1g^{-1} \in C'$. The only element of C' not containing s(C) is C and so $gC_1g^{-1} = C$ contradicting the supposition that no conjugate of C is in E. Thus $\bigcap E$ does contain a proper *l*-ideal and so \overline{C} is an irreducible representation of G.

COROLLARY 2.1. If S is a basis of the l-group G, then $\{D(s) | s \in S\}$ is the set C' of all prime subgroups C of G which satisfy $D(C) \neq \{1\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2. $\{D(s) \mid s \in S\} \subseteq C'$. Thus $\bigcap C' = \{1\}$ and so it follows from the Theorem that $\{D(s) \mid s \in S\} = C'$.

COROLLARY 2.2. Every l-group with a basis admits a minimal irreducible representation.

COROLLARY 2.3. An l-group G has a representation \overline{C} such that $D(C) \neq \{1\}$ for each $C \in \overline{C}$ if and only if G has a basis.

The above results show one way in which a minimal irreducible representation can be chosen for an l-group with a basis. The following shows that this is the only way in which such a representation can be chosen.

THEOREM 2.2. If an l-group G has a basis S and if \overline{C} is a minimal irreducible representation for G, then $\overline{C} \subseteq C' = \{D(s) \mid s \in S\}$. Thus \overline{C} contains exactly one group from each conjugate class in C'.

Proof. Let $C \in \overline{C}$. Then $\bigcap (\overline{C} - \{C\})$ contains a proper *l*-ideal N of G. (For the purpose of the following argument, let N = G in case \overline{C} has only one element.) Let $1 < g \in N$ and choose $s \in S$ such that $1 < g \land s \leq s$. Then $g \land s$ is basic and since $1 < g \land s \leq g$, $h^{-1}(g \land s)h \in N$ for all $h \in G$. Since $\bigcap \overline{C}$ does not contain an *l*-ideal of G, there exists $k \in G$ such that $k^{-1}(g \land s)k \notin C$. Moreover, $k^{-1}(g \land s)k$ is basic. Since C is prime, it follows that $D(k^{-1}(g \land s)k \subseteq C)$. The minimality of C implies that $D(k^{-1}(g \land s)k) = C$. It follows from Corollary 2.1. that $C \in C'$.

It is easily seen that a basic element s is normal if and only if D(s) is an *l*-ideal. The following is then immediate.

COROLLARY 2.4. An l-group with a normal basis has a unique minimal irreducible representation \overline{C} and each element of \overline{C} is an l-ideal. Thus \overline{C} is an irreducible realization.

THEOREM 2.3. A representation \overline{C} of an l-group G is *-irreducible if and only if G has a basis S and $\overline{C} = \{D(s) \mid s \in S\}.$

Proof. If G has a basis S and if $\overline{C} = \{D(s) \mid s \in S\}$, it is clear that \overline{C} is a *-irreducible representation of G.

Suppose then that \overline{C} is a *-irreducible representation and let C'denote the collection of prime subgroups C of G such that $D(C) \neq \{1\}$. Let $C_1 \in \overline{C}$ and let $1 < g \in \bigcap (\overline{C} - \{C_1\})$. If $1 < h \in C_1$ then $g \land h \in \bigcap \overline{C}$ and so $g \land h = 1$. Thus $D(C_1) \neq \{1\}$ and so $\overline{C} \subseteq C'$. It follows that $\bigcap C' = \{1\}$ and therefore by Theorem 2.1. that $\{s(C) \mid C \in C'\}$ is a basis for G. By Corollary 2.1., $C' = \{D(s(C)) \mid C \in C'\}$. Since the intersection of any proper subcollection of C' is nontrivial, it follows that $\overline{C} = C'$.

COROLLARY 2.5. (F. Sik [5]) An l-group G has a normal basis if and only if it has an irreducible realization.

Proof. If G has a normal basis S then $C' = \{D(s) \mid s \in S\}$ is an irreducible realization.

If \overline{C} is an irreducible realization of G, then \overline{C} is a *-irreducible representation of G. It follows from the Theorem that G has a basis S and $\overline{C} = \{D(s) \mid s \in S\}$. Thus each D(s) is an *l*-ideal of G and so S is a normal basis for G.

References

1. P. Conrad, Some structure theorems for lattice-ordered groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **99** (1961), 212-240.

2. C. Holland, The lattice-ordered group of automorphisms of an ordered set, Michigan Math. J. 10 (1963), 399-408.

3. P. Jaffard, Contribution a l'étude des groupes ordoneés, J. Math. Pure Appl. 32 (1953), 203-280.

4. P. Lorenzen, Abstrakte Begründung der multiplikativen Idealtheorie, Math. Z. 45 (1939), 533-553.

5. F. Sik, Über die Beziehungen zwischen eigenen Spitzen und minimalen Komponenten einer l-Gruppe, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungary 13 (1962), 171-178.

TULANE UNIVERSITY, AND LEHIGH UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

*RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

B. H. NEUMANN

E. F. BECKENBACH

F. Wolf

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should by typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. No separate author's resumé is required. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

* Basil Gordon, Acting Managing Editor until February 1, 1966.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 15, No. 4 December, 1965

Robert James Blattner, Group extension representations and the structure space	1101
Glen Eugene Bredon, On the continuous image of a singular chain complex	1115
David Hilding Carlson, On real eigenvalues of complex matrices	1119
Hsin Chu, Fixed points in a transformation group	1131
Howard Benton Curtis, Jr., The uniformizing function for certain simply connected Riemann	
surfaces	1137
George Wesley Day, Free complete extensions of Boolean algebras	1145
Edward George Effros, The Borel space of von Neumann algebras on a separable Hilbert	
space	1153
Michel Mendès France, A set of nonnormal numbers	1165
Jack L. Goldberg, <i>Polynomials orthogonal over a denumerable set</i>	1171
Frederick Paul Greenleaf, Norm decreasing homomorphisms of group algebras	1187
Fletcher Gross, <i>The 2-length of a finite solvable group</i>	1221
Kenneth Myron Hoffman and Arlan Bruce Ramsay, <i>Algebras of bounded sequences</i>	1239
James Patrick Jans, <i>Some aspects of torsion</i>	1249
Laura Ketchum Kodama, Boundary measures of analytic differentials and uniform	
approximation on a Riemann surface	1261
Alan G. Konheim and Benjamin Weiss, <i>Functions which operate on characteristic</i>	
functions	1279
Ronald John Larsen, Almost invariant measures	1295
You-Feng Lin, Generalized character semigroups: The Schwarz decomposition	1307
Justin Thomas Lloyd, <i>Representations of lattice-ordered groups having a basis</i>	1313
Thomas Graham McLaughlin, <i>On relative coimmunity</i>	1319
Mitsuru Nakai, Φ -bounded harmonic functions and classification of Riemann surfaces	1329
L. G. Novoa, On n-ordered sets and order completeness	1337
Fredos Papangelou, Some considerations on convergence in abelian lattice-groups	1347
Frank Albert Raymond, Some remarks on the coefficients used in the theory of homology	1365
Iohn P. Pingrose On sub algebras of a C^* - algebra	1305
Join R. Kingrose, On sub-argeoras of a C – argeora	1377
bomeomorphisms of disks and sphares	1383
Zalman Publication Some results in the location of zeros of nohmomials	1305
Arthur Argula Sagla. On simple algebras obtained from homogeneous amaged Lie triple	1391
systems	1397
Hans Samelson On small mans of manifolds	1401
Annette Sinclair $ c(z) $ closeness of approximation	1405
Edsel Ford Stiel Isometric immersions of manifolds of nonnegative constant sectional	1405
curvature	1415
Farl I Taft Invariant splitting in Iordan and alternative algebras	1421
Laris. Tail, Invarian spinning in Solitan and anomalive algebras	1429
Neil Marchand Wigley, Development of the manning function at a corner.	1/35
Horace C. Wiser, Embadding a circle of trees in the plane	1455
A dil Mohamed Vacub Ring logies and residue class rings	1405
John W. Lamparti and Datrick Colonal Suppose Connection to: Chains of infinite order and their	1405
application to learning theory	1471
Charles Vernon Coffman, Correction to: Non-linear differential equations on cones in Banach	
spaces	1472
P. H. Doyle, III, Correction to: A sufficient condition that an arc in S^n be cellular	1474
P. P. Saworotnow, Correction to: On continuity of multiplication in a complemented	
algebra	1474