Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Φ-BOUNDED HARMONIC FUNCTIONS AND CLASSIFICATION OF RIEMANN SURFACES

MITSURU NAKAI

Vol. 15, No. 4 December 1965

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 15, No. 4, 1965

Φ-BOUNDED HARMONIC FUNCTIONS AND CLASSIFICATION OF RIEMANN SURFACES

MITSURU NAKAI

Let $\Phi(t)$ be a nonnegative real valued function defined for t in $[0, \infty)$ such that $\Phi(t)$ is unbounded in $[0, \infty)$ and bounded in a neighborhood of a point in $[0, \infty)$. A harmonic function u on a Riemann surface R is said to be Φ-bounded if the composite function $\Phi(|u|)$ has a harmonic majorant on R. Denote by $O_{H\Phi}$ the class of all Riemann surfaces on which every Φ-bounded harmonic function reduces to a constant. The main result in this paper is the following: $O_{H\Phi} = O_{HP}$ (resp. O_{HB}) if and only if $d(\Phi) < \infty$ (resp. $d(\Phi) = \infty$), where $d(\Phi) = \limsup_{t\to\infty} \Phi(t)/t$. This is the best possible improvement of a result of M. Parreau.

We also prove a similar theorem for the classification of subsurfaces of Riemann surfaces using Φ-bounded harmonic functions vanishing on the relative boundaries of subsurfaces.

The chief tool of our proof is the theory of Wiener compactifications of Riemann surfaces.

Consider a nonnegative real valued function $\Phi(t)$ defined for all real numbers t in $[0, \infty)$. A harmonic function u on a Riemann surface R is said to be Φ-bounded if the composite function $\Phi(|u|)$ has a harmonic majorant on R. The totality of Φ-bounded harmonic functions on R is denoted by $H\Phi(R)$, or simply $H\Phi$. We denote by $O_{H\Phi}$ the class of all Riemann surfaces R on which every Φ-bounded harmonic function reduces to a constant. Our problem is to determine $O_{H\Phi}$ for every Φ.

First assume that $\Phi(t)$ is bounded on $[0, \infty)$. Then every harmonic function is Φ-bounded. Hence R belongs to $O_{H\Phi}$ if and only if there exists no nonconstant harmonic function on R. Thus *the class $O_{H\Phi}$ consists of all closed Riemann surfaces if Φ is bounded.* Soon we see that the converse is also valid. Hence, hereafter, we always assume that

(1) *$\Phi(t)$ is unbounded on $[0, \infty)$.*

We say that $\Phi(t)$ is bounded at a point t_0 in $[0, \infty)$ if there exists a neighborhood of t_0 relative to $[0, \infty)$ in which $\Phi(t)$ is bounded. Now assume that $\Phi(t)$ is not bounded at any point of $[0, \infty)$. Let u be a nonconstant harmonic function on R. Then $\Phi(|u|)$ is not bound at any neighborhood of any point of R and so u is not Φ-bounded. Thus *the class $O_{H\Phi}$ consists of all Reimann surfaces if $\Phi(t)$ is not bounded at*

Received September 5, 1964.

any point of $[0, \infty)$. Soon we see that the converse is also true. Hence, hereafter, we always assume that

(2) *$\Phi(t)$ is bounded at least at one point in* $[0, \infty)$.

Now our problem which is left is to determine $O_{H\Phi}$ for functions Φ satisfying the two conditions (1) and (2). For the aim, we put

$$d(\Phi) = \limsup_{t\to\infty} \Phi(t)/t \ .$$

Clearly $0 \leqq d(\Phi) \leqq \infty$. Our result is stated as follows:

THEOREM 1. *Assume that Φ satisfies* (1) *and* (2). *If $d(\Phi)$ is finite (resp. infinite), then $O_{H\Phi} = O_{HP}$ (resp. O_{HB}).*

Since the restrictions on Φ are exclusive each other, we also see that $O_{H\Phi} = O_{HP}$ (resp. O_{HB}) implies that Φ satisfies (1) and (2) and $d(\Phi)$ is finite (resp. infinite). This theorem is proved by Parreau [3] for the special Φ which is increasing and convex (and so continuous) (see also Ahlfors-Sario's book [1], pp. 216–219). Parreau's proof keenly uses the increasingness and convexity of Φ and one might suspect that these assumptions are inevitable. We are interested in the fact that for the validity of Parreau's result, no assumption is needed for Φ except the inevitable conditions (1) and (2). Thus our Theorem 1 is the best possible generalization of Parreau's result at least in the above formulation.

2. Before entering the proof of Theorem 1, for convenience, we explain an outline of the *Wiener compactification* of a Riemann surface and its some properties which we use in the proof of Theorem 1. For details, consult Constantinescu-Cornea's book [2], § 6, 8 and 9.

Let F be a Riemann surface not belonging to O_G and f be a real valued function on F. Let $\overline{W}_f^F$ (resp. $\underline{W}_f^F$) be the totality of superharmonic (resp. subharmonic) functions s on F such that there exists a compact subset K_s of F with the property that $f \leqq s$ (resp. $f \geqq s$) on $F - K_s$. If $\overline{W}_f^F$ and $\underline{W}_f^F$ are nonvoid, then $\overline{W}_f^F$ and $\underline{W}_f^F$ are Perron's families and so

$$\bar{h}_f^F(p) = \inf\,(s(p);\ s \in \overline{W}_f^F) \ \text{and}\ \underline{h}_f^F(p) = \sup\,(s(p);\ s \in \underline{W}_f^F)$$

are harmonic and $\bar{h}_f^F \geqq \underline{h}_f^F$. If $\bar{h}_f^F = \underline{h}_f^F$ on F, then we write $h_f^F = \bar{h}_f^F = \underline{h}_f^F$ and we call f to be harmonizable on F.

Let R be an arbitrary Riemann surface. A real-valued function f on R is said to be a continuous Wiener function if (a) for any subsurface F of R with $F \notin O_G$ as a Riemann surface, the restriction of f on F is harmonizable on F and the restriction of $|f|$ on F has a superharmonic majorant on F; and if (b) f is finitely continuous on R. We denote by $WC = WC(R)$ the totality of continuous Wiener functions on R. We also denote by $WB = WB(R)$ the totality of bounded members in WC. Observe that WC (resp. WB) is a vector space and closed under max and min operations. Any continuous superharmonic function on R which has a harmonic majorant clearly belongs to WC. Hence $HP \subset WC$ and $HB \subset WB$.

There exists a unique compact Hausdorff space R^* containing R as its open and dense subset such that $C(R^*)|R = WB(R)$, where $C(R^*)$ is the totality of finitely continuous functions on R^* and $C(R^*)|R$ is the totality of restrictions of functions in $C(R^*)$ to R. We call R^* the Wiener compactification of R. By the obvious identification, we may simply write as $C(R^*) = WB(R)$. It is clear that any function in $WC(R)$ is (not necessarily finitely) continuous on R^*, or more accurately, is continuously extended to R^*. Hereafter, we use topological notions relative to R^* only. For example, $\bar{A}$ for $A \subset R$ means the closure of A in R^*. But the notation ∂A for $A \subset R^*$ is the only exceptional. ∂A means the boundary of $A \cap R$ relative to R.

Let $W_0C(R) = (f \in WC;\ h_f^R = 0)$ if $R \notin O_G$ and $W_0C(R) = WC$ if $R \in O_G$. We set $\Delta = (p \in R^*;\ f(p) = 0$ for any f in $W_0C)$. This is a compact subset of $\Gamma = R^* - R$ and called the (Wiener) harmonic boundary of R. It is seen that $W_0C = (f \in WC;\ f = 0$ on $\Delta)$. From the definition, it is obvious that $R \in O_G$ if and only if $\Delta = \varphi$. Moreover,

LEMMA 1. *$R \in O_{HB} - O_G$ if and only if Δ consists of only one point.*

Let F be an open subset of R each boundary point of which is regular for Dirichlet problem and $\partial F \neq \phi$. Such an F is called a regular open subset of R. We say that $F \in SO_{HB}$ if any connected component of F does not carry any nonconstant bounded harmonic functions vanishing continuously at ∂F. The most important is the following

LEMMA 2. *$F \notin SO_{HB}$ if and only if $\bar{F} - \overline{\partial F}$ contains a point of Δ.*

As an corollary of this, we can easily see the following useful

LEMMA 3. *Let F be a regular open subset of R and s be a superharmonic function on F bounded from below. If*

$$\liminf_{F \ni p \to q} s(p) \geqq 0$$

for any q in $\partial F \cup (\bar{F} \cap \Delta)$, then $s \geqq 0$ on F.

3. Proof of Theorem 1 for $d(\Phi) < \infty$. Since $d(\Phi) < \infty$, we can find a positive number c and a point t_0 in $[0, \infty)$ such that $\Phi(t) \leqq ct$ for any $t \geqq t_0$. Assume that there exists a nonconstant HP-function

u_1 on R. Then $u = u_1 + t_0$ is also a nonconstant harmonic function on R with $u \geqq t_0 \geqq 0$ on R. Thus $\Phi(|u|) \leqq c|u| = cu$ and cu is an HP-function on R. Hence $O_{H\Phi} \subset O_{HP}$.

Conversely, assume that there exists a nonconstant $H\Phi$-function u on R. We have to prove the existence of a nonconstant HP-function on R. By the definition, there exists an HP-function v on R with $\Phi(|u|) \leqq v$ on R. If v is not a constant or u is bounded, then nothing is left to prove and so we assume that v is a constant and u is not bounded. Then the connected open set $D = (|u(p)|;\ p \in R)$ in $[0, \infty)$ does not contain 0. Contrary to the assertion, assume that $D \ni 0$. Then $D = [0, \infty)$ and so $(\Phi(|u(p)|);\ p \in R) = (\Phi(t);\ t \in [0, \infty))$ is unbounded in $[0, \infty)$ by the assumption (1) for Φ. But this is impossible, since $\Phi(|u|) \leqq v$(constant) on R. Thus $0 \notin D$. This shows that u does not change sign on R. Hence u or $-u$ is a nonconstant HP-function on R. Therefore, $O_{H\Phi} \supset O_{HP}$. Thus $O_{H\Phi} = O_{HP}$ for Φ with $d(\Phi) < \infty$.

4. **Proof of Theorem 1 for** $d(\Phi) = \infty$. First assume that there exists a nonconstant HB-function u on R. By the assumption (2) for Φ, there exists an interval $(a, b) \subset [0, \infty)$ in which $\Phi(t) \leqq c$ (constant). By choosing a suitable constants A and B, the range of $v = Au + B$ is contained in (a, b). Then $\Phi(|v|) = \Phi(v) \leqq c$ on R. Thus v is a nonconstant $H\Phi$-function on R. Hence $O_{HB} \supset O_{H\Phi}$.

Next we prove the converse inclusion $O_{HB} \subset O_{H\Phi}$, or equivalently, $R \notin O_{H\Phi}$ implies $R \notin O_{HB}$. Assume that there exists a nonconstant $H\Phi$-function u on R. We have to prove that $R \notin O_{HB}$. Contrary to the assertion, assume that $R \in O_{HB}$. By the definition, there exists an HP-function v such that $\Phi(|u|) \leqq v$ on R. From this, we see that $R \notin O_{HP}$. For, if $R \in O_{HP}$, then $\Phi(|u|) \leqq v$ (constant) and since $d(\Phi) = \infty$, $|u|$ is bounded. This contradicts $R \in O_{HB}$. Hence $R \notin O_{HP}$ and a fortiori $R \notin O_G$. Thus $R \in O_{HB} - O_G$ and so by Lemma 1, the harmonic boundary Δ of R consists of only one point δ, i.e. $\Delta = (\delta)$. By $d(\Phi) = \infty$, we can find a stricly increasing sequence $(r_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of positive numbers such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi(r_n)/r_n = \infty \text{ and } \lim_{n = \infty} r_n = \infty .$$

Let $G_n = (p \in R;\ |u(p)| < r_n)$. Since u is not a constant and u is unbounded by $R \in O_{HB}$, G_n is a regular open subset of R with $\partial G_n \neq \phi$ and $G_n \nearrow R$. We see that $G_n \notin SO_{HB}$ for some n. For, if this is not the case, then $G_n \in SO_{HB}$ for all $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. Let $a_n = r_n/\Phi(r_n)$. Then $a_n \searrow 0 (n \to \infty)$. Consider the function $a_n v - |u|$, which is superharmonic and bounded from below on G_n and continuous in $G_n \cup \partial G_n$. If $q \in \partial G_n$, then

$$| u(q) | = r_n = (r_n/\Phi(r_n))\, \Phi(r_n) = a_n\Phi(| u(q) |) \leqq a_n v(q) .$$

Thus $a_n v - | u | \geqq 0$ on ∂G_n. Hence $a_n v - | u | \geqq 0$ in G_n. For, if $a_n v(p_0) - | u(p_0) | < d < 0$ for some p_0 in G_n, then $G'_n = (p \in G_n;\ a_n v(p) - | u(p) | < d)$ is a nonempty regular open subset with $G'_n \cup \partial G'_n \subset G_n$. The function $d - (a_n v - | u |)$ is a positive and bounded (with bound $d + r_n$) subharmonic function in G'_n vanishing continuously at $\partial G'_n$. So $G'_n \notin SO_{HB}$. But this is a contradiction, since $G_n \supset G'_n \cup \partial G'_n$ and $G_n \in SO_{HB}$. Hence $a_n v - | u | \geqq 0$ in G_n. Now let p be an arbitrary point in R. There exists an n_0 such that $p \in G_n$ for all $n \geqq n_0$. Then $| u(p) | \leqq a_n v(p)$ for all $n \geqq n_0$. Thus by making $n \nearrow \infty$, $| u(p) | = 0$, i.e. $u \equiv 0$ on R, which is a contradiction. Hence $G_{n_1} \notin SO_{HB}$ for some n_1 and so $G_n \notin SO_{HB}$ for all $n \geqq n_1$ and so without loss of generality, we may assume that $G_n \notin SO_{HB}$ for all $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. In particular, $G_1 \notin SO_{HB}$ implies that $\bar{G}_1 - \overline{\partial G}_1$ contains δ by Lemma 2 (recall that $\Delta = (\delta)$), i.e. $\bar{G}_1$ is a neighborhood of δ in the Wiener compactification R^* of R. Hence in the topology of R^*,

$$(*) \qquad \limsup_{R \ni p \to \delta} | u(p) | = \limsup_{G_1 \ni p \to \delta} | u(p) | \leqq r_1 .$$

Now consider the function $f_n = a_n v + r_1 - | u |$, which is superharmonic and bounded from below on G_n and continuous in $G_n \cup \partial G_n$. If $q \in \partial G_n$, then as before,

$$| u(q) | = r_n = (r_n/\Phi(r_n))\, \Phi(r_n) = a_n\Phi(| u(q) |) \leqq a_n v(q) \leqq a_n v(q) + r_1$$

and so $f_n(q) \geqq 0$ on ∂G_n. This with (*) gives that

$$\liminf_{G_n \ni p \to q} f_n(p) \geqq 0$$

for any q in $\partial G_n \cup (\delta) = \partial G_n \cup (\bar{G}_n \cap \Delta)$. Hence by Lemma 3, $f_n \geqq 0$ in G_n, or

$$| u | \leqq a_n v + r_1$$

in G_n. Let p be an arbitrary point in R. There exists an n_0 such that $p \in G_n$ for all $n \geqq n_0$. Thus $| u(p) | \leqq a_n v(p) + r_1$ for all $n \geqq n_0$. Hence by making $n \nearrow \infty$, $| u(p) | \leqq r_1$, i.e. $| u | \leqq r_1$ on R. Hence $R \notin O_{HB}$. This is a contradiction, since we assumed that $R \in O_{HB}$. Thus $R \in O_{HB}$.

5. Finally we make a few remark to the classification of Riemann surfaces with regular boundaries. Let $\Phi(t)$ be a non-negative real-valued function defined in $[0, \infty)$. Let R be a Riemann surface and F be a regular open subset of R. We denote by $H_0\Phi = H_0\Phi(R, F)$ the totality of harmonic functions u in F vanishing continuously at ∂F such that $\Phi(| u |)$ admits a harmonic majorant in F. We say that

$F \in SO_{H\Phi}$ if $H_0\Phi$ contains only zero. We want to determine $SO_{H\Phi}$ for every Φ. As before, unless Φ satisfies (1), then $F \in SO_{H\Phi}$ if and only if F does not carry any nonzero harmonic function in F vanishing continuously at ∂F. Thus *$SO_{H\Phi}$ consists of all relatively compact regular open subsets of Riemann surfaces if $\Phi(t)$ is bounded in* $[0, \infty)$. Similarly as before, *$SO_{H\Phi}$ consists of all regular open subsets of Riemann surfaces if $\Phi(t)$ is not bounded at $t = 0$*. Hence we have only to consider the problem of determining $SO_{H\Phi}$ under the condition

(3) *$\Phi(t)$ is bounded at $t = 0$ and unbounded in* $[0, \infty)$.

As before $d(\Phi) = \limsup_{t\to\infty}\Phi(t)/t$. By (3), $SO_{H\Phi} \subset SO_{HB}$ is always valid. Without assuming (3), we can show $SO_{H\Phi} \supset SO_{HB}$ if $d(\Phi) = \infty$ (see the proof of Theorem 2 below). If $d(\Phi) < \infty$, then we cannot get any definite conclusion in general. So we prove only the following

THEOREM 2. *Assume that Φ satisfies* (3) *and $d(\Phi) = \infty$. Then $SO_{H\Phi} = SO_{HB}$.*

Proof. Assume that there exists a nonconstant $H_0\Phi$-function u in F. Then $\Phi(|u|) \leqq v$ in F for some harmonic function v in F. We want to show that $F \notin SO_{HB}$. Contrary to the assertion, assume that $F \in SO_{HB}$. By $d(\Phi) = \infty$, there exists an increasing sequence $(r_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of positive numbers such that $a_n = r_n/\Phi(r_n) \searrow 0$ and $r_n \nearrow \infty$ as $n \nearrow \infty$. Let $F_n = (p \in F;\ |u(p)| < r_n)$. Clearly $F_n \nearrow F$ and $F_n \in SO_{HB}$. As in the proof of Theorem 1 for $d(\Phi) = \infty$, $a_n v - |u| \geqq 0$ on ∂F_n and $a_n v - |u|$ is lower bounded superharmonic function in F_n and so $F_n \in SO_{HB}$ implies that $a_n v \geqq |u|$ in F_n and finally $u = 0$ in F. This is a contradiction and so $F \notin SO_{HB}$, or $SO_{H\Phi} \supset SO_{HB}$.

Now we change the definition of $H_0\Phi = H_0\Phi(R, F)$ as follows: $H_0\Phi$ is the totality of harmonic functions u in F vanishing continuously at ∂F such that $\Phi(|u|)$ admits a harmonic majorant in R, where we define $u = 0$ in $R - F$. Under this new definition, Theorem 2 is again valid. In fact, $SO_{H\Phi} \subset SO_{HB}$ is clear by (3) and the above proof for $SO_{H\Phi} \supset SO_{HB}$ for $d(\Phi) = \infty$ can be applied with an obvious modification to the present case. Moreover, we can show the following

THEOREM 3. *Assume that Φ satisfies* (3). *If F is a regular open subset of R with the compact complement in R, then $F \in SO_{H\Phi}$ if and only if $F \in SO_{HB}$, or equivalently, $R \in O_G$.*

Proof. Clearly $F \in SO_{H\Phi}$ implies $F \in SO_{HB}$ by the condition (3). Hence we have to show that $F \notin SO_{H\Phi}$ implies $F \notin SO_{HB}$. Evidently, $F \notin SO_{HB}$ is equivalent to $R \notin O_G$. Let u be a nonconstant $H_0\Phi$-function in F. Then there exists an HP-function v in R such that $\Phi(|u|) \leqq v$ on R, where we define $u = 0$ in $R - F$. Contrary to the assertion, assume that $F \in SO_{HB}$, or equivalently $R \in O_G$. Then the inclusion $O_G \subset O_{HP}$ implies that v is a constant, i.e. $\Phi(|u|)$ is a bounded function on R. Let $D = (|u(p)|;\ p \in R)$. Since D is connected and $|u|$ is not bounded, $D = [0, \infty)$. Thus $(\Phi(|u(p)|);\ p \in R) = (\Phi(t);\ t \in [0, \infty))$. From this, the boundedness of $\Phi(|u|)$ implies the boundedness of $\Phi(t)$, which contradicts the assumption (3).

REFERENCES

1. L. V. Ahlfors and L. Sario, *Riemann Surfaces*, Princeton, 1960.

2. C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea, *Ideale Räder Riemannscher Flächen*, Springer, 1963.

3. M. Parreau, *Sur les moyennes des fonctions harmoniques et analytiques et la classification des surfaces de Riemann*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **3** (1952), 103-197.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
NAGOYA UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should by typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. No separate author's resumé is required. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is $18.00; single issues, $5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $8.00 per volume; single issues $2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 15, No. 4 December, 1965