Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON SUB-ALGEBRAS OF A C^*-ALGEBRA

JOHN R. RINGROSE

Vol. 15, No. 4 December 1965

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 15, No. 4, 1965

ON SUB-ALGEBRAS OF A C^*-ALGEBRA

J. R. RINGROSE

The following noncommutative extension of the Stone-Weierstrass approximation theorem has been obtained by Glimm.

Theorem. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a C^*-algebra with identity I, and let $\mathscr{B}$ be a C^*-sub-algebra containing I. Suppose that $\mathscr{B}$ separates the pure state space of $\mathscr{A}$. Then $\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{A}$.

In the present paper, we apply Glimm's theorem to obtain the following noncommutative generalisation of another result of Stone.

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a C^*-algebra with identity I and pure state space $\mathscr{P}$. Let $\mathscr{B}$ be a C^*-sub-algebra of $\mathscr{A}$, and defne

$$\mathscr{N} = \{f: \ f \text{ is a pure state of } \mathscr{A} \text{ and } f(B) = 0 \ (B \in \mathscr{B})\},$$
$$\mathscr{E} = \{(g, h): \ g, h \in \mathscr{P} \text{ and } g(B) = h(B) \ (B \in \mathscr{B})\},$$
$$\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}} = \{A: \ A \in \mathscr{A}, \ f(A) = 0 \ \ (f \in \mathscr{N}) \ \text{ and } \ g(A) = h(A) \ ((g, h) \in \mathscr{E})\}.$$

Then $\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$.

We will refer to this as Theorem 2 in the sequel. Glimm's theorem is to be found in [1]; Stone's, in [3].

Once it is known that $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$ is a C^*-sub-algebra of $\mathscr{A}$, Theorem 2 is an almost immediate consequence of Glimm's theorem (see § 4). It is clear that $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$ is a closed self-adjoint linear subspace of $\mathscr{A}$; accordingly, most of this paper is devoted to proving that $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$ is closed under multiplication (see § 3).

We remark that, if $\mathscr{A}$ is commutative, then $\mathscr{P}$ consists exactly of all homomorphism from $\mathscr{A}$ on to the complex plane $\boldsymbol{C}$; so in this case, it is immediate from its definition that $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$ is a C^*-sub-algebra. However, this seems not to be obvious in the general case. Indeed, for a *general* set $\mathscr{N}$ of pure states of $\mathscr{A}$ and a *general* subset $\mathscr{E}$ of $\mathscr{P} \times \mathscr{P}$, the class

$$\{A: \ A \in \mathscr{A}, \ f(A) = 0 \ (f \in \mathscr{N}) \text{ and } g(A) = h(A) \ ((g, h) \in \mathscr{E})\}$$

need not be a sub-algebra of $\mathscr{A}$; for example, let $\mathscr{A}$ consist of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H, let $\mathscr{N}$ be void, and let $\mathscr{E}$ consist of a single pair of vector states arising from orthogonal unit vectors.

2. Notation.

Throughout, $\mathscr{A}$ is a C^*-algebra-by which we shall mean a uniformly closed self-adjoint algebra of operators acting on a (complex) Hilbert space H. We shall always assume that $\mathscr{A}$ contains

Received September 30, 1964.

the identity operator I on H. A *state* of $\mathscr{A}$ is a linear functional f on $\mathscr{A}$ such that $f(A^*A) \geqq 0$ $(A \in \mathscr{A})$ and $f(I) = 1$. The set of all states is convex and weak * compact; the Krein-Milman theorem ensures the existence of extreme points, and these are called *pure states*. The *pure state space* of $\mathscr{A}$, denoted by $\mathscr{P}$ (or $\mathscr{P}(\mathscr{A})$ if $\mathscr{A}$ has to be specified), is the weak * closure of the set of all pure states.

Given a state f of $\mathscr{A}$, there is a *-representation ϕ_f of $\mathscr{A}$ on a Hilbert space H_f, and a unit vector x_f in H_f, such that $\phi_f(\mathscr{A})x_f$ is dense in H_f, and

$$f(A) = \langle \phi_f(A)x_f,\ x_f \rangle \qquad (A \in \mathscr{A}) .$$

To within unitary equivalence, ϕ_f is unique. Furthermore, ϕ_f is irreducible if and only if f is a pure state (see, for example, [2] 245, 265, 266). We shall always use the symbols ϕ_f, H_f, x_f in the sense just described.

3. Some lemmas. Throughout this section we shall assume that $\mathscr{B}$ is a C^*-sub-algebra of $\mathscr{A}$, and that $I \in \mathscr{B}$. We use the notations introduced in the statement of Theorem 2; note that, since $I \in \mathscr{B}$, $\mathscr{N}$ is empty and

$$\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}} = \{A :\ A \in \mathscr{A} \text{ and } g(A) = h(A)\ \ ((g, h) \in \mathscr{E})\} .$$

For completeness, we give a proof of the following simple result.

LEMMA 1. (i) *Let* $f \in \mathscr{P}$, $S \in \mathscr{A}$ *and suppose that* $f(S^*S) = 1$. *Define* $g(A) = f(S^*AS)$ $(A \in \mathscr{A})$. *Then* $g \in \mathscr{P}$.

(ii) *Let* $f \in \mathscr{P}$, $x \in H_f$, $\|x\| = 1$, *and define* $g(A) = \langle \phi_f(A)x, x\rangle$ $(A \in \mathscr{A})$. *Then* $g \in \mathscr{P}$.

Proof. (i) Clearly g is a state. Suppose first that f is a pure state, and let $x = \phi_f(S)x_f$. Then for each $A \in \mathscr{A}$,

$$(1) \qquad \langle \phi_f(A)x, x\rangle = \langle \phi_f(S^*AS)x_f, x_f\rangle = f(S^*AS) = g(A) .$$

With $A = I$ we obtain $\|x\| = 1$; and since f is a pure state, ϕ_f is irreducible, so $\phi_f(\mathscr{A})x$ is dense in H_f. This, with (1), implies that ϕ_f and ϕ_g are unitarily equivalent. Thus ϕ_g is irreducible, so g is pure.

Now suppose only that $f \in \mathscr{P}$. There is a net (f_i) of pure states which converges to f in the weak * topology. Since $f_i(S^*S) \to f(S^*S) = 1$, we may suppose that $f_i(S^*S) > 0$ for each i. Let $k_i = [f_i(S^*S)]^{-1/2}$, $S_i = k_iS$, and define $g_i(A) = f_i(S_i^*AS_i)$ $(A \in \mathscr{A})$. Then $f_i(S_i^*S_i) = 1$, and the argument of the preceding paragraph shows that g_i is a pure state. For each $A \in \mathscr{A}$,

$$g_i(A) = \frac{f_i(S^*AS)}{f_i(S^*S)} \to f(S^*AS) = g(A) .$$

Hence (g_i) is a net of pure states which converges to g in the weak * topology, so $g \in \mathscr{P}$.

(ii) Since $\phi_f(\mathscr{A})x_f$ is dense in H_f, we may choose $S_n \in \mathscr{A}$ ($n = 1, 2, \cdots$) such that

$$\|\phi_f(S_n)x_f\| = 1 , \qquad \|\phi_f(S_n)x_f - x\| \to 0 .$$

Thus $f(S_n^*S_n) = 1$, and by part (i) of this lemma, we may define g_n in $\mathscr{P}$ by $g_n(A) = f(S_n^*AS_n)$ ($A \in \mathscr{A}$). Then for each $A \in \mathscr{A}$,

$$g_n(A) = \langle \phi_f(A)\phi_f(S_n)x_f,\ \phi_f(S_n)x_f \rangle \to \langle \phi_f(A)x, x\rangle = g(A) .$$

Thus $g \in \mathscr{P}$.

LEMMA 2. *Let $T \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$, $S \in \mathscr{B}$. Then $S^*TS \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$.*

Proof. Let $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathscr{E}$. We have to show that $f_1(S^*TS) = f_2(S^*TS)$. Since $S^*S \in \mathscr{B}$, we have $f_1(S^*S) = f_2(S^*S)$; and after multiplying S by a suitable scalar, we may clearly suppose that $f_1(S^*S)$ is either 0 or 1.

If $f_i(S^*S) = 0$, then S is in the left kernel of f_i ($i = 1, 2$), and $f_1(S^*TS) = f_2(S^*TS) = 0$.

If $f_i(S^*S) = 1$, define $g_i(A) = f_i(S^*AS)$ ($A \in \mathscr{A}$). By Lemma 1 (i), $g_i \in \mathscr{P}$. If $B \in \mathscr{B}$, then $S^*BS \in \mathscr{B}$, so $f_1(S^*BS) = f_2(S^*BS)$; that is, $g_1(B) = g_2(B)$. Hence $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathscr{E}$, and since $T \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$, it follows that $g_1(T) = g_2(T)$; that is, $f_1(S^*TS) = f_2(S^*TS)$. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 3. *Let $T \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$ and $R, S \in \mathscr{B}$. Then $R^*TS \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2 since

$$\begin{aligned} 4R^*TS &= (R+S)^*T(R+S) - (R-S)^*T(R-S) \\ &\quad - i(R+iS)^*T(R+iS) + (R-iS)^*T(R-iS) . \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4. *Let $f \in \mathscr{P}$ and let M be a closed subspace of H_f which is invariant under $\phi_f(\mathscr{B})$. Then M is a invariant under $\phi_f(\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}})$.*

Proof. Suppose that the lemma is false. Then we may choose $T \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$ and $x \in M$ such that $\phi_f(T)x \notin M$. Let $y = (I - E)\phi_f(T)x$, where E is the projection from H_f on to M. Given t in $[0, 2\pi)$, define $y_t = x + \exp(it)y$, $z_t = ky_t$, where

$$k = [\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2]^{-1/2} = \|y_t\|^{-1} .$$

Thus $z_t \in H_f$, $\|z_t\| = 1$, and by Lemma 1 (ii) we may define $g_t \in \mathscr{P}$ by $g_t(A) = \langle \phi_f(A)z_t, z_t\rangle$ $(A \in \mathscr{A})$. Since $\phi_f(\mathscr{B})$ leaves both M and $H_f \ominus M$ invariant, it follows that for each $B \in \mathscr{B}$,

$$\begin{aligned} g_t(B) &= k^2\langle \phi_f(B)(x + e^{it}y),\ x + e^{it}y\rangle \\ &= k^2[\langle \phi_f(B)x, x\rangle + \langle \phi_f(B)y, y\rangle] , \end{aligned}$$

which is independent of t. Hence, for each s, t in $[0, 2\pi)$, we have $(g_s, g_t) \in \mathscr{E}$. Since $T \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$, it follows that $g_s(T) = g_t(T)$; so $g_t(T)$ is independent of $t \in [0, 2\pi)$. However,

$$\begin{aligned} g_t(T) &= k^2\langle \phi_f(T)(x + e^{it}y),\ x + e^{it}y\rangle \\ &= p + qe^{it} + re^{-it} , \end{aligned}$$

where p, q, r are independent of t and

$$r = k^2\langle \phi_f(T)x, y\rangle = k^2 \|y\|^2 \neq 0 .$$

Thus $g_t(T)$ is not independent of $t \in [0, 2\pi)$, and we have obtained a contradiction. This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 5. *$\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$ is a C^*-sub-algebra of $\mathscr{A}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $(g, h) \in \mathscr{E}$. Let M_g be the closed subspace of H_g which is generated by $\phi_g(\mathscr{B})x_g$. It follows from Lemma 4 that M_g is invariant under $\phi_g(\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}})$. When $T \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$, we shall write $\phi_g(T) \mid M_g$ for the operator (from M_g into M_g) obtained by restricting $\phi_g(T)$ to M_g. Similar notations will be used with h in place of g.

Given $T \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$ and $R, S \in \mathscr{B}$, we have (Lemma 3) $R^*TS \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$. Since $(g, h) \in \mathscr{E}$, it follows that $g(R^*TS) = h(R^*TS)$, or equivalently that

$$(2) \qquad \langle \phi_g(T)\phi_g(S)x_g,\ \phi_g(R)x_g\rangle = \langle \phi_h(T)\phi_h(S)x_h,\ \phi_h(R)x_h\rangle .$$

By taking $T = I$, we deduce the existence of a unitary operator U from M_g on to M_h such that

$$(3) \qquad U\phi_g(S)x_g = \phi_h(S)x_h \qquad (S \in \mathscr{B}) .$$

Equation (2) then implies that

$$\langle \phi_g(T)v, w\rangle = \langle \phi_h(T)Uv, Uw\rangle \qquad (T \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}})$$

for all $v, w \in \phi_g(\mathscr{B})x_g$, hence for all $v, w \in M_g$. The last equation is equivalent to

$$(4) \qquad \phi_g(T) \mid M_g = U^*[\phi_h(T) \mid M_h]U \qquad (T \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}) .$$

Now suppose that $T_1, T_2 \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$. Given $(g, h) \in \mathscr{E}$, construct U as above. Since $\phi_g(T_i)$ leaves M_g invariant ($i = 1, 2$), so does $\phi_g(T_1T_2)$, and

$$\phi_g(T_1T_2) \mid M_g = [\phi_g(T_1) \mid M_g][\phi_g(T_2) \mid M_g] ;$$

similar considerations apply with h in place of g. From (4), with $T = T_1, T_2$, we deduce that

$$\phi_g(T_1T_2) \mid M_g = U^*[\phi_h(T_1T_2)\, M_h]U .$$

Since $x_g \in M_g$ and $Ux_g = x_h$, the last equation implies that

$$\langle \phi_g(T_1T_2)x_g,\ x_g\rangle = \langle \phi_h(T_1T_2)x_h,\ x_h\rangle ;$$

that is, $g(T_1T_2) = h(T_1T_2)$. This holds whenever $(g, h) \in \mathscr{E}$, so $T_1T_2 \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$.

We have now shown that $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$ admits multiplication; since $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$ is clearly a closed self-adjoint linear subspace of $\mathscr{A}$, the lemma is proved.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. We shall use the notations introduced in the statement of Theorem 2. It is immediate from the definition of $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$ that $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$.

We first consider the case in which $I \in \mathscr{B}$, so that the theory developed in § 3 applies to show that $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$ is a C^*-algebra. We remark that each element f of the pure state space $\mathscr{P}(\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}})$ can be extended to an element $\bar{f}$ of $\mathscr{P}(\mathscr{A})$. For there is a net (f_i) of pure states of $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$, converging to f in the weak * topology. Each f_i can be extended to a pure state $\bar{f}_i$ of $\mathscr{A}$ (see, for example, [2] 304). Since $\mathscr{P}(\mathscr{A})$ is compact, the net $(\bar{f}_i)$ has at least one weak * limit point $\bar{f} \in \mathscr{P}(\mathscr{A})$, and $\bar{f}$ is an extension of f.

Suppose that $\mathscr{B} \neq \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$. Then by Glimm's theorem there exist distinct $g, h \in \mathscr{P}(\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}})$ such that $g(B) = h(B)$ $(B \in \mathscr{B})$. We may extend g, h to elements, $\bar{g}, \bar{h}$ respectively of $\mathscr{P}(\mathscr{A})$. Clearly $(\bar{g}, \bar{h}) \in \mathscr{E}$. Thus, by the definition of $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$, $\bar{g}(T) = \bar{h}(T)$ whenever $T \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$; that is, $g = h$, contrary to hypothesis. This proves Theorem 2 for the case in which $I \in \mathscr{B}$.

If $I \notin \mathscr{B}$, let $\mathscr{B}_1 = \mathscr{B} + \boldsymbol{C}I$ be the C^*-algebra generated by I, $\mathscr{B}$ ($\boldsymbol{C}$ denotes the complex field). With an obvious modification of the notation introduced in Theorem 2, it is clear that $\mathscr{N}(\mathscr{B}_1)$ is empty and that $\mathscr{E}(\mathscr{B}_1) = \mathscr{E}(\mathscr{B})$. Thus $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}} \subseteq \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}_1}$; since $I \in \mathscr{B}_1$, the first part of this proof shows that $\mathscr{B}_1 = \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}_1}$, so $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}} \subseteq \mathscr{B}_1$.

Now let f be the pure state of $\mathscr{B}_1$ defined by $f(\lambda I + B) = \lambda$ $(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C},\ B \in \mathscr{B})$, and let g be any extension of f to a pure state of $\mathscr{A}$. Clearly $g \in \mathscr{N}(\mathscr{B})$. Hence $g(\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}) = (0)$, and

$$\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}} \subseteq \mathscr{B}_1 \cap g^{-1}(0) = f^{-1}(0) ;$$

that is, $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}} \subseteq \mathscr{B}$. The reverse inclusion has already been noted, so $\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$.

REFFRENCES

1. J. Glimm, *A Stone-Weierstrass theorem for C*-algebras*, Ann. of Math. **72** (1960), 216-244.
2. M. A. Naimark, *Normed rings* (English translation), Noordhoff, Groningen, 1959.
3. M. H. Stone, *The generalised Weierstrass approximation theorem*, Math. Mag. **21** (1947), 167-184; (1948), 237-254.

UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE UPON TYNE, ENGLAND

c

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should by typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. No separate author's resumé is required. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is $18.00; single issues, $5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $8.00 per volume; single issues $2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

* Basil Gordon, Acting Managing Editor until February 1, 1966.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 15, No. 4 December, 1965