Pacific Journal of Mathematics

INVARIANT SPLITTING IN JORDAN AND ALTERNATIVE ALGEBRAS

EARL J. TAFT

Vol. 15, No. 4 December 1965

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 15, No. 4, 1965

INVARIANT SPLITTING IN JORDAN AND ALTERNATIVE ALGEBRAS

EARL J. TAFT

Let A be a finite-dimensional Jordan or alternative algebra over a field F of characteristic 0. Let N denote the radical of A. Then A possesses maximal semisimple subalgebras isomorphic to A/N, [5], [6], any two of which are strictly conjugate, [2], [9]. If G is a finite group of automorphisms and antiautomorphisms of A, then A possesses G-invariant maximal semisimple subalgebras, [10]. We investigate here the uniqueness question for such G-invariant maximal semisimple subalgebras. The result is that the strict conjugacy can be chosen to commute pointwise with G and to be in the enveloping associative algebra generated by the right and left multiplications in A.

Similar results have been obtained for associative algebras, [11], and Lie algebras, [12]. However, in the associative case, the conjugacy can be obtained in terms of adjoints of G-symmetric elements, i.e., elements left fixed by the automorphisms in G and sent into their negatives by the antiautomorphisms in G. In the Lie algebra case, one needs only to consider automorphisms, and the conjugacy is obtained in terms of adjoints of fixed points of G. In each case, the conjugacy is in the enveloping associative algebra of A. In both the Jordan and alternative cases, the automorphisms which occur would commute pointwise with G if the elements of A which occur in their formulation in terms of right and left multiplications were to be fixed points of G. However, we have not obtained the conjugacies in this form, and it seems to be an open question whether or not it is always possible to do so.

If G is assumed fully reducible, instead of finite, then A will also possess G-invariant maximal semisimple subalgebras. This is noted in the Jordan case in [4] when G contains only automorphisms, and the same proof can be extended to cover the alternative case, even if G also contains antiautomorphisms. We have answered the uniqueness question for the similar situation in the associative and Lie cases, [13]. For the Jordan and alternative case, the problem seems more complicated. We note here that it is easily answered if $N^2 = 0$, with the strict conjugacy commuting pointwise with G. However, the general question remains open.

Received July 15, 1964. Research supported by the National Science Foundation under grant NSF-GP1239 and by the Research Council of Rutgers, The State University.

2. **Preliminaries.** If $a \in A$, we let R_a and L_a stand for right and left multiplication by a, i.e., $xR_a = xa$, $xL_a = ax$. The following two lemmas are easily proved by straightforward calculation.

LEMMA 1. *Let g be an automorphism of A. Then $g^{-1}R_a g = R_{ag}$ and $g^{-1}L_a g = L_{ag}$.*

LEMMA 2. *Let g be an antiautomorphism of A. Then $g^{-1}R_a g = L_{ag}$, $g^{-1}L_a g = R_{ag}$.*

A derivation of A will be called inner if it is in the enveloping Lie algebra generated by the right and left multiplications in A, [7]. We will have occasion to use the following types of inner derivations. If A is Jordan, and $x, s \in A$, then $[R_x, R_s] = R_xR_s - R_sR_x$ is an inner derivation of A which, for $x \in N$, will be a nilpotent element of the radical of the enveloping associative algebra generated by multiplications in A by elements of A, [1], [2], [8]. If A is alternative, and $s, x \in A$, then $D_{s,x} = [R_s, R_x] + [L_s, R_x] + [L_s, L_x]$ is an inner derivation of A which, for $x \in N$, will be a nilpotent element of the radical of the enveloping associative algebra generated by the left and right multiplications of A, [7], [9].

LEMMA 3. *If A is alternative, $a, b \in A$, then $[R_a, L_b] = [L_a, R_b]$, and $D_{a,b} = -D_{b,a}$.*

Proof. $x[R_a, L_b] = b(xa) - (bx)a = -(b, x, a)$, where $(b, x, a) = (bx)a - b(xa)$ is the associator of b, x, and a. Also $x[L_a, R_b] = (ax)b - a(xb) = (a, x, b)$. The first part of Lemma 3 follows from the skew-symmetry of the associator function. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D_{b,a} &= [R_b, R_a] + [L_b, R_a] + [L_b, L_a] \\ &= -[R_a, R_b] - [R_a, L_b] - [L_a, L_b] \\ &= -[R_a, R_b] - [L_a, R_b] - [L_a, L_b] = -D_{a,b} . \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4. *Let A be Jordan, and g an automorphism of A. Then $g^{-1}[R_a, R_b]g = [R_{ag}, R_{bg}]$.*

This is immediate from Lemma 1.

LEMMA 5. *Let A be alternative, and g an automorphism or antiautomorphism of A. Then $g^{-1}D_{a,b}g = D_{ag,bg}$.*

Proof. This is clear from Lemma 1 if g is an automorphism. Let g be an antiautomorphism. Then, using Lemma 2, $g^{-1}D_{a,b}g = [L_{ag}, L_{bg}] + [R_{ag}, L_{bg}] + [R_{ag}, R_{bg}] = D_{ag,bg}$ by Lemma 3.

If D is a nilpotent derivation of A, then $\exp D = I + D + (D^2/2!) + \cdots$ is an automorphism of A. We assume familiarity with the Campbell-Hausdorff formula, [3], $(\exp D_1)(\exp D_2) = \exp D_3$, where D_3 is in the Lie algebra generated by D_1 and D_2.

3. The Jordan case.

THEOREM 1. *Let A be a finite-dimensional Jordan algebra over a field F of characteristic 0. Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of A. Let S be a G-invariant maximal semisimple subalgebra of A. Let T be a G-invariant semisimple subalgebra of A. Then there exists an automorphism $U = \exp D$ of A such that*

(1) *U maps T into S,*

(2) *D (and hence U) commutes pointwise with G,*

(3) *D is a nilpotent inner derivation of A which is in the radical of the enveloping associative algebra of A.*

Proof. Let N denote the radical of A. Let s and n denote the projections of the vector space $A = S \oplus N$ onto S and N respectively. Then s and n are linear mappings such that

(i) $s(t_1t_2) = s(t_1)s(t_2)$

(ii) $n(t_1t_2) = s(t_1)n(t_2) + n(t_1)s(t_2) + n(t_1)n(t_2)$

(iii) $s(tg) = s(t)g, \qquad n(tg) = n(t)g$

for $t_1, t_2, t \in T$, $g \in G$.

(i) and (ii) follow since N is an ideal. (iii) follows from the invariance of T, S and N under G.

Now set $N_1 = N$, $N_i = N_{i-1}^2 + AN_{i-1}^2$. By [5], the N_i form a nonincreasing sequence of ideals terminating in 0. Now $T_1 = T \subseteqq A = S + N_1$. Suppose that we have found automorphisms $U_0 = \exp 0$, $U_1 = \exp D_1, \cdots, U_{i-1} = \exp(D_{i-1})$ of A satisfying (2) and (3) of Theorem 1 such that $T_i = TU_0U_1 \cdots U_{i-1} \subseteqq S + N_i$. Then we will show that there exists an automorphism U_i of A satisfying (2) and (3) of Theorem 1 such that $T_iU_i \subseteqq S + N_{i+1}$. Hence if $N_k = 0$, then $U = U_0U_1 \cdots U_{k-1}$ will be the desired automorphism by the Campbell-Hausdorff formula.

Now T_i is a G-invariant semisimple subalgebra of A, so that (i), (ii), (iii) hold for $t_1, t_2, t \in T_i$. Consider the space $N_i \mid N_{i+1}$. We consider this as a T_i-module by defining $t \cdot \bar{n} = \bar{n} \cdot t = \overline{ns(t)}$ for $n \in N_i$, $t \in T_i$. Then by (ii), we have

(iv) $\overline{n(t_1t_2)} = \overline{n(t_1)} \cdot t_2 + t_1 \cdot \overline{n(t_2)}$.

(iv) says that the map $t \to \overline{n(t)}$ is a derivation of T_i into the module $N_i \mid N_{i+1}$. Hence, by [2], there exist elements $x_1, \cdots, x_p$ in $N_i, t_1, \cdots, t_p \in T_i$ such that

(v) $\overline{n(t)} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} ((\bar{x}_j \cdot t) \cdot t_j - \bar{x}_j \cdot (tt_j))$ for $t \in T_i$ i.e.,

$$\overline{n(t)} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \overline{(x_j s(t))s(t_j)} - \overline{x_j s(tt_j)} .$$

Using (i), we have

(vi) $n(t) \equiv s(t) \sum_{j=1}^{p} [R_{x_j}, R_{s(t_j)}] \pmod{N_{i+1}}$ for $t \in T_i$.

Let $g \in G$. Then

$$[R_{x_j g}, R_{s(t_j)g}] = g^{-1}[R_{x_j}, R_{s(t_j)}]g$$

by Lemma 4. Hence

$$s(t) \sum_{j=1}^{p} [R_{x_j g}, R_{s(t_j)g}] = s(t)g^{-1}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p} [R_{x_j}, R_{s(t_j)}]\Big)g$$

$$= s(tg^{-1})\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p} [R_{x_j}, R_{s(t_j)}]\Big)g \equiv n(tg^{-1})g = n(t) \pmod{N_{i+1}} .$$

It follows that if we set $D_i = -(1/m) \sum_{g \in G} (\sum_{j=1}^{p} [R_{x_j g}, R_{s(t_j)g}])$, where m is the order of G, then

(vii) $n(t) \equiv -s(t)D_i \pmod{N_{i+1}}$ for $t \in T_i$.

Now D_i clearly satisfies (3) of the Theorem, since the $x_j g \in N$. To see that D_i satisfies (2) of the Theorem, we fix a value of j. Then $\sum_{g \in G} [R_{x_j g}, R_{s(t_j)g}] = \sum_{g \in G} g^{-1}[R_{x_j}, R_{s(t_j)}]g$ clearly commutes pointwise with G. Hence so does D_i, which is a linear combination of such mappings.

Finally, set $U_i = \exp D_i$. If $t \in T_i$, then $tU_i = t + tD_i + (t/2)D_i^2 + \cdots = s(t) + n(t) + s(t)D_i + n(t)D_i + (t/2)D_i^2 + \cdots$.

Now $n(t) \in N_i$, so that $n(t)D_i \in N_{i+1}$. Also, since the $x_1, \cdots, x_p \in N_i$, we have that $(t/2)D_i^2 + \cdots \in N_{i+1}$. Therefore

$$tU_i \equiv s(t) + n(t) + s(t)D_i \pmod{N_{i+1}}$$

$$\equiv s(t) \pmod{N_{i+1}} \text{ by (vii).}$$

Hence $T_i U_i \subseteq S + N_{i+1}$. This completes the proof of the Theorem.

COROLLARY 1. *Let A be a finite-dimensional Jordan algebra over a field of characteristic 0. Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of A. Let S and T be G-invariant maximal semisimple subalgebras of A. Then S and T are strictly conjugate via an automorphism of A of the type described in Theorem* 1.

COROLLARY 2. *Let A and G be as in Corollary* 1. *Let T be any G-invariant semisimple subalgebra of A. Then T is contained in a G-invariant maximal semisimple subalgebra of A.*

Corollary 1 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1. Corollary 2 follows from the existence of a G-invariant maximal semisimple

subalgebra S of A. For then if U is an automorphism of A which maps T into S, and which commutes with G pointwise, it follows that SU^{-1} is a G-invariant maximal semisimple subalgebra of A which contains T.

4. The alternative case.

THEOREM 2. *Let A be a finite-dimensional alternative algebra over a field F of characteristic 0. Let G be a finite group of automorphisms and antiautomorphism of A. Let S be a G-invariant maximal semisimple subalgebra of A. Let T be a semisimple subalgebra of A. Then there exists an automorphism $U = \exp D$ of A such that*

(1) *U maps T into S,*

(2) *D (and hence U) commutes pointwise with G,*

(3) *D is a nilpotent inner derivation of A which is in the radical of the enveloping associative algebra of A.*

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 1. We define s and n as in Theorem 1, but use $N_i = N^i$ instead. We consider $N^i \mid N^{i+1}$ as a two-sided T_i-module by $t \cdot \bar{n} = \overline{s(t)n}$ and $\bar{n} \cdot t = \overline{ns(t)}$. Then (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) are valid. Hence, by [9], there exist elements $x_1, \cdots, x_p \in N^i$ and $t_1, \cdots, t_p \in T_i$ such that

(v) $\overline{n(t)} = t \sum_{j=1}^{p} D_{t_j, \bar{x}_j}$ for $t \in T_i$

where $D_{t_j \bar{x}_j}$ is the inner derivation $[R_{t_j}, R_{\bar{x}_j}] + [L_{t_j}, R_{\bar{x}_j}] + [L_{t_j}, L_{\bar{x}_j}]$ of T_i into its two-sided module $N^i \mid N^{i+1}$. As in Theorem 1, we obtain

(vi) $n(t) \equiv s(t) \sum_{j=1}^{p} D_{s(t_j), x_j} (\mathrm{mod}\, N^{i+1})$ for $t \in T_i$,

where $D_{s(t_j), x_j}$ is the inner derivation $[R_{s(t_j)}, R_{x_j}] + [L_{s(t_j)}, R_{x_j}] + [L_{s(t_j)}, L_{x_j}]$ of A.

Now let $g \in G$. Then by Lemma 5, we have $g^{-1}(D_{s(t_j), x_j})g = D_{s(t_j)g, x_j g}$. Hence, for any $g \in G$, $s(t) \sum_{j=1}^{p} D_{s(t_j)g, x_j g} = s(t)g^{-1}(\sum_{j=1}^{p} D_{s(t_j), x_j})g = s(tg^{-1})(\sum_{j=1}^{p} D_{s(t_j), x_j})g \equiv n(t) (\mathrm{mod}\, N^{i+1})$ by (iii) and (v).

Now set $D_i = -(1/m) \sum_{g \in G} (\sum_{j=1}^{p} D_{s(t_j)g, x_j g})$, where m is the order of G. Then we have

(vii) $n(t) \equiv -s(t)D_i (\mathrm{mod}\, N^{i+1})$ for $t \in T_i$.

D_i satisfies (3) of the Theorem since the $x_j g \in N$. To see that D_i satisfies (2) of the Theorem, we fix a value of j. Then $\sum_{g \in G} D_{s(t_j)g, x_j g} = \sum_{g \in G} g^{-1} D_{s(t_j), x_j} g$ commutes pointwise with G. Hence so does D_i, which is a linear combination of such mappings.

Now we set $U_i = \exp D_i$, and get that $T_i U_i \subseteq S + N^{i+1}$ as in Theorem 1. Finally, we put $U = U_0 U_1 \cdots U_{k-1}$, where $N^k = 0$, and use the Campbell-Hausdorff formula to complete the proof of the

Theorem.

As in the Jordan case, we have the following two corollaries of Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 1. *Let A be a finite-dimensional alternative algebra over a field of characteristic 0. Let G be a finite group of automorphisms and antiautomorphisms of A. Let S and T be G-invariant maximal semisimple subalgebras of A. Then S and T are strictly conjugate via an automorphism of A of the type described in Theorem 2.*

COROLLARY 2. *Let A and G be an in Corollary 1. Let T be any G-invariant semisimple subalgebra of A. Then T is contained in a G-invariant maximal semisimple subalgebra of A.*

5. **The fully reducible case.** Let A be a finite-dimensional Jordan or alternative algebra over a field of characteristic zero. If G is a fully reducible group of automorphisms and antiautomorphisms of A, then it follows from [4] that G will leave invariant a maximal semisimple subalgebra of A. The analogue of Corollaries 1 has not been answered as yet for this case. However, if $N^2 = 0$, then any automorphism of the form described in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 which carries a G-invariant maximal semisimple subalgebra T onto another one, S, is unique, and hence will commute pointwise with G.

For let $U_1 = \exp D_1$, $U_2 = \exp D_2$ be of this form and both map T onto S. Then $D_1^2 = D_2^2 = 0$, so that $U_1 = I + D_1$, $U_2 = I + D_2$. If $t \in T$, then $tU_1 = t + tD_1 \in S$ and $tU_2 = t + tD_2 \in S$. Hence their difference $tD_1 - tD_2 \in S \cap N = 0$, since D_1 and D_2 have range in N. Hence $D_1 = D_2$ on T. Also D_1 and D_2 are both 0 on N since $N^2 = 0$. Hence $D_1 = D_2$ since $A = T + N$.

Now let $g \in G$. Then $g^{-1}U_1 g = I + g^{-1}D_1 g$ will map T onto S and $g^{-1}D_1 g$ is a derivation of square zero having range in N. Hence, by the above, $g^{-1}D_1 g = D_1$, that is, D_1, and hence U_1, commutes pointwise with G.

REFERENCES

1. N. Jacobson, *Derivation algebras and multiplication algebras of semisimple Jordan algebras*, Ann. Math. (2), **50** (1949), 866-874.

2. ———, *General representation theory of Jordan algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1951), 509-530.

3. ———, *Lie Algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962.

4. G. D. Mostow, *Fully reducible subgroups of algebraic groups*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 200-221.

5. A. J. Penice, *The Wedderburn principal theorem for Jordan algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1951), 404-421.

6. R. D. Schafer, *The Wedderburn principal theorem for alternative algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 604-614.
7. ———, *Inner derivations of non-associative algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 769-776.
8. ———, *A theorem on the derivations of Jordan algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 290-294.
9. ———, *Representations of alternative algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1952), 1-17.
10. E. J. Taft, *Invariant Wedderburn factors*, Illinois J. Math. **1** (1957), 565-573.
11. ———, *Uniqueness of invariant Wedderburn factors*, Illinois J. Math. **6** (1962), 353-356.
12. ———, *Invariant Levi factors*, Michigan Math. J. **9** (1962), 65-68.
13. ———, *Orthogonal conjugacies in associative and Lie algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1964), 18-29.

RUTGERS, THE STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. M. BLUMENTHAL
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

*RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should by typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. No separate author's resumé is required. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is $18.00; single issues, $5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $8.00 per volume; single issues $2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

* Basil Gordon, Acting Managing Editor until February 1, 1966.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 15, No. 4 December, 1965

Robert James Blattner, *Group extension representations and the structure space* 1101

Glen Eugene Bredon, *On the continuous image of a singular chain complex* 1115

David Hilding Carlson, *On real eigenvalues of complex matrices* 1119

Hsin Chu, *Fixed points in a transformation group* 1131

Howard Benton Curtis, Jr., *The uniformizing function for certain simply connected Riemann surfaces* 1137

George Wesley Day, *Free complete extensions of Boolean algebras* 1145

Edward George Effros, *The Borel space of von Neumann algebras on a separable Hilbert space* 1153

Michel Mendès France, *A set of nonnormal numbers* 1165

Jack L. Goldberg, *Polynomials orthogonal over a denumerable set* 1171

Frederick Paul Greenleaf, *Norm decreasing homomorphisms of group algebras* 1187

Fletcher Gross, *The 2-length of a finite solvable group* 1221

Kenneth Myron Hoffman and Arlan Bruce Ramsay, *Algebras of bounded sequences* 1239

James Patrick Jans, *Some aspects of torsion* 1249

Laura Ketchum Kodama, *Boundary measures of analytic differentials and uniform approximation on a Riemann surface* 1261

Alan G. Konheim and Benjamin Weiss, *Functions which operate on characteristic functions* 1279

Ronald John Larsen, *Almost invariant measures* 1295

You-Feng Lin, *Generalized character semigroups: The Schwarz decomposition* 1307

Justin Thomas Lloyd, *Representations of lattice-ordered groups having a basis* 1313

Thomas Graham McLaughlin, *On relative coimmunity* 1319

Mitsuru Nakai, *Φ-bounded harmonic functions and classification of Riemann surfaces* 1329

L. G. Novoa, *On n-ordered sets and order completeness* 1337

Fredos Papangelou, *Some considerations on convergence in abelian lattice-groups* 1347

Frank Albert Raymond, *Some remarks on the coefficients used in the theory of homology manifolds* 1365

John R. Ringrose, *On sub-algebras of a C^*-algebra* 1377

Jack Max Robertson, *Some topological properties of certain spaces of differentiable homeomorphisms of disks and spheres* 1383

Zalman Rubinstein, *Some results in the location of zeros of polynomials* 1391

Arthur Argyle Sagle, *On simple algebras obtained from homogeneous general Lie triple systems* 1397

Hans Samelson, *On small maps of manifolds* 1401

Annette Sinclair, *$|\varepsilon(z)|$-closeness of approximation* 1405

Edsel Ford Stiel, *Isometric immersions of manifolds of nonnegative constant sectional curvature* 1415

Earl J. Taft, *Invariant splitting in Jordan and alternative algebras* 1421

L. E. Ward, *On a conjecture of R. J. Koch* 1429

Neil Marchand Wigley, *Development of the mapping function at a corner* 1435

Horace C. Wiser, *Embedding a circle of trees in the plane* 1463

Adil Mohamed Yaqub, *Ring-logics and residue class rings* 1465

John W. Lamperti and Patrick Colonel Suppes, *Correction to: Chains of infinite order and their application to learning theory* 1471

Charles Vernon Coffman, *Correction to: Non-linear differential equations on cones in Banach spaces* 1472

P. H. Doyle, III, *Correction to: A sufficient condition that an arc in S^n be cellular* 1474

P. P. Saworotnow, *Correction to: On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra* 1474

Basil Gordon, *Correction to: A generalization of the coset decomposition of a finite group* 1474