

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A CERTAIN CLASS OF POLYNOMIALS

RUTH GOODMAN

A CERTAIN CLASS OF POLYNOMIALS

RUTH GOODMAN

In generalizing Grace's Theorem on apolar polynomials, it was convenient (see reference 1) to use a set of relations among the coefficients of a pair of polynomials which is invariant under nonsingular linear transformations of the polynomials. Other invariant relations among their coefficients will define other classes of pairs of polynomials. The present paper establishes a set of relations which is both necessary and sufficient to guarantee that two polynomials of degree n either have a common zero of multiplicity at least $n - 1$ or have their zeros all lying on one circle and so related that if the zeros of one polynomial are transformed, by a linear transformation, into the n th roots of $+1$ then the zeros of the other are carried, by the same transformation, into the n th roots of -1 .

A generalization of Grace's theorem [2] on apolar polynomials [1] makes use of a set of relations among the coefficients of a pair of polynomials. An important feature of this set of relations is its invariance under nonsingular linear fractional transformations of the polynomials. Other sets of relations which are similarly invariant will define other classes of polynomials. It is the purpose of this paper to investigate one such class.

For a discussion of Grace's theorem and related results, as well as an extensive bibliography, the reader is referred to Marden's volume [4] in the Mathematical Surveys series.

Let the complex polynomials

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} a_j z^j, \\ g(z) &= \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} b_j z^j \end{aligned}$$

be given. Application of the linear transformation

$$z = \frac{\alpha w + \beta}{\gamma w + \delta} \quad (\beta\gamma - \alpha\delta = 1)$$

to these polynomials gives the transformed polynomials

$$F(w) = (\gamma w + \delta)^n f\left(\frac{\alpha w + \beta}{\gamma w + \delta}\right) = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} A_r w^r,$$

$$G(w) = (\gamma w + \delta)^n g\left(\frac{\alpha w + \beta}{\gamma w + \delta}\right) = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} B_r w^r .$$

LEMMA. *The conditions*

$$(2a) \quad a_0 b_j + a_j b_0 - a_s b_{j-s} - a_{j-s} b_s = 0 \\ (s = 1, \dots, \left[\frac{j}{2}\right]; j = 2, \dots, n) ,$$

$$(2b) \quad a_j b_n + a_n b_j - a_s b_{n+j-s} - a_{n+j-s} b_s = 0 \\ (s = j + 1, \dots, \left[\frac{n+j}{2}\right]; j = 1, \dots, n - 2)$$

are invariant under nonsingular linear transformations of the polynomials (1).

Proof. Any nonsingular linear transformation is equivalent to a succession of transformations of the types

$$z = \mu w \quad (\mu \neq 0) ,$$

$$z = \frac{1}{w} ,$$

$$z = w + \mu .$$

Hence we can prove that the set of conditions under consideration is invariant under a general nonsingular linear transformation by showing that it is invariant under each of these three types.

Suppose the polynomials (1) satisfy conditions (2a) and (2b).

If $z = \mu w$ is applied to (1), the transformed polynomials have coefficients

$$A_r = \mu^r a_r , \\ B_r = \mu^r b_r \quad (r = 0, \dots, n) .$$

Thus

$$A_0 B_j + A_j B_0 - A_s B_{j-s} - A_{j-s} B_s = \mu^j (a_0 b_j + a_j b_0 - a_s b_{j-s} - a_{j-s} b_s) = 0 \\ (s = 1, \dots, \left[\frac{j}{2}\right]; j = 2, \dots, n) .$$

Similarly

$$A_j B_n + A_n B_j - A_s B_{n+j-s} - A_{n+j-s} B_s = \mu^{j+n} (a_j b_n + a_n b_j \\ - a_s b_{n+j-s} - a_{n+j-s} b_s) = 0 \\ (s = j + 1, \dots, \left[\frac{n+j}{2}\right]; j = 1, \dots, n - 2) .$$

When $z = 1/w$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_r &= a_{n-r}, \\ B_r &= b_{n-r} \end{aligned} \quad (r = 0, \dots, n).$$

so that the left sides of conditions (2a) for the transformed polynomials are

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 B_j + A_j B_0 - A_s B_{j-s} - A_{j-s} B_s \\ = a_n b_{n-j} + a_{n-j} b_n - a_{n-s} b_{n-j+s} - a_{n-j+s} b_{n-s} \end{aligned} \quad (s = 1, \dots, \left[\frac{j}{2} \right]; j = 2, \dots, n).$$

With $j' = n - j$ and $s' = j' + s$, these become

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad a_n b_{j'} + a_{j'} b_n - a_{n-s'+j'} b_{s'} - a_{s'} b_{n-s'-j'} \\ (s' = j' + 1, \dots, j' + \left[\frac{n-j'}{2} \right]; j' = n - 2, \dots, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Since j' is an integer,

$$j' + \left[\frac{n-j'}{2} \right] = \left[j' + \frac{n-j'}{2} \right] = \left[\frac{j'+n}{2} \right].$$

Thus the expressions (3) for which $j' \neq 0$ are exactly the left sides of equations (2b), whence they vanish. Using $j' = 0$ in (3) gives

$$a_n b_0 + a_0 b_n - a_{n-s} b_s - a_s b_{n-s} \quad \left(s = 1, \dots, \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] \right)$$

and these expressions are the left sides of (2a) with $j = n$, so that they also vanish. Thus conditions (2a) all hold for the transformed polynomials. Now consider conditions (2b). We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_j B_n + A_n B_j - A_s B_{n+j-s} - A_{n+j-s} B_s \\ = a_{n-j} b_0 + a_0 b_{n-j} - a_{n-s} b_{s-j} - a_{s-j} b_{n-s} \end{aligned} \quad (s = j + 1, \dots, \left[\frac{n+j}{2} \right]; j = 1, \dots, n - 2).$$

With $j' = n - j$ and $s' = s - n + j'$, these become

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad a_{j'} b_0 + a_0 b_{j'} - a_{j'-s'} b_{s'} - a_{s'} b_{j'-s} \\ (s' = 1, \dots, \left[n - \frac{j'}{2} \right] - n + j'; j' = n - 1, \dots, 2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $-n + j'$ is an integer, we have

$$\left[n - \frac{j'}{2} \right] - n + j' = \left[n - \frac{j'}{2} - n + j' \right] = \left[\frac{j'}{2} \right].$$

Thus the expressions (4) are the left sides of equations (2a) with $j \neq n$, and therefore they vanish. We have now seen that the entire set of conditions (2a) and (2b) is invariant under the inversion $z = 1/w$.

We have left to show that the conditions of the lemma are invariant under the translation $z = w + \mu$. Under this transformation, the polynomials (1) are carried [1] into

$$F(w) = f(w + \mu) = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} A_r w^r ,$$

$$G(w) = g(w + \mu) = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} B_r w^r ,$$

where

$$A_r = \sum_{j=r}^n \binom{n-r}{j-r} a_j \mu^{j-r} ,$$

$$B_r = \sum_{j=r}^n \binom{n-r}{j-r} b_j \mu^{j-r} \quad (r = 0, \dots, n) .$$

Thus we have

$$A_r B_s + A_s B_r = \sum_{j=r}^n \sum_{k=s}^n \binom{n-r}{j-r} \binom{n-s}{k-s} (a_j b_k + a_k b_j) \mu^{j+k-r-s}$$

$$(r = 0, \dots, n; s = 0, \dots, n) .$$

Equations (2a) and (2b) can clearly be rewritten so that their left sides are all of form

$$A_r B_s + A_s B_r - A_{r+1} B_{s-1} - A_{s-1} B_{r+1}$$

$$= \sum_{j=r}^n \sum_{k=s}^n \binom{n-r}{j-r} \binom{n-s}{k-s} (a_j b_k + a_k b_j) \mu^{j+k-r-s}$$

$$- \sum_{j=r+1}^n \sum_{k=s-1}^n \binom{n-r-1}{j-r-1} \binom{n-s+1}{k-s+1} (a_j b_k + a_k b_j) \mu^{j+k-r-s} .$$

Using the well known fact that the binomial coefficients satisfy the relations

$$\binom{n}{0} = \binom{n}{n} = 1 ,$$

$$\binom{n}{h} = \binom{n-1}{h-1} + \binom{n-1}{h} \quad (h = 1, \dots, n-1) ,$$

we can write this last expression as follows:

$$\sum_{j=r}^{n-1} \sum_{k=s}^n \binom{n-r-1}{j-r} \binom{n-s}{k-s} (a_j b_k + a_k b_j - a_{j+1} b_{k-1} - a_{k-1} b_{j+1}) \mu^{j+k-r-s} .$$

Thus the left side of every equation (2a) and (2b) for the transformed polynomials is a linear combination of the left sides of the original equations (2a) and (2b). Hence they all vanish and the conditions are invariant under translations.

THEOREM. *A necessary and sufficient condition that there exists a nonsingular linear transformation which carries the polynomials (1), with $n \geq 2$, either into the pair*

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(w^n + 1) , \\ c_2(w^n - 1) \end{aligned}$$

or into the pair

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 w^n , \\ c_2(w^n + Bw^{n-1}) , \end{aligned}$$

where $c_1 \neq 0$, $c_2 \neq 0$ and B are constants, is that the coefficients of (1) satisfy conditions (2a) and (2b).

Proof. Since changing a polynomial by a multiplicative constant does not affect its zeros, we may, without loss of generality, assume $c_1 = c_2 = 1$.

To prove the necessity, let us assume first that (1) are transformable into $w^n + 1$ and $w^n - 1$. Since (2a) and (2b) are invariant under linear transformations, it is sufficient to check that they hold for the transformed polynomials. Since $A_n = B_n = 1$, $A_0 = 1$ and $B_0 = -1$ are the only nonvanishing coefficients, the only equations among (2a) and (2b) which have nonvanishing terms are

$$A_0 B_n + A_n B_0 - A_s B_{n-s} - A_{n-s} B_s = A_0 B_n + A_n B_0 = 0 .$$

If (1) are transformable into w^n and $w^n + Bw^{n-1}$, the only nonvanishing coefficients are $A_n = B_n = 1$ and $B_{n-1} = B/n$. Since the product $A_n B_{n-1}$ is the only nonvanishing $A_j B_k$ and since it does not occur in any of the equations (2a) or (2b), all the equations are satisfied.

The proof of the sufficiency will be by mathematical induction.

Let $n = 2$ and suppose one of the polynomials, say $f(z)$, has distinct zeros. These can be transformed into the points $w = 1$ and $w = -1$, so that $f(z)$ is carried into

$$F(w) = w^2 - 1 ,$$

with $A_2 = 1$, $A_1 = 0$, $A_0 = -1$. If $g(z)$ has a zero distinct from those of $f(z)$, the same transformation can carry this zero into $w = i$, so that $g(z)$ is transformed into

$$G(w) = B_2 w^2 + 2B_1 w + B_0 ,$$

where

$$G(i) = -B_2 + 2B_1 i + B_0 = 0 .$$

The coefficients of $F(w)$ and $G(w)$ now satisfy

$$0 = A_0 B_2 + A_2 B_0 - 2A_1 B_1 = -2B_1 i .$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= 0 , \\ B_0 &= B_2 , \\ G(w) &= B_2(w^2 + 1) , \end{aligned}$$

as we want. Suppose now that $f(z)$ has distinct zeros, but that both zeros of $g(z)$ are also zeros of $f(z)$. We can transform the zeros of $f(z)$ into $w = 0$ and $w = -1$, at the same time taking one zero of $g(z)$ into $w = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(w) &= w^2 + w , \\ G(w) &= B_2 w^2 + 2B_1 w , \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$0 = A_0 B_2 + A_2 B_0 - 2A_1 B_1 = -2B_1 .$$

Thus $B_1 = 0$ and $F(w)$ and $G(w)$ are of one of the specified forms. If neither polynomial has distinct zeros, we can transform $f(z)$ into

$$F(w) = w^2 .$$

We have

$$G(w) = B_2 w^2 + 2B_1 w + B_0 ,$$

with coefficients which satisfy

$$0 = A_0 B_2 + A_2 B_0 - 2A_1 B_1 = B_0 .$$

Thus

$$G(w) = B_2 w^2 + 2B_1 w ,$$

and $F(w)$ and $G(w)$ are again of one of the specified forms.

Now assume that $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are of degree n and that the theorem is known to hold for polynomials of degree $n - 1$. The derivatives

$$f'(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} j a_j z^{j-1} = n \sum_{j'=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j'} a_{j'+1} z^{j'} ,$$

$$g'(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} j b_j z^{j-1} = n \sum_{j'=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j'} b_{j'+1} z^{j'}$$

are $(n - 1)$ st degree polynomials which satisfy conditions (2a) and (2b). Thus $f'(z)$ and $g'(z)$ are the transforms of either

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} F(w) &= w^{n-1} - 1, \\ G(w) &= w^{n-1} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} F(w) &= w^{n-1}, \\ G(w) &= w^{n-1} + Bw^{n-2} \end{aligned}$$

under a linear transformation

$$w = \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \delta} \quad (\beta\gamma - \alpha\delta = 1).$$

Suppose $f'(z)$ and $g'(z)$ are the transforms of (5). Then

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} f'(z) &= (\gamma z + \delta)^{n-1} F\left(\frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \delta}\right) = (\alpha z + \beta)^{n-1} - (\gamma z + \delta)^{n-1}, \\ g'(z) &= (\gamma z + \delta)^{n-1} G\left(\frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \delta}\right) = (\alpha z + \beta)^{n-1} + (\gamma z + \delta)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta\gamma - \alpha\delta \neq 0$, the coefficients α and γ cannot vanish simultaneously. Suppose $\gamma = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z) &= (\alpha z + \beta)^{n-1} - \delta^{n-1}, \\ g'(z) &= (\alpha z + \beta)^{n-1} + \delta^{n-1}, \\ f(z) &= \frac{1}{n\alpha} (\alpha z + \beta)^n - \delta^{n-1}z + h, \\ g(z) &= \frac{1}{n\alpha} (\alpha z + \beta)^n + \delta^{n-1}z + k, \end{aligned}$$

where h and k are constants of integration. The coefficients of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= \frac{\beta^n}{n\alpha} + h, \\ b_0 &= \frac{\beta^n}{n\alpha} + k, \\ a_1 &= \frac{\beta^{n-1}}{n} - \frac{\delta^{n-1}}{n}, \\ b_1 &= \frac{\beta^{n-1}}{n} + \frac{\delta^{n-1}}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

$$a_j = b_j = \frac{\alpha^{j-1}\beta^{n-j}}{n} \quad (j = 2, \dots, n).$$

Equations (2a) and (2b) now give

$$0 = \alpha_0 b_n + \alpha_n b_0 - \alpha_1 b_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-1} b_1 = \frac{\alpha^{n-1}}{n} (h + k).$$

Since $\alpha \neq 0$, we must have $k = -h$. We also have

$$0 = \alpha_0 b_2 + \alpha_2 b_0 - 2\alpha_1 b_1 = \frac{2\delta^{2n-2}}{n^2}.$$

Thus we have $\delta = 0$, so that γ and δ vanish simultaneously. Since $\beta\gamma - \alpha\delta \neq 0$, this cannot happen. Hence $\gamma \neq 0$. If $\alpha = 0$, $\gamma \neq 0$, we can proceed similarly:

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z) &= \beta^{n-1} - (\gamma z + \delta)^{n-1}, \\ g'(z) &= \beta^{n-1} + (\gamma z + \delta)^{n-1}, \\ f(z) &= h + \beta^{n-1}z - \frac{1}{\gamma n} (\gamma z + \delta)^n, \\ g(z) &= k + \beta^{n-1}z + \frac{1}{\gamma n} (\gamma z + \delta)^n, \\ \alpha_0 &= h - \frac{\delta^n}{n\gamma}, \\ b_0 &= k + \frac{\delta^n}{n\gamma}, \\ \alpha_1 &= \frac{\beta^{n-1}}{n} - \frac{\delta^{n-1}}{n}, \\ b_1 &= \frac{\beta^{n-1}}{n} + \frac{\delta^{n-1}}{n}, \\ \alpha_j &= -\frac{\gamma^{j-1}\delta^{n-j}}{n} \quad (j = 2, \dots, n), \\ b_j &= -\alpha_j \quad (j = 2, \dots, n). \\ 0 &= \alpha_0 b_n + \alpha_n b_0 - \alpha_1 b_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-1} b_1 = \frac{\gamma^{n-1}}{n} (k - h), \\ h &= k, \\ 0 &= \alpha_0 b_2 + \alpha_2 b_0 - 2\alpha_1 b_1 = -\frac{2\beta^{2n-2}}{n^2}, \\ \beta &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Again, since $\beta\gamma - \alpha\delta \neq 0$, α and β cannot vanish simultaneously. Thus $\alpha \neq 0$. Now we have $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\gamma \neq 0$, and integration of (7) gives

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{n\alpha} (\alpha z + \beta)^n - \frac{1}{n\gamma} (\gamma z + \delta)^n + h, \\ g(z) &= \frac{1}{n\alpha} (\alpha z + \beta)^n + \frac{1}{n\gamma} (\gamma z + \delta)^n + k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (\alpha^{j-1} \beta^{n-j} - \gamma^{j-1} \delta^{n-j}) z^j + h, \\ g(z) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (\alpha^{j-1} \beta^{n-j} + \gamma^{j-1} \delta^{n-j}) z^j + k, \end{aligned}$$

and the coefficients of these polynomials are

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= p_0 + h, \\ b_0 &= q_0 + k, \\ a_j &= p_j, \\ b_j &= q_j \end{aligned} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p_j &= \frac{1}{n} (\alpha^{j-1} \beta^{n-j} - \gamma^{j-1} \delta^{n-j}), \\ q_j &= \frac{1}{n} (\alpha^{j-1} \beta^{n-j} + \gamma^{j-1} \delta^{n-j}) \quad (j = 0, \dots, n-1), \\ p_n &= \frac{1}{n} (\alpha^{n-1} - \gamma^{n-1}), \\ q_n &= \frac{1}{n} (\alpha^{n-1} + \gamma^{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

(Note that p_n and q_n need to be written separately only if $\beta = 0$ or $\delta = 0$.) Now we observe that

$$p_j q_k + p_k q_j = \frac{2}{n^2} (\alpha^{j+k-2} \beta^{2n-j-k} - \gamma^{j+k-2} \delta^{2n-j-k}),$$

so that the value of $p_j q_k + p_k q_j$ depends on the sum $j + k$ but not on j and k individually. Thus we can write

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a_0 b_2 + a_2 b_0 - 2a_1 b_1 = h q_2 + k p_2, \\ 0 &= a_0 b_3 + a_3 b_0 - a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 = h q_2 + k p_3. \end{aligned}$$

We now have two simultaneous linear homogeneous equations in h and k . For them to have any solution other than $h = k = 0$, their determinant must vanish. This determinant is

$$(9) \quad p_3q_2 - p_2q_3 = -\frac{2}{n^2}\alpha\gamma\beta^{n-3}\delta^{n-3}.$$

Since $\alpha\gamma \neq 0$, this determinant can vanish only if $\beta = 0$ or $\delta = 0$. Note that $\beta = \delta = 0$ is impossible since $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0$. Suppose $\delta = 0$. Then $p_j = q_j \neq 0$ for all $j = 0, \dots, n-1$. Thus from the equation

$$0 = hq_2 + kp_2 = (h+k)p_2$$

we find that $h+k=0$, that is, $k=-h$. We now have

$$0 = a_0b_n + a_nb_0 - a_1b_{n-1} - a_{n-1}b_1 = \frac{2h}{n}\gamma^{n-1}.$$

Thus we must have $h=k=0$. Assume now that the determinant vanishes because $\beta=0$. We have $p_j = -q_j \neq 0$ for $j=0, \dots, n-1$. Thus from

$$0 = hq_2 + kp_2 = (h-k)q_2$$

we find that $h=k$. Now

$$0 = a_0b_n + a_nb_0 - a_1b_{n-1} - a_{n-1}b_1 = \frac{2h}{n}\alpha^{n-1}.$$

Again we must have $h=0$. That is, the assumption that the determinant (9) vanishes leads to the conclusion that $h=k=0$. Thus whenever $\alpha\gamma \neq 0$, we must have $h=k=0$. Using these values and applying to the polynomials (8) the transformation

$$z = \frac{\beta - \delta\mu w}{\gamma\mu w - \alpha} \quad (\beta\gamma - \alpha\delta = 1),$$

where

$$\mu = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

gives

$$F(w) = (\gamma\mu w - \alpha)^n f\left(\frac{\beta - \delta\mu w}{\gamma\mu w - \alpha}\right) = \frac{1}{n\gamma}(w^n - 1)$$

and, similarly,

$$G(w) = \frac{1}{n\gamma}(w^n + 1).$$

We have left to deal with the case where $f'(z)$ and $g'(z)$ are transforms of (6). Suppose they are obtained from (6) by the transformation

$$w = \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \delta} \quad (\beta\gamma - \alpha\delta = 1).$$

In this event

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z) &= (\alpha z + \beta)^{n-1}, \\ g'(z) &= (\alpha z + \beta)^{n-1} + B(\alpha z + \beta)^{n-2}(\gamma z + \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Now we can assume, without loss of generality, that all the zeros of both $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are finite. For, if they are not, we can perform a linear transformation which will make them all finite and which will preserve conditions (2a) and (2b). Thus in the above polynomials we can assume $\alpha \neq 0$; for $\alpha = 0$ would give $f'(z) = \beta^{n-1}$, $f(z) = \beta^{n-1}z + h$, so that $f(z)$ has $n - 1$ zeros at infinity. Integration by parts gives

$$\int (\alpha z + \beta)^{n-2}(\gamma z + \delta) dz = (\gamma z + \delta) \frac{(\alpha z + \beta)^{n-1}}{\alpha(n-1)} - \frac{\gamma(\alpha z + \beta)^n}{\alpha^2(n-1)n} + C,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{\alpha n} (\alpha z + \beta)^n + h, \\ g(z) &= \frac{1}{\alpha n} \left(1 - \frac{B\gamma}{\alpha(n-1)} \right) (\alpha z + \beta)^n \\ &\quad + \frac{B}{\alpha(n-1)} (\alpha z + \beta)^{n-1} (\gamma z + \delta) + k. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy conditions (2a) and (2b). Applying the transformation

$$z = \frac{\beta - \delta w}{\gamma w - \alpha} \quad (\beta\gamma - \alpha\delta = 1),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha z + \beta &= \frac{w}{\gamma w - \alpha}, \\ \gamma z + \delta &= \frac{1}{\gamma w - \alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ have transforms

$$\begin{aligned} F(w) &= \frac{1}{\alpha n} w^n + h(\gamma w - \alpha)^n, \\ G(w) &= \frac{1}{\alpha n} \left(1 - \frac{B\gamma}{\alpha(n-1)} \right) w^n + \frac{B}{\alpha(n-1)} w^{n-1} + k(\gamma w - \alpha)^n \end{aligned}$$

which also satisfy conditions (2a) and (2b). These polynomials have coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n &= \frac{1}{\alpha n} + hp_n, \\
a_j &= hp_j & (j = 0, \dots, n-1), \\
b_n &= \frac{1}{\alpha n} \left(1 - \frac{B\gamma}{\alpha(n-1)} \right) + kp_n, \\
b_{n-1} &= \frac{B}{\alpha(n-1)n} + kp_{n-1}, \\
b_j &= kp_j & (j = 0, \dots, n-2),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_j = (-1)^{n-j} \gamma^j \alpha^{n-j} \quad (j = 0, \dots, n).$$

Now

$$p_j p_k = (-1)^{j+k} \gamma^{j+k} \alpha^{2n-j-k}$$

and this quantity depends only on $j+k$, not on j and k individually. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= a_0 b_n + a_n b_0 - a_1 b_{n-1} - a_{n-1} b_1 \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha n} \left\{ hp_0 \left(1 - \frac{B\gamma}{\alpha(n-1)} \right) + kp_0 - hp_1 \frac{B}{n-1} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $1/\alpha n \neq 0$, the second factor must vanish. Thus

$$0 = (-1)^n \alpha^n (h + k),$$

so that $k = -h$. We also have

$$0 = a_0 b_{n-1} + a_{n-1} b_0 - a_1 b_{n-2} - a_{n-2} b_1 = \frac{hp_0 B}{\alpha(n-1)n}.$$

Since $p_0 = (-1)^n \alpha^n \neq 0$, we must now have either $B = 0$ or $h = 0$. If $h = 0$, then $k = -h = 0$, and $F(w)$ and $G(w)$ are of the desired form at once. Using $B = 0$ and $k = -h$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(w) &= \frac{1}{\alpha n} w^n + h(\gamma w - \alpha)^n, \\
G(w) &= \frac{1}{\alpha n} w^n - h(\gamma w - \alpha)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

If we now perform the transformation

$$w = \frac{\alpha \mu u}{\gamma \mu u - 1},$$

where

$$\mu = (h\alpha n)^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(w) = F_1(u) &= \frac{\alpha^{n-1}\mu^n}{n}u^n + h\alpha^n \\ &= h\alpha^n(u^n + 1) \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$G(w) = G_1(u) = h\alpha^n(u^n - 1).$$

These polynomials are of one of the desired forms, and the proof of the theorem is complete.

The results we have just obtained can be stated in terms of the geometry of the zeros of the two polynomials. When a linear transformation is applied to a polynomial, the zeros of the transform are the transforms [3] of the zeros of the original polynomial. Hence we can state: Conditions (2a) and (2b) are both necessary and sufficient to guarantee that either

(i) $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ have a common, repeated zero of multiplicity at least $n - 1$ or

(ii) their zeros all lie on the same circle or straight line and the zeros of the two polynomials separate each other in such a way that a linear transformation will, at the same time, carry those of one polynomial into the n th roots of unity and those of the other into the n th roots of negative unity.

REFERENCES

1. R. Goodman, *K-polar polynomials*, Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 1277-1288.
2. J. H. Grace, *The zeros of a polynomial*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **11** (1902), 352-357.
3. E. Laguerre, *Oeuvres*, Paris: Gauthier-Villars, **1** (1898), 48-66.
4. M. Marden, *The geometry of the zeros of a polynomial in a complex variable*, Amer. Math. Soc. Math. Surveys No. III, New York (1946), 44-66.

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION AND
ROSE POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University
Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

*J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 17, No. 1

January, 1966

Carlos Jorge Do Rego Borges, <i>On stratifiable spaces</i>	1
Felix Earl Browder, <i>Topological methods for non-linear elliptic equations of arbitrary order</i>	17
Gustave Choquet, Harry Corson and Victor Klee, <i>Exposed points of convex sets</i>	33
Phillip Emig, <i>Remarks on the defect sum for a function meromorphic on an open Riemann surface</i>	45
Ruth Goodman, <i>A certain class of polynomials</i>	57
Sidney (Denny) L. Gulick, <i>The bidual of a locally multiplicatively-convex algebra</i>	71
Eugene Carlyle Johnsen, <i>Integral solutions to the incidence equation for finite projective plane cases of orders $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$</i>	97
Charles N. Kellogg, <i>Centralizers and H^*-algebras</i>	121
Michael Lodato, <i>On topologically induced generalized proximity relations. II</i>	131
P. H. Maserick, <i>Half rings in linear spaces</i>	137
Kathleen B O'Keefe, <i>On a problem of J. F. Ritt</i>	149
Galen Lathrop Seever, <i>Nonnegative projections on $C_0(X)$</i>	159
Lawrence A. Shepp, <i>Gaussian measures in function space</i>	167
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>Classes of definite group matrices</i>	175