

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A CHARACTERIZATION OF UNIQUELY DIVISIBLE COMMUTATIVE SEMIGROUPS

DENNISON ROBERT BROWN AND J. G. LATORRE

A CHARACTERIZATION OF UNIQUELY DIVISIBLE COMMUTATIVE SEMIGROUPS

D. R. BROWN AND J. G. LATORRE

Let $(S, +)$ be a commutative semigroup. If, for each $x \in S$, and for each positive integer n , there exists an (unique) element y of S such that $x = ny$, then S is (uniquely) divisible. In this note we present a more or less intrinsic characterization of uniquely divisible commutative semigroups and remark on a special sub-class of these semigroups in which it is possible to discern the fine structure of the addition.

2. The characterization. Let P represent the additive semigroup of positive rational numbers. By a *cone* of a rational vector space we mean a convex subset C such that $PC \subset C$ and $-PC \cap C = 0$. A commutative semigroup is *separative* if $2a = a + b = 2b$ implies $a = b$ for any $a, b \in S$. Let L be the maximal (lower) semilattice homomorphic image of S , and let h be the natural map of S onto L . For $e \in L$, let $h^{-1}(e) = S_e$. The Hewitt-Zuckerman theorem [3; or 1, Th. 4.18] states that, if S is separative, then each S_e is cancellative, and S is isomorphically embeddable in a semilattice of groups, $\{V_e\}$ in such a way that each V_e is the difference group of S_e , and the semilattice is isomorphic to L .

Since an uniquely divisible commutative semigroup is clearly separative, we have immediately that any such entity is isomorphic to a divisible subsemigroup of a semilattice of divisible groups. Indeed, each V_e must be uniquely divisible, and hence a rational vector space (see [4], for example). Furthermore, since each S_e is cancellative, it follows from Hancock's theorem [2, Th. 7] that each S_e is the direct sum of a rational vector space and a cone of a rational vector space. We have now:

THEOREM 1. *Let S be an uniquely divisible commutative semigroup. Then S is a semilattice of subsemigroups S_e , each of which is the direct sum of a rational vector space and a cone of a rational vector space. Furthermore, the addition in S is determined by semigroup homomorphisms between these subsemigroups which are restrictions of homomorphisms (linear maps) between their difference groups.*

3. A special case. We now restrict our attention to the situation in which, for each $e \in L$, $S_e \cong P$. In this case, any $x_e \in S_e$ satisfies

$Px_e = S_e$. By x_α we shall mean an element of S_α .

LEMMA 1. *Let $e, f \in L$, $e \leq f$; let $x_e + x_f = rx_e$, $r \in P$. Then $r \geq 1$, and for $s, t \in P$, $sx_e + tx_f = [s + t(r - 1)]x_e$.*

Proof. Suppose $r < 1$ and let $z = x_e + (1/(1 - r))x_f$. Then

$$z = \left[(x_e + x_f) + \left(\frac{r}{1 - r} \right) x_f \right] = \left[rx_e + \left(\frac{r}{1 - r} \right) x_f \right] = rz.$$

Hence, $r = 1$, which is a contradiction.

Now, consider S as embedded in a semilattice of rational vector spaces as in the proof of Theorem 1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} sx_e + tx_f &= (sx_e + 0_e) + tx_f \\ &= sx_e + (0_e + tx_f) \\ &= sx_e + t(0_e + x_f) \\ &= sx_e + t([r - 1]x_e) \\ &= (s + t[r - 1])x_e. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is now complete.

LEMMA 2. *Let $e, f, g \in L$, $e \leq f \leq g$. Suppose $x_e + x_f = ax_e$, $x_e + x_g = bx_e$, $x_f + x_g = cx_f$, $a, b, c \in P$. If any two of a, b, c equal 2, then $a = b = c = 2$.*

Proof. Note $[a + (b - 1)]x_e = ax_e + x_g = (x_e + x_f) + x_g = x_e + (x_f + x_g) = x_e + cx_f = [1 + c(a - 1)]x_e$. By the uniqueness of roots, $a + b - 1 = 1 + c(a - 1)$, and proof is complete.

LEMMA 3. *Let $e, f \in L$. If $x_e + x_{ef} = x_f + x_{ef} = 2x_{ef}$, then $x_e + x_f = 2x_{ef}$.*

Proof. Let $x_e + x_f = ax_{ef}$. Then $3x_{ef} = x_{ef} + (x_{ef} + x_e) = 2x_{ef} + x_e = (x_{ef} + x_f) + x_e = (1 + a)x_{ef}$. Hence $a = 2$.

THEOREM 2. *Let S be an uniquely divisible commutative semi-group such that $x + y \neq y$, all $x, y \in S$. Then $S \cong P \times L$.*

Proof. Fix $e \in L$, $x_e \in S_e$. For each $f \in L$, choose $x_f \in S_f$ such that:

- (1) $x_e + x_f = 2x_f$ if $f \leq e$,
- (2) $x_f + x_{ef} = 2x_{ef}$ otherwise.

Lemma 1 assures the availability of such elements; there is no

ambiguity involved provided (1) is accomplished before (2). Fix $f, g \in L$; we shall show $x_f + x_{fg} = x_g + x_{fg} = 2x_{fg}$. To this end, note that $x_e + x_{ef} = 2x_{ef}$ and $x_e + x_{efg} = 2x_{efg}$ by (1) above. Hence, by Lemma 2, $x_{ef} + x_{efg} = 2x_{efg}$. Since $x_e + x_f = x_{ef}$, we have $x_f + 2x_{ef} = 3x_{ef}$; by cancellation in S_{ef} , it follows that $x_f + x_{ef} = 2x_{ef}$. By applying Lemma 2 again, we have $x_f + x_{efg} = 2x_{efg}$. By an argument identical to the one involving f and ef above, $x_{fg} + x_{efg} = 2x_{efg}$. Finally, applying Lemma 2 for the final time, we have $x_f + x_{fg} = 2x_{fg}$. Similarly, $x_g + x_{fg} = 2x_{fg}$; by Lemma 3 it follows that $x_f + x_g = 2x_{fg}$. Finally, if, say $s \geq t$, then $sx_f + tx_g = t(x_f + x_g) + (s-t)x_f = 2tx_{fg} + (s-t)x_f = (s+t)x_{fg}$ by Lemma 1. The function $\phi: S \rightarrow P \times L$ defined by $\phi(rx_f) = (r, f)$ is now clearly an isomorphism.

Next, let L be any semilattice, and let ϕ be a homomorphism of L onto a chain B . For each $\beta \in B$, let $L_\beta = \phi^{-1}(\beta)$. For each β , let $S_\beta = P \times L_\beta$, and let $S = \cup \{S_\beta : \beta \in B\}$. Define an addition in S by

$$(r, e) + (s, f) = \begin{cases} (r + s, ef) & \text{if } e, f \in L_\beta, \\ (r, ef) & \text{if } e \in L_\beta, f \in L_\gamma, \beta < \gamma, \\ (s, ef) & \text{if } e \in L_\beta, f \in L_\gamma, \gamma < \beta. \end{cases}$$

With this addition, S is an uniquely divisible commutative semigroup with maximal semilattice image L and with each $S_e \cong P$. The class of semigroups thus defined will be referred to as being of type \mathcal{E} .

THEOREM 3. *Let S be an uniquely divisible commutative semigroup such that each S_e is isomorphic to P . Then S is isomorphic to a semigroup of type \mathcal{E} .*

Proof. Define a relation \sim on S by $x \sim y$ if and only if $x + (x + y) \neq x + y \neq y + (x + y)$. To check transitivity, let $x \sim y, y \sim z$. In particular, let $x + (x + y) = r(x + y), y + (y + z) = s(y + z)$, with $r, s > 1$. Then $x + (x + y + z) = r(x + y) + z = rx + (r - 1)y + (y + z) = rx + [1 + (r - 1)(s - 1)](y + z) \neq x + y + z$. Hence $x + (x + z) \neq x + z$. Similarly, $z + (x + z) \neq x + z$.

It follows by arguments similar to the above that \sim is a congruence on S and that S/\sim is a chain. Let j be the natural map of S onto S/\sim ; note that j factors into the composition of h and an induced map from L to S/\sim . For $\beta \in S/\sim, j^{-1}(\beta)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2. Specifically, $j^{-1}(\beta) \cong P \times h j^{-1}(\beta)$. Thus any $x \in j^{-1}(\beta)$ has an unique representation, $x = rx_e$, with $e \in h j^{-1}(\beta), r \in P$, and x_e selected from $h^{-1}(e)$ in line with the proof of Theorem 2. Suppose $\beta, \gamma \in S/\sim, \beta < \gamma$, and let $rx_e \in j^{-1}(\beta), sx_f \in j^{-1}(\gamma)$. Then $x_e + x_f \in j^{-1}(\beta)$ and $x_f + (x_e + x_f) = x_e + x_f$. Let $x_e + x_f = tx_{ef}$. By Lemma 1, $x_f + x_{ef} = x_{ef}$; since $x_e, x_{ef} \in j^{-1}(\beta), x_e + x_{ef} = 2x_{ef}$. Hence $(1 + t)x_{ef} = x_{ef} +$

$(x_e + x_f) = (x_{ef} + x_e) + x_f = 2x_{ef} + x_f = 2x_{ef}$; hence $t = 1$. Now, if, say $r \leq s$, then $rx_e + sx_f = r(x_e + x_f) + (s - r)x_f = rx_{ef} + (s - r)x_f = rx_{ef}$ by Lemma 1. If, on the other hand, $s < r$, then $rx_e + sx_f = s(x_e + x_f) + (r - s)x_e = sx_{ef} + (r - s)x_e = rx_{ef}$ by Lemma 1. We have now shown that the addition of S satisfies:

$$rx_e + sx_f = \begin{cases} (r + s)x_{ef} & \text{if } jh^{-1}(e) = jh^{-1}(f), \\ rx_{ef} & \text{if } jh^{-1}(e) < jh^{-1}(f), \\ sx_{ef} & \text{if } jh^{-1}(f) < jh^{-1}(e). \end{cases}$$

The mapping $rx_e \rightarrow (r, e)$ now establishes that S is isomorphic to a semigroup of type \mathcal{E} .

In closing, we remark that the relations used in proving Theorems 2 and 3 can be reformulated in terms of the homomorphisms guaranteed by Theorem 1. In Theorem 3 in particular, if $e \leq f$, then $x_e \sim x_f$ if and only if the addition homomorphism is an isomorphism. Furthermore, if x_e and x_f are not equivalent, then the addition homomorphism is the zero mapping.

It is a pleasure to record our obligation to Professor A. H. Clifford for several valuable comments.

REFERENCES

1. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Vol. 1, AMS Survey No. 7, Providence, 1961.
2. V. R. Hancock, *On complete semimodules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1960), 71-76.
3. E. Hewitt and H. S. Zuckerman, *The \mathcal{L}_1 -algebra of a commutative semigroup*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1956), 70-97.
4. I. Kaplansky, *Infinite abelian groups*, University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1954.
5. J. G. LaTorre, *On uniquely divisible semigroups*, Master's Thesis, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, 1964.
6. T. Tamura, *Minimal commutative divisible semigroups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 713-716.

Received February 18, 1965.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University
Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

*J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 18, No. 1

March, 1966

Edward Joseph Barbeau, <i>Semi-algebras that are lower semi-lattices</i>	1
Steven Fredrick Bauman, <i>The Klein group as an automorphism group without fixed point</i>	9
Homer Franklin Bechtell, Jr., <i>Frattini subgroups and Φ-central groups</i>	15
Edward Kenneth Blum, <i>A convergent gradient procedure in prehilbert spaces</i>	25
Edward Martin Bolger, <i>The sum of two independent exponential-type random variables</i>	31
David Wilson Bressler and A. P. Morse, <i>Images of measurable sets</i>	37
Dennison Robert Brown and J. G. LaTorre, <i>A characterization of uniquely divisible commutative semigroups</i>	57
Selwyn Ross Caradus, <i>Operators of Riesz type</i>	61
Jeffrey Davis and Isidore Isaac Hirschman, Jr., <i>Toeplitz forms and ultraspherical polynomials</i>	73
Lorraine L. Foster, <i>On the characteristic roots of the product of certain rational integral matrices of order two</i>	97
Alfred Gray and S. M. Shah, <i>Asymptotic values of a holomorphic function with respect to its maximum term</i>	111
Sidney (Denny) L. Gulick, <i>Commutativity and ideals in the biduals of topological algebras</i>	121
G. J. Kurowski, <i>Further results in the theory of monodiffrie functions</i>	139
Lawrence S. Levy, <i>Commutative rings whose homomorphic images are self-injective</i>	149
Calvin T. Long, <i>On real numbers having normality of order k</i>	155
Bertram Mond, <i>An inequality for operators in a Hilbert space</i>	161
John William Neuberger, <i>The lack of self-adjointness in three-point boundary value problems</i>	165
C. A. Persinger, <i>Subsets of n-books in E^3</i>	169
Oscar S. Rothaus and John Griggs Thompson, <i>A combinatorial problem in the symmetric group</i>	175
Rodolfo DeSapio, <i>Unknotting spheres via Smale</i>	179
James E. Shockley, <i>On the functional equation $F(mn)F((m, n)) = F(m)F(n)f((m, n))$</i>	185
Kenneth Edward Whipple, <i>Cauchy sequences in Moore spaces</i>	191