

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**THE LACK OF SELF-ADJOINTNESS IN THREE-POINT
BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS**

JOHN WILLIAM NEUBERGER

THE LACK OF SELF-ADJOINTNESS IN THREE-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

J. W. NEUBERGER

Suppose that $a < c < b$, $C_{[a,b]}$ is the set of all real-valued continuous functions on $[a, b]$, each of p and q is in $C_{[a,b]}$, $p(x) > 0$ for all x in $[a, b]$ and each of P, Q and S is a real 2×2 matrix. The assumption is made that the only member f of $C_{[a,b]}$ so that $(pf')' - qf = 0$ and

$$(A) \quad P \begin{bmatrix} f(a) \\ p(a)f'(a) \end{bmatrix} + Q \begin{bmatrix} f(c) \\ p(c)f'(c) \end{bmatrix} + S \begin{bmatrix} f(b) \\ p(b)f'(b) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the zero function. It follows that there is a real-valued continuous function K_{12} on $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ such that if g is in $C_{[a,b]}$, then the only element f of $C_{[a,b]}$ so that $(pf')' - qf = g$ and (A) holds is given by

$$f(x) = \int_a^b K_{12}(x, t)g(t)dt \quad \text{for all } x \text{ in } [a, b].$$

In this note it is shown that if in addition it is specified that Q is not the zero 2×2 matrix, then K_{12} is not symmetric, i.e., it is not true that $K_{12}(x, t) = K_{12}(t, x)$ for all x, t in $[a, b]$.

The union of (a, c) and (c, b) is denoted by R . The symbol j denotes the identity function on $[a, b]$, i.e., $j(x) = x$ for all x in $[a, b]$. If V is a function from $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ and x is in $[a, b]$, then $V(j, x)$ is the function h such that $h(t) = V(t, x)$ for all t in $[a, b]$. If each of f and $(pf')' - qf$ is in $C_{[a,b]}$, then $(pf')' - qf$ is denoted by Lf .

Given an element g of $C_{[a,b]}$, one has the problem of determining a function f so that

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} Lf = g & \text{and} \\ (A) \text{ holds.} \end{cases}$$

Denote $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \int_a^t 1/p \\ \int_a^t q & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ by $F(t)$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \int_a^t g \end{bmatrix}$ by $G(t)$ for all t in $[a, b]$.

Then problem (*) may be reformulated as follows: find a function Y from $[a, b]$ to E_2 such that

$$(**) \quad Y(t) = Y(x) + G(t) - G(x) + \int_x^t dF \cdot Y \text{ for all } t, x \text{ in } [a, b] \text{ and}$$

$$\int_a^b dH \cdot Y = N \quad \text{where}$$

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = a \\ P & \text{if } a < x \leq c \\ P + Q & \text{if } c < x < b \\ P + Q + S & \text{if } x = b. \end{cases}$$

The assumption is made for the rest of this paper that only the function Y which is constant at N satisfies (**) if G is constant at N . It follows that for each continuous function G from $[a, b]$ to E_2 , (**) has exactly one solution.

Consider the function M from $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ to the set of 2×2 matrices which has the following property:

$$M(t, x) = I + \int_x^t dF \cdot M(j, x) \quad \text{for all } t, x \text{ in } [a, b]$$

where $I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Using Theorem B of [2], one has that the unique solution Y of (**) is given by

$$Y(t) = \int_a^b K(t, j) dG \quad \text{for all } t \text{ in } [a, b] \text{ where}$$

$$K(t, x) = \begin{cases} - \left[\int_a^b dH \cdot M(j, t) \right]^{-1} \int_x^b dH \cdot M(j, x) + M(t, x) & \text{if } a \leq x \leq t \\ - \left[\int_a^b dH \cdot M(j, t) \right]^{-1} \int_x^b dH \cdot M(j, x) & \text{if } t < x \leq b. \end{cases}$$

That $\left[\int_a^b dH \cdot M(j, t) \right]^{-1}$ exists for all t in $[a, b]$ follows from the assumption that was made above.

Some straightforward calculation gives that

$$K(t, x) = \begin{cases} M(t, b)U(x)M(b, x) + M(t, x) & \text{if } a \leq x \leq t \\ M(t, b)U(x)M(b, x) & \text{if } t < x \leq b \end{cases}$$

where

$$U(x) = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11}(x) & u_{12}(x) \\ u_{21}(x) & u_{22}(x) \end{bmatrix} = - \left[\int_a^b dH \cdot M(j, b) \right]^{-1} \int_x^b dH \cdot (j, b)$$

for all x in $[a, b]$.

Note that $Y = \begin{bmatrix} f \\ pf' \end{bmatrix}$ where f is the unique solution to (*). Denote K by $\begin{bmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{bmatrix}$. It follows that

$$f(t) = \int_a^b K_{12}(t, j)gdj \quad \text{for all } t \text{ in } [a, b] .$$

THEOREM A. *If Q is not the 0-matrix (i.e., $(*)$ is a three-point problem) then it is not true that $K_{12}(t, x) = K_{12}(x, t)$ for all x and t in R .*

Proof. Denote M by $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$. From [2] one has the following identities:

$$B(t, x) = A(t, b)B(b, x) + B(t, b)D(b, x) \quad \text{if } x \text{ and } t \text{ are in } [a, b]$$

(since $M(t, b)M(b, x) = M(t, x)$ for all t, x in $[a, b]$),

$$A(t, x)D(t, x) - B(t, x)C(t, x) = 1 \quad (\text{i.e., } \det M(t, x) = 1) ,$$

$$A(t, x) = D(x, t) ,$$

$$B(t, x) = -B(x, t) , \quad \text{and}$$

$$C(t, x) = -C(x, t) \quad \text{if } x \text{ and } t \text{ are in } [a, b] .$$

Note that $LA(j, x) = LB(j, x) = 0$ if x is in $[a, b]$.

Suppose that

$$K_{12}(t, x) = K_{12}(x, t) \quad \text{for all } x \text{ and } t \text{ in } R .$$

If $a < x < t < b$, then

$$K_{12}(t, x) = [A(t, b)u_{11}(x) + B(t, b)u_{21}(x)]B(b, x)$$

$$+ [A(t, b)u_{12}(x) + B(t, b)u_{22}(x)]D(b, x) + B(t, x)$$

and

$$K_{12}(x, t) = [A(x, b)u_{11}(t) + B(x, b)u_{21}(t)]B(b, t)$$

$$+ [A(x, b)u_{12}(t) + B(x, b)u_{22}(t)]D(b, t) .$$

Using the identities listed above,

$$A(t, b)[-u_{11}(x)B(x, b) + u_{12}(x)A(x, b) - B(x, b)]$$

$$+ B(t, b)[-u_{21}(x)B(x, b) + u_{22}(x)A(x, b) + A(x, b)]$$

$$= A(t, b)[u_{12}(t)A(x, b) + u_{22}(t)B(x, b)]$$

$$- B(t, b)[u_{11}(t)A(x, b) + u_{21}(t)B(x, b)] .$$

An examination of this expression yields the fact that it remains true if x and t are interchanged or x is set equal to t .

Denote by x a number in R . Since $u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{21}, u_{22}$ are constant on (a, c) and (c, b) and $A(j, b)$ and $B(j, c)$ are independent solutions v of $Lv = 0$, it follows that

$$-u_{11}(x)B(x, b) + u_{12}(x)A(x, b) - B(x, b) = u_{12}(t)A(x, b) + u_{22}(t)B(x, b)$$

and

$$-u_{21}(x)B(x, b) + u_{22}(x)A(x, b) + A(x, b) = -u_{11}(t)A(x, b) - u_{21}(t)B(x, b) \\ \text{for all } x \text{ and } t \text{ in } R.$$

Similarly, it follows that

- (i) $-u_{11}(x) - 1 = u_{22}(t)$,
- (ii) $u_{12}(x) = u_{12}(t)$,
- (iii) $u_{21}(x) = u_{21}(t)$ and
- (iv) $u_{22}(x) + 1 = -u_{11}(t)$ for all x and t in R .

(ii) and (iii) imply that u_{12} and u_{21} are constant on R . (i) and (iv) give the same information so that only (i) need be considered. Denote $u_{11}(c-)$ by c_1 , $u_{22}(c-)$ by c_2 , $u_{11}(c+)$ by c_3 and $u_{22}(c+)$ by c_4 . Hence (i) gives that $c_1 + c_2 = -1$, $c_1 + c_4 = -1$, $c_3 + c_4 = -1$ and $c_3 + c_2 = -1$. But these equations imply that $c_2 = c_4$ and $c_1 = c_3$, i.e., that u_{11} and u_{22} are constant on R . Hence, U is constant on R . If t is in (a, c) and x is in (c, b) , then

$$\left[\int_a^b dH \cdot M(j, b) \right]^{-1} \int_t^x dH \cdot M(j, b) = U(x) - U(t) = 0$$

so that

$$QM(c, b) = \int_t^x dH \cdot M(j, b) = 0,$$

i.e., $Q = 0$, a contradiction. Hence the theorem is established.

If n is an integer greater than 3, this theorem can be extended to n point boundary value problems. This is the case in which H is a step function with n discontinuities (with one at a and another at b). What happens when H has points of change other than discontinuities is not at all clear to this author.

REFERENCES

1. J. W. Neuberger, *Concerning boundary value problems*, Pacific J. Math. **10** (1960), 1385-1392.
2. H. S. Wall, *Concerning continuous continued fractions and certain system of Stieltjes integral equations*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo II **2** (1953), 73-84.

Received February 1, 1965.

EMORY UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University
Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

*J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 18, No. 1

March, 1966

Edward Joseph Barbeau, <i>Semi-algebras that are lower semi-lattices</i>	1
Steven Fredrick Bauman, <i>The Klein group as an automorphism group without fixed point</i>	9
Homer Franklin Bechtell, Jr., <i>Frattini subgroups and Φ-central groups</i>	15
Edward Kenneth Blum, <i>A convergent gradient procedure in prehilbert spaces</i>	25
Edward Martin Bolger, <i>The sum of two independent exponential-type random variables</i>	31
David Wilson Bressler and A. P. Morse, <i>Images of measurable sets</i>	37
Dennison Robert Brown and J. G. LaTorre, <i>A characterization of uniquely divisible commutative semigroups</i>	57
Selwyn Ross Caradus, <i>Operators of Riesz type</i>	61
Jeffrey Davis and Isidore Isaac Hirschman, Jr., <i>Toeplitz forms and ultraspherical polynomials</i>	73
Lorraine L. Foster, <i>On the characteristic roots of the product of certain rational integral matrices of order two</i>	97
Alfred Gray and S. M. Shah, <i>Asymptotic values of a holomorphic function with respect to its maximum term</i>	111
Sidney (Denny) L. Gulick, <i>Commutativity and ideals in the biduals of topological algebras</i>	121
G. J. Kurowski, <i>Further results in the theory of monodiffrie functions</i>	139
Lawrence S. Levy, <i>Commutative rings whose homomorphic images are self-injective</i>	149
Calvin T. Long, <i>On real numbers having normality of order k</i>	155
Bertram Mond, <i>An inequality for operators in a Hilbert space</i>	161
John William Neuberger, <i>The lack of self-adjointness in three-point boundary value problems</i>	165
C. A. Persinger, <i>Subsets of n-books in E^3</i>	169
Oscar S. Rothaus and John Griggs Thompson, <i>A combinatorial problem in the symmetric group</i>	175
Rodolfo DeSapio, <i>Unknotting spheres via Smale</i>	179
James E. Shockley, <i>On the functional equation $F(mn)F((m, n)) = F(m)F(n)f((m, n))$</i>	185
Kenneth Edward Whipple, <i>Cauchy sequences in Moore spaces</i>	191