Pacific Journal of Mathematics

UNKNOTTING SPHERES VIA SMALE

RODOLFO DESAPIO

Vol. 18, No. 1 March 1966

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 18, No. 1, 1966

UNKNOTTING SPHERES VIA SMALE

RODOLFO DE SAPIO

It is shown here that a topological n-sphere which is embedded in Euclidean m-space R^m with a transverse field of $(m-n)$-planes (in the sense of Whitehead) bounds a topological $(n+1)$-disc in R^m, provided $m>n+2>4$ and $n\neq 4$. On the other hand, Haefliger has constructed C^∞ differentiable embeddings of the standard $(4k-1)$-sphere S^{4k-1} in $6k$-space R^{6k} which are differentiably knotted (i.e. they do not bound differentiably embedded $4k$-discs in R^{6k}). However, by using a sharpened form of the h-cobordism theorem of Smale it is possible to topologically unknot these spheres. This is achieved by showing that a differentiably knotted n-sphere in m-space R^m is so knotted because of a single bad point (provided $m>n+2>4$). The topological case is then proved by first approximating the topologically embedded n-sphere by a differentiably embedded homotopy n-sphere, and thus reducing it to the differentiable case.

Differentiable or smooth will mean of class C^∞. An n-disc is a contractible, compact, smooth n-manifold with simply connected boundary. A pair of disc (B^m, B^n) is a pair of discs such that $\partial B^n = B^n \cap \partial B^m$, where ∂M denotes the boundary of a manifold M, and where B^n meets ∂B^m transversally. A theorem of Smale [4] asserts that an n-disc for $n \geqq 6$ is diffeomorphic to the standard n-disc D^n in R^n. Now let (D^m, D^n) be the standard pair of discs.

PROPOSITION 1. A pair of discs (B^m, B^n) is diffeomorphic to the standard pair (D^m, D^n), provided $m > n + 2 > 7$.

Proof. This is an easy consequence of Smale [4; Corollary 3.2]. Let $\varphi\colon (D^m, D^n) \rightarrow (\operatorname{Int} B^m, \operatorname{Int} B^n)$ be a smooth embedding and consider the exact homology sequence of the pair $(B^n - \operatorname{Int}\varphi(D^n), \varphi(\partial D^n))$. By excision $H_i(B^n - \operatorname{Int}\varphi(D^n), \varphi(\partial D^n)) \approx H_i(B^n, \varphi(D^n)) = 0$ and hence the the inclusion $\varphi(\partial D^n) \rightarrow B^n - \operatorname{Int}\varphi(D^n)$ is a homotopy equivalence. To show that the inclusion $\partial B^n \rightarrow B^n - \operatorname{Int}\varphi(D^n)$ is also a homotopy equivalence consider the homology sequence of the pair $(B^n - \operatorname{Int}\varphi(D^n), \partial B^n)$. By Poincaré duality

$$H_i(B^n - \operatorname{Int}\varphi(D^n), \partial B^n) \approx H^{n-i}(B^n - \operatorname{Int}\varphi(D^n), \varphi(\partial D^n))$$

and by excision

$$H^{n-i}(B^n - \operatorname{Int}\varphi(D^n), \varphi(\partial D^n)) \approx H^{n-i}(B^n, \varphi(D^n)) .$$

Since $H^{n-i}(B^n, \varphi(D^n)) = 0$, it follows that the inclusion $\partial B^n \rightarrow B^n - \operatorname{Int}\varphi(D^n)$

induces isomorphisms of homology and hence is a homotopy equivalence. Therefore, since $n > 5$, [4; Corollary 3.2] implies that $B^n - \operatorname{Int} \varphi(D^n)$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{n-1} \times I$.

Similarly the inclusions $\varphi(\partial D^m) \to B^m - \operatorname{Int} \varphi(D^m)$ and $\partial B^m \to B^m - \operatorname{Int} \varphi(D^m)$ are homotopy equivalences and hence by [4; Corollary 3.2] the diffeomorphism $S^{n-1} \times I \approx B^n - \operatorname{Int} \varphi(D^n)$ may be extended to a diffeomorphism $S^{m-1} \times I \approx B^m - \operatorname{Int} \varphi(D^m)$, where, of course, $S^{n-1} \times I$ is embedded in $S^{m-1} \times I$ in the natural way. By using this product structure on $(B^m - \operatorname{Int} \varphi(D^m), B^n - \operatorname{Int} \varphi(D^n))$ it is possible to define a diffeomorphism $(B^m, B^n) \approx (D^m, D^n)$, proving the proposition.

The following theorem is a slight generalization of the topological unknotting of a differentiably knotted S^n in S^m for $m > n + 2 > 6$. Notice that Haefliger [1] has shown that S^n differentiably knots in S^m only if $3n + 3 \geqq 2m \geqq 2n + 4$. Recall that a *homotopy n-sphere* is a closed, oriented, smooth n-manifold with the homotopy type of S^n.

THEOREM A. *Any pair* (V^m, K^n) *of homotopy spheres, with* $m > n + 2 > 6$, *is diffeomorphic to a pair obtained from two copies of* (D^m, D^n) *by identifying boundaries together through some diffeomorphism* $(S^{m-1}, S^{n-1}) \to (S^{m-1}, S^{n-1})$.

REMARK. If it is assumed that K^n can be obtained by identifying two standard n-discs along their boundaries via a diffeomorphism $S^{n-1} \to S^{n-1}$, then the theorem is true for $n > 3$.

Proof. The proof is simple; for $n \geqq 6$ even simpler. If $n \geqq 6$, choose an embedding φ: $(D^m, D^n) \to (V^m, K^n)$. By Proposition 1 the pair $(V^m - \operatorname{Int} \varphi(D^m), K^n - \operatorname{Int} \varphi(D^n))$ is diffeomorphic to (D^m, D^n). (It is easy to see that $(V^m - \operatorname{Int} \varphi(D^m), K^n - \operatorname{Int} \varphi(D^n)$ is a pair of discs; for example, if $B^n = K^n - \operatorname{Int} \varphi(D^n)$, then by Poincaré duality $H_i(B^n - \varphi(\partial D^n) \approx H^{n-i}(B^n, \varphi(\partial D^n))$ and by excision $H^{n-i}(B^n, \varphi(\partial D^n)) \approx H^{n-i}(K^n, \varphi(D^n))$. Since $H^{n-i}(K^n, \varphi(D^n)) \approx H^{n-i}(K^n)$ for $i \neq n$, it follows that $B^n - \varphi(\partial D^n)$ is contractible and hence so is B^n.)

For $n = 5$ choose disjoint smooth embeddings φ_i: $D^5 \to K^5 (i = 1, 2)$ so that $K^5 - \operatorname{Int} [\varphi_1(D^5) \cup \varphi_2(D^5)]$ is diffeomorphic to $S^4 \times I$ (this is possible because any homotopy 5-sphere is, according to Milnor, h-cobordant to S^5 and hence, by Smale, is diffeomorphic to S^5). The embeddings φ_i may be extended to smooth embeddings φ_i: $(D^m, D^5) \to (V^m, K^5)$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Now by the previous paragraph $V^m - \operatorname{Int} \varphi_i(D^m)$ is a disc and hence by the proof of Proposition 1 the $\varphi_i(\partial D^m) (i = 1, 2)$ are deformation retracts of $V^m - \operatorname{Int} [\varphi_1(D^m) \cup \varphi_2(D^m)]$. Therefore, by Smale [4; Corollary 3.2] the diffeomorphism $S^4 \times I \approx K^5 - \operatorname{Int} [\varphi_1(D^5) \cup \varphi_2(D^5)]$ may be extended to a diffeomorphism $S^{m-1} \times I \approx V^m - \operatorname{Int} [\varphi_1(D^m) \cup \varphi_2(D^m)$, and the theorem then follows easily.

Let K^n be a homotopy n-sphere smoothly embedded in $S^m, m>n+2>6$, and let (S^m, S^n) be the standard pair of spheres, S^n embedded in S^m by the natural inclusion of R^{n+1} in R^{m+1}. A homeomorphism f: $(S^m, K^n) \to (S^m, S^n)$ of pairs, differentiable except possibly at a single point of K^n, is obtained as follows: map one copy of the (D^m, D^n) of Theorem A differentiably onto one pair of hemispheres of (S^m, S^n) and then extend the map radially to the other copy of (D^m, D^n) via the diffeomorphism $(S^{m-1}, S^{n-1}) \to (S^{m-1}, S^{n-1})$ of Theorem A (i.e., the cone map) giving the diffeomorphism up to a point. Thus f unknots K^n in S^m.

COROLLARY (*Hirsch*). *Let N be a closed tubular neighborhood of a homotopy n-sphere K^n smoothly embedded in S^{n+k}. Then for $n \geqq 5$ and $k \geqq 3$ there is a diffeomorphism $N \approx S^n \times D^k$.*

The closed tubular neighborhood N is a neighborhood of K^n in S^{n+k} which is diffeomorphic to a neighborhood of the zero cross-section in the normal bundle of K^n in S^{n+k}, the latter neighborhood being the set of all vectors less than or equal to some fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. The following proof replaces the combinatorial arguments of Hirsch [3] by application of the above theorem.

Proof. Take a closed tubular neighborhood of S^n in S^{n+k}; it is diffeomorphic to $S^n \times D^k$. It may be assumed that the closed normal tube N is embedded in $S^n \times \operatorname{Int} D^k$ by the unknotting homeomorphism f: $(S^m, K^n) \to (S^m, S^n)$ constructed above. Moreover, K may be deformed into K' by a differentiable isotopy deforming N into a closed normal tube N' of K', where $N' \subset$ Interior N and N' does not contain the "bad point" of f. Then N is diffeomorphic to N' and N is smoothly embedded in $S^n \times \operatorname{Int} D^k$ by f. Now from an argument similar to that in Proposition 1 it follows that $(S^n \times D^k) - \operatorname{Int} f(N')$ is diffeomorphic to $S^n \times S^{k-1} \times I$. Consequently the boundary of $f(N')$ may be deformed isotopically onto $S^n \times S^{k-1}$. Since this isotopy may be extended to a differentiable isotopy deforming $f(N')$ onto $S^n \times D^k$, the corollary is proved.

REMARK. Theorem A implies that a smoothly embedded homotopy n-sphere K^n in S^m, where $m > n + 2 > 6$ is topologically unknotted. It can be shown that the pairs (S^m, K^n) and (S^m, S^n) may be smoothly triangulated so that the unknotting homeomorphism f: $(S^m, K^n) \to (S^m, S^n)$ is a combinatorial equivalence. More generally, however, Zeeman [7] has shown that a combinatorially embedded S^n in S^m is combinatorially unknotted if $m > n + 2$. Stallings [5] proves that a locally flat S^n in S^m is unknotted if $n + 3 \leqq m \geqq 5$.

Let $G_{m-n,n}$ be the Grassman manifold of $(m - n)$-planes in R^m. If K^n is a topological n-manifold in $R^m, m > n > 0$, then a *field of*

$(m-n)$-planes transverse to K^n (or a *transverse field*) is a continuous $\varphi\colon K^n \to G_{m-n,n}$ such that $\varphi(x)$ is transverse (in the sense of Whitehead [6]) to K^n at x for every $x \in K^n$. A topological n-manifold K^n in S^m is said to have a *transverse field* if K^n has a transverse field in $S^m - \{\infty\}$ as defined above, where $\infty \in S^m - K$.

THEOREM B. *A topological n-sphere K^n embedded in S^m with a transverse field unknots, provided $m > n + 2 > 4$ and $n \neq 4$.*

Of course B follows from Stallings' result since such a K^n is locally flat in S^m. In order to prove B it is necessary to state some facts about transverse fields. So, suppose K^n is a topological n-manifold in R^m with a transverse field $\varphi\colon K \to G_{m-n,n}$. The space

$$E(\varphi) = \{(x, y) \mid x \in K, y \in \varphi(x)\}$$

may be considered as the total space of the $(m-n)$-plane bundle over K induced by φ; the fibre over $x \in K$ is the $(m-n)$-plane $\varphi(x)$. Now by Whitehead [6; page 157, second sentence], given a continuous map $\varepsilon\colon K \to R_+$ (R_+ the positive reals), there is a Lipschitz map $\varphi'\colon K \to G_{m-n,n}$ which is an ε-approximation to φ, and by [6; Theorem 1.3] ε may be chosen so that φ' is a transverse field (which is transversally homotopic to φ). Hence we may assume without loss of generality that the given transverse field φ is Lipschitz.

Define a map

$$\theta\colon E(\varphi) \to R^m$$

by $\theta(x, y) = x + y$. By [6; Theorem 1.5] there exists a map $\rho\colon K \to R_+$ (R_+ the positive reals) such that if

$$T'_\rho = \{(x, y) \mid (x, y) \in E(\varphi), |y| < \rho(x)\},$$

an open subset of $E(\varphi)$, then $\theta \mid T'_\rho$ is a regular Lipschitz homeomorphism of T'_ρ onto $\theta T'_\rho$. Now define the *φ-projection* π of $\theta T'_\rho$ onto K by

$$\pi\theta(x, y) = \pi(x + y) = x .$$

Then φ is said to be of class C^r ($1 \leqq r \leqq \infty$) if $\varphi\pi$ is of class C^r in a neighborhood $N \subset \theta T'_\rho$ of K. In this case by [6; Theorem 3] *there exists a smooth C^r submanifold M^n of N such that $\pi \mid M\colon M \to K$ is a homeomorphism and the map $M \to G_{m-n,n}$ sending x into $\varphi\pi(x)$ is a transverse field on M.*

Theorem B is a direct consequence of Theorem A (for $n = 3$ see Remark after Theorem A) and the following.

PROPOSITION 2. A pair (S^m, K^n), where K^n is a closed topological manifold in S^m with a transverse field $\varphi\colon K \to G_{m-n,n}$, is homeomorphic to a pair (S^m, M^n), where M^n is a smooth C^∞ submanifold of S^m.

REMARK. The homeomorphism of the pairs (S^m, K^n) and (S^m, M^n) which is defined in the following proof is isotopic (homotopic through homeomorphisms) to the identity map of S^m.

Proof. Let $\rho: K \to R_+$ be as above; by [6; Theorem 1.10] φ may be assumed to be a C^∞ transverse field. Now choose $\rho_0 > 0$ such that $0 < \rho_0 < \operatorname{Inf}\{\rho(x) \mid x \in K\}$ and let

$$T_0' = \{(x, y) \in E(\varphi) \mid |y| < \rho_0\}, \quad T_0 = \theta T_0' .$$

Clearly $T_0' \subset T_\rho'$ and, moreover, the map $\psi: T_0 \to E(\varphi)$ sending $x + y \to (x, (1/(\rho_0 - |y|))y)$ defines a homeomorphism of

$$T_0 = \{x + y \mid x \in K, y \in \varphi(x), |y| < \rho_0\} \quad \text{onto} \quad E(\varphi) .$$

By remarks above there exists a smooth C^∞ submanifold M^n of R^m in T_0 such that $\pi \mid M: M \to K$ is a homeomorphism. The homeomorphism $\pi \mid M$ will be extended to a surjective homeomorphism $f: S^m \to S^m$. The first step is to extend $\pi \mid M$ to a homeomorphism $\bar{\pi}: T_0 \to T_0$ onto T_0 in the following way: the image of M under $\psi: T_0 \to E(\varphi)$ may be described as the set $\{(x, \alpha(x)) \mid x \in K, \alpha(x) \in \varphi(x), \alpha: K \to R^m\}$ and so the map $\beta: E(\varphi) \to E(\varphi)$ defined by $\beta(x, y) = (x, y - \alpha(x))$ is clearly a homeomorphism of $E(\varphi)$ onto itself. Setting $\bar{\pi} = \psi^{-1}\beta\psi$ gives the desired extension of $\pi \mid M$.

It is a tedious but straightforward verification that for $(x + y) \in T_0$, $|\bar{\pi}(x + y) - (x + y)| \to 0$ uniformly for all x as $|y| \to \rho_0$ and hence by defining $f: S^m \to S^m$ to be, for each s in S^m,

$$f(s) = \begin{cases} \bar{\pi}(s) & (\text{if } s \in T_0) , \\ s & (\text{if } s \notin T_0) , \end{cases}$$

it follows that f is a homeomorphism of S^m onto S^m sending M onto K.

REFERENCES

1. A. Haefliger, *Plongements différentiable de variétés dans variétés*, Comment. Math. Helv. **36** (1961), 47-82.
2. ———, *Knotted (4k−1)-spheres in 6k-space*, Ann. of Math. **75** (1962), 462-466.
3. M. W. Hirsch, *Smooth regular neighborhoods*, Ann. of Math. **76** (1962), 524-530.
4. S. Smale, *On the structure of manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1962), 387-399.
5. J. Stallings, *On topologically unknotted spheres*, Ann. of Math. **77** (1963), 490-503.
6. J. H. C. Whitehead, *Manifolds with transverse fields in Euclidean space*, Ann. of Math. **73** (1961), 154-212.
7. E. C. Zeeman, *Unknotting combinatorial balls*, Ann. of Math. **78** (1963), 501-526.

Received March 3, 1965. This research was partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant No. 4069.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 18, No. 1 March, 1966