Pacific Journal of Mathematics

EVERY ABELIAN GROUP IS A CLASS GROUP

LUTHER ELIC CLABORN

Vol. 18, No. 2 April 1966

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 18, No. 2, 1966

EVERY ABELIAN GROUP IS A CLASS GROUP

LUTHER CLABORN

Let T be the set of minimal primes of a Krull domain A. If S is a subset of T, we form $B = \cap A_P$ for $P \in S$ and study the relation of the class group of B to that of A. We find that the class group of B is always a homomorphic image of that of A. We use this type of construction to obtain a Krull domain with specified class group and then alter such a Krull domain to obtain a Dedekind domain with the same class group.

Let A be a Krull domain with quotient field K. Thus A is an intersection of rank 1 discrete valuation rings; and if $x \in K$, x is a unit in all but a finite number of these valuation rings. If P is a minimal prime ideal of A, then A_P is a rank 1 discrete valuation ring and must occur in any intersection displaying A as a Krull domain. In fact, if T denotes the set of minimal prime ideals of A, then $A = \bigcap_{P \in T} A_P$ displays A as a Krull domain.

Choose a subset S of T $(S \neq \emptyset)$ and form the domain $B = \bigcap_{P \in S} A_p$. It is immediate that B is also a Krull domain which contains A and has quotient field K. If one of the A_P were eliminable from the intersection representing B, it would also be eliminable from that representing A. Thus the A_P for $P \in S$ are exactly the rings of the type B_Q, where Q is a minimal prime ideal of B. If Q is minimal prime ideal of B, then $Q \cap A = P$ for the $P \in S$ such that $B_Q = A_P$.

Let A and B be generic labels throughout this paper for a Krull domain A and a Krull domain B formed from A as above. We recall that the valuation rings A_P are called the essential valuation rings, and we will denote by V_P the valuation of A going with A_P. We summarize and add a complement to the above.

PROPOSITION 1. *With A and B as above, B is a Krull domain containing A, and the A_P for $P \in S$ are the essential valuation rings of B. Every ring B is of the form A_M for some multiplicative set M if and only if the class group of A is torsion.*

Proof. Everything in the first assertion has been given above.

Suppose the class group of A is torsion; then for each Q_i in $T - S$ choose an integer n_i such that $Q_i^{(n_i)}$ is principal, say $Q_i^{(n_i)} = As_i$. Let M be the multiplicative set generated by all s_i. Then [3, 33.5,

p. 116] shows that $B = A_M$. On the other hand, if Q is a prime ideal of A whose class is not torsion, then the same reference shows that $B = \bigcap_{P \neq Q} A_P$ cannot be of the form A_M.

Let $C(A)$ denote the class group of A for any Krull domain A. Samuel [4] has shown how to define a homomorphism of $C(A)$ into $C(B)$ when B is a Krull domain such that $A \subseteqq B$ and B is A-flat. Of course, our rings B are not necessarily A-flat, but we have nonetheless:

PROPOSITION 2. $C(B)$ is a homomorphic image of $C(A)$.

Proof. Let I be an ideal of A defined by essential valuation conditions. Although IB may not be defined by essential valuation conditions, $B\colon(B\colon IB)$ is and is quasi-equal to IB [5, p. 92]. If $P(A)$ and $P(B)$ denote the ideals of A and B defined by essential valuation conditions, let $g\colon P(A) \rightarrow P(B)$ be defined by $g(I) = B\colon(B\colon IB)$. It is easy to see that if I is the ideal $\{x\colon V_P(x) \geqq n_P; P \in T\}$, then $g(I)$ is the ideal $\{x\colon V_P(x) \geqq n_P, P \in S\}$. Since the product $I \circ I'$ (see [4]) is $A\colon(A\colon II')$, the above description yields immediately that $g(I \circ I') = g(I)^{\circ} g(I')$. If $x \in A$, then $g(xA) = B\colon(B\colon xB) = xB$, so g induces a homomorphism $\bar{g}\colon C(A) \rightarrow C(B)$ which is obviously onto.

COROLLARY 3. *With $\bar{g}$ as defined in Proposition 2, the kernel of $\bar{g}$ is the subgroup of $C(A)$ generated by all minimal primes Q of A for which $Q \notin S$.*

Proof. If $Q \notin S$, then $g(Q) = B$. If on the other hand $g(I)$ is principal, we have $g(I) = xB$ or $g(x^{-1}I) = B$. Thus $x^{-1}I$ is in the subgroup of $P(A)$ generated by certain $Q \notin S$.

In the next two propositions, we generalize to Krull domains certain results of [1] and [2].

PROPOSITION 4. If A is a Krull domain, then $A[X]$ is a Krull domain. $C(A)$ is isomorphic to $C(A[X])$, and every class of $C(A[X])$ contains a prime ideal of $A[X]$.

Proof. Everything but the last assertion is [4, Prop. 3., p. 158]. Let c be an element of $C(A[X])$; then c^{-1} can be represented by $IA[X]$, where I is an integral ideal of A defined by essential valuation conditions. Choose a_0 and a_1 in A so that I is quasi-equal to (a_0, a_1) [5, Exer. 4., p. 95]. Consider the prime ideal $P = (a_0 + a_1X)\, K[X] \cap A[X]$. It is clear that $P = I^{-1}A[X] \cdot (a_0 + a_1X)A[X]$ [6, p. 85]. So P is in c.

PROPOSITION 5. If G is the class group of a Krull domain and G' is a homomorphic image of G, then there is a Krull domain with class group G'.

Proof. Let A have class group G and let H be a subgroup of G such that $G' \cong G/H$. G is also the class group of $A[X]$; choose (Proposition 4) a minimal prime P_α of $A[X]$ representing each class c_α in H. Let T be the set of all minimal primes of $A[X]$ and let U be the set of primes $\{P_\alpha\}$. Then $B = \bigcap_{P \in T-U} A[X]_P$ has class group G' by Corollary 3.

PROPOSITION 6. If G is any abelian group, then there is a Krull domain A such that $C(A) \cong G$.

Proof. In view of Proposition 5, it is sufficient to show that there is a Krull domain whose class group is a free group on a base of given cardinality. We do so as follows:

Let J be any index set and form the polynomial ring $B = F[X_1, Y_1, Z_1, \cdots, X_i, Y_i, Z_i, \cdots]$ for $i \in J$. For each i, consider the subring $R_i = F[\cdots, X_i, Y_i, W_i, \cdots,]$ where $W_i = X_i Z_i$. Let Q_i be the ideal (X_i, Y_i) in R_i and assign an order to any element r of R_i by $v_i(r) = t$ if $r \in Q^t$ and $r \notin Q^{t+1}$. It is immediate that v_i satisfies the requirements of a valuation, and so v_i may be extended uniquely to a discrete valuation on the quotient field of R_i(= the quotient field of B).

Let V_i denote the valuation ring of v_i for all $i \in J$. Form $A = (\bigcap_{i \in J} V_i) \cap B$. We assert that A is a Krull domain, and that $C(A)$ is the free group on J.

We note first that since $A \supseteq R_i$ for any $i \in J$, the quotient field of A is the same as the quotient field of B. Since B is a U. F. D., we can write $B = \cap B_P$ for P a minimal prime of B; this shows that A is the intersection of discrete valuation rings. If $f \in A$, f involves only a finite number of the variables, and so f can be a nonunit in only a finite number of the $\{B_P\} \cup \{V_i\}$. The set $\Sigma = \{B_P\} \cup \{V_i\}$ is in fact, the set of essential valuation rings of A. To see this, we need only produce an element of the quotient field which is not in a particular ring of Σ but is in all the other rings of Σ. For V_i, Z_i will serve. If P is a minimal prime of B and $P = X_i B$ for some $i \in J$, Y_i/X_i demonstrates that B_P is essential.

Finally, let P be a minimal prime of B not of the above type and choose an $f \in B$ such that $P = fB$. f will be a unit in any other valuation ring of Σ of the type B_Q, so let $V_{i_1}, \cdots, V_{i_k}$ be the valuation rings of Σ in which f is not a unit. Let $n_{i_j} = v_{i_j}(f)$ for $j = 1, \cdots, k$. The element $g = X_{i_1}^{\max(0, n_{i_1})} \cdots X_{i_k}^{\max(0, n_{i_k})}/f$ yields that B_P is essential in this case.

Let P be a minimal prime of B, and choose $f \in B$ such that $P = fB$. As above, f is a unit except in B_P and some rings V_i for $i \in J$. This shows that the minimal primes going with the V_i generate $C(A)$. A relation among these minimal primes alone would come from an element f of the quotient field of A which is a unit in all B_P, i.e., a unit of B. But the units of B are the elements of the field F, and this relation can only be the trivial one.

REMARK. It is fairly easy to see that the restriction of the ring A constructed above to $F[X_i, Y_i, Z_i]$ is $F[X_i, Y_i, X_iZ_i, Y_iZ_i]$; this leads to an alternative description of A as $F[\cdots, X_i, Y_i, T_i, U_i \cdots]$ subject to the relations $X_iU_i = Y_iT_i$. Indeed, the results on A may be obtained by viewing A again as a subring of $F[\cdots, X_i, Y_i, Z_i, \cdots]$ where $Z_i = T_i/X_i = U_i/Y_i$. I am indebted to the referee for suggesting this point of view on the example.

THEOREM 7. *Given any abelian group S, there is a Dedekind domain D with $C(D) \cong S$.*

Proof. We show that if A is a Krull domain with class group S, we can alter A to obtain a Dedekind domain with the same class group.

Let N denote the natural numbers and set $A_1 = A[X_1, \cdots, X_n, \cdots]$ for $n \in N$. Let Q be a prime ideal of A, which is not minimal. Choose any element a of Q and let $P_1, \cdots, P_k$ be the minimal primes of A_1 which contain a. Since $Q \not\subseteq P_1 \cup \cdots \cup P_k$ we can find b in Q such that $b \notin P_i$ for $i = 1, \cdots, k$. Let X_Q be a variable not occuring in either a or b and form $f_Q = a + bX_Q$. Then f_Q is prime in A_1 [6, Th. 29, p. 85]. Let M be the multiplicative set generated by all f_Q, where Q ranges over the nonminimal primes of A_1. Let $D = (A_1)_M$. D is a Krull domain in which minimal primes are also maximal, so D is a Dedekind domain [6, Th. 28, p. 84]. Further $C(A) \cong C(A_1) \cong C(D)$, the latter isomorphism following from [4, Prop. 2, p. 157].

REFERENCES

1. L. Claborn, *Dedekind domains and rings of quotients*, Pacific J. Math. **15** (1965), 59-64.
2. ———, *Dedekind domains: overrings and semi-prime elements*, Pacific J. Math. **15** (1945), 799-804.
3. M. Nagata, *Local Rings*, Interscience, New York, (1962).
4. P. Samuel, *Sur les anneaux factoriels*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **89** (1961), 155-173.
5. B. Van der Waerden, *Modern Algebra*, Vol. II, Julius Springer Berlin, (1940).
6. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra*, Vol. II, D. Van Nostrand Company, Princeton, (1960).

Received January 27, 1965, and in revised form April 10, 1965.

CORNELL COLLEGE
MOUNT VERNON, IOWA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is $8.00; single issues, $3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $4.00 per volume; single issues $1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 18, No. 2 April, 1966