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In this paper we discuss the geometry of the level surfaces of functions $f(x) = f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ of class $C''$ in $E^n$ that possess an isolated relative minimum point at the origin, and no other critical points, finite or infinite. Our principal result is that such a function satisfies the condition $f(x) > f(0)$ for all $(x) \neq (0)$. The level sets $f(x) = c$ and the domains they bound are discussed. The results are useful in Liapunov stability theory.

A finite critical point of $f(x)$ is a point of $E^n$ at which $f_{x_i} = 0$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$. We shall say that $f(x)$ possesses an infinite critical point if there is some sequence of point $\{x_n\}$, $(x_n) \rightarrow \infty$, for which the function

$$F(x) = f_{x_1}^2 + f_{x_2}^2 + \cdots + f_{x_n}^2$$

tends to zero. To say that $f(x)$ has no infinite critical point means then that there exists a positive constant $\varepsilon$ and a sphere $|| x || = \varepsilon$ such that $F(x) \geq \varepsilon$ outside the sphere.

Let $f(x) = f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be a function of class $C''$ in $E^n$, and suppose that $f(x)$ is positive definite neighboring the origin; that is $f(0) = 0$, and $f(x) > 0$ near the origin. We term such a function $f(x)$ admissible. The point $x = 0$ is then a relative minimum point (possibly degenerate) of $f(x)$. Suppose that $f(x)$ is admissible and that it has no critical point, finite or infinite, except at the origin. It follows that $F(x)$ is bounded away from zero in the complement of every spherical ball with center at the origin. There is then a sphere $S$ with center at $0$ on which $f > 0$. Let $m$ be the minimum value assumed by $f$ on $S$, and consider the set of points $M$ inside $S$ for which $f = a (0 < a < m)$. This set clearly exists, for consider the continuous function $F'$ along any continuous arc joining the origin to a point on $S$ where $f = m$. $M$ has the following properties. At each point of $M$ the implicit-function theorem guarantees that the equation

$$f(x) = a$$

can be solved for one of the variables $x_i$, inasmuch as at least one of the functions $f_{x_i} \neq 0$ at each point of $M$. This solution will be locally of class $C'$ in the remaining variables. It follows that an open neighborhood of each point of $M$ is a homeomorphic image of an open
Next, we shall show that \( M \) bounds a domain containing the origin. Consider any continuous arc joining the origin to an arbitrary point of \( S \). At some point of this arc \( f \) must assume the value \( a \). This point belongs to \( M \). It should be observed that \( M \) is connected, for if it were not, it would be composed of a set of bounded, complementary domains in each of which \( f \) would have a minimum point. This would contradict the assumption of the existence of only one critical point.

The curves orthogonal to the family of level surfaces \( f(x) = c \) are solutions of the system [see 2]

\[
(2) \quad \frac{dx_i}{d\tau} = \frac{f_{x_i}}{f_{x_1}^2 + f_{x_2}^2 + \cdots + f_{x_n}^2} \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots, n).
\]

Further, Morse [3] shows that writing the differential equations for the orthogonal trajectories in the form (2) permits a parametrization \( x = x(\tau) \) of each trajectory with the property

\[
(3) \quad f[x(\tau)] = \tau.
\]

It follows that \( f \neq \text{constant} \) on any subarc of the trajectory. Because of our assumption of the absence of critical points, except the origin, fundamental existence theorems guarantee that there is a unique solution without multiple points of (2) through each point of \( E^n - \{0\} \), and that this solution can be extended (in both directions along the curve) to the boundary of \( E^n - \{0\} \). It follows that each trajectory goes from the origin to infinity as \( \tau \) increases steadily from the value zero.

Further, if \( \tau \) ranges on a finite interval \( 0 < \tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_1 \), we shall see that the functions \( x_i(\tau) \) remain bounded. It will follow (since the trajectories go from the origin to infinity) that \( \tau \), and hence \( f \), increases steadily from 0 to \( +\infty \) along each trajectory. To prove this note that (1) implies that each of the \( n \) functions

\[
\frac{f_{x_i}}{f_{x_1}^2 + f_{x_2}^2 + \cdots + f_{x_n}^2} = \frac{f_{x_i}}{F^i}
\]

is bounded outside a sufficiently small sphere \( S_0 \) having the origin as its center. If \( M \) denotes a common bound of these quotients, we have from (2) that

\[
x_i(\tau) = c_i + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{f_{x_i}[x(\tau)]}{F[x(\tau)]} \, d\tau \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots, n),
\]

\[\footnote{In addition to being locally euclidean \( M \) is clearly bounded. Further, since \( f \) is continuous, \( f^{-1}(a) \), the map of a closed set (single point) of \( R \), is also closed, accordingly, \( M \) is compact.}\]
and
\[ |x_i(\tau)| \leq |c_i| + M(\tau - \tau_0). \]

Here, \( c_i \) is a constant, and \( \tau_0 \) is the value assumed by \( f \) at the point where the trajectory pierces \( S_0 \).

The set of points \( M; f = a \) has been shown to be a closed bounded manifold. If the set of points \( f = c_0 (c_0 > a) \) is a closed bounded manifold bounding an open domain \( D \) containing the manifold \( M \), and if \( D \) contains no critical point except the origin, Morse's program in [3] is readily extended to show that the sets \( f \leq c \) and \( f \leq a (a \leq c \leq c_0) \) are homeomorphic. The question arises as to how large \( c_0 \) may be taken in the present analysis. To answer this consider the family of trajectories orthogonal to \( M \) each parametrized so that (3) holds. Let \( c_0 (>a) \) be any value assumed by \( f \) and extend each trajectory from \( \tau = a \) to \( \tau = c_0 \). Each such endpoint \( \tau = c_0 \) of a trajectory clearly lies on the level surface \( f = c_0 \). We shall show that these "ends" constitute a closed bounded manifold.

To accomplish this, note that we may show, as above, that the functions \( x(\tau) \) are bounded for \( a \leq \tau \leq c_0 \). Next, let \( P \) be any point where \( f = c_0 \). There is a unique solution of (2) through \( P \), and it can be parametrized so that (3) holds. Extend that trajectory in the direction of decreasing \( \tau \) to \( \tau = a \). This point clearly lies on \( M \), and the trajectory is the unique trajectory orthogonal to \( M \), at this point. Thus, the set of points \( f = c_0 \) are bounded, and the trajectories provide a one-to-one continuous mapping of the set \( f \leq a \) into the set \( f \leq c_0 \), precisely as in Morse's analysis.

Now let \( M_i \) be any bounded closed manifold determined by the equation \( f(x) = c_i \) that bounds a domain \( D_i \) containing the origin, and let \( P \) be any point of \( D_i \) inside \( M_i \). We shall show that \( f(P) < c_i \). For, consider the trajectory \( T; x = x(\tau) \) through \( P \) orthogonal to \( M_i \), and suppose that \( f(P) \geq c_i \). The function \( f[x(\tau)] \) is of class \( C' \) on \( T \). As one continues \( T \) from \( M_i \) through \( P \), the arc \( A \) must either go to the origin or go off to infinity. In the latter case, the arc would have to intersect \( M_i \) a second time, and \( f[x(\tau)] \) would attain on \( T \) either a relative maximum or a relative minimum value at a point \( x = \xi \in M_i \); that is, at an interior point of a subarc of \( T \) within \( M_i \).

At \( x = \xi \), we would then have
\[ f_{x_1} \frac{dx_1}{d\tau} + f_{x_2} \frac{dx_2}{d\tau} + \cdots + f_{x_n} \frac{dx_n}{d\tau} = 0. \]

But since \( x = \xi \) lies on \( T \), equations (2) must be satisfied, and it follows that
\[ f_{x_1}^2 + f_{x_2}^2 + \cdots + f_{x_n}^2 = 0. \]
at $x = \xi$; that is, $x = \xi$ is a critical point of $f(x)$, contrary to hypothesis. Accordingly, the arc $A$ that starts at $M_1$ and passes through $P$ goes to the origin. If $f(P) \geq c_1$, it would follow that $f[x(\tau)]$ would possess an extremum at an interior point of $A$, and the argument employed above would show that this extremum would actually be a critical point of $f$. From this contradiction we infer that $f(P) < c_1$.

Suppose now that $P_1$ is any point of $E^n \in D_1 + M_1$. We shall show that $f(P_1) > c_1$. For, suppose $f(P_1) \leq c_1$. Then we continue the trajectory $T_1$ through $P_1$ orthogonal to $M_1$ from $P_1$ to the origin. On this arc there would again be an extremum of the function $f[x(\tau)]$ that can be shown, as above, to be a critical point of $f$.

We combine the foregoing results in the following statement.

**Theorem.** Let $f(x)$ be admissible and have no critical point, finite or infinite, except the origin. Then, $f(x) > 0, (x) \neq (0), \text{ throughout } E^n - \{0\}$. The set of points $f(x) = c$, where $c$ is any (positive) value assumed by $f$, is a bounded closed manifold $M$ that bounds an (open) domain $D$ containing the origin. Further, $f(x) < c$ throughout $D$ and $f(x) > c$ exterior to $M$. Finally, if $0 < c_1 < c$, the closed manifold $f = c_1$ lies wholly in $D$.

The following corollary\(^1\) is an immediate consequence of the theorem.

**Corollary 1.** If $f(x)$ is admissible and if $f(x_0) \leq 0$ for some point $(x_0) \neq (0)$, $f(x)$ has a critical point, finite or infinite, in addition to that at the origin.

We continue with a definition. A solution curve of (2) joining the origin to a point $P$ on which the only critical point of $f$ is the origin will be called an $\alpha$-arc joining these two points. We have then the following result.

**Corollary 2.** If $f(x)$ is admissible and $f(x_0) \leq 0, (x_0) \neq (0)$, there can be no $\alpha$-arc joining the origin to the point $(x) = (x_0)$.

For, the assumption of the existence of such an arc would lead, as above, to the existence of a critical point of $f$ on the arc.

The following examples will illuminate the theory.

**Example.** The function $f(x, y) = y^2 + x^4$ has precisely one critical point, the (degenerate) relative minimum point at the origin. The

---

\(^1\) The question answered by this corollary was put to the writer by Professor George Szegö.
level lines $y^2 + x^4 = c(0 < c < +\infty)$ are closed ovals about the origin, and their orthogonal trajectories are the curves $x = 0$ and

$$y = k \exp(-1/4x^2),$$

$k$ constant. The trajectory through each point in the plane, except the origin, is clearly an $\alpha$-arc.

**Example.** Let $f$ be the function

$$f(x, y) = 6x^2 + y^2 + 2x^3.$$

Clearly, $f$ is positive definite at the origin and vanishes along a curve that passes through the point $(-5, 10)$. Accordingly, $f$ must possess a critical point in addition to that at $(0, 0)$. It is readily seen that this is the point $(-2, 0)$. The equations $f(x, y) = c(0 < c \leq 8)$ determine closed curves around the origin. The trajectories orthogonal to these level lines are the curves

$$y^2 = k\frac{x}{x + 2}.$$

It will be observed, for example, that the trajectories orthogonal to the level curves at points $(x_0, y_0)$ for which $-2 < x_0 < 0$, $y_0 \neq 0$, start at the origin and go off to infinity asymptotic to the line $x = -2$, the abscissa of the second critical point. On the other hand, the line $y = 0$ joins every point $P_0(x_0, 0), x_0 < -2$, to the origin and is the trajectory through $P_0$ orthogonal to the given level lines. It clearly passes through the critical point $(-2, 0)$. There is clearly no $\alpha$-arc passing through any point to the left of the line $x = -2$. All points except the origin for which $f \leq 0$ lie to the left of this line.

Some of the preceding analysis can be recast as follows. Let $f(x)$ be a function of class $C^\infty$ in $E^n$ with a relative minimum point at $x = a$, and suppose that $x = a$ is an isolated critical point of $f(x)$. If $f(a) = k$, the equation $f(x) = k + \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon$ is a sufficiently small positive number, represents an $(n - 1)$-manifold $M$ in a neighborhood of $x = a$. Through each point of $M$ there exists a unique trajectory orthogonal to $M$. We extend each such trajectory in both directions from $M$ terminating the extension only when we reach a critical point of $f$. Let $k$ be the point set union of all such trajectories with all critical points deleted. Finally, let $B$ be set of all points in $E^n$ for which $f(x) \leq k$. It follows that $K \cap B = \emptyset$.

An analogous result may, of course, be stated when $x = a$ is a relative maximum point of $f$. 
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