# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

LOCALLY TRIVIAL C<sup>r</sup> GROUPOIDS AND THEIR REPRESENTATIONS

JOEL JOHN WESTMAN

Vol. 20, No. 2

October 1967

# LOCALLY TRIVIAL C<sup>r</sup> GROUPOIDS AND THEIR REPRESENTATIONS

# JOEL J. WESTMAN

We develop a theory of representations of a locally trivial  $C^r$  groupoid, Z, on a  $C^r$  fiber bundle, E (with fiber Y, Lie group G, and base space M = the set of units of Z).

A covariant functor, A, is defined, sending E into a locally trivial  $C^r$  groupoid A(E) = the groupoid of admissible maps between fibers of E, with a natural  $C^r$  structure. A  $C^r$  bundle map  $h: E \to E'$  is sent into a  $C^r$  isomorphism  $A(h): A(E) \to A(E')$ . Properties of the functor A are studied.

A  $C^r$  representation of Z on E is defined as a  $C^r$  homomorphism  $\rho: Z \to A(E)$ . Let  $Z_{ee}$  be the group of elements in Z with e as the left and right unit. We obtain the important result that a  $C^r$  homomorphism  $\rho_e: Z_{ee} \to A(E_e)$  has an (essentially) unique extension to a  $C^r$  representation of Z on a  $C^r$  fiber bundle E', where E' is determined by Z and  $\rho_e$ . This leads to interesting applications in differential geometry. The representations of  $L^k$ , the (locally trivial  $C^{\infty}$ ) groupoid of invertible k-jets of  $C^{\infty}$  maps of a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold, M, into itself, provide (but are not the same as) natural fiber bundles of order k in the sense of Nijenhuis.

The correspondence obtained in 4.93 and 4.94 between transitive  $C^r$  representations of Z and closed subgroups of  $Z_{e^e}$  is related to the classification of geometric objects for  $Z = L^k$  and to the classification of covering spaces for Z = the fundamental groupoid.

In §5 we discuss some examples-the universal covering groupoid of Z, and the fundamental groupoid.

Locally trivial  $C^r$  groupoids are as defined in [2], except that we deal only with sets in the usual sense, and our groupoids are always transitive, i.e. groupoids in the sense of Brandt. We find it useful to introduce the notion of a  $C^r$  coordinate groupoid in §2, and show that locally trivial  $C^r$  groupoids arise from  $C^r$  coordinate groupoids like fiber bundles arise from coordinate bundles.

In §1 we give a definition for a groupoid which is somewhat more elaborate than the usual one, but it introduces the notation used throughout the paper and it includes some essential properties of groupoids.

1. A groupoid, Z over M (in the sense of Brandt), consists of the following:

1.1. A set, Z, with a distinguished subset, M, called the set of

units in Z.

1.2. A map  $l \times r: Z \to M \times M$ , mapping Z onto  $M \times M$ . We introduce the following notation:  $Z_{qp} = (l \times r)^{-1}(q, p)$  and  $Z_B = (l \times r)^{-1}(B)$  for  $B \subseteq M \times M$ . The subscripts on  $\mathcal{P}_{qp} \in Z$  mean that  $l \times r(\mathcal{P}_{qp}) = (q, p) = (left unit of \mathcal{P}_{qp}, right unit of \mathcal{P}_{qp})$ . We require that  $l \times r(q) = (q, q)$  for  $q \in M$ , and will sometimes write  $\mathbf{1}_{qq}$  for q.

1.3. A law of composition,  $(\cdot): D \to Z$ , where  $D = (l \times r \times l \times r)^{-1}(M \times \Delta \times M) \subseteq Z \times Z$ , and  $\Delta$  is the diagonal in  $M \times M$ , satisfying the following conditions:

1.31.  $\Psi_{rq} \cdot \Phi_{qp} \in Z_{rp}$ .

1.32. (associative law)  $\Lambda_{sr} \cdot (\Psi_{rq} \circ \Phi_{qp}) = (\Lambda_{sr} \circ \Psi_{rq}) \circ \Phi_{qp}$ .

1.33. (units and inverse) For  $\mathcal{P}_{qp} \in Z$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{qp} = \mathcal{P}_{qp} \circ \mathbf{1}_{pp} = \mathbf{1}_{qq} \circ \mathcal{P}_{qp}$ , and there exists an element  $(\mathcal{P}_{qp})^{-1} \in Z_{pq}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_{qp} \circ (\mathcal{P}_{qp})^{-1} = \mathbf{1}_{qq}$  and  $(\mathcal{P}_{qp})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{P}_{qp} = \mathbf{1}_{pp}$ .

1.4. DEFINITION. A function  $\rho: Z \to Z'$  (where Z' is also a groupoid) is called a *homomorphism* if and only if

1.41.  $\rho(M) \subseteq M' = \text{units of } Z'$ . We will write  $\overline{\rho}$  for the restriction  $\rho|_M: M \to M'$ .

1.42. The following diagram commutes

$$egin{aligned} & Z \xrightarrow{
ho} Z' \ & \downarrow l imes r & \downarrow l' imes r' \ & M imes M \xrightarrow{
ho} \overrightarrow{
ho} M' imes M' \end{aligned}$$

1.43. From 1.42,  $\rho \times \rho(D) \subseteq D'$ . We require that

$$D \xrightarrow{\rho \times \rho} D'$$

$$\downarrow (\circ) \qquad \qquad \downarrow (\circ)$$

$$Z \xrightarrow{\rho} Z'$$

commute.

We establish the following notation.

1.45. Unless otherwise indicated, the r in  $C^r$  may be any integer  $\geq 1$ , or  $\infty$ , or  $\omega$  (for the analytic case), but is fixed throughout the discussion.

A  $C^r$  coordinate bundle, E consists of a Lie group G (the group of E) acting effectively on a  $C^r$  manifold Y (the fiber of E), so that the evaluation map  $G \times Y \to Y$  is a  $C^r$  map, and a projection  $\pi: E \to M$ sending the total space of E (also called E) onto the  $C^r$  manifold M(the base space of E), and an indexed set of coordinate functions  $\phi_j: V_j \times Y \to E_{r_j} = \pi^{-1}(V_j)$  as in [9, pp. 7 and 8], with the transition

340

functions  $g_{ij}: V_i \cap V_j \to G$  required to be  $C^r$  maps. Then a canonical  $C^r$  manifold structure is defined for the total space E, using the  $C^r$  structures of M and Y and the coordinate functions, so that  $\pi$  and the coordinate functions are  $C^r$  maps.  $C^r$  fiber bundles are then defined in terms of  $C^r$  coordinate bundles, as in [9, Ch. I]. We use E and E' to denote  $C^r$  fiber bundles with group G, fiber Y, base space M, and total spaces E and E' respectively.

For a function  $h: E \to E'$  we assume  $h(E_p) = E'_p$ , that  $h_p = h | E_p$ is bijective, and that  $h_p$  and  $h_p^{-1}$  are both  $C^r$  maps.  $C^r$  admissible maps and  $C^r$  bundle maps are as defined in [9], replacing the topological requirements by the appropriate  $C^r$  requirements. We do not always require that h be admissible, or even a  $C^r$  map, in this paper.

Given a  $C^r$  fiber bundle E, we form the set of maps

1.5 
$$P(E) = \{ \varPhi_{q_0} \colon Y \to E_q \mid q \in M \text{ and } \varPhi_{q_0} \text{ is an admissible map} \},\$$

and will regard P(E) as the associated principal bundle of E. Consider the set of maps

1.6 
$$A(E) = \{ \mathcal{Q}_{qp} \colon E_p \to E_q \mid (q, p) \in M \times M \\ \text{and } \mathcal{Q}_{qp} \text{ is an admissible map} \}.$$

Then A(E) has a natural groupoid structure over M, with  $l \times r(\Phi_{qp}) = (q, p)$  and the composition defined as the composition of maps.

2. The study of locally trivial  $C^r$  groupoids is simplified by consideration of  $C^r$  coordinate groupoids. A  $C^r$  coordinate groupoid  $(Z, \Sigma_e)$  over M, consists of the following:

2.1. A groupoid, Z (over M), and a  $C^r$  manifold structure for M.

2.2. A distinguished point  $e \in M$  and a Lie group structure for the group  $Z_{ee}$ .

2.3. A set of functions  $\Sigma_e = \{ \alpha \colon U_{\alpha} \to Z_{U_{\alpha} \times e} \}$   $U_{\alpha}$  is open in M and  $l \circ \alpha = \text{identity map, satisfying}$ 

2.31.  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma} U_{\alpha} = M.$ 

2.32. For  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \in \Sigma_e$ , the map

$$g_{lphaeta}\colon U_lpha\cap U_eta
ightarrow Z_{ee}\;;\qquad g_{lphaeta}(q)=(lpha(q))^{-1}{ullet}eta(q)\;,$$

is a  $C^r$  map.

Given a  $C^r$  coordinate groupoid  $(Z, \Sigma_e)$  we obtain a canonical  $C^r$  fiber bundle structure for Z.

For  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \in \Sigma_e$ , the map

2.4 
$$C_{\alpha\beta}: U_{\alpha} \times Z_{ee} \times U_{\beta} \longrightarrow Z_{U_{\alpha} \times U_{\beta}}$$

is defined by  $C_{\alpha\beta}(q, \Phi, p) = \alpha(q) \circ \Phi \circ (\beta(p))^{-1}$ .

2.5. THEOREM. A  $C^r$  coordinate groupoid,  $(Z, \Sigma_e)$  may be regarded as a  $C^r$  coordinate bundle over  $M \times M$ , with projection  $l \times r: Z \to M \times M$ , fiber  $Z_{ee}$ , and group  $Z_{ee} \times Z_{ee}$  acting on  $Z_{ee}$  by left and right composition, i.e.  $(\Phi, \Psi)\Gamma = \Phi \cdot \Gamma \cdot \Psi^{-1}$ , and coordinate functions  $C_{\alpha\beta}$  as given in 2.4.

*Proof.* For  $\gamma$  and  $\delta \in \Sigma_e$ , we obtain

$$C_{{\scriptscriptstyle{\gamma}}{\scriptscriptstyle{\delta}}}(q,\,arPsi,\,p)=C_{{\scriptscriptstyle{lpha}}{\scriptscriptstyle{eta}}}(q,\,g_{{\scriptscriptstyle{lpha}}{\scriptscriptstyle{\gamma}}}(q)\!\cdot\!arPsi\!\cdot\!arPsi\!\cdot\!(g_{{\scriptscriptstyle{eta}}{\scriptscriptstyle{\delta}}}(p))^{-1}\!,\,p)$$

for  $q \in U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\gamma}$  and  $p \in U_{\beta} \cap U_{\delta}$ . By 2.32, the transition functions are  $C^r$  maps.

A canonical  $C^r$  structure for Z is obtained via the  $C_{\alpha\beta}$  coordinate functions from the  $C^r$  structures of  $Z_{ee}$  and M. With this  $C^r$  structure Z becomes a (LT) locally trivial  $C^r$  groupoid in the sense of Ehresman [1 and 2], as we show in the next theorem.

2.6. THEOREM. Using the canonical  $C^r$  structure for Z (as determined from  $\Sigma_e$ ) the composition  $(\cdot): D \to Z$  and inverse  $(-1): Z \to Z$  are  $C^r$  maps. The function  $(q, p) \to \alpha(q) \cdot (\beta(p))^{-1}$ , for  $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma_e$  is a  $C^r$  local section in  $l \times r: Z \to M \times M$ .

*Proof.* The result that  $(\cdot)$  and (-1) are  $C^r$  maps follows from the corresponding properties of the Lie group  $Z_{ee}$ , using the  $C_{\alpha\beta}$  maps.

Conversely, given a  $LTC^r$  groupoid Z over M and a point  $e \in M$ , we obtain a  $C^r$  coordinate groupoid  $(Z, \Sigma_e)$ . We define

2.7.  $\Sigma_e =$  the set of local  $C^r$  sections in  $l: Z_{M \times e} \to M$ , regarding  $Z_{M \times e}$  as a closed submanifold in Z.  $Z_{ee}$  has a natural Lie group structure (see [5]). Then  $\Sigma_e$  satisfies 2.31 by the locally trivial condition on Z and satisfies 2.32 by the  $C^r$  condition on composition and inverse. Accordingly  $(Z, \Sigma_e)$  forms a  $C^r$  coordinate groupoid, and the canonical  $C^r$  structure for Z obtained via the  $C_{\alpha\beta}$  maps is the same as the original  $C^r$  structure for Z.

If Z' is a subgroupoid of Z with the same set of units, and Z' is also a  $C^r$  submanifold of Z, then Z' is a locally trivial  $C^r$  groupoid.

We establish the following notation.

2.71.  $\rho: Z \to Z'$  will be called a  $C^r$  homomorphism, if and only if Z and Z' are locally trivial  $C^r$  groupoids and  $\rho$  is a homomorphism as in (1.4), and  $\rho$  is also a  $C^r$  map.  $\rho$  will be called a  $C^r$  isomorphism if and only if  $\rho$  is a bijective  $C^r$  homomorphism, and  $\bar{\rho}: M \to M'$  is the identity map. As a consequence of (4.1),  $\rho$  is a  $C^r$  isomorphism implies  $\rho^{-1}$  is also a  $C^r$  isomorphism.

3. We obtain a natural  $C^r$  coordinate groupoid structure for A(E) (defined in 1.6), making A(E) a  $LTC^r$  groupoid.

3.1. CONSTRUCTION. The Lie group structure for  $A_{ee}(E)$  is obtained via the isomorphism  $I: G \to A_{ee}(E)$  induced by an admissible map  $i: Y \to E_e$ .  $\Sigma_e$  is defined as the set of maps  $\{\phi \times i\}$  where  $\phi: V \times Y \to E_V$  is a coordinate function for E,  $i: Y \to E_e$  is an admissible map, and  $\phi \times i: U \to A(E)_{U \times e}$  is given by  $(\phi \times i)(q) = \phi(q, i^{-1}(\cdot))$ .

3.2. REMARKS. A may be regarded as a convariant functor sending<sup>r</sup> a C' fiber bundle E into  $LTC^r$  groupoid A(E), and sending a  $C^r$  bundle map  $h: E \to E'$  into a  $C^r$  isomorphism  $A(h): A(E) \to A(E')$ ;  $A(h)(\varphi_{qp}) = h_q \circ \varphi_{qp} \circ h_p^{-1}$ . We summarize some properties of the functor A below.

3.21. If E = E'', except that the group G'' of E'' is a Lie subgroup of G, then the identity map  $h: E'' \to E$  defines the inclusion  $A(h): A(E'') \subseteq A(E)$  of A(E'') as a  $LTC^r$  subgroupoid of A(E).

3.22. Suppose  $f: M' \to M$  is a  $C^r$  map, and  $f^*(E)$  is the pullback of E over M'.  $f \times f: M' \times M' \to M \times M$  defines a pullback  $(f \times f)^* A(E)$ which is then a  $LTC^r$  groupoid over M'. There is a natural  $C^r$ isomorphism  $A(f^*(E)) \cong (f \times f)^* (A(E))$ . In particular, if N is a submanifold of M, the inclusion  $h: E_N \subseteq E$  defines the inclusion  $A(h): A(E_N) \subseteq A(E)$ .

Given a locally trivial  $C^r$  groupoid, Z, and a Lie group isomorphism  $I: G \to Z_{ee}$ , we obtain a  $C^r$  principal G bundle,  $Z_I$  by the following.

3.4. CONSTRUCTION. For  $\alpha \in \Sigma_e$  (as in 2.7), we define  $\alpha \times I$ :  $U_{\alpha} \times G \to Z_{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha} \times e}$  by  $\alpha \times I(q, \mathcal{Q}) = \alpha(q) \cdot I(\mathcal{Q})$ . Let  $Z_I$  denote the  $C^r$  fiber bundle with projection l, total space  $Z_I = Z_{\mathcal{M} \times e}$ , group G acting on G by left composition, and coordinate functions  $\alpha \times I$ .

The following theorem is easily verified.

3.5. THEOREM. Left composition by  $\Phi_{qp} \in Z$  is an admissible map  $L(\Phi_{qp}): (Z_I)_p \to (Z_I)_q$ , and  $L: Z \to A(Z_I)$  is a  $C^r$  isomorphism.

It is interesting to note that the principal bundles obtained for different choices of the isomorphism, I, may not be fiber bundle equivalent (see 4.41).

3.51. THEOREM. Suppose the admissible map i:  $Y \to E_e$  induces the isomorphism  $I: G \to A_{ee}(E)$ . Then there is a natural  $C^r$  bundle map (right composition by i)  $R_i: A_i(E) \to P(E)$ .

4. A homomorphism  $\rho: Z \to Z'$  induces a locally trivial  $C^r$  structure in Z' under certain conditions. This idea is made precise in the following theorem. 4.1. THEOREM. Let Z and Z' be two groupoids with the same set of units, M. Suppose Z is an  $LTC^r$  groupoid and that  $Z'_{ee}$  is a Lie group. If  $\rho: Z \to Z'$  is a homomorphism satisfying

4.11.  $\bar{\rho}: M \to M$  is the identity map

4.12.  $\rho|_{Z_{ee}}: Z_{ee} \to Z'_{ee}$  is a Lie group homomorphism then there is a unique  $LTC^r$  groupoid structure for Z' such that  $\rho$  is a  $C^r$  homomorphism.

*Proof.* We define  $\Sigma'_e = \{\alpha' = \rho \circ \alpha \mid \alpha \in \Sigma_e \text{ (as in 2.7)}\}$ . Since  $g_{\alpha'\beta'}(q) = \rho \circ g_{\alpha\beta}(q)$ , we see that  $\Sigma'_e$  satisfies 3.42. Conversely, if Z' has an  $LTC^r$  structure and h is a  $C^r$  map, then  $\Sigma'_e$  is a set of local  $C^r$  sections in  $l: Z'_{M \times e} \to M$ .

4.13. COROLLARY. Let Z and Z' be  $LTC^r$  groupoids over M, and  $\rho: Z \to Z'$  a  $C^r$  homomorphism such that  $\overline{\rho} = identity$  map. ker  $h = \{ \varphi \in Z: \rho(\varphi) = 1'_{ee} \in Z' \}$  is a closed normal subgroup in  $Z_{ee}$ . There are unique  $LTC^r$  groupoid structures on Z/ker  $\rho$  and  $\rho(Z)$ , such that the canonical maps  $\pi, \rho'$  and i are  $C^r$  homomorphisms;

$$Z \xrightarrow{\pi} Z/ \text{ker } h \xrightarrow{\rho'} h(Z) \xrightarrow{i} Z'$$
 .

4.14. COROLLARY. Let  $\rho: Z \to Z'$  and  $\rho': Z \to Z''$  be  $C^r$  homomorphisms such that  $\bar{\rho}$  and  $\bar{\rho}'$  are the identity maps. Suppose the restrictions of  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  to  $Z_{ee}$  (denoted by  $\rho_e$  and  $\rho'_e$  respectively) commute with a Lie group homomorphism  $\rho''_e: Z'_{ee} \to Z''_{ee}$ , i.e.  $\rho''_e \circ \rho_e =$  $\rho'_e$ . Then  $\rho''_e$  has a unique extension to a  $C^r$  homomorphism  $\rho'': Z' \to$ Z'' such that  $\rho'' \circ \rho = \rho'$ .

*Proof.*  $\Psi'_{qp} \in Z'$  may be written as the composition  $\rho(\Phi_{qe}) \circ A_{ee} \circ \rho(\Gamma_{ep})$ . We define  $\rho''(\Psi'_{qp}) = \rho'(\Phi_{qe}) \circ \rho''_{e}(A_{ee}) \circ \rho'(\Gamma_{ep})$  and note that the result is independent of the particular choice of  $\Phi_{qe}$  and  $\Gamma_{ep}$ . Then  $\rho''$  has the desired properties.

We next consider representations of  $LTC^r$  groupoids, as defined below.

4.2. DEFINITION. A  $C^r$  representation of Z on E is defined to be a  $C^r$  homomorphism  $\rho: Z \to A(E)$ , such that  $\bar{\rho}: M \to M$  is the identity map.

There are two notions of equivalence which are of interest in connection with groupoid representations. One of these, called weak equivalence involves maps between fiber bundles which may not be admissible. 4.3. DEFINITION. Given  $h: E \to E'$  (see 1.45) we define  $A(h)(\mathcal{P}_{qp}) = h_q \circ \mathcal{P}_{qp} \circ h_p^{-1}$ , for  $\mathcal{P}_{qp} \in A(E)$ . h is called *weakly admissible* if and only if A(h) sends A(E) into A(E').

We remark that if h is weakly admissible then

4.31.  $A(h): A(E) \rightarrow A(E')$  is a groupoid isomorphism.

4.32.  $h_e: E_e \to E'_e$  is admissible implies that  $h_p$  is admissible for all  $p \in M$ .

Bundle maps are related to groupoid isomorphism in the following theorem.

4.4. THEOREM. If  $h_e: E_e \to E'_e$  is admissible (resp. weakly admissible) and  $A(h_e)$  extends to a  $C^r$  isomorphism  $H: A(E) \to A(E')$ , then  $h_e$  has a unique extension to a  $C^r$  bundle map (resp.  $C^r$  weakly admissible map)  $h: E \to E'$  such that A(h) = H.

*Proof.* We define  $h_q = H(\Phi_{qe}) \circ h_e \circ \Phi_{qe}^{-1}$  for some  $\Phi_{qe} \in (A(E))_{qe}$  and note that  $h_q$  is independent of the choice of  $\Phi_{qe}$ .

As an application of 4.4 we obtain the following corollary, relating to the construction in 3.4. (Assume G is isomorphic to  $Z_{ee}$ .)

4.41. COROLLARY. The set of  $C^r$  principal G bundles  $Z_I$  obtained via 3.4 mod  $C^r$  bundle equivalence is in one to one correspondence with the cosets in Aut  $Z_{ee}/\text{Ext}(Z, Z_{ee}) \circ \text{Int } Z_{ee}$ , where Aut  $Z_{ee}$  is the set of Lie group automorphisms of  $Z_{ee}$ , Int  $Z_{ee}$  is the group of inner automorphisms in Aut  $Z_{ee}$ , and Ext  $(Z, Z_{ee})$  is the group of automorphisms in Aut  $Z_{ee}$  that extend to  $C^r$  automorphisms of Z, leaving M pointwise fixed.

*Proof.* For  $J \in \operatorname{Aut} Z_{ee}$ , there is a  $C^r$  bundle equivalence  $h: Z_I \to Z_{J_0I}$  if and only if there exists  $\emptyset \in Z_{ee}$  such that  $A(J \circ L_{\emptyset}) \in \operatorname{Ext} (Z, Z_{ee})$ , where  $L_{\emptyset}$  is left composition by  $\emptyset$ .  $A(J \circ L_{\emptyset}) = S \circ J$ , where S sends  $\Gamma \in Z_{ee}$  into  $J(\emptyset)\Gamma(J(\emptyset))^{-1}$ , so  $S \in \operatorname{Int} Z_{ee}$ .

Let  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  be  $C^r$  representations of Z on E and E' respectively.  $h: E \to E'$  is an equivalence (resp. weak equivalence) for  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  if and only if h is admissible (resp. weakly admissible) and  $A(h) \circ \rho = \rho'$ .

4.5. THEOREM. An equivalence, h, for  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  as above is a  $C^r$  bundle map.

*Proof.* We apply Theorem 4.1 to show that A(h) is a  $C^r$  isomorphism, then theorem 4.4 shows that h is a  $C^r$  bundle map.

The representation  $\rho$  is said to be *equivalent* to  $\rho'$ , written  $\rho \cong \rho'$ , if and only if there is an equivalence  $h: E \to E'$  for  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$ .  $\rho$  is said to be *weakly equivalent* to  $\rho'$ , written  $\rho \sim \rho'$ , if and only if

there is a weak equivalence for  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$ . The relations  $\cong$  and  $\sim$  are equivalence relations in the usual sense.

In the special case  $M = \{e\}$ , a groupoid Z over M is just a group. The definitions for groupoid representations and equivalences then yield a theory of group representations, as illustrated below.

Let  $Y = C^n$  and G = U(n) = the  $n \times n$  unitary matrices. Then the fiber bundle, E over  $\{e\}$ , may be regarded as an *n*-dimensional Hilbert space, and a  $C^r$  representation of Z on E as a unitary representation. If E' is another  $C^r$  fiber bundle over  $\{e\}$ , with group U(n)and fiber  $C^n$ , then  $h: E \to E'$  is admissible if and only if h is a unitary map of E onto E' (regarding E and E' as Hilbert spaces). Hence a representation equivalence is a unitary equivalence in the usual sense. We note that an antilinear map is weakly admissible, so a representation of Z on E is weakly equivalent to its dual.

Accordingly, the group G of the bundle plays an important role in the representation theory, in determining the nature of the representations allowed, and in the equivalence of the representations.

Returning to the general case, let  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  be  $C^r$  representations of Z on E and E' respectively. The restrictions of  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  to  $Z_{ee}$ (denoted by  $\rho_e$  and  $\rho'_e$  respectively) define representations on  $E_e$  and  $E'_e$  respectively. The following result shows that  $\rho$  is essentially determined by  $\rho_e$ .

4.6. THEOREM.  $\rho \sim \rho'$  if and only if  $\rho_{e} \sim \rho'_{e}$ .  $\rho \cong \rho'$  if and only if  $\rho_{e} \cong \rho'_{e}$ .

*Proof.* A representation equivalence  $h_e: E_e \to E'_e$  for  $\rho_e$  and  $\rho'_e$  extends to a representation equivalence for  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  as follows.  $A(h_e)$  extends to the  $C^r$  isomorphism  $H: A(E) \to A(E')$  by 4.14, and H defines an extension h of  $h_e$  by 4.4, having the desired properties. The proof for weak equivalence is similar.

Next, we see that any  $C^r$  representation  $\rho_e: Z_{ee} \to A(E_e)$  extends in a natural way to a  $C^r$  representation  $\rho: Z \to A(E')$ , where E' is constructed from Z and  $\rho_e$ .

Suppose  $E_e$  is a fiber bundle over  $\{e\}$ , with group G and fiber Y, and that  $\rho_e$  is a  $C^r$  representation of  $Z_{ee}$  on  $E_e$ . We define

$$E' = \{Z_{{\scriptscriptstyle M} imes e} imes E_e\} / {\sim}$$
 ,

where  $(\Phi_{qe}, v) \sim (\Psi_{qe}, w)$  if and only if  $\rho_e(\Psi_{qe}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{qe})v = w$ . Regarding  $Z_{M \times e}$  as a principal  $Z_{ee}$  bundle, E' is simply the associated bundle. The projection  $\pi$  is given by  $\pi(\Phi_{qe}, v) = q$ . The map  $E_e \to E'_e$ ;  $v \to 1_{ee}, v$ , identifies  $E_e$  with  $E'_e$ . The following result is easily verified.

4.7. THEOREM. The map  $\rho', \rho'(\Psi_{pq})(\Phi_{qe}, v) = (\Psi_{pq} \cdot \Phi_{qe}, v)$ , is a  $C^r$  representation of Z on E', and the restriction  $\rho'_e = \rho'|_{z_{ee}}$  is equivalent to  $\rho_e$ .

4.71. REMARK. If  $\rho''$  is another extension of  $\rho_e$  to a  $C^r$  representation of Z on E'', then by 4.6  $\rho'' \cong \rho'$ , and by 4.5 E' and E'' are equivalent as  $C^r$  fiber bundles.

We next present an example relating groupoid representations to the notion of "natural fiber bundles of order k" introduced by Nijenhuis.

4.72. EXAMPLE. Let  $P^{k} = P^{k}(M)$  be the bundle of k-frames on a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold, M, as defined in [4]. Then there is a natural map (left composition)  $L: L^{k} \to A(P^{k})$ , where  $L^{k} =$  the groupoid of invertible k-jets of  $C^{\infty}$  maps of M into itself. We give L the unique  $LTC^{r}$ structure such that  $L^{-1}$  is a  $C^{r}$  isomorphism. Then L is a  $C^{r}$  representation of  $L^{k}$  on  $P^{k}$ . Let  $\rho$  be a  $C^{r}$  representation of  $L^{k}$  on E. The subgroupoid  $\rho(L^{k}) \subseteq A(E)$  defines a reduction of the group of E. If we assume the group of E has been reduced so that  $\rho(L^{k}) = A(E)$ and ignore the  $LTC^{r}$  structure on  $L^{k}$ , then  $\rho$  may be regarded as a natural fiber bundle of order k as defined by Nijenhuis in [7]. The author feels that in view of the results obtained in this paper and the motivation given in [7] for defining "natural bundles", that a natural fiber bundle of order k should be defined as a  $C^{r}$  representation of  $L^{k}$ .

Next, we examine equivalences and weak equivalences of representations from another point of view.

4.8. DEFINITION. We define  $G^{-} = \{ \emptyset : Y \to Y | \emptyset \text{ is bijective, and } \emptyset \text{ and } \emptyset^{-1} \text{ are } C^r \text{ maps, and } \emptyset G \emptyset^{-1} = G \}.$ 

The following conclusions are easily verified.

4.81.  $G \subseteq G^{\uparrow}, \ \phi \in G^{\uparrow}$  defines an automorphism of G by  $\Gamma \in G \rightarrow \phi \circ \Gamma \circ \phi^{-1}$ .

4.82.  $h: E \to E'$  is weakly admissible if and only if  $\Psi_p^{-1} \circ h_p \circ \phi_p \in G^{\frown}$  for admissible maps  $\Psi_p$  and  $\phi_p$ , for all p in M.

Let  $\rho_{\epsilon}: Z_{\epsilon\epsilon} \to A(E_{\epsilon})$  be a  $C^{r}$  homomorphism, and  $i: Y \to E_{\epsilon}$  be a weakly admissible map (i.e. i induces a  $C^{r}$  isomorphism  $I: G \to A(E_{\epsilon})$ ,  $I(\Phi) = i^{-1} \circ \Phi \circ i$ , and i and  $i^{-1}$  are  $C^{r}$  maps). We define

4.81.  $\{\rho_e\} = \{I^{-1} \circ \rho_e \mid i \text{ admissible}\}$ 

4.82.  $[\rho_e] = \{I^{-1} \circ \rho_e \mid i \text{ is weakly admissible}\}.$ 

The following is easily verified.

4.83. THEOREM.  $\rho_e \cong \rho'_e$  if and only if  $\{\rho_e\} = \{\rho'_e\}$  and  $\rho_e \sim \rho'_e$  if and only if  $[\rho_e] = [\rho'_e]$ .

4.9. DEFINITION. A  $C^r$  representation  $\rho$  of Z on E is called *transitive* if and only if

4.91.  $\rho(Z_{ee}) = A_{ee}(E)$ 

4.92. G acts transitively on Y.

The classification of transitive  $C^r$  representations of Z given by 4.93 and 4.94 applies to the theory of geometric objects for  $Z = L^k$ , and is well known in that application (cf. [6]).

4.93. THEOREM. Suppose  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  are transitive  $C^r$  representations of Z on E and E' respectively. Let  $G_y$  be the isotropy group at  $y \in Y$ . Then  $\{(I^{-1} \circ \rho_e)^{-1}(G_y)\} = \{H_i\}$  is a conjugacy class of closed subgroups of  $Z_{ee}$ , independent of y. If  $\{H'_j\}$  is the corresponding class of subgroups for  $\rho'$ , then  $\rho \sim \rho'$  if and only if  $\{H_i\} = \{H'_j\}$ .

Proof.  $\{\rho_e\}$  is just the conjugacy class of  $I^{-1} \circ \rho_e$  under inner automorphism of G.  $\varPhi \circ G_y \circ \varPhi^{-1} = G_{\vartheta y}$  for all  $\varPhi \in G^{\wedge}$ , so  $\rho \sim \rho'$ implies that  $\{H_i\} = \{H'_j\}$  by 4.6 and 4.83. If  $\{H_i\} = \{H'_j\}$ , choose admissible maps i and j so that  $H_i = H'_j$ . Then  $F = J^{-1} \circ \rho'_e \circ \rho_e^{-1} \circ I: G \rightarrow$ G is a  $C^r$  isomorphism (assuming ker  $\rho_e = I_{ee}$ , otherwise replace Z by  $Z/\ker \rho_e$ ), and  $F(G_y) = G_y$ . Then F induces a map  $F': Y \rightarrow Y$  (via the identification  $G/G_y \cong Y$ ), and  $j \circ F' \circ i^{-1}: E_e \rightarrow E'_e$  is the desired weak equivalence for  $\rho_e$  and  $\rho'_e$ . Hence  $\rho \sim \rho'$  by 4.6.

A subgroup  $H_i$  obtained as in 4.93 contains no nontrivial normal subgroup in  $Z_{ee}$ , since G acts effectively on Y. Suppose H is a closed subgroup of  $Z_{ee}$  containing no nontrivial normal subgroups of  $Z_{ee}$ . Then define  $E = Z_J/H$  (see 3.4) where J is a Lie group isomorphism  $G \to Z_{ee}$ . We then obtain the result.

4.94. THEOREM. The left composition  $L: Z \to A(Z_J/H)$  is a transitive  $C^r$  representation. The conjugacy class  $\{H_i\}$  obtained for  $L = \rho$  in 4.93 is the conjugacy class of H.

4.95. REMARKS. Taking  $H = 1_{ee}$ , we see that the "left regular" transitive  $C^r$  representations  $L: Z \to A(Z_I)$ , obtained in 3.4 and 3.5, for various choices of I, are all weakly equivalent.

5. For any  $C^r$  manifold X, we define  $\Pi(X) = \{\sigma: [0, 1] \to X \mid \sigma \text{ is continuous}\}/\sim$ , where  $\sigma \sim \tau$  if and only if  $\sigma$  is homotopic to  $\tau$  with end points held fixed.

Assuming M is connected,  $\Pi(M) = \Pi$  is the fundamental groupoid for M, with the natural composition of curves and  $LTC^r$  structure.  $\Pi_{ee}$  is the (discrete) fundamental group at e, usually written  $\pi_1(M, e)$ , and  $l: \Pi_{M \times e} \to M$  is a universal covering of M. We obtain a universal covering groupoid,  $Z^{\sim}$ , for a connected  $LTC^{r}$  groupoid, Z, over a connected  $C^{r}$  manifold, M. Let  $Z^{\sim} = \prod_{Z \times 1_{ee}}(Z)$  and  $M^{\sim} = \prod_{M \times e}(M)$ . Then  $M^{\sim}$  may be regarded as a subset of  $Z^{\sim}$ , and we obtain the following result.

5.1. THEOREM. There is a natural  $LTC^r$  groupoid structure for  $Z^{\sim}$ , with  $l \times r: Z^{\sim} \to M^{\sim} \times M^{\sim}$  defined by  $l \times r(\sigma) = (l \circ \sigma / \sim, r \circ \sigma / \sim)$ , such that  $\pi: Z^{\sim} \to Z$ ;  $\pi(\sigma) = \sigma(1)$ , is a  $C^r$  homomorphism and  $\pi/Z_{\widetilde{ee}}: Z_{\widetilde{ee}} \to Z_{ee}$  makes  $Z_{\widetilde{ee}}$  the universal covering group of  $Z_{ee}^{\circ}$  (where  $e \in M^{\sim}$  is the identity curve at e, and  $Z_{ee}^{\circ}$  is the connected component of  $1_{ee}$  in  $Z_{ee}$ ).

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be representative curves for  $\sigma/\sim$  and  $\tau/\sim$ in  $Z^{\sim}$ . If  $r \circ \sigma \sim l \circ \tau$ , then we can find new representatives,  $\bar{\sigma}$  and  $\bar{\tau}$ , such that  $r \circ \bar{\sigma} = l \circ \bar{\tau}, \bar{\sigma} \sim \sigma$  and  $\bar{\tau} \sim \tau$  (see [3, Ch. 3]. Then the composition,  $\sigma \circ \tau$ , is defined by  $\sigma \circ \tau(t) = \bar{\sigma}(t) \circ \bar{\tau}(t)$ .

5.2. THEOREM. Let Z be a LTC<sup>r</sup> groupoid over a connected C<sup>r</sup> manifold M, and suppose that  $Z_{ee}$  is discrete. Then there is a unique C<sup>r</sup> homomorphism

$$\delta: \Pi = \Pi(M) \longrightarrow Z$$
,

mapping  $\Pi$  onto the connected component of  $1_{ee}$  in Z.

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma_{\tilde{q}e}$  be the unique lift of  $\sigma_{qe} \in \Pi_{qe}$  to  $Z_{M \times e}$ , starting from  $1_{ee}$ . Then define  $\delta(\sigma_{qe}) = \sigma_{\tilde{q}e}(1)$ , and extend  $\delta$  as a homomorphism to all of H.

### References

2. \_\_\_\_\_, Categories topologiques et categories differentiables, Colloque de Geometrie Differentielle Globale, Bruxelles, 1958.

6. A. Nijenhuis, Theory of the Geometric Object, Thesis, Amsterdam, 1952.

7. \_\_\_\_\_, Geometric aspects of formal differential operations on tensor fields, Proc. Int. Cong. Mathematicians, 1958.

8. K. Nomizu, Lie Groups and Differential Geometry, The Mathematical Society of Japan, 1956.

9. N. Steenrod, The Topology of Fiber Bundles, Princeton University Press, 1951.

Received March 19, 1965, and in revised form February 2, 1966.

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

<sup>1.</sup> C. Ehresmann, *Especes de structures locales* (a translation of "Gattungen von lokalen Strukturen"), Seminaire de Topologie et Geometrie Differentielle, Vol. III.

<sup>3.</sup> S. Hu, Homotopy Theory, Academic Press, 1959.

<sup>4.</sup> Kobayashi, S., Canonical forms on frame bundles of higher order contact, Differential Geometry, Amer. Math. Soc. 1961.

<sup>5.</sup> D. Montgomery and L. Zippen, *Topological Transformation Groups*, Interscience, New York, 1955.

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California

J. P. JANS University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF	K
--	---

K. Yosida

# SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 20, No. 2 October, 1967

Richard Mansfield Dudley, Sub-stationary processes       207         Newton Seymour Hawley and M. Schiffer, Riemann surfaces which are doubles of plane domains       217         Barry E. Johnson, Continuity of transformations which leave invariant certain translation invariant subspaces       223         John Eldon Mack and Donald Glen Johnson, The Dedekind completion of $C(\mathcal{X})$ 231         K. K. Mathur and R. B. Saxena, On the convergence of quasi-Hermite-Fejér interpolation       245         H. D. Miller, Generalization of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz       261         Joseph Baruch Muskat, Reciprocity and Jacobi sums       275         Stelios A. Negrepontis, On a theorem by Hoffman and Ramsay       281         Paul Adrian Nickel, A note on principal functions and multiply-valent canonical mappings       282         David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection lattices       317         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded linear functionals       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their representations       327	Edward Dewey Davis, <i>Ideals of the principal class, R-sequences and a certain monoidal transformation</i>	197
Newton Seymour Hawley and M. Schiffer, Riemann surfaces which are doubles of plane domains       217         Barry E. Johnson, Continuity of transformations which leave invariant certain translation invariant subspaces       223         John Eldon Mack and Donald Glen Johnson, The Dedekind completion of C(X)       231         K. K. Mathur and R. B. Saxena, On the convergence of quasi-Hermite-Fejér interpolation       245         H. D. Miller, Generalization of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz       261         Joseph Baruch Muskat, Reciprocity and Jacobi sums       275         Stelios A. Negrepontis, On a theorem by Hoffman and Ramsay       281         Paul Adrian Nickel, A note on principal functions and multiply-valent canonical mappings       282         David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection lattices       317         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded linear functionals       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their representations       327	-	207
certain translation invariant subspaces223John Eldon Mack and Donald Glen Johnson, The Dedekind completion of C(X)	Newton Seymour Hawley and M. Schiffer, Riemann surfaces which are	217
C(X)       231         K. K. Mathur and R. B. Saxena, On the convergence of quasi-Hermite-Fejér interpolation       245         H. D. Miller, Generalization of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz       261         Joseph Baruch Muskat, Reciprocity and Jacobi sums       275         Stelios A. Negrepontis, On a theorem by Hoffman and Ramsay       281         Paul Adrian Nickel, A note on principal functions and multiply-valent canonical mappings       283         Robert Charles Thompson, On a class of matrix equations       289         David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection lattices       317         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded linear functionals       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their representations       329	• • • • •	223
interpolation245H. D. Miller, Generalization of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz261Joseph Baruch Muskat, Reciprocity and Jacobi sums275Stelios A. Negrepontis, On a theorem by Hoffman and Ramsay281Paul Adrian Nickel, A note on principal functions and multiply-valent canonical mappings283Robert Charles Thompson, On a class of matrix equations289David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection lattices317James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded linear functionals327Joel John Westman, Locally trivial Cr groupoids and their representations339		231
Joseph Baruch Muskat, Reciprocity and Jacobi sums       275         Stelios A. Negrepontis, On a theorem by Hoffman and Ramsay       281         Paul Adrian Nickel, A note on principal functions and multiply-valent       283         Canonical mappings       283         Robert Charles Thompson, On a class of matrix equations       289         David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection       317         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their       339		245
Stelios A. Negrepontis, On a theorem by Hoffman and Ramsay       281         Paul Adrian Nickel, A note on principal functions and multiply-valent       283         canonical mappings       283         Robert Charles Thompson, On a class of matrix equations       289         David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection       317         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their       339	H. D. Miller, Generalization of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz	261
Paul Adrian Nickel, A note on principal functions and multiply-valent       283         canonical mappings       283         Robert Charles Thompson, On a class of matrix equations       289         David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection       217         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their       339	Joseph Baruch Muskat, Reciprocity and Jacobi sums	275
canonical mappings       283         Robert Charles Thompson, On a class of matrix equations       289         David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection       289         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded       317         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their       329	Stelios A. Negrepontis, On a theorem by Hoffman and Ramsay	281
Robert Charles Thompson, On a class of matrix equations       289         David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection lattices       317         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded linear functionals       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their representations       339	Paul Adrian Nickel, A note on principal functions and multiply-valent	
David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection lattices       317         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded linear functionals       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their representations       339	canonical mappings	283
lattices       317         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded linear functionals       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their representations       339	Robert Charles Thompson, On a class of matrix equations	289
linear functionals       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their representations       339		317
Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their representations	James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded	
representations	linear functionals	327
		339
		351