Pacific Journal of Mathematics

POWER-ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS IN WHICH EVERY SUBALGEBRA IS AN IDEAL

DAVID LEWIS OUTCALT

Vol. 20, No. 3 November 1967

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 20, No. 3, 1967

POWER-ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS IN WHICH EVERY SUBALGEBRA IS AN IDEAL

D. L. OUTCALT

By an H-algebra we mean a nonassociative algebra (not necessarily finite-dimensional) over a field in which every subalgebra is an ideal of the algebra.

In this paper we prove

MAIN THEOREM. **Let A be a power-associative algebra over a field F of characteristic not 2. A is an H-algebra if and only if A is one of the following;**

(1) **a one-dimensional idempotent algebra;**

(2) **a zero algebra;**

(3) **an algebra with basis $u_0, u_i,\ i \in I$ (an index set of arbitrary cardinality) satisfying $u_i u_j = \alpha_{ij} u_0, \alpha_{ij} \in F,\ i, j \in I$, all other products zero. Moreover if J is a finite subset of I, then $\sum_{i,j \in J} \alpha_{ij} x_i x_j$ is nondegenerate in that $\sum_{i,j \in J} \alpha_{ij} \alpha_i \alpha_j = 0,\ \alpha_i, \alpha_j \in F,\ i \in J$ implies $\alpha_i = 0,\ i \in J$;**

(4) **direct sums of algebras of types (1), (2), (3) with at most one from each.**

This is an extension of a result of Liu Shao-Xue who established it for alternative and Jordan H-algebras of characteristic not 2 [1; Theorem 1].

An immediate corollary is that a power-associative H-algebra over a field of characteristic not 2 is associative [1; Cor. 1].

Some results on H-rings are also determined in this paper. By an H-ring we mean a nonassociative ring in which every subring is an ideal.

1. Preliminaries.

The *associator* (x, y, z) is defined by $(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$. We will use the *Teichmüller identity* which holds in an arbitrary ring,

$$(1.1)\quad (wx, y, z) - (w, xy, z) + (w, x, yz) = w(x, y, z) + (w, x, y)z\ .$$

In a power-associative ring we have the identities $(x, x, x) = 0$ and $(x^2, x, x) = 0$ which when linearized yield, respectively,

$$(1.2)\qquad \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} (w_{\sigma(1)}, w_{\sigma(2)}, w_{\sigma(3)}) = 0$$

and

$$(1.3)\qquad \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} (w_{\sigma(1)} w_{\sigma(2)}, w_{\sigma(3)}, w_{\sigma(4)}) = 0$$

providing $2x = 0$ implies $x = 0$ in the ring.

Let a be an element of a ring (algebra). By $\{a\}$ is meant the subring (subalgebra) generated by a. Shao-Xue has established [1; Lemma 1]

LEMMA 1.1. *If a is an element of an H-algebra, then $\{a\}$ is finite-dimensional.*

2. **Main section.** To prove the main theorem we will first show that an H-algebra with unit is associative, then that a nil power-associative H-algebra is alternative, finally that a power-associative H-algebra is the direct sum of an H-algebra with unit and a nil H-algebra from which the theorem follows by Shao-Xue's result.

Separate statements for the ring case and the algebra case are needed where the results are also true of H-rings since there are ring ideals of an algebra which are not algebra ideals.

THEOREM 2.1. *If A is a ring with unit 1, and if A is an H-ring or an H-algebra, then A is associative.*

Proof. The nucleus N of A is defined by

$$N = \{u \in A \mid (u, x, y) = (x, u, y) = (x, y, u) = 0 \ \forall x, y \in A\} .$$

It follows easily from (1.1) and the linearity of the associator that N is a subring or subalgebra of A, as the case may be. Hence N is an ideal of A. But then $N(A, A, A) = 0$ by (1.1). The theorem follows immediately from the fact that $1 \in N$.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let A be a nil power-associative ring which is either*

(1) *an H-ring in which $px = 0$ implies $x = 0$, $x \in A$, if $p = 2$ or if $p = k^i$, k, i integers, $k \neq 0$, $i \geqq 2$, or*

(2) *an H-algebra where the characteristic of F is not 2.*

Then A is alternative.

Proof. We first show as in [1; Lemma 3] that for all $a \in A$,

$$a^3 = 0 . \tag{2.3}$$

Suppose $a^n = 0$, $a^{n-1} \neq 0$ for $n \geqq 4$, $a \in A$. Let $m = [(n + 1)/2]$ where $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer in x. Then $m + 1 \leqq n - 1$. Now, $a^{m+1} = a^m a \in \{a^m\}$, hence $a^{m+1} = ja^m$, j an integer in case (1) or $j \in F$ in case (2), since $(a^m)^2 = 0$. If $ja^m \neq 0$, then a is not nilpotent (using the restriction on characteristic in case (1)), a contradiction.

Hence $ja^m = 0$ which implies $a^{m+1} = 0$, which is also a contradiction. Thus we have (2.3).

Let $b \in A$ such that $b^2 = 0$. We next establish

$$bA = 0 = Ab \,. \tag{2.4}$$

Choose $a \neq 0$ in A. Since $ab \in \{b\}$ and $b^2 = 0$, $ab = kb$. Similarly, since $a^3 = 0$ by (2.3) and $ab \in \{a\}$, $a^2b \in \{a^2\}$, we have $ab = la + ma^2$, $a^2b = na^2$. In case (1) k, l, m, n are integers, and in case (2) they are elements of F. Since $a^2b \in \{b\}$ and $b^2 = 0$, we have

$$0 = (a^2b)b = (na^2)b = n^2a^2 \,.$$

Hence $a^2b = 0$. But then since $ab \in \{b\}$ and $b^2 = 0$

$$0 = (ab)b = (la + ma^2)b = lab = l^2a + lma^2 \,.$$

Thus $l^2a^2 = 0$ since $a^3 = 0$, which implies $l = 0$ since $a \neq 0$. Therefore

$$0 = a(ma^2) = a(ab) = a(kb) = k^2b \,.$$

Hence $Ab = 0$.

The anti-isomorphic copy A' of A satisfies the hypotheses of A, hence $A'b' = 0$ where b' is the anti-isomorphic copy of b. But then $bA = 0$, and we have (2.4).

In view of (2.4), the theorem will be established if we can show that the associators (a, a, b), (a, b, a), and (b, a, a) vanish whenever $a^2 \neq 0 \neq b^2$. Hence assume the latter.

By (2.3) and (2.4), for all $c \in A$

$$c^2A = 0 = Ac^2 \,. \tag{2.5}$$

Since $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$ are ideals, $ab, ba \in \{a\}$ and $ab, ba \in \{b\}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= k_1a + l_1a^2, \; ba = m_1a + n_1a^2 \,, \\ ab &= k_2b + l_2b^2, \; ba = m_2b + n_2b^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

by (2.3) where $k_1, k_2, l_1, l_2, m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2$ are integers in case (1) or elements of F in case (2). Computing, using (1.2) with $w_1 = a$, $w_2 = w_3 = b$, the restrictions on characteristic, and (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (a, b, b) + (b, b, a) + (b, a, b) \\ &= (ab)b - b(ba) + (ba)b - b(ab) \\ &= (k_1a)b - b(m_2b) + (m_2b)b - b(k_2b) \\ &= k_1^2a + k_1l_1a^2 - k_2b^2 \,, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $k_1^2a^2 = 0$ by (2.5). Hence $k_1 = 0$ since $a^2 \neq 0$. Considering the anti-isomorphic copy A' of A similarly as before yields $m_1 = 0$. Finally, direct computation using (2.5) and (2.6) yields

$(a, a, b) = -k_1a^2$, $(a, b, a) = (k_1 - m_1)a^2$, and $(b, a, a) = m_1a^2$, which completes the proof.

Proof of main theorem. We will show that A is alternative, from which the theorem follows by [1; Theorem 1].

If A is nil, then A is alternative by Theorem 2.2. Hence assume A is not nil. Let a be an element of A which is not nilpotent. Then $\{a\}$ is finite-dimensional by Lemma 1.1. Thus $\{a\}$ contains an idempotent e. Define

$$A_1 = \{x \in A \mid ex = 0\} .$$

We will show that A_1 is nil and that $A = \{e\} \oplus A_1$ from which the theorem follows by Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 since $\{e\}$ has unit element e.

Because $\{e\}$ is an ideal with unit element e,

$$(x, e, e) = 0 = (e, e, x)$$

for all $x \in A$, hence if we let $w_1 = x$, $w_2 = w_3 = e$ in (1.2) we obtain the identities

$$0 = (e, x, e) = (x, e, e) = (e, e, x) . \tag{2.7}$$

Let $x_1 \in A_1$. Expanding $(e, x_1, e) = 0$ yields

$$x_1e = 0 . \tag{2.8}$$

Let $y_1 \in A_1$. By (2.8),

$$(x_1, e, y_1) = 0 . \tag{2.9}$$

In (1.3), let $w_1 = x_1$, $w_2 = y_1$, $w_3 = w_4 = e$ and use (2.7), (2.8), and (2.9) to obtain

$$0 = (e, x_1, y_1) + (e, y_1, x_1) . \tag{2.10}$$

Now, consider $\{x_1\}$. Using (2.8) and (2.10), we compute for $n > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} ex_1^n &= e(x_1x_1^{n-1}) = -(e, x_1, x_1^{n-1}) = (e, x_1^{n-1}, x_1) \\ &= (ex_1^{n-1})x_1 - ex_1^n . \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$2ex_1^n = (ex_1^{n-1})x_1, \ n > 1 . \tag{2.11}$$

But then by an obvious induction argument we have from (2.11) that $ex_1^n = 0$ which implies that $\{x_1\} \subset A_1$. Hence $x_1y_1 \in A_1$ since $\{x_1\}$ is an ideal. Therefore A_1 is a subalgebra of A.

As in the proof of [1; Lemma 2], choose $x \in A$. Then $x = ex + (x - ex)$. Now, $e(x - ex) = 0$ by (2.7), hence $x - ex \in A_1$. Since

$\{e\}$ is an ideal, $ex \in \{e\}$. Moreover, $\{e\} \cap A_1 = 0$, thus $A = \{e\} \oplus A_1$.

If A_1 is not nil, then, as above, A_1 has an idempotent e_1, and $A_1 = \{e_1\} \oplus A_2$ where

$$A_2 = \{x_2 \in A_1 \mid e_1 x_2 = 0\} .$$

Hence $A = \{e\} \oplus \{e_1\} \oplus A_2$. Let $f = e + e_1$. Since $e = ef \in \{f\}$ and $e_1 = fe_1 \in \{f\}$, e and e_1 are linearly dependent because $\{f\}$ is one dimensional, a contradiction. Hence A_1 is nil, which completes the proof of the theorem.

H-algebras which are not associative can be constructed. Let A be the two-dimensional algebra over a field F with basis a, b satisfying $a^2 = ab = b^2 = a$, $ba = 0$. It is easy to check that every subalgebra of A is an ideal. Also, since $(b, b, b) = a$, A is neither power-associative nor associative.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Liu Shao-Xue (Liu Shao-Hsueh), *On algebras in which every subalgebra is an ideal*, Acta Math. Sinica **14** (1964), 532-537 (Chinese); translated as Chinese Math.-Acta **5** (1964), 571-577.

Received April 5, 1966. This research was supported by the U. S. Air Force under Grant No. AFOSR 698-65.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

J. P. JANS
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is $8.00; single issues, $3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $4.00 per volume; single issues $1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 20, No. 3 November, 1967

Dallas O. Banks, *Lower bounds for the eigenvalues of a vibrating string whose density satisfies a Lipschitz condition* 393
Ralph Joseph Bean, *Decompositions of E^3 which yield E^3* 411
Robert Bruce Brown, *On generalized Cayley-Dickson algebras* 415
Richard Dowell Byrd, *Complete distributivity in lattice-ordered groups* 423
Roger Countryman, *On the characterization of compact Hausdorff X for which $C(X)$ is algebraically closed* 433
Cecil Craig, Jr. and A. J. Macintyre, *Inequalities for functions regular and bounded in a circle* 449
Takesi Isiwata, *Mappings and spaces* 455
David Lewis Outcalt, *Power-associative algebras in which every subalgebra is an ideal* 481
Sidney Charles Port, *Equilibrium systems of stable processes* 487
Jack Segal, *Quasi dimension type. I. Types in the real line* 501
Robert William Stringall, *Endomorphism rings of primary abelian groups* 535
William John Sweeney, *"The δ-Poincaré estimate"* 559
L. Tzafriri, *Operators commuting with Boolean algebras of projections of finite multiplicity* 571