ON THE STRUCTURE OF Tor. II

Ronald John Nunke

ON THE STRUCTURE OF TOR, II

R. J. NUNKE

The following results are proved:

If A and B are abelian p-groups and the length of A is greater than the length of B, then $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ is a direct sum of countable groups if and only if (i) B is a direct sum of countable groups and (ii) if the β-th Ulm invariant of B is not zero, then every $p^\beta A$-high subgroup of A is a direct sum of countable groups.

If β is an ordinal, A is a p-group, and if one $p^\beta A$-high subgroup of A is a direct sum of countable groups then every $p^\beta A$-high subgroup of A is a direct sum of countable groups.

If A and B are p-groups of cardinality $\leq \aleph_1$ without elements of infinite height, then $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups.

For each n with $1 \leq n < \omega$, there is a p-group G without elements of infinite height such that G is not itself a direct sum of cyclic groups but every subgroup of G having cardinality $\leq \aleph_n$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups.

If A and B are (abelian) p-groups, when is $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ a direct sum of countable groups (d.s.c. group)? This paper contains a complete answer for this question when A and B have different lengths.

If A and B have the same length the situation is much more complicated. The simplest case occurs when A and B have no elements of infinite height. Then $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ has no elements of infinite height and it is a d.s.c. group if and only if it is a direct sum of cyclic groups (Σ-cyclic). Here, although there is no satisfactory answer to the question, some partial results are obtained. For example it is shown that if A and B are p-groups without elements of infinite height having cardinalities $\leq \aleph_1$, then $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ is Σ-cyclic.

Finally some examples of strange groups are constructed. Let a p-group be called λ-cyclic, where λ is a cardinal number, if every subgroup with cardinality $<\lambda$ is Σ-cyclic. Every p-group without elements of infinite height is $\aleph_1$-cyclic. For each n with $1 \leq n < \omega$, a group is constructed which is $\aleph_m$-cyclic but not Σ-cyclic.

These results are obtained by homological methods together with the concept of N-high subgroup due to John Irwin [2].

In diagrams $>\!\longrightarrow$ denotes a monomorphism and $\longrightarrow\!\!>$ an epimorphism. An extension $C >\!\longrightarrow E \longrightarrow\!\!> A$ is p^α-*pure* where p is a prime and α an ordinal number if it belongs to $p^\alpha \mathrm{Ext}\,(A, C)$. A monomorphism $f: C >\!\longrightarrow E$ is p^α-pure if the extension $C >\!\longrightarrow E \longrightarrow\!\!> \mathrm{Coker}\,f$ is. Similarly $C \subseteqq E$ is a p^α-*pure subgroup* of E if the extension

$C \rightarrowtail E \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow E/C$ is p^α-pure. If E is a p-group, then p^ω-purity coincides with the ordinary concept of purity. More generally, if $C \rightarrowtail E \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow A$ is p^α-pure then:

(1°) $(p^\beta A)[p] = (C + (p^\beta E)[p])/C$ for all $\beta < \alpha$, and

(2°) $C \cap p^\beta E = p^\beta C$ for all $\beta \leq \alpha$.

An easy transfinite induction shows that (1°) $\Rightarrow$ (2°). If $\alpha \leq \omega$, then (2°) $\Rightarrow p^\alpha$-purity. If A is a divisible p-group then (1°) $\Rightarrow p^\alpha$-purity for all ordinals α. This last implication holds in certain other situations but not in general. These facts are proved in [8].

If N is a subgroup of the group G, a subgroup H of G is called *N-high in G* if H is maximal with respect to the property $H \cap N = 0$.

PROPOSITION 1. *If G is a p-group, $N \subseteq p^\alpha G$, and H is N-high in G, then H is $p^{\alpha+1}$-pure in G. If $N \subseteq p^\omega G$, then G/H is divisible.*[1]

Proof. We prove first that if G is a p-group, then H is N-high in G if and only if $H \cap N = 0$ and $(G/H)[p] = (H + N[p])/H$. To see this suppose H is N-high in G. Clearly $H \cap N = 0$ and $(H + N[p])/H \subseteq (G/H)[p]$. Let $0 \neq x \in (G/H)[p]$ and let $g \in G$ map onto $x \bmod H$. Then $g \notin H$, $pg \in H$, and by the maximality of H there is a nonzero $a \in H \cap (H + \{g\})$. Thus $a = h + kg$ with k an integer. Moreover p does not divide k for otherwise $a \in H \cap N = 0$. Hence $1 = rk + sp$ for suitable integers r and s and $g = rkg + spg = ra + (spg\text{-}rh)$. Now $spg - rh \in H$ and $pra \in GH \cap N = 0$ so that $x \in (H + N[p])/H$ as desired.

Conversely suppose $(G/H)[p] = (H + N[p])/H$ and $H \cap N = 0$. To show the maximality of H it is enough to show that $(H + \{g\} \cap N \neq 0$ whenever $g \notin H$ but $pg \in H$. If g has these properties then the hypothesis gives $g = a + h$ with $a \in N$ and $h \in H$. Then $a \neq 0$ because $g \notin H$ and $a = g - h \in (H + \{g\}) \cap N$.

Now suppose H is N-high in G and $N \subseteq p^\alpha G$. Then by the result just proved we have $(G/H)[p] \subseteq (H + (p^\beta G)[p])/H$ for all $\beta \leq \alpha$. Since $(p^\beta(G/H))[p] \subseteq (G/H)[p]$ and $(H + (p^\beta G)[p])/H \subseteq (p^\beta G/H))[p]$ we have

$$(p^\beta(G/H))[p] = (H + (p^\beta G)[p])/H \qquad\qquad \text{for all } \beta \leq \alpha .$$

If $\alpha < \omega$ the discussion preceeding the statement of this proposition shows that H is $p^{\alpha+1}$-pure in G. The same discussion shows $p^{\alpha+1}$-purity for $\alpha \geq \omega$ once we know that G/H is divisible.

If $N \subseteq p^\omega G$, then $(G/H)[p] \subseteq (H + p^\omega G)/H \subseteq p^\omega(G/H)$ which implis that G/H is divisible.

PROPOSITION 2. *If $C \rightarrowtail E \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow A$ is p^α-pure with $\alpha \geq \omega$ and B is any p-group, then*

---

[1] The first statement of this proposition and the first statement of [3] Theorem 2 read the same, however the term p^α-purity has different meanings in the two places.

$$\text{Tor}\,(C,\,B) \rightarrowtail \text{Tor}\,(E,\,B) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Tor}\,(A,\,B)$$

is exact and p^α-pure.

Proof. The condition $\alpha \geq \omega$ is needed only to show that $\text{Tor}\,(E,\,B) \to \text{Tor}\,(A,\,B)$ is epic. We use the description of $\text{Tor}\,(A,\,B)$ in terms of generators and relations given in [6, p. 150]. The generators are triples $\langle a,\,n,\,b \rangle$ with $n \in Z$ (the group if integers), $a \in A[n]$ and $b \in B[n]$. The relations require $\langle a,\,n,\,b \rangle$ to be bilinear as a function of a and b and also require $\langle ka,\,n,\,b \rangle = \langle a,\,kn,\,b \rangle$ for $k,\,n \in z$, $a \in A[kn]$, $b \in B[n]$, and $\langle a,\,n,\,kb \rangle = \langle a,\,kn,\,b \rangle$ for $k,\,n \in z$, $a \in A[n]$, $b \in B[kn]$.

If $\alpha \geq 0$, then $C \rightarrowtail E \twoheadrightarrow A$ is p^ω-pure and it follows that each $a \in A[p^n]$ can be lifted to an element $e \in E$ with the same order. Since B is a p-group, $\text{Tor}\,(A,\,B)$ is generated by the elements $\langle a,\,p^n,\,b \rangle$ with $p^n a = 0 = p^n b$. Letting $e \in E[p^n]$ map onto a we have $\langle e,\,p^n,\,b \rangle \in \text{Tor}\,(E,\,B)$ mapping onto $\langle a,\,p^n,\,b \rangle$ as required to show that $\text{Tor}\,(E,\,B) \to \text{Tor}\,(A,\,B)$ is epic.

The sequence with Tor is now exact because Tor is left-exact.

For a given α, the functor p^α is represented by an exact sequence $Z \rightarrowtail G \twoheadrightarrow H$ (See [7] or [8] for the definitions and details). For a group A let $\partial_A : \text{Tor}\,(H,\,A) \to A$ be the connecting homomorphism induced by this sequence. We then have

$$\partial_A \langle x,\,n,\,a \rangle = (ny)a$$

where y is any element of G mapping onto x. Since $nx = 0$, $ny \in Z$ so that the term $(ny)a$ makes sense.

The extension

$$C \rightarrowtail E \xrightarrow{\lambda} A$$

is p^α-pure if and only if there is a map $\varphi : \text{Tor}\,(H,\,A) \to E$ such that $\lambda \varphi = \partial_A$.

MacLane shows in [5] that, for groups $A,\,B,\,C$, the group $\text{Tor}\,(\text{Tor}\,(A,\,B),\,C)$ is generated by the elements $\langle \langle a,\,n,\,b \rangle,\,n,\,c \rangle$ with $n \in Z$ $na = nb = nc = 0$. Similarly $\text{Tor}\,(A,\,\text{Tor}\,(B,\,C))$ is generated by the elements $\langle a,\,n,\,\langle b,\,n,\,c \rangle \rangle$. Moreover there is a natual isomorphism

$$\theta : \text{Tor}\,(\text{Tor}\,(A,\,B),\,C) = \text{Tor}\,(A,\,\text{Tor}\,(B,\,C))$$

such that

$$\theta \langle \langle a,\,n,\,b \rangle,\,n,\,c \rangle = \langle a,\,n,\,\langle b,\,n,\,c \rangle \rangle \,.$$

For groups $A,\,B$ we have a diagram

$$\text{Tor}\,(\text{Tor}\,(H,\,A),\,B) \xrightarrow{\;\theta\;} \text{Tor}\,(H,\,\text{Tor}\,(A,\,B))$$

with $\text{Tor}\,(\partial_A,\,B)$ going down to $\text{Tor}\,(A,\,B)$ and $\partial_{\text{Tor}(A,B)}$ going diagonally.

This diagram commutes for we have

$$\text{Tor}\,(\partial_A,\,B)\,\langle\langle x,\,n,\,a\rangle,\,n,\,b\rangle = \langle \partial_A \langle x,\,n,\,a\rangle,\,n,\,b\rangle$$
$$= \langle (ny)a,\,n,\,b\rangle$$
$$= (ny)\langle a,\,n,\,b\rangle$$
$$= \partial_{\text{Tor}(A,B)}\langle x,\,n,\,\langle a,\,n,\,b\rangle\rangle$$
$$= \partial_{\text{Tor}(A,B)}\theta\langle\langle x,\,n,\,a\rangle,\,n,\,b\rangle\,.$$

Now suppose $C \rightarrowtail E \twoheadrightarrow A$ is p^α-pure with $\lambda\colon E \to A$. Hence $\partial_A = \lambda\varphi$. Applying Tor we get $\text{Tor}\,(\partial_A,\,B) = \text{Tor}\,(\lambda,\,B)\,\text{Tor}\,(\varphi,\,B)$ and therefore $\partial_{\text{Tor}(A,B)} = \text{Tor}\,(\lambda,\,B)\,\text{Tor}\,(\varphi,\,B)\theta^{-1}$. Thus the sequence

$$\text{Tor}\,(C,\,B) \rightarrowtail \text{Tor}\,(E,\,B) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Tor}\,(A,\,B)$$

is p^α-pure.

For the purposes of this paper we define the *length* $\lambda(A)$ of the p-group A to be the least ordinal α such that $p^\alpha A = 0$ and ∞ if there is no such ordinal. The symbol ∞ is assumed to be larger than any ordinal. According to [7] $p^\alpha \text{Tor}\,(A,\,B) = \text{Tor}\,(p^\alpha A,\,p^\alpha B)$ so that the length of $\text{Tor}\,(A,\,B)$ is the minimum of the lengths of A and of B. The group A is p^α-*projective* if each p^α-pure extension $C \rightarrowtail E \twoheadrightarrow A$ splits. A d.s.c. group is p^α-projective if and only if it has length $\leq \alpha$ ([7] or [8]).

In the proofs of the next few theorems we shall refer repeatedly to the following situation. Let β be an infinite ordinal and let M be a $p^\beta A$-high subgroup of A. Then by Proposition 1 the sequence

$$M \rightarrowtail A \twoheadrightarrow A/M$$

is $p^{\beta+1}$-pure and A/M is divisible. If B is a p-group, then by Proposition 2 the sequence
$$(*)\qquad \text{Tor}\,(M,\,B) \rightarrowtail \text{Tor}\,(A,\,B) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Tor}\,(A/M,\,B)$$

is also $p^{\beta+1}$-pure. Moreover if $A/M \neq 0$, then $A/M = \Sigma Z(p^\infty)$ and hence $\text{Tor}\,(A/M,\,B) = \Sigma\,\text{Tor}\,(Z(p^\infty),\,B) = \Sigma B$.

In the remainder of the paper we shall use without further reference Kaplansky's theorem [4] that a direct summand of a d.s.c. group is itself one.

PROPOSITION 3. *If β is an infinite countable ordinal, A has a $p^\beta A$-high subgroup which is a d.s.c. group, and B is a countable p-group of length $\leq \beta + 1$, then $\text{Tor}\,(A,\,B)$ is a d.s.c. group.*

Proof. Let M be the $p^\beta A$-high subgroup called for and refer to the $p^{\beta+1}$-pure sequence (*) above. Since B is countable of length $\leqq \beta + 1$ it is $p^{\beta+1}$-projective. Hence $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A/M, B) = \varSigma B$ is also $p^{\beta+1}$-projective and the sequence (*) splits. But then $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ is a direct sum of the d.s.c. groups $\mathrm{Tor}\,(M, B)$ and $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A/M, B)$ and is therefore a d.s.c. group. $\mathrm{Tor}\,(M, B)$ is a d.s.c. group because M is a d.s.c. group, Tor commutes with direct sums and $\mathrm{Tor}\,(G, B)$ is countable whenever G and B are.

PROPOSITION 4. Let $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ be a d.s.c. group.
(i) If $\lambda(A) > \lambda(B)$, then B is a d.s.c. group.
(ii) If $\lambda(A) \geqq \lambda(B) = \beta + 1$ with β an infinite countable ordinal, and B is a d.s.c. group, then every $p^\beta A$-high subgroup of A is a d.s.c. group.

Proof. To show (i) let $\beta = \lambda(B)$. If $\beta < \omega$ then B has bounded order and is clearly a d.s.c. group. Hence suppose $\beta \geqq \omega$. Let M be a $p^\beta A$-high subgroup of A and consider the $p^{\beta+1}$-pure sequence (*). Since $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ is a d.s.c. group of length β it is p^β-projective. According to [8, Proposition 3.1] the $p^{\beta+1}$-purity of (*) then implies that the sequence (*) splits. Hence $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A/M, B)$ is a d.s.c. group. Since $\lambda(A) > \beta$, $A/M \neq 0$ so that B is a direct summand of $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A/M, B)$ and therefore a d.s.c. group.

To prove (ii) we again let M be $p^\beta A$-high and refer to (*). Now B is $p^{\beta+1}$-projective so that $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ is $p^{\beta+1}$-projective. Hence the sequence (*) splits. Therefore $\mathrm{Tor}\,(M, B)$ is a d.s.c. group. Since $\lambda(M) = \beta < \lambda(B)$, M is a d.s.c. group by (i) and the commutativity of Tor.

COROLLARY 5. *If β is an infinite ordinal and the p-group A has one $p^\beta A$-high subgroup which is a d.s.c. group, then every $p^\beta A$-high subgroup of A is a d.s.c. group.*

Proof. If $\lambda(A) \leqq \beta$, then A is the only $p^\beta A$-high subgroup so the result is trivial. Therefore assume $\lambda(A) > \beta$. Next observe that if $\beta > \varOmega$, then a $p^\beta A$-high subgroup cannot be a d.s.c. group because it has length β and the length of a d.s.c. group is either $\leqq \varOmega$ or is ∞. If $\beta = \varOmega$ and M is $p^\beta A$-high, then $M \rightarrowtail A \twoheadrightarrow A/M$ is in $p^\varOmega \mathrm{Ext}\,(A/M, M)$. If M is a d.s.c. group, then $p^\varOmega \mathrm{Ext}\,(Z(p^\infty), M) = 0$ by [8] Lemma 3.10. Since $A/M = \varSigma Z(p^\infty)$,

$$\mathrm{Ext}\,(A/M, M) = \varPi \, \mathrm{Ext}\,(Z(p^\infty), M)$$

so that $p^\varOmega \mathrm{Ext}\,(A/M, M) = 0$. Thus M is a direct summand of A.

Since M is $p^\sigma A$-high in A we have $(A/M)[p] \cong (p^\sigma A)[p]$ and it follows easily that $p^\sigma A$ is the maximal divisible subgroup of A. Hence $M \cong A/p^\sigma A$ in this case. Since $A/p^\sigma A$ is independent of M it follows that all $p^\sigma A$-high subgroups are isomorphic (if one is a d.s.c. group) and hence all are d.s.c. groups.

Finally if β is infinite and countable, let B be a countable p-group of length $\beta + 1$. By Proposition 3 Tor (A, B) is a d.s.c. group because A has a $p^\beta A$-high subgroup which is a d.s.c. group. By Proposition 4 (ii) every $p^\beta A$-high subgroup of A is a d.s.c. group.

THEOREM 6.   *If* $\lambda(A) > \lambda(B) \geqq \omega$, *then* Tor (A, B) *is a d.s.c. group if and only if*

(i) B *is a d.s.c. group, and*

(ii)   *if* β *is an infinite ordinal such that the* β-*th Ulm invariant of* B *is* $\neq 0$, *then every* $p^\beta A$-*high subgroup of* A *is a d.s.c. group.*

Proof. We need two easy consequences of Ulm's theorem and Zippin's theorem (cf. [1] p. 135):

(1)   If B is a d.s.c. group whose β-th Ulm invariant is not zero, then B has a countable direct summand B' of length $\beta + 1$.

(2)   If B is a d.s.c. group, then $B = \Sigma B_i$ where i ranges over some index set and B_i is countable of length $\beta_i + 1$.

Suppose Tor (A, B) is a d.s.c. group. We get (i) by proposition 4(i). Suppose further that β is infinite and the β-th Ulm invariant of B is not zero. Let B' be a countable direct summand of B with length $\beta + 1$ as provided by (1) above. Then Tor (A, B') is a direct summand of Tor (A, B), hence a d.s.c. group and (ii) follows from Proposition 4(ii).

Suppose $\lambda(A) > \lambda(B) \geqq \omega$ and (i) (ii) are satisfied. Using (2) above we write $B = \Sigma B_i$ with B_i countable of length $\beta_i + 1$. Then Tor $(A, B) = \Sigma$ Tor (A, B_i). If $\beta_i < \omega$, then B_i is a direct sum of cyclic groups so Tor (A, B_i) is a d.s.c. group. If $\beta_i \geqq \omega$, then Tor (A, B_i) is a d.s.c. group by Proposition 3. Hence Tor (A, B) is a d.s.c. group.

In order to continue we must derive further properties of Tor. The inclusions $A' \subseteqq A$, $B' \subseteqq B$ induce a monomorphism Tor $(A', B') \rightarrowtail$ Tor (A, B). We shall identify Tor (A', B') with its image in Tor (A, B).

LEMMA 7.

(i)   *If* $A', A'' \subseteqq A$, *then* $\mathrm{Tor}(A' \cap A'', B) = \mathrm{Tor}(A', B) \cap \mathrm{Tor}(A'', B)$.

(ii)   *If* $A' \subseteqq A$ *and* $B' \subseteqq B$, *then*

$$\mathrm{Tor}\,(A', B') = \mathrm{Tor}\,(A', B) \cap \mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B')\ .$$

(iii) *If A', $A'' \subseteqq A$ and B', $B'' \subseteqq B$, then*

$$\mathrm{Tor}\,(A' \cap A'', B' \cap B'') = \mathrm{Tor}\,(A', B') \cap \mathrm{Tor}\,(A'', B'') \,.$$

Proof. If A', $A'' \subseteqq A$, then there is a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
A' \cap A'' & >\!\!\longrightarrow & A' & \longrightarrow\!\!> & A'/A' \cap A'' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A'' & >\!\!\longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow\!\!> & A/A''
\end{array}
$$

with exact rows and monic vertical maps. Applying Tor we get

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{Tor}\,(A' \cap A'', B) & >\!\!\longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}\,(A', B) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}\,(A'/A' \cap A'', B) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathrm{Tor}\,(A'', B) & >\!\!\longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}\,(A/A'', B)
\end{array}
$$

with exact rows and monic vertical maps. Conclusion (i) follows from this diagram.

To prove (ii) we note the existence of the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{Tor}\,(A', B') & >\!\!\longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B') & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}\,(A/A', B') \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathrm{Tor}\,(A', B) & >\!\!\longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}\,(A/A', B)
\end{array}
$$

with exact rows and monic vertical maps and proceed as before.

For (iii) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tor}\,(A' &\cap A'', B' \cap B'') \\
&= \mathrm{Tor}\,(A' \cap A'', B) \cap \mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B' \cap B'') \\
&= \mathrm{Tor}\,(A', B) \cap \mathrm{Tor}\,(A'', B) \cap \mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B') \cap \mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B'') \\
&= \mathrm{Tor}\,(A', B') \cap \mathrm{Tor}\,(A'', B'')
\end{aligned}
$$

using in order (ii), (i), (ii).

Lemma 7 holds for any left exact covariant functor of two variables.

PROPOSITION 8. For $x \in \mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$, there are unique finite subgroups $A_x \subseteqq A$ and $B_x \subseteqq B$ such that

(i) $x \in \mathrm{Tor}\,(A_x, B_x)$ and

(ii) if $x \in \mathrm{Tor}\,(A', B')$ with $A' \subseteqq A$ and $B' \subseteqq B$, then $A_x \subseteqq A'$ and $B_x \subseteqq B'$.

Proof. There exist finite subgroups $G \subseteqq A$, $H \subseteqq B$ such that $x \in \mathrm{Tor}\,(G, H)$. Let $G_1, H_1; G_2, H_2; \cdots; G_n, H_n$ enumerate the pairs of

subgroups of G and H respectively such that $x \in \mathrm{Tor}\,(G_i, H_i)$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. By Lemma 7 (iii) we have $x \in \mathrm{Tor}\,(G_1 \cap \cdots \cap G_n, H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_n)$. Put $A_x = G_1 \cap \cdots \cap G_n$ and $B_x \in H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_n$. Thus (i) is satisfied.

If $x \in \mathrm{Tor}\,(A', B')$ we have by Lemma 7 (iii) that

$$x \in \mathrm{Tor}\,(G \cap A', H \cap B')\,.$$

Then $G \cap A' = G_i$ and $H \cap B' = H_i$ for some i so that $A_x \subseteq A'$ and $B_x \subseteq B'$ proving (ii).

COROLLARY 9. *If $a \in A$, $b \in B$ have the same order and*

$$\mathrm{Tor}\,(\{a\}, \{b\}) \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}\,(A', B')$$

with $A' \subseteq A$ and $B' \subseteq B$, then $a \in A'$ and $b \in B'$.

Proof. If the common order of a and b is n, then $\mathrm{Tor}\,(\{a\}, \{b\})$ is cyclic of order n. Let x be a generator. Then $x \in \mathrm{Tor}\,(\{a\} \cup A', \{b\} \cap B')$ by hypothesis and Lemma 7 (iii). If either $\{a\} \cap A'$ or $\{b\} \cap B'$ had order $<n$, then $\mathrm{Tor}\,(\{a\} \cap A', \{b\} \cap B')$ being cyclic would have order $<n$. Thus x would have order $<n$ contradicting the fact that its order is n. It follows that $\{a\} \cap A' = \{a\}$ and $\{b\} \cap B' = \{b\}$ so that $a \in A'$ and $b \in B'$.

PROPOSITION 10. *If $A' \subseteq A$ and $B' \subseteq B$ with A, B p-groups, B' has unbounded order, and $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A', B')$ is pure in $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$, then A' is pure in A.*

Proof. Let $a \in A' \cap p^n A$. Since B' has unbounded order there is a $b \in p^n B'$ having the same order as a. In [7] it was shown that $p^n \mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B) = \mathrm{Tor}\,(p^n A, p^n B)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tor}\,(\{a\}, \{b\}) &\subseteq \mathrm{Tor}\,(A' \cap p^n A, B' \cap p^n B)\\
&\subseteq \mathrm{Tor}\,(A', B') \cap p^n \mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)\\
&\supseteq p^n \mathrm{Tor}\,(A', B') = \mathrm{Tor}\,(p^n A', p^n B')\,.
\end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 9 $a \in p^n A'$. Since a and n were arbitrary we have $A' \cap p^n A = p^n A'$ for all n and A' is pure in A.

An indexed family $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha > \rho}$ of subgroups of A will be called a *sequence* of subgroups if α ranges over the set of ordinals less then some ordinal ρ and $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$ whenever $\alpha \le \beta < \rho$. If $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \rho}$ is a sequence of subgroups of A, then $\bigcup A_\alpha$ (the set theoretical union) is the subgroup generated by the A_α.

PROPOSITION 11. *If $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \rho}$ and $\{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \rho}$ are sequences of subgroups of A and B respectively, then $\{\mathrm{Tor}\,(A_\alpha, B_\alpha)\}_{\alpha < \rho}$ is a sequence of*

subgroups of $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ and

$$\bigcup \mathrm{Tor}\,(A_\alpha, B_\alpha) = \mathrm{Tor}\,\Big(\bigcup A_\alpha, \bigcup B_\alpha\Big)\,.$$

Proof. It is clear that $\{\mathrm{Tor}\,(A_\alpha, B_\alpha)\}_{\alpha < \rho}$ is a sequence of subgroups of $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$. Since $A_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup A_\alpha$ and $B_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup B_\alpha$ for all $\alpha < \rho$ we have

$$\bigcup \mathrm{Tor}\,(A_\alpha, B_\alpha) \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}\,\Big(\bigcup A_\alpha, \bigcup B_\alpha\Big)\,.$$

Suppose $x \in \mathrm{Tor}\,(\bigcup A_\alpha, \bigcup B_\alpha)$ and let A_x, B_x be the subgroups defined by Proposition 8. Then $A_x \subseteq \bigcup A_\alpha$ and $B_x \subseteq \bigcup B_\alpha$. Since A_x and B_x are finite, there is a $\beta < \rho$ such that $A_x \subseteq A_\beta$ and $B_x \subseteq B_\beta$. Hence $x \in \mathrm{Tor}\,(A_\beta, B_\beta) \subseteq \bigcup \mathrm{Tor}\,(A_\alpha, B_\alpha)$.

By the term Σ-cyclic we shall mean a direct sum of cyclic groups. A p-group is Σ-cyclic if and only if it is a d.s.c. group without elements of infinite height. In view of Proposition 4 if $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ is Σ-cyclic and A has elements of infinite height, then B is Σ-cyclic.

The following theorem gives a necessary condition for $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ to be Σ-cyclic. The symbol $|A|$ denotes the cardinality of A.

THEOREM 12. *If* $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ *is* Σ-cyclic *and* B *is not* Σ-cyclic, *then*

(i) $p^\omega A = 0$, *and*

(ii) *if* $A' \subseteq A$ *with* $|A'| \geq |B|$, *then* A' *is contained in a pure subgroup* A'' *of the same cardinality, such that* $p^\omega(A/A'') = 0$ *and* $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A/A'', B)$ *is* Σ-cyclic.

Proof. As stated above conclusion (i) follows from Proposition 4.

Recall that if A and B are infinite p-groups, then $|\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)| = |A|\,|B|$. Now let $A' \subseteq A$ with $|A'| \geq |B|$ and let $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B) = \Sigma C_i$ with the sum direct and each C_i cyclic.

If G is an infinite subgroup of $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$, then, since each element has nonzero component in but a finite number of the summands C_i, G is contained in a subgroup $G' = \Sigma_{j \in J} C_j$ where J is a subset of the index set and $|G'| = |G|$. Moreover there are subgroups $A_0 \subseteq A$, $B_0 \subseteq B$ such that $|A_0| = |B_0| = |G|$ and $G \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}\,(A_0, B_0)$. This is so because each $x \in \mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ is a finite sum of elements of the form $\langle a, n, b \rangle$.

We define recursively a sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}\,(A', B) \subseteq G_1 \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}\,(A_1, B) \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \cdots$$
$$\subseteq G_n \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}\,(A_n, B) \subseteq G_{n+1} \subseteq \cdots$$

of subgroups all having the same cardinality such that G_n is the sum of a set of the C_i appearing in the chosen direct sum decomposition of $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$.

Let $A'' = \bigcup A_n$. Then by Proposition 11,

$$\text{Tor}\,(A'', B) = \text{Tor}\,\bigcup (A_n, B) = \bigcup G_n \,.$$

Hence $\text{Tor}\,(A'', B)$ is the sum of a set of the C_i and is therefore a direct summand of $\text{Tor}\,(A, B)$. Hence $\text{Tor}\,(A'', B)$ is pure in $\text{Tor}\,(A, B)$. Since B is not Σ-cyclic, it is unbounded and A'' is pure in A by Proposition 10. Hence the sequence

$$\text{Tor}\,(A'', B) \rightarrowtail \text{Tor}\,(A, B) \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow \text{Tor}\,(A/A'', B)$$

is exact and splits. Thus $\text{Tor}\,(A/A'', B)$ is Σ-cyclic and $p^\omega(A/A'') = 0$ by part (i).

COROLLARY 13. *If A and B are p-groups without elements of infinite height, B is not Σ-cyclic, $|A| > |B|$, and A has greater cardinality than a basic subgroup, then $\text{Tor}\,(A, B)$ is not Σ-cyclic.*

Proof. Suppose $\text{Tor}\,(A, B)$ is Σ-cyclic and let C be a basic subgroup of A such that $|C| < |A|$. There is a subgroup A' with $C \subseteq A' \subseteq A$ and $|A| > |A'| \geq |B|$. By Theorem 12 there is a subgroup A'' with $A' \subseteq A'' \subseteq A$, $|A''| = |A'|$, and $p^\omega(A/A'') = 0$. Now A/A'' is divisible because $C \subseteq A''$ and A/C is divisible. Moreover $A/A'' \neq 0$ because $|A''| < |A|$. Hence $p^\omega(A/A'') \neq 0$ contradicting the construction of A''. Therefore $\text{Tor}\,(A, B)$ is not Σ-cyclic.

LEMMA 14. *Let A be a p-group and let ρ be the least ordinal having the same cardinality as $|A|$. Then there is a sequence $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \rho}$ of subgroups of A such that $\bigcup_{\alpha < \rho} A_\alpha = A$ and*
   (i) *each A_α is pure in A,*
   (ii) $A_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$ *if β is a limit ordinal $< \rho$,*
   (iii) $|A_\alpha| = \aleph_0$ *if $\alpha < \omega$, and*
   (iv) $|A_\alpha| = |\alpha|$ *if $\omega \leq \alpha < \rho$.*

Proof. Well order A as $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \rho}$. Let A_0 be a countable pure subgroup of A containing a_0. Suppose A_β has been defined for all $\beta < \alpha$ satisfying (i)–(iv) above and also (v) $a_\gamma \in A_\beta$ if $\gamma < \beta$ and β is a singular ordinal $< \alpha$. If α is a limit ordinal (ii) forces the definition $A_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$. Then (i)–(v) follow easily. If $\alpha = \gamma + 1$, then $A_\gamma + \{a_\gamma\}$ has the same cardinality as A_γ and there is a pure subgroup A_α of A having the same cardinality as $A_\gamma + \{a_\gamma\}$. Then (i)–(v) are still satisfied. If $\alpha < \rho$, then $|A_\alpha| = |\alpha| < |A|$ so that the construction can be continued as long as $\alpha < \rho$. Since $a_\alpha \in A_{\alpha+1}$ it follows that $\bigcup_{\alpha < \rho} A_\alpha = A$.

THEOREM 15. *If A and B are p-groups with the same cardinality such that every subgroup of either with smaller cardinality is a Σ-group, then $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ is Σ-cyclic.*

Proof. Let $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha<\rho}$, $\{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha<\rho}$, be sequences of subgroups of A and of B satisfying the conditions of Lemma 14. Since $|A_\alpha| = |B_\alpha| < |A|$ we have A_α and B_α Σ-cyclic for all $\alpha < \rho$. Set $G_\alpha = \mathrm{Tor}\,(A_\alpha, B_\alpha)$. Since A_α is pure in A and B_α pure in B, G_α is pure in $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$. Moreover by Proposition 11 and Lemma 14 (ii), $G_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} G_\beta$ whenever α is a limit ordinal $< p$.

Since Tor is left exact, there is an exat sequence

$$G_\alpha >\!\!\longrightarrow G_{\alpha+1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}\,(A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha,\, B_{\alpha+1}) \oplus \mathrm{Tor}\,(A_{\alpha+1},\, B_{\alpha+1}/B_\alpha)\ .$$

The term on the right is Σ-cyclic because $A_{\alpha+1}$ and $B_{\alpha+1}$ are Σ-cyclic. Thus $G_{\alpha+1}/G_\alpha$ is Σ-cyclic and therefore $G_{\alpha+1} = G_\alpha \oplus C_\alpha$ with C_α Σ-cyclic because G_α is pure in $G_{\alpha+1}$. Hence we have a sequence $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha>\rho}$ of subgroups of $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ such that

(1°) $G_\alpha \subseteqq G_{\alpha+1}$ for $\alpha < \rho$ and $G_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} G_\beta$ if α is a limit ordinal $<\rho$;

(2°) $G_{\alpha+1} = G_\alpha \oplus C_\alpha$ with C_α a Σ-group for all $\alpha < \rho$;

(iii) $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B) = \bigcup_{\alpha<\rho} G_\alpha$.

It follows that $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B) = \Sigma C_\alpha$ and is therefore a Σ-group.

COROLLARY 16. *If A and B are p-groups without elements of infinite height whose cardinality is at most $\aleph_1$, then $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ is Σ-cyclic.*

If ρ is a cardinal number, call a p-group A ρ-cyclic if every subgroup of cardinal $<\rho$ is Σ-cyclic. Every p-group without elements of infinite height is $\aleph_1$-cyclic. Let ρ^+ be the cardinal next larger than ρ.

COROLLARY 17. *If A and B are ρ-cyclic, then $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ is a ρ^+-cyclic.*

Proof. Let $G \subseteqq \mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$ with $|G| = \rho$. Since, for $x \in \mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)$, there are finite subgroups A', B' of A and B respectively with $x \in \mathrm{Tor}\,(A', B')$, there are subgroups $A_0 \subseteqq A$, $B_0 \subseteqq B$ such that $|A_0| = |B_0| = |G| = \rho$ and $G \subseteqq \mathrm{Tor}\,(A_0, B_0)$. Since every subgroup of a direct sum of cyclic groups is one, A_0 and B_0 satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 15. Hence $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A_0, B_0)$ and therefore G is Σ-cyclic. However G was arbitrary with $|G| = \rho$ so the corollary follows.

For groups $A_1, \cdots, A_n$, define $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A_1, \cdots, A_n)$ inductively as $\mathrm{Tor}\,(\mathrm{Tor}\,(A_1, \cdots, A_{n-1}), A_n)$.

LEMMA 18. *If* $A_1, \cdots, A_{2^n}$ *are p-grrups without elements of infinite height, then* $\mathrm{Tor}\,(A_1, \cdots, A_{2^n})$ *is* $\aleph_{n+1}$-*cyclic.*

Proof. The proof is by induction. We use the associativity of Tor to show that

$$\mathrm{Tor}\,(A_1, \cdots, A_{2^n}) = \mathrm{Tor}\,(\mathrm{Tor}\,(A_1, \cdots, A_m), \mathrm{Tor}\,(A_{m+1}, \cdots, A_{2^n}))$$

where $m = 2^{n-1}$ and then use Theorem 15 to complete the inductive step.

PROPOSITION 19. For each n with $1 \leq n < \omega$, there is a p-group G_n without elements of infinite height such that G_n is $\aleph_n$-cyclic but not Σ-cyclic.

Proof. If C is the direct sum of ρ copies of $\Sigma Z(p^n)$ and $\rho \geq \aleph_0$, then the torsion completion of C has cardinality $\rho^{\aleph_0}$. Hence if $\rho^{\aleph_0} > \rho$, there is a p-group without elements of infinite height which has greater cardinality than a basic subgroup. Since there are arbitrarily large cardinals with this property there exists a sequence $A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n, \cdots$ of p-groups of increasing cardinality, all without elements of infinite height, and all with greater cardinality than a basic subgroup.

Set $G_n = \mathrm{Tor}\,(A_1, \cdots, A_{2^{n-1}})$ and $G_1 = A_1$. Then G_n is $\aleph_n$-cyclic by Lemma 18. If A and B are infinite torsion groups $|\mathrm{Tor}\,(A, B)| = \max\{|A|, |B|\}$ so that $|\mathrm{Tor}\,(A_1, \cdots, A_k)| = |A_k|$. Thus G_n is not Σ-cyclic by Theorem 15.

REFERENCES

1. L. Fuchs, *Abelian Groups*, Budapest, 1958.
2. J. M. Irwin, *High subgroups of abelian torsion groups*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 1375-1384.
3. J. M. Irwin and E. A. Walker, *On isotype subgroups of abelian groups*, Bull. Soc. Math. de France **89** (1961), 451-460.
4. I. Kaplansky, *Projective modules*, Ann. of Math. **68** (1958), 371-377.
5. S. MacLane, *Triple torsion products and multiple Kunneth formulas*, Math. Ann. **140** (1960), 51-64.
6. ———, *Homology*, Berlin, 1963.
7. R. J. Nunke, *On the structure of Tor*, Proceedings of the Colloquium on Abelian groups, Budapest (1964), 115-124.
8. ———, *Homology and direct sums of countable abelian groups* (to appear)

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 22, No. 3   March, 1967