

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**THE ITERATED LIMIT CONDITION AND SEQUENTIAL
CONVERGENCE**

STEPHEN SIMONS

THE ITERATED LIMIT CONDITION AND SEQUENTIAL CONVERGENCE

S. SIMONS

Let F be a family of functions from an abstract set X to a compact metric space W . This paper contains the following result: If F satisfies the iterated limit condition (see §1 below) then any function $f_0: X \rightarrow W$ that is pointwise limit of elements of F can be expressed as the pointwise limit of a sequence extracted from F . Various generalizations are discussed, and applications are given to measure theory.

1. Notation and terminology. We suppose throughout this paper that X is a nonvoid set and that W is a topological space. We write (W^X, p) for the set of all functions from X to W , with the topology of pointwise convergence (product topology). We suppose also that $F \subset W^X$ and we write F^- for the closure of F in (W^X, p) .

We say that F satisfied the *iterated limit condition* if

$$\lim_m \lim_n f_n(x_m) = \lim_n \lim_m f_n(x_m)$$

whenever $\{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$ is a sequence in F and $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ is a sequence in X for which all the limits exist.

2. Introduction. The following results about the iterated limit condition are known; if X is a compact space, W is a compact metric space and $F \subset G$, where G is the set of continuous functions from X to W , then (a), (b) and (c) are equivalent [1, 8.18, p. 76] and imply (d) [1, 8.20, p. 78]

- (a) F satisfies the iterated limit condition
- (b) $F^- \subset G$ (i.e., F is relatively compact in (G, p))
- (c) every sequence in F has a cluster point in (G, p)
- (d) every function in F^- is the p -limit of a sequence in F .

Now (b) and (c) of the above conditions involve the fact that X is a topological space, whereas (a) and (d) do not. In Theorem 1 of this paper we shall prove that (a) implies (d) without the hypothesis that X is a topological space. The interest of this is that sequential convergence is important in the study of certain classes of functions that are not necessarily continuous (e.g., measurable functions). In later papers we shall be considering a number of applications of Theorem 1 to analysis. The reader who is primarily interested in these can pass over all parts of this paper that follow Theorem 1.

The result of Theorem 1 is essentially a combinatorial one and

the proof we give of it is direct and elementary. This result can also be deduced from known results on *continuous* functions by using the Stone-Cech compactification, although it does not seem to be in print in any of the obvious places.

In Theorem 2 we shall prove a result similar to Theorem 1, only under less restrictive conditions on W . In the case of real functions, for instance, Theorem 2 leads to results about unbounded functions, whereas Theorem 1 only gives results about uniformly bounded families of functions. (Another possible approach would be to compactify the reals, but we do not discuss this.) In order to prove Theorem 2 it seems necessary to use a slightly modified form of the iterated limit condition.

In Theorem 3 and Corollary 4 we shall consider applications of our results to measure theory. Corollary 4 contains the following result: If $F \subset C[0,1]$ and F is countably compact in the topology of pointwise convergence on $[0,1]$ then this topology on F is identical with the topology of convergence in measure. We point out that the above conditions on F do *not* imply that F is a uniformly bounded set of functions. The above result is, in fact, a generalization of Egoroff's Theorem for continuous functions: if $f_n \in R^{[0,1]}$ and $f_n \rightarrow f_0$ in p then $\{f_0, f_1, \dots\}$ is compact in the topology of pointwise convergence on $[0,1]$.

3. Results.

THEOREM 1. *We suppose that F satisfied the iterated limit condition and that W is a compact metric space. Then:*

- (1) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(a) } \lim_m f_n(x)_m \text{ has } \lim_m \lim_n f(x_m) \text{ as a cluster point as } n \rightarrow \infty \\ \text{whenever } \{f_1, f_2, \dots\} \text{ is a sequence in } F \text{ and } \{x_1, x_2, \dots\} \\ \text{is a sequence in } X \text{ for which all the limits exist;} \\ \text{(b) if } f_0 \in F^- \text{ then } f_0 \text{ is the } p\text{-limit of a sequence in } F. \end{array} \right.$

THEOREM 2. *If F satisfies (1) above and W is a metric space and the union of a countable family of precompact subsets then Theorem 1 (b) is still true.*

THEOREM 3. *Let S be a σ -field of subsets of X , F a family of real, S -measurable functions on X that satisfies (1), and $f_0 \in R^X$ be the p -limit of a net f_α in F . Then*

- (a) f_0 is S -measurable,
 (b) if μ is a finite measure on S then $f_\alpha \rightarrow f_0$ in μ -measure, and
 (c) if μ is a finite measure on S and there exists a μ -integrable function g on X such that $|f_\alpha| \leq g[\mu]$ for all α then f_0 is μ -integrable

and $f_\alpha \rightarrow f_0$ in μ -mean.

REMARKS. The results of Theorem 3 are true if F is a uniformly bounded set of real functions that satisfies the iterated limit condition (from Theorem 1(a)). However, we shall be proving deeper results in a later paper.

If we omit the condition that F satisfies (1) then all the results of Theorem 3 are false. Suppose, for example, we take $X=(0, 1)$, S =Lebesgue measurable sets and μ =Lebesgue measure. Let α run through the finite subsets of X , directed by \supset . If f_α is the characteristic function of α then $f_\alpha \rightarrow 1$ in p but $\int f_\alpha d\mu = 0 \not\rightarrow 1 = \int 1 d\mu$. If h is any nonmeasurable function then $f_\alpha h \rightarrow h$ in p , but each function then $f_\alpha h \rightarrow h$ in p , but each function $f_\alpha h$ is measurable.

This brings us to another point: if f_α are as above and h is the function $t \rightarrow 1/t$ then

$$\text{for each } \alpha, \int f_\alpha h = 0 \int \mu$$

but there is no measurable set $E \subset (0, 1)$ and integrable function g on $(0,1)$ such that

$$\mu((0,1) \setminus E) = 0$$

and

$$|f_\alpha h(x)| \leq g(x) \text{ for all } \alpha \text{ and for all } x \in E.$$

On the other hand, if $\{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$ is a *sequence* of S -measurable functions on X , μ is a finite measure on S and there exists an integrable g such that

$$\text{for each } n, |f_n| \leq g \int \mu$$

then there exists $E \in S$ such that

$$\mu(X \setminus E) = 0$$

and

$$|f_n(x)| \leq g(x) \text{ for all } n \text{ and for all } x \in E.$$

(This is immediate since the union of a countable family of sets of measure zero has measure zero). This suggests the following

PROBLEM. If $(X, S), F$ and μ are as in Theorem 3(b) and there exists a μ -integrable function g on X such that $|f| \leq g \int \mu$ for all $f \in F$ then does there necessarily exist $E \in S$ with $\mu(X \setminus E) = 0$ and a μ -integrable function g' on X such that

$$|f(x)| \leq g'(x) \text{ for all } f \in F \text{ and for all } x \in E?$$

COROLLARY 4. *If X is a countably compact topological space, F is a countably compact subset of (R^X, p) and F consists of continuous functions, μ is a finite measure on X such that $\int f d\mu$ exists for all $f \in F$ and such that*

$$f, g \in F \text{ and } f \neq g \text{ imply that } f \neq g[\mu]$$

then p and the topology of convergence in μ -measure coincide on F .

These conclusions are true, in particular, if X is compact Hausdorff, μ is a regular Borel measure on X with support X and F is any p -countably compact set of continuous functions.

4. Proofs. We write d for the metric on W .

Proof of Theorem 1.

(a) We suppose that $\{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$ is a sequence in F and $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ is a sequence in X for which $\lim_m \lim_n f_n(x_m)$ exists and, for each n , $\lim_m f_n(x_m)$ exists. Since W is compact metric, there exist integers $n(1) < n(2) < \dots$ such that $\lim_i \lim_m f_{n(i)}(x_m)$ exists. On the other hand, for each m , $\lim_i f_{n(i)}(x_m) = \lim_n f_n(x_m)$ since $n(i) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, hence

$$\lim_m \lim_i f_{n(i)}(x_m) = \lim_m \lim_n f_n(x_m) .$$

Applying the iterated limit condition to $\{f_{n(1)}, f_{n(2)}, \dots\}$ and $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ we find that

$$\lim_i \lim_m f_{n(i)}(x_m) = \lim_m \lim_n f_n(x_m)$$

and so (1) is satisfied, completing the proof of (a).

(b) We find $f_1, Z_1, f_2, Z_2, \dots$ inductively, where $f_1, f_2, \dots \in F$ and Z_1, Z_2, \dots are finite subsets of X , such that

$$(2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for each } s = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and for each } x \in X \text{ there exists} \\ z(x, s) \in Z_s \text{ such that } \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} d(f_r(z(x, s)), f_r(x)) < \frac{1}{s} \end{array} \right. ,$$

$$(3) \quad \text{for each } s = 2, 3, \dots Z_{s-1} \subset Z_s$$

and

$$(4) \quad \text{for each } s = 2, 3, \dots, z \in Z_{s-1} \text{ implies that } d(f_s(z), f_0(z)) < \frac{1}{s} .$$

We perform the induction as follows. We first choose $f_1 \in F$

arbitrarily (F is nonvoid since $f_0 \in F^-$). Having chosen

$$f_1, Z_1, \dots, Z_{n-1}, f_n$$

we observe that if the function $g : X \rightarrow W^{n+1}$ is defined by

$$g(x) = (f_0(x), \dots, f_n(x))$$

then $g(X)$ is precompact (since W^{n+1} is compact). It follows by using the sup metric on W^{n+1} , that there exists Z_n satisfying (2) ($s = n$) and, by taking the union with Z_{n-1} , satisfying (3) ($s = n$).

Having chosen $f_1, Z_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, Z_{n-1}$ it is immediate since $f_0 \in F^-$ that there exists $f_n \in F$ that satisfies (4) ($s = n$).

We show that $f_n \rightarrow f_0$ in p . If this were false there would exist $x \in X$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $1 \leq s(1) < s(2) < \dots$ such that

$$(5) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \quad d(f_{s(n)}(x), f_0(x)) \geq \varepsilon .$$

For any n , if m is sufficiently large (specifically, if $m \geq n$) then from (2),

$$(6) \quad d(f_{s(n)}(z(x, s(m))), f_{s(n)}(x)) < \frac{1}{s(m)}$$

and so, for each n ,

$$(7) \quad \lim_m f_{s(n)}(z(x, s(m))) = f_{s(n)}(x) .$$

Similarly

$$(8) \quad \lim_m f_0(z(x, s(m))) = f_0(x) .$$

For any m , if $n > m$ then, from (3) and (4),

$$d(f_{s(n)}(z(x, s(m))), f_0(z(x, s(m)))) < \frac{1}{s(n)} ;$$

thus

$$(9) \quad \lim_n f_{s(n)}(z(x, s(m))) = f_0(z(x, s(m))) .$$

From (8) and (9),

$$\lim_m \lim_n f_{s(n)}(z(x, s(m))) = f_0(x)$$

thus (5) and (7) contradict (1) (that is, part (a) of this theorem) with f_n replaced by $f_{s(n)}$ and x_m replaced by $z(x, s(m))$. This completes the proof of (b).

Proof of Theorem 2. We first observe that if f_0 and each of the functions in F have a precompact range then the proof given in

Theorem 1 (b) is still valid (the fact that W is compact is used in Theorem 1(b) to show that (1) follows from the iterated limit condition— $g(X)$ is still precompact in W^{n+1} , being contained in

$$f_0(X) \times f_1(X) \times \cdots \times f_n(X).$$

We turn now to the general case and suppose that $W = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} K_n$, where K_1, K_2, \dots are precompact in W and $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots$. We find $f_1, Z_1, f_2, Z_2, \dots$ inductively, where $f_1, f_2, \dots \in F$ and Z_1, Z_2, \dots are finite subsets of X , such that

$$(10) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for each } s = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and for each } x \in X \text{ there exists} \\ z(x, s) \in Z_s \text{ such that } \sup\{d(f_r(z(x, s)), f_r(x)) : 0 \leq r \leq s, \\ f_r(x) \in K_s\} \leq \frac{1}{s} \end{array} \right.$$

and (3) and (4) are satisfied as before.

We perform the induction as follows. We first choose $f_1 \in F$ arbitrarily. Having chosen $f_1, Z_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, Z_{n-1}$ it follows (as before) that, since $f_0 \in F^-$, there exists $f_n \in F$ that satisfies (4) ($s = n$). We now come to the point where our proof differs markedly from that of Theorem 1 (b). We suppose that $f_1, Z_1, \dots, Z_{n-1}, f_n$ have been chosen and we discuss the choice of Z_n . For any $I \subset \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ we write

$$V = \bigcap_{r \in I} f_r^{-1}(K_n)$$

and define the map $g: V \rightarrow W^I$ by

$$(g(x))_r = f_r(x), \quad (r \in I).$$

Since $g(V) \subset (K_n)^I$, $g(V)$ is precompact in W^I and there exists a finite subset Y of V such that

$$\text{for all } x \in V \text{ there exists } y \in Y \text{ such that } \sup_{r \in I} d(f_r(x), f_r(y)) < \frac{1}{s}.$$

We choose Z_n so that $Z_n \supset Z_{n-1}$ and also Z_n contains all the sets Y , as I varies over all the subsets of $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. It follows that Z_n satisfies (10) and (3) ($s = n$).

The rest of the proof proceeds as before, except that “specifically if $m \geq n$ ” in the line preceding (6) is replaced by “specifically, if $m \geq n$ and m is so large that $K_{s(m)} \ni f_{s(n)}(x)$.”

Proof of Theorem 3.

(a) This follows from Theorem 2— f_0 is the limit of a sequence extracted from F .

(b) If this were false then, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, we could find a cofinal subset $\{\beta\}$ of $\{\alpha\}$ such that, for each β ,

$$\int \frac{|f_\beta - f_0|}{1 + |f_\beta - f_0|} d\mu \geq \varepsilon .$$

Then, from Theorem 2, we could find $\beta(1), \beta(2), \dots$ such that $f_{\beta(m)} \rightarrow f_0$ in p . This is impossible since, from Egoroff's Theorem, pointwise convergence of a sequence implies convergence in measure.

(c) The proof of this is similar to that of (b): for a dominated sequence pointwise convergence implies convergence in mean.

Proof of Corollary 4. If f_1, f_2, \dots and x_1, x_2, \dots are as in (1), $f \in F$ is a cluster point of $\{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$ in (R^x, p) and x is a cluster point of $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ in X then

$$\lim_m f_n(x_m) = f_n(x) \quad \text{since } f_n \text{ is continuous}$$

and

$$\lim_m \lim_n f_n(x_m) = \lim_m f(x_m) = f(x) \text{ since } f \text{ is continuous .}$$

Thus F satisfies (1). The result now follows from Theorem 3 (b) and the theorem that if (F, p) is a countably compact space, (F, m) is a metrizable space and m is coarser than p , then m and p are identical. (If A is closed in (F, p) then A is countably compact in (F, p) , hence countably compact in m ; any countably compact subset of a metric space is closed hence A is closed in (F, m)).

5. Further comments and an example. If W is any Hausdorff topological space, condition (1) implies that F satisfies the iterated limit condition. So also does the following condition:

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Lim}_n f_n(x_m) \text{ converges to } \lim_n \lim_m f_n(x_m) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty \\ & \text{whenever } \{f_1, f_2, \dots\} \text{ is a sequence in } F \text{ and} \\ & \{x_1, x_2, \dots\} \text{ is a sequence in } X \text{ for which all the} \\ & \text{limits exist.} \end{aligned}$$

If F is a family of real functions on X and F satisfies the iterated limit condition we cannot assert that every $f_0 \in F^-$ is the p -limit of a sequence in F if the functions in F are not uniformly bounded, even if each $f \in F$ is bounded. In such a case it follows from Theorem 2 that F does not satisfy (1). (Cf. Theorem 1(a)). It can also happen that F satisfies the iterated limit condition and that every $f_0 \in F^-$ is the limit of a sequence in F yet F does not satisfy (1). Both these points are illustrated by the following example.

Notation. Let X be any infinite set. For each finite subset Y of X let $\nu(Y)$ denote the number of elements in Y . We write f_Y for the function on X defined by

$$f_Y(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x \in X) \\ \nu(Y) & (x \in X \setminus Y) \end{cases}$$

LEMMA. We suppose that $Y(1), Y(2), \dots$ are nonvoid subsets of X and $x_1, x_2, \dots \in X$ are such that, for each $x \in X$, eventually $x_m \neq x$.

(a) If $\lim_n \lim_m f_{Y(n)}(x_m) = r$ then $r \geq 1$ and $r = \lim_n \nu(Y(n))$

(b) If $\lim_n f_{Y(n)}(x_m) = 0$ for arbitrarily large values of m then $\nu(Y(n)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(c) If $\lim_n f_{Y(n)}(x_m) > 0$ then its value is $\lim_n \nu(Y(n))$

Proofs. (a) For any n , x_m is eventually outside the finite set $Y(n)$ hence $\lim_m f_{Y(n)}(x_m) = \nu(Y(n))$. This proves (a).

(b) For any positive integer p there exist $m(1), m(2) \dots m(p)$ such that $x_{m(1)}, \dots, x_{m(p)}$ are distinct and, for each $i = 1 \dots p$,

$$\lim_n f_{Y(n)}(x_{m(i)}) = 0.$$

Hence, for each $i = 1 \dots p$,

$$f_{Y(n)}(x_{m(i)}) = 0 \quad \text{for all sufficiently large } n$$

and so

$$x_{m(i)} \in Y(n) \quad \text{for all sufficiently large } n.$$

Thus

$$\{x_{m(1)}, \dots, x_{m(p)}\} \subset Y(n) \quad \text{for all sufficiently large } n$$

and so

$$\nu(Y(n)) \geq p \quad \text{for all sufficiently large } n.$$

This proves (b).

(c) If $\lim_n f_{Y(n)}(x_m) > 0$ then, for all sufficiently large n , $f_{Y(n)}(x_m) > 0$ and so $f_{Y(n)}(x_m) = \nu(Y(n))$. This proves (c).

THEOREM. If $F = \{f_Y\}$, with Y ranging through the nonvoid finite subsets of X , then

(a) F satisfies the iterated limit condition (and in fact the stronger condition (11)).

(b) $0 \in F^-$.

(c) If X is uncountable there is no sequence in F that converges to 0 in p .

(d) If X is countably infinite every $f_0 \in F^-$ is the p -limit of a sequence in F , but F does not satisfy (1).

Proofs. (a) We prove that F satisfies (11): if $\lim_n \lim_m f_{Y(n)}(x_m) = r$

and $l(m) = \lim_n f_{Y(n)}(x_m)$ exists for all m then, from (a) of the Lemma, $r = \lim_n \nu(Y(n))$. Thus, from (b) of the Lemma, $l(m) > 0$ for all sufficiently large values of m . It follows from (c) that $l(m) = r$ for all sufficiently large values of m . This proves (a).

(b) is immediate, and (c) follows from the observation that the union of a countable number of finite sets is countable.

The first assertion of (d) follows from the fact that p is now a metrizable topology. If x_1, x_2, \dots are distinct elements of X and $Y(n) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ then $\lim_m \lim_n f_{Y(n)}(x_m) = \lim_m 0 = 0$ and

$$\lim_m f_{Y(n)}(x_m) = n$$

for all n , thus F does not satisfy (1).

REFERENCES

1. J. L. Kelly, I. Namioka, et. al. *Linear Topological Spaces*, van Nostrand, 1963.

Received December 21, 1965.

UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. P. JANS

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$ 8.00; single issues, \$ 3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$ 4.00 per volume; single issues \$ 1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

| | |
|--|-----|
| Wai-Mee Ching and James Sai-Wing Wong, <i>Multipliers and H^* algebras</i> | 387 |
| P. H. Doyle, III and John Gilbert Hocking, <i>A generalization of the Wilder arcs</i> | 397 |
| Irving Leonard Glicksberg, <i>A Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem for function algebras</i> | 401 |
| E. M. Horadam, <i>A sum of a certain divisor function for arithmetical semi-groups</i> | 407 |
| V. Istrăţescu, <i>On some hyponormal operators</i> | 413 |
| Harold H. Johnson, <i>The non-invariance of hyperbolicity in partial differential equations</i> | 419 |
| Daniel Paul Maki, <i>On constructing distribution functions: A bounded denumerable spectrum with n limit points</i> | 431 |
| Ronald John Nunke, <i>On the structure of Tor. II</i> | 453 |
| T. V. Panchapagesan, <i>Unitary operators in Banach spaces</i> | 465 |
| Gerald H. Ryder, <i>Boundary value problems for a class of nonlinear differential equations</i> | 477 |
| Stephen Simons, <i>The iterated limit condition and sequential convergence</i> | 505 |
| Larry Eugene Snyder, <i>Stolz angle convergence in metric spaces</i> | 515 |
| Sherman K. Stein, <i>Factoring by subsets</i> | 523 |
| Ponnaluri Suryanarayana, <i>The higher order differentiability of solutions of abstract evolution equations</i> | 543 |
| Leroy J. Warren and Henry Gilbert Bray, <i>On the square-freeness of Fermat and Mersenne numbers</i> | 563 |
| Tudor Zamfirescu, <i>On l-simplicial convexity in vector spaces</i> | 565 |
| Eduardo H. Zarantonello, <i>The closure of the numerical range contains the spectrum</i> | 575 |