

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON l -SIMPLICIAL CONVEXITY IN VECTOR SPACES

TUDOR ZAMFIRESCU

ON l -SIMPLICIAL CONVEXITY IN VECTOR SPACES

TUDOR ZAMFIRESCU

The paper is concerned with a generalized type of convexity, which is called l -simplicial convexity. The name is derived from the simplex with l vertices, an l -simplicial convex set being the union of all $(i - 1)$ -simplexes with vertices in another set, i varying between 1 and l . The basic space is a linear one.

For convex sets the l -order (which is a natural number associated to an l -simplicial convex set) is a decreasing function of l . Several inequalities between l - and k -orders are established. In doing this the case of a convex set and that of a non convex set are distinguished.

Besides these inequalities, the main result of the paper is the proof of non monotonicity of the l -order, given by an example in a 34-dimensional linear space.

Let us consider a real vector space and recall some notations and definitions, given in [2].

The convex cover (hull) of a set M is $\mathcal{E}(M)$. We denote $\mathcal{E}(\{x_1, \dots, x_s\})$ by $\mathcal{E}(x_1, \dots, x_s)$, x_1, \dots, x_s being not necessarily distinct points in the space.

The operation \mathcal{S}_l , called l -simplicial convex cover, and defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_l(M) = \{\cup \mathcal{E}(p_1, \dots, p_i) : p_j \in M, 1 \leq j \leq l\}$$

(for arbitrary set M and natural number $l \geq 2$) will play a significant role throughout the paper. The operation \mathcal{S}_l is studied in [1], where is denoted by con_l . It is easy to verify the following elementary properties of this operation:

- (1) $\mathcal{S}_{mn} = \mathcal{S}_m \circ \mathcal{S}_n$,
- (2) $\mathcal{S}_{m^p} = \mathcal{S}_m^p$, and
- (3) $\mathcal{S}_m(M) \subset \mathcal{E}(M)$,

for arbitrary $m, n, p \geq 2$ and M . $\mathcal{S}_l(M)$ is an increasing¹ function of l . It is also an increasing function of M with respect to the inclusion ordering. Let us denote $\mathcal{S}_m(\{x_1, \dots, x_s\})$ by $\mathcal{S}_m(x_1, \dots, x_s)$.

A set K is said to be l -simplicial convex if there exists a subset M such that $K = \mathcal{S}_l(M)$.

The l -order (l -simplicial convexity order) of an l -simplicial convex set K is

$$\omega_l(K) = \sup_M \min \{k : \mathcal{S}_l^k(M) = K\}.$$

¹ By increasing and decreasing functions we mean here not necessarily strictly increasing and strictly decreasing ones.

A set K is said to be *simplicial convex* if there exists a number l such that K is l -simplicial convex.

The *degree* of a simplicial convex set K is

$$\delta(K) = \min \{l: K \text{ is } l\text{-simplicial convex}\} .$$

The *order (simplicial convexity order)* of a simplicial convex set K is

$$\Omega(K) = \sup_l \omega_l(K) .$$

The *power* of a simplicial convex set K of finite order is

$$\Delta(K) = \min \{l: \Omega(K) = \omega_l(K)\} .$$

It is proved in § 1 of both [1] and [2] that

$$\mathcal{E}(M) = \mathcal{S}_l^{[\log_l n] + 1}(M)$$

in an n -dimensional vector space.

2. Relations between l -simplicial and k -simplicial convexity orders. In this section some inequalities concerning l -order and k -order of a same set will be established.

THEOREM 1. *The l -order and k -order of a convex set K satisfy the inequalities:*

$$[\log_k (l^{\omega_l(K)} - 1)] \leq \omega_k(K) \leq [\log_k (l^{\omega_l(K)} + 1)] + 1 .$$

Proof. Consider a set M such that

$$\mathcal{S}_k(M) = K .$$

If $k < l^q$,

$$K = \mathcal{S}_k(M) \subset \mathcal{S}_l^q(M) .$$

Since K is convex the inverse inclusion holds too and $K = \mathcal{S}_l^q(M)$.

Let $x \in K$. Since

$$\mathcal{S}_l^{\omega_l(K)}(M) = K ,$$

x belongs to a simplex with vertices $x_1, \dots, x_s \in M$ ($s \leq l^{\omega_l(K)}$). There exists a linear manifold of dimension $l^{\omega_l(K)} - 1$ containing $\mathcal{E}(x_1, \dots, x_s)$. Following the last remark of § 1,

$$\mathcal{E}(x_1, \dots, x_s) = \mathcal{S}_k^{[\log_k (l^{\omega_l(K)} - 1)] + 1}(x_1, \dots, x_s) \subset \mathcal{S}_k^{[\log_k (l^{\omega_l(K)} - 1)] + 1}(M) ,$$

hence

$$K \subset \mathcal{S}_k^{\lceil \log_k (l^{\omega_l(K)} - 1) \rceil + 1}(M) .$$

The inverse inclusion holds owing to the convexity of K , whence

$$\mathcal{S}_k^{\lceil \log_k (l^{\omega_l(K)} - 1) \rceil + 1}(M) = K .$$

Thus

$$\omega_k(K) \leq \lceil \log_k (l^{\omega_l(K)} - 1) \rceil + 1 .$$

The symmetry in l and k gives

$$\omega_l(K) \leq \lceil \log_l (k^{\omega_k(K)} - 1) \rceil + 1 \leq \log_l (k^{\omega_k(K)} - 1) + 1 ,$$

i.e.

$$l^{\omega_l(K) - 1} \leq k^{\omega_k(K)} - 1 .$$

It follows that

$$\lceil \log_k (l^{\omega_l(K) - 1} + 1) \rceil \leq \log_k (l^{\omega_l(K) - 1} + 1) \leq \omega_k(K)$$

and both inequalities are obtained.

In [2], we have established that in general, k -simplicial convexity does not imply l -simplicial convexity for $l < k$. However, if k is a power of l this implication holds.

THEOREM 2. *For all natural numbers $k, l, q \geq 2$ satisfying $k = l^q$, non convexity and k -simplicial convexity imply l -simplicial convexity; also the k -simplicial and l -simplicial convexity orders verify the inequalities*

$$q\omega_k \leq \omega_l \leq q\omega_k + q - 1 .$$

Proof. Let M be such that

$$\mathcal{S}_k^{\omega_k(C)}(M) = C ,$$

where C is a non convex, k -simplicial convex set. Then

$$\mathcal{S}_l^{q\omega_k(C)} = C ,$$

whence C is l -simplicial convex and

$$\omega_l(C) \geq q\omega_k(C) .$$

To prove the other inequality, suppose that there is a set M' such that

$$\mathcal{S}_l^m(M') = C$$

with

$$m \geq q\omega_k(C) + q .$$

Then, either $m = q\omega_k(C) + q$ and

$$\mathcal{S}_k^{\omega_k(C)+1}(M') = C ,$$

or $m > q\omega_k(C) + q$ and

$$\mathcal{S}_k^{\omega_k(C)+1}(\mathcal{S}_l^{m-q\omega_k(C)-q}(M')) = C ,$$

both impossible. Following Theorem 5 of [2],

$$\omega_l(C) = \sup_M \{m: \mathcal{S}_l^m(M) = C\} .$$

Hence

$$\omega_l(C) \leq q\omega_k(C) + q - 1 ,$$

which concludes the theorem.

A different inequality is obtained, if $k = l^q$, for a convex set. We have, indeed, by Theorem 1, $\omega_k \leq \log_k(l^{\omega_l} - 1) + 1$, i.e.

$$k^{\omega_k-1} \leq l^{\omega_l} - 1$$

and $\omega_k \geq \log_k(l^{\omega_l-1} + 1)$, i.e.

$$l^{\omega_k} \geq l^{\omega_l-1} + 1 .$$

Hence

$$l^{q(\omega_k-1)} \leq l^{\omega_l} - 1 ,$$

whence

$$q(\omega_k - 1) < \omega_l$$

and

$$l^{q\omega_k} \geq l^{\omega_l-1} + 1 ,$$

whence

$$q\omega_k > \omega_l - 1 .$$

Therefore

$$q\omega_k - q + 1 \leq \omega_l \leq q\omega_k .$$

These inequalities and those of Theorem 2 show that, for arbitrary sets, k -simplicial convexity implies l -simplicial convexity (for $k = l^q$) and

$$q\omega_k - q + 1 \leq \omega_l \leq q\omega_k + q - 1 .$$

3. Monotonicity of $\omega_i(K)$ for convex K . It is proved in § 4 of [2] that $\omega_k \leq \omega_l$ if k is a multiple of l . Moreover, we shall prove that for convex K , $\omega_k(K) \leq \omega_l(K)$ if $k \geq l$.

THEOREM 3. *For a convex set K , the l -simplicial convexity order is decreasing on l and $\Omega(K) = \omega_2(K)$.*

Proof. Prove that $\omega_l(K)$ is a decreasing function of l . Suppose, on the contrary, that $i > j$ and

$$\omega_i(K) > \omega_j(K) .$$

It follows that

$$\omega_i(K) - 1 \geq \omega_j(K)$$

and

$$i^{\omega_i(K)-1} > j^{\omega_i(K)-1} \geq j^{\omega_j(K)} .$$

But, from Theorem 1,

$$\omega_i(K) \leq \log_i (j^{\omega_j(K)} - 1) + 1 ,$$

whence

$$j^{\omega_i(K)-1} \leq j^{\omega_j(K)} - 1 < j^{\omega_j(K)} .$$

The contradiction shows that $\omega_l(K)$ is decreasing of l , for convex K . Since K is 2-simplicial convex,

$$\Omega(K) = \omega_{\delta(K)}(K) = \omega_2(K) .$$

4. Non monotonicity of ω_l . It may be conjectured that ω_l is in general a decreasing function of l , i.e. $\omega_l(C)$ is also decreasing for non convex C . Then the inequality of Theorem 11 would be trivially implied by Theorem 6, both of [2], and Ω and δ would equal respectively ω_δ and Δ .

On the other hand one can believe that Theorem 2 can be obtained from two more general inequalities, for non convex sets, like

$$(*) \quad [\log_k (l^{\omega_l} + 1)] - 1 \leq \omega_k \leq [\log_k (l^{\omega_l+1} - 1)]$$

in the same way as, for convex sets,

$$q\omega_k - q + 1 \leq \omega_l \leq q\omega_k \quad (k = l^q)$$

is implied by Theorem 1.

It should be noted that each inequality of (*) would imply that ω_l is decreasing. If, on the contrary $i < j$ and $\omega_i < \omega_j$, then

$$i^{\omega_i+1} < j^{\omega_j}$$

which contradicts the two inequalities

$$j^{\omega_j} \leq i^{\omega_i+1} - 1$$

and

$$\omega_j \leq [\log_j (i^{\omega_i+1} - 1)] .$$

Also,

$$i^{\omega_i+1} < j^{\omega_j}$$

contradicts the two inequalities

$$j^{\omega_j} + 1 \leq i^{\omega_i+1}$$

and

$$[\log_i (j^{\omega_j} + 1)] - 1 \leq \omega_i .$$

The conjecture that ω_l is, in general, a decreasing function of l (and with it also relation $(*)$) is disproved by the following counter-example.

PROPOSITION. Let \mathcal{V} be a 34-dimensional real vector space and C be the union of the subsimplexes with 18 vertices of a simplex with 35 vertices in \mathcal{V} . Then C is both 2-simplicial convex and 3-simplicial convex, $\omega_2(C) = 1$ and $\omega_3(C) = 2$. Also $\Omega(C) = 2$ and $\Delta(C) = 3$.

Note. This proposition and its proof, are the simplest that we could find to provide our counter-example. We ask for simpler ones. In fact, we have found such a simpler example in a vector space of smaller dimension, but the proof was much more complicated. However it should be interesting to find the smallest dimension of the space in which such an example can be found, even if its proof is difficult.

Proof. Let $S_j^i (i = 1, \dots, \binom{35}{j})$ be the subsimplexes with j vertices ($j = 2, \dots, 34$) of the given simplex S . Thus

$$C = \bigcup_i S_{18}^i .$$

(1) The 2-simplicial and 3-simplicial convexity of C follow from

$$C = \mathcal{S}_2 \left(\bigcup_i S_9^i \right) = \mathcal{S}_3 \left(\bigcup_i S_6^i \right) .$$

(2) Prove that $\omega_2(C) = 1$. Suppose that $\mathcal{S}_2^2(M) = C$.

(α) First, we shall prove that

$$\mathcal{S}_2(M) \subset \bigcup_i S_9^i.$$

Suppose there exists

$$y \in \mathcal{S}_2(M) - \bigcup_i S_9^i;$$

then y belongs to the interior of a simplex $S_j^{i_a}$ with $10 \leq j \leq 18$.

(a) If $10 \leq j \leq 17$, let $S_{35-j}^{i_b}$ be the simplex with $35 - j$ vertices disjoint from $S_j^{i_a}$. If $\mathcal{S}_2(M)$ contains also a point z in the interior of a subsimplex $S_{l-j}^{i_c}$ of $S_{35-j}^{i_b}$, with $l \geq 19$, then $\mathcal{E}(y, z)$ intersects the interior of $\mathcal{E}(S_j^{i_a} \cup S_{l-j}^{i_c})$, which is impossible; hence

$$\mathcal{S}_2(M) \cap S_{35-j}^{i_b} \subset \{ \cup S_{18-j}^{i_c} : S_{18-j}^{i_c} \subset S_{35-j}^{i_b} \} \subset \{ \cup S_8^i : S_8^i \subset S_{35-j}^{i_b} \}$$

for $10 \leq j \leq 16$ or

$$\mathcal{S}_2(M) \cap S_{18}^{i_b} \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_{36}\} \cap S_{18}^{i_b} \subset \{ \cup S_8^i : S_8^i \subset S_{18}^{i_b} \}$$

and if

$$S_{18}^{i_d} \subset S_{35-j}^{i_b},$$

then

$$\mathcal{S}_2(M) \cap S_{18}^{i_d} \subset \{ \cup S_8^i : S_8^i \subset S_{35-j}^{i_b} \} \cap S_{18}^{i_d} = \{ \cup S_8^i : S_8^i \subset S_{18}^{i_d} \}.$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{S}_2^2(M) \cap S_{18}^{i_d} \subset \{ \cup S_{16}^i : S_{16}^i \subset S_{18}^{i_d} \} \neq S_{18}^{i_d},$$

whence

$$\mathcal{S}_2^2(M) \not\subset S_{18}^{i_d},$$

absurd.

(b) If $j = 18$, then the segment joining y with a vertex x_s of S that does not belong to $S_{18}^{i_a}$, meets the interior of $\mathcal{E}(S_{18}^{i_a} \cup \{x_s\})$, absurd again.

(β) Now, prove that

$$M \not\subset \bigcup_i S_9^i.$$

Suppose that $M \subset \bigcup_i S_4^i$. Then

$$\mathcal{S}_2^2(M) \subset \bigcup_i S_{16}^i,$$

whence

$$\mathcal{S}_2^2(M) \neq \bigcup_i S_{18}^i,$$

impossible. Hence there exists $x \in M - \bigcup_i S_4^i$.

(a) If x is an interior point of a simplex $S_j^{i_e}$ with $5 \leq j \leq 8$, then, following (α) , M does not intersect the interior of any subsimplex $S_l^{i_f}$ ($l \geq 5$) of

$$S_{35-j}^{i_g} = \mathcal{E}(\{x_1, \dots, x_{35}\} - S_j^{i_e}) .$$

Hence, if $S_{18}^{i_h} \subset S_{35-j}^{i_g}$, then

$$\mathcal{S}_2^2(M) \cap S_{18}^{i_h} \subset \mathcal{S}_2^2\{\cup S_4^i : S_4^i \subset S_{18}^{i_h}\} = \{\cup S_{16}^i : S_{16}^i \subset S_{18}^{i_h}\} \neq S_{18}^{i_h} ,$$

whence $\mathcal{S}_2^2(M) \not\supset S_{18}^{i_h}$, absurd.

(b) If x is an interior point of the simplex $S_9^{i_k}$ then, for $x_r \in S_9^{i_k}$, $\mathcal{E}(x, x_r)$ intersects the interior of $\mathcal{E}(S_9^{i_k} \cup \{x_r\})$, absurd.

Combining (α) and (β) we obtain that $M \not\subset \bigcup_i S_9^i$ and $\mathcal{S}_2(M) \subset \bigcup_i S_9^i$, contradicting one other. Therefore any $M \subset \mathcal{V}$ such that $\mathcal{S}_2^2(M) = C$ does not exist. Also, $\mathcal{S}_2^k(M) \neq C$ for $k \geq 3$, because $\mathcal{S}_2^2(\mathcal{S}_2^{k-2}(M)) \neq C$. Hence $\omega_2(C) = 1$.

(3) Prove that $\omega_3(C) = 2$. Suppose there exist a subset $M \subset \mathcal{V}$ and a number $k \geq 3$ such that $\mathcal{S}_3^k(M) = C$. Then M must contain the vertices x_1, \dots, x_{35} of S . But

$$\mathcal{S}_3^k(M) \supset \mathcal{S}_3^3(M) \supset \mathcal{S}_3^3(x_1, \dots, x_{35}) = \bigcup_i S_{27}^i ,$$

whence $\mathcal{S}_3^k(M) \neq C$, absurd. Because

$$\mathcal{S}_3^2\left(\bigcup_i S_2^i\right) = C ,$$

$\omega_3(C) = 2$.

(4) Prove that $\Omega(C) = 2$ and $\Delta(C) = 3$. If C would be 4-simplicial convex, then $2\omega_4(C) \leq 1$, following Theorem 2, which is not possible. If C is l -simplicial convex, with $l \geq 5$, then suppose that M and $k \geq 2$ satisfy $\mathcal{S}_l^k(M) = C$. Then

$$\mathcal{S}_l^k(M) \supset \mathcal{S}_5^2(M) \supset \mathcal{S}_5^2(x_1, \dots, x_{35}) = \bigcup_i S_{25}^i ,$$

absurd. Hence $\omega_l(C) = 1$.

Thus $\Omega(C) = \omega_3(C) = 2$ and $\Delta(C) = 3$. The proof is complete.

5. **Concluding remarks.** Most of the results of [2] and of the present paper are purely algebraic and hence valid in vector spaces over arbitrary ordered fields.

Besides the operation \mathcal{S}_l , the function ω_l whose study was begun in § 2 of [2] and continued here is of special interest in the investigation of l -simplicial convexity. In §§ 3-4 of [2] we established some elementary facts concerning degree, order, and power. In order to initiate a systematic study of simplicial convexity more information about these three functions should be obtained.

REFERENCES

1. W. Bonnice and V. L. Klee, *The generation of convex hulls*, Math. Annalen **152** (1963), 1-29.
2. T. Zamfirescu, *Simplicial convexity in vector spaces*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R.S.R. **9** (1965), 137-149.

Received March 7, 1966.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BUCHAREST

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. P. JANS
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

J. DUGUNDJI
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 22, No. 3

March, 1967

Wai-Mee Ching and James Sai-Wing Wong, <i>Multipliers and H^* algebras</i>	387
P. H. Doyle, III and John Gilbert Hocking, <i>A generalization of the Wilder arcs</i>	397
Irving Leonard Glicksberg, <i>A Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem for function algebras</i>	401
E. M. Horadam, <i>A sum of a certain divisor function for arithmetical semi-groups</i>	407
V. Istrăţescu, <i>On some hyponormal operators</i>	413
Harold H. Johnson, <i>The non-invariance of hyperbolicity in partial differential equations</i>	419
Daniel Paul Maki, <i>On constructing distribution functions: A bounded denumerable spectrum with n limit points</i>	431
Ronald John Nunke, <i>On the structure of Tor. II</i>	453
T. V. Panchapagesan, <i>Unitary operators in Banach spaces</i>	465
Gerald H. Ryder, <i>Boundary value problems for a class of nonlinear differential equations</i>	477
Stephen Simons, <i>The iterated limit condition and sequential convergence</i>	505
Larry Eugene Snyder, <i>Stolz angle convergence in metric spaces</i>	515
Sherman K. Stein, <i>Factoring by subsets</i>	523
Ponnaluri Suryanarayana, <i>The higher order differentiability of solutions of abstract evolution equations</i>	543
Leroy J. Warren and Henry Gilbert Bray, <i>On the square-freeness of Fermat and Mersenne numbers</i>	563
Tudor Zamfirescu, <i>On l-simplicial convexity in vector spaces</i>	565
Eduardo H. Zarantonello, <i>The closure of the numerical range contains the spectrum</i>	575